

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 27.02.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.Nos.1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1034, 1049 and 1050 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>D. S. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri Prasad Bhabal holding for Shri Sushant Prabhune, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.91/2019 &amp; ors. on payment of cost of Rs.2000/-, the O.A.Nos.1030, 1031, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1049 and 1050/2017, which have been dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order of Service of Affidavit have been restored.</li> <li>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.04.2019.</li> <li>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 08.04.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">M Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office-Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.150 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. Satam ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought permission to withdraw the present O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. in view of the documents collected by him under RTI Act, 2005.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. as permissible in law.</p> <p>4. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.549 of 2018 in O.A.No.918 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>Dr. (Ms) G. Sukumaran</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is called out in the morning session. As the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent, it is kept in the second session. In the second session also the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. However, the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent, they seem to be not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Misc. Application as well as the Original Application is dismissed in default. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.550 of 2018 in M.A. No.498 of 2018 in O.A.No.863 of 2018</p> <p>A. Jethwani .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is called out in the morning session. As the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent, it is kept in the second session. In the second session also the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</p> <p>3. However, the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent, they seem to be not interested in the matter.</p> <p>4. In view of above, the Misc. Application as well as the Original Application is dismissed in default. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O. A. No. 1013 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>S. A. Patil</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Smt. Kranti Galkwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter the Applicant has challenged the recovery of Rs.1,12,560/- from his leave encashment amount.</p> <p>3. Perusal of the impugned order dated 12.09.2018 reveals that the Applicant allegedly misused the Government vehicles for private purposes and, therefore, charges were imposed at the rate of Rs.12/- per kilometer.</p> <p>4. The Applicant has made representations to the Respondents on 15.03.2018 as well as 20.09.2018 those are not responded and are pending.</p> <p>5. The reply filed by the Respondent No.3 is silent on the point of representations made by the Applicant. The Respondents are, therefore, directed to decide the representations of the Applicant within two weeks, so that if necessary the O.A. can be decided on merit.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 19.03.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1128 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>A. M. Kamble</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out the reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 is necessary.</li> <li>4. On the request of the learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 18.03.2019.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1145 of 2018</p> <p>S. P.Naik .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In terms of Speaking Order passed by this Tribunal earlier, now the Respondent No.1 seems to have realized the mistake and is taking remedial measures so as to comply the order passed by this Tribunal in earlier round of litigation i.e. O.A.No.256/2013 decided on 13.11.2013.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has also tendered a letter dated 25.01.2019.</p> <p>4. However, perusal of the letter reveals that the absence period has been treated as the Medical leave which is not in consonance with the judgment delivered by this Tribunal in O.A.No.256/2013. As per this judgment, the directions were given to the Respondents to pay all service benefits to the Applicant till he actually superannuated in view of the provision of section 47 of "The Persons with the Disabilities (equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995.</p> <p>5. The learned P.O. fairly stated that the said action on the part of the Respondents to treat the absence period as the medical leave is incorrect and she will inform the Respondents to take remedial measures on this ground. She seeks two weeks time for this purpose.</p> <p>6. For the aforesaid reason, the matter is adjourned for hearing on 22.03.2019.</p> <p>7. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 22.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 27.02.2019.

O.A.No.170 of 2019

T. B. Borate .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the transfer order dated 21.02.2019 whereby the Applicant (API) has been transferred from Dehuroad Police Station to Konkan Division.
3. The perusal of the impugned order reveals that the transfers are effected in view of the guidelines issued by the Election Commission of India for ensuing Loksabha General Election of 2019.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim stay contending that it is mid-term and mid-tenure transfer and there is no compliance of section 22(N) of the Maharashtra Police Act. He, further, urged that the Applicant has been discriminated as the request of other police personnel who have been transferred were also reposted at their original posting.
5. Whereas, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered a file for the perusal of Tribunal. Perusal of file reveals that the proposal of transfer of the Applicant from Dehuroad Police Station to Konkan Division has been approved by the Police Establishment Board-2. It further shows that the Applicant is the resident of Pune District and, therefore, in view of the instructions from the Election Commission of India, the transfer was necessitated. Furthermore, she has also completed three years in Pune District.



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;">-2-</p> <p>6. As such, prima-facie there is compliance of mandatory provision of Maharashtra Police Act, 2015. In so far as the allegation of discrimination is concerned that <del>will</del> needs to be considered on filing of the reply by the Respondents.</p> <p>7. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.</p> <p>8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 19.03.2019.</p> <p>9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>13. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>14. S.O. to 19.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>27/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri B.P. Patil (C.M.J)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>P.N. Dixit</u> Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandrar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>U.V. Bhosale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Issue notice before</u> <u>admission returnable on</u> <u>28/3/2019.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>BT</u></p>	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.173 of 2019</p> <p>S.N. Naik &amp; Ors. ....Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned C.P.O. for Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.03.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. in case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 28.03.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (B.P. Patil) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.182 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D. R. Madake</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the impugned suspension order dated 24.03.2017 whereby the Applicant was kept under suspension in view of the registration of crime against him invoking powers under Rule 4(1) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that though the period of two years is now being over from the date of suspension, no decision has been taken on the representation made by the Applicant as well as about the revocation of suspension in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011.</p> <p>4. In terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011, the Respondents were obliged to take decision about the revocation of suspension periodically i.e. after one year from the date of suspension, it seems to have been not taken in the present matter.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is, therefore, directed to take instructions from the Respondents about the compliance of G.R. dated 14.10.2011 and to apprise the court on this issue on the next date of hearing.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="1068 416 1101 443" style="text-align: center;">-2-</p> <p data-bbox="753 528 1414 591">6. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.03.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="753 629 1414 725">7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p data-bbox="753 763 1414 949">8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p data-bbox="753 981 1414 1122">9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p data-bbox="753 1153 1414 1339">10. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p data-bbox="753 1370 1414 1556">11. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p data-bbox="753 1588 1024 1615">12. S.O. to 14.03.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="1138 1653 1203 1686" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1078 1727 1247 1789" style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="740 1794 781 1816">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">M.A. Nos. 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96 and 97 of 2019 in O.A.Nos.1030, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1034, 1049 and 1050 of 2017</p> <p><b>D. S. Patil &amp; Ors. ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prasad Bhabal holding for Shri Sushant Prabhune, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These Misc. Applications have filed for restoration of O.A.Nos.1030, 1031, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1049 and 1050/2017 which have been dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order passed by this Tribunal on 22.01.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that in terms of Clause 9 of the order dated 22.01.2019, he was to collect notices within seven days but inadvertently notices could not be collected and, therefore, Original Applications were dismissed in default for non compliance.</p> <p>4. Now, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that he is ready to make compliance, so as to decide the Original Applications on merit.</p> <p>5. For the reasons stated above, O.A.Nos.1030, 1031, 1031, 1032, 1033, 1034, 1049 and 1050/2017 are restored in the file subject to payment of cost Rs.2000/-.</p> <p>6. In view of above, Misc. Applications are disposed of.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.24 of 2019</p> <p>S. M. Bansode .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has taken circulation for Speaking to Minutes to clarify the operative order passed by this Tribunal. However, he wants to withdraw the same and willing to file separate O.A.</p> <p>3. Hence, no further order is required.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.02.2019.

O.A.No.180 of 2019

A. D. Daundkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 14.03.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 14.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 27.02.2019.

O.A.No.1134 of 2017

M. L. Pendam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.03.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 20.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.402 of 2018</p> <p>T. P. Rawale <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard. Shri A. S. Tamhane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 19.03.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.928 of 2018</p> <p>K. A. Lohar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri Sachin Chavan holding for Shri S. Tambekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.3 and 4.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder of the Petitioner to reply of Respondent Nos. 2 and 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 20.03.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.91 of 2019</p> <p>R. K. Bhosale .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mohan Patil holding for Shri T. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Respondent No.5 though served is absent.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 to 4.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.03.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>27/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Shri S.P. Manjekar</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) <u>P.N. Dixit</u> Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>D.V. Sutar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manjekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>28/2/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>PN</u> <u>D</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.805 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.L. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manjekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 28.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 27.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>27/2/2019</u></p> <p><del>CO-CHAIRMAN</del> <del>Chairman</del> <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p><del>MEMBER</del> <u>N.P. Dalvi</u></p> <p><del>ADVOCATE FOR THE APPLICANT</del> <u>A.J. Chougule</u> P.O./P.C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>28/2/2019</u></p> <p><i>sgj</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No 446 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. A.A. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.P. Dalvi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to intimate whether the order dated 12.10.2018 was served to the applicant.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.2.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 27.2.2019</p>