

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO.555/2020
(Dadabhau Gawali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for time for removing office objections. Time granted.

3. Case be removed from the board.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.169/2020
(Megha Kapure Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.V.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.09.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2= O.A.No.169/2020

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 28.09.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.250/2020
(Prabhu Pungle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.A.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has approached this Tribunal claiming limited relief which is narrated in paragraph no.VI] C) as follows:

"C) It may kindly be directed the respondents to pass the appropriate order on representation/ application dated 1.1.2020 and 3.7.2020."

3. He has submitted that the O.A. may be disposed of with directions to the respondent no.3 Collector to decide his representation dated 01-01-2020 and another representation dated 03-07-2020 within stipulated time, the purpose of filing the O.A. will be served. Therefore, he has prayed to dispose of the O.A.

=2=

O.A.No.250/2020

4. Learned CPO submits that reasonable time may be given to the respondents to decide the representations dated 01-01-2020 and 03-07-2020 and the O.A. may be disposed of.

5. In view of the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned CPO, O.A. is disposed of with direction to the respondent no.3 to decide the representations dated 01-01-2020 and 03-07-2020 within 2 months from today as per rules on merit and communicate the decision to the applicant in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.262/2020
(Dnyaneshwar Pentewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has approached this Tribunal claiming limited relief which is narrated in paragraph no.17.-C) as follows:

*"C) The sole respondent may be directed to place the matter before the review committee to revoke the suspension order dated 23.04.2020 forthwith in view of the judgment delivered in the case of **Ajaykumar Chaudhari Vs. Union of India (reported in 2015 (7) Supreme Court 291)** by the Hon'ble Apex Court, as the period of 90 days has lapsed and also to reinstate the applicant on the post of Talathi on any other vacant post by giving him the posting forthwith."*

=2=

O.A.No.262/2020

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that as the relief claimed by the applicant is limited, the necessary direction may be issued to the respondent to place the matter of the applicant before the review committee for taking decision in respect of continuation or revocation of his suspension and with that direction the present O.A. may be disposed of.

4. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the respondents have not yet filed their affidavit in reply. However, considering the limited prayer sought by the applicant in the O.A., reasonable time may be given to the respondent to place the matter before the review committee. Therefore, he has prayed three months' time to place the matter of the applicant before the review committee for taking decision on suspension and with that direction the O.A. may be disposed of.

5. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer, the O.A. is disposed of with a direction to the respondent to place the matter of the applicant before the review committee for taking conscious decision in

=3=

O.A.No.262/2020

respect of continuation or revocation of suspension order of the applicant on merit as per the rules and decide it within 2 months from the date of order and communicate the decision thereon to the applicant in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.263/2020
(Ravindra Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.09.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2= O.A.No.263/2020

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 28.09.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.297/2020
(Mahesh Khadtare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.09.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2= O.A.No.297/2020

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 24.09.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.304/2020
(Sanjay Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri K.G.Salunke has filed Vakalatnama. It is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the prayers except prayer clause VIII-B), which is as follows:

“B) This Hon’ble Court may pleased to direct the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 to consider the request of the applicant to transfer the applicant either at Jalna or Paithan Open Jail.”

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that in the prayer clause 8-B), the applicant has prayed to direct the respondent nos.1 and 2 to consider his request in the representation dated 22-07-2020 within stipulated time. He has submitted

=2=

O.A.No.304/2020

that by giving direction to respondent nos.1 and 2 in that regard O.A. may be disposed of.

5. Learned P.O. has submitted that the direction may be given to the respondent nos.1 and 2 to decide the representation of the applicant on merit as per rules by giving reasonable time.

6. In view of the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned P.O., O.A. stands disposed of with direction to the respondent nos.1 and 2 to decide the representation of the applicant dated 22-07-2020 on merit as per rules as early as possible. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.306/2020
(Purushottam Deore Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10-09-2020 for taking instructions as to whether the applicant has challenged the impugned order before the appellate authority.

3. S.O. to 10-09-2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

M.A.NO.204/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.678/2020
(Ramdas Sangle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 01.10.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

M.A.NO.204/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.678/2020

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 01.10.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

O.A.NO.205/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.680/2020
(Ravindra Barde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 01.10.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

=2=

O.A.NO.205/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.680/2020

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 01.10.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 812 OF 2020
(Mohsin Y. Shaikh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.S. Chaudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to produce the copy of the judgment passed in O.A. No. 300/2017. He has submitted that page No. 15 is copy of the original document and nowhere date was placed on it. He has submitted that the office objections have been complied with and hence, the same may be overruled.

3. In view of the above, the office objections are overruled. The applicant is directed to produce fair copy of the judgment passed in O.A. No. 300/2017 within a period of one week.

4. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 14.09.2020.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

:: - 2 - :: O.A. ST. NO. 812 OF 2020

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. S.O. to 14.09.2020.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 852 OF 2019
(Shri Milind S. Kulthe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri V.H. Dighe, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present Original Application and wants to withdraw the same. Therefore, he sought leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the same.

3. Learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 both have submitted that the necessary orders may be passed.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions made on behalf of both the sides, leave to withdraw the present Original Application is granted. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 305 OF 2020
(Shri Vandana S. Mali Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.09.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
8. S.O. to 24.09.2020.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A.NO. 210/2020 WITH O.A.NO. 57/2020
(Smt. Vrushali B. Tambe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

[The present case has been placed before
the Single Bench due to non-availability of
the Division Bench]

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A. No. 210/2020 and O.A. No. 57/2020, returnable on 24.09.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the cases for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the cases. Respondents are put to notice that the cases would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice of both the cases.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, cases shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 24.09.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. ST. 763/2020 IN O.A. ST. 764/2020
(Vilas M. Yadav & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke , learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants seeks time to take instructions from the applicants. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 7.9.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 868/2019
(Dr. Suryakant R. Lonikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN
DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the respondents and thereafter advance his submissions. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.9.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

O.A. NO. 101/2020 WITH CAVEAT 2/2020
(Milind V. Nakade Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4. None appears for respondent nos. 3 & 5.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the service affidavit is ready. Therefore, registry is directed to accept the service affidavit.

3. Learned P.O. and learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 seek time to file affidavit in reply of the concerned respondents. Time granted.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 28.9.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 160/2020
(Shrirang P. Jarhad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN
DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 is present. None appears for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 1.10.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 170/2020
(Piraji L. Sormane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN
DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that inadvertently he has communicated to the respondents that 1.9.2020 is a next returnable date in the present matter. Therefore, he prayed for time.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 31.8.2020. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 191/2020
(Sunil K. Tangde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted as a last chance.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.9.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 194/2020
(Anil P. Salve Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN
DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 24.9.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020

O.A. 261/2020 WITH CAVEAT 12 & 15 OF 2020
(Parag M. Nawalkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2 and Shri Sachin S. Randive, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3.

2. Learned C.P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent nos. 1 & 2. So also Shri Randive, learned Advocate has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 3. Both the affidavit in replies are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 7.9.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1014/2019
(Bade R. Shridhar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to report the compliance on the line of communication dated 27.7.2020 received to him from the respondent no. 2. Time granted as a last chance.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.9.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 95/2020
(Monali B. Late Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to report the compliance on the line of communication dated 27.7.2020 received to him from the respondent no. 2. Time granted as a last chance.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.9.2020.

VICE CHAIRMAN

**M.A. 211/2020 WITH M.A. 212/2020 IN O.A.
1100/2019 WITH M.A. 206/2020**

**(Gajanan B.. Dhumal & Ors. and Yogesh S. Shinde & Ors. Vs. Rajesh
U. Landge & State of Mah. & Ors.)**

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.]

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Amol P. Ghule Patil & Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned Advocates for the applicants in the present M.As., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondents / applicants in O.A.

2. M.A. nos. 211/2020 and 212/2020 are filed by the applicants for adding them as party respondents in the O.A. no. 1100/2019.

3. Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. no. 1100/2019 has no objection to allow both the intervention applications i.e. M.A. Nos. 211 & 212/2020 and thereby add the applicants in those M.As. as party respondents in O.A. no. 1100/2019 & M.A. no. 206/2020.

::-2-::

4. Learned C.P.O. has submitted that necessary order may be passed.

5. In view of the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. and learned C.P.O. for the respondents, the M.A. nos. 211 & 212/2020 are allowed. The learned Advocate for the applicants in O.A. no. 1100/2019 is directed to add the applicants in M.A. nos. 211 & 212/2020 as party respondents in O.A. no. 1100/2019 and M.A. no. 206/2020. The said amendment shall be carried out within a week.

6. After addition of applicants in M.A. nos. 211 & 212/2020 as party respondents in the O.A. & M.A. 206/2020, the added respondents are allowed to file their affidavit in reply in O.A. no. 1100/2019 & M.A. 206/2020.

7. Accordingly M.A. Nos. 211 & 212/2020 are disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

VICE CHAIRMAN

M.A. 206/2020 IN O.A. 1100/2019
(Rajesh U. Landge Vs. State of Mah. & Ors.)

CORAM : B. P. PATIL, VICE CHAIRMAN

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

DATE : 27.08.2020

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocates, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Tope, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 12 & 15 and Shri S.S. Randive, learned Advocate for respondent no. 22.

2. Shri S.S. Randive, learned Advocate has filed his V.P. for respondent nos. 18, 19, 23, 24, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 34, 36, 37, 39 & 40. He has further submitted that he is under instructions from respondent nos. 20, 21, 33, 35, 41 & 62 to appear in the M.A. & O.A. on their behalf. He also seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of those respondents.

2. Today this Tribunal has passed separate order and thereby allowed the M.A. nos. 211/2020 and 212/2020 and also directed the learned Advocate for

::-2-::

the applicants M.A. no. 206/2020 & O.A. no. 1100/2019 to add the applicants in M.A. nos. 211 & 212/2020 as party respondents in the O.A. no. 1100/2019 & M.A. no. 206/2020. He undertook to carry our suitable amendment in O.A. no. 1100/2019 & M.A. no. 206/2020 within a period of one week.

3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions from the respondents. Time granted.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 3.9.2020. The interim relief granted earlier in M.A. no. 206/2020 to continue till then.

VICE CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 27.08.2020