

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1085 of 2017</p> <p>D. T. NawleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission to newly added Respondent No.5 returnable on 27.02.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 27.02.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.266 of 2017</p> <p>B. P. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder along with annexure. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1236 of 2019</p> <p>J. V. SardeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. B. Bhise, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was placed before this Bench on 31.12.2019 for urgent relief as the Bench of Aurangabad was not available in vacation. This Tribunal granted interim relief by order dated 31.12.2019 and notice was issued to Respondents.</p> <p>3. Thus, the matter pertains to Aurangabad Bench but in vacation it was filed before this Bench for urgent orders which was accordingly passed. This being the position, the question of order of transfer of this O.A. to Aurangabad Bench does not survive as it basically pertains to Aurangabad Bench and all that R & P is required to be sent to Aurangabad Bench.</p> <p>4. Registrar to act accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.627 of 2019</p> <p>P. B. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply to the additional Affidavit filed by the Applicant (Page Nos.38 to 42). It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of '20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s
(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s
(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.664 of 2019</p> <p>B. D. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed additional reply to the amendment made in the O.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 12.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1207 of 2019</p> <p>M. D. MagdumApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1062 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. B. T. KaravekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed short Affidavit of Principal Secretary, Public Health Department about fixing of responsibility for delay in completing D.E.. It is taken on record. Insofar as main O.A. is concerned, no reply is yet filed.</p> <p>3. One week's time is lastly granted to file reply to main O.A. as the Applicant is deprived of getting gratuity because of more than nine years delay in completing D.E. despite the directions issued by this Tribunal in O.A.No.628/2010.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.60 of 2020</p> <p>N. P. BhojarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, matter is taken on board for 'Speaking to Minutes'.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered a copy of order dated 21.01.2020 wherein returnable date is mentioned as 04.02.2020. However, perusal of order on record reveals that returnable date is 18.02.2020. As such, earlier returnable date was 04.02.2020 but it seems to have been changed from 04.02.2020 to 18.02.2020.</p> <p>4. In view of above, returnable date be considered as 04.02.2020 and be listed on board on 04.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.792 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. D. B. BamaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaiwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.931 of 2018 with M.A. No.514 of 2019</p> <p>R. B. MarkadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and 5 and Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional short Affidavit on behalf of the Petitioner. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.02.2020 as H. O. B.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.439 of 2019</p> <p>R. R. AwaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. G. Kayande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel has already given notice of discharge to the Applicant on 13.01.2020. The period of seven days is over as required for discharge of Vakalatnama.</p> <p>3. In view of above, Shri P. G. Kayande, learned Counsel is discharged from the case.</p> <p>4. The Applicant is absent.</p> <p>5. Matter is adjourned for hearing so as to give more opportunity to the Applicant to remain present.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 24.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.71 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.K. Rupanwar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is seeking interim relief to allow the applicant to participate in the physical test for the post of PSI.</p> <p>3. The applicant appeared for physical test on 6.11.2019. However, he had shown his inability to undergo physical test on the ground that he is suffering from 'Acute Lumbago' and for that purpose he tendered medical certificate dated 4.11.2019 issued by Dr. Sampat Pungliya, Shivajinagar, Pune (Exh.E pg.32). However, the medical officer who was present when examined the applicant found him fit and therefore his request for change of date to undergo physical test has been rejected.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant is subjected to discrimination alleging that in case of some other candidates they were allowed to appear for physical test later on.</p> <p>5. Per contra Ld. PO has pointed out that as per GR dated 27.9.2017 if the medical officer present on spot found the candidate medically fit then his request for change of date cannot be allowed and the decision in this behalf would be by the MPSC. She has further pointed out that MPSC by letter dated 24.1.2020 has conveyed her that the applicant was found physically fit by the medical officer present on</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

the spot but applicant himself did not participate in the further medical test and abstained from physical test.

6. She has also produced medical certificate of few candidates who were declared unfit by the medical officer and therefore only their request for change of date of physical test was accepted.

7. True, applicant has submitted medical certificate dated 4.11.2019 issued by Dr. Sampat Pungliya showing that he is suffering from Acute Lumbago but it is significant to know that except this medical certificate there is no other medical record of history of the said ailment/injury.

8. Indeed in terms of GR dated 27.9.2017 it is for the medical officer present on the spot to certify whether the candidate is fit to undergo physical test and if the medical officer is satisfied that the candidate is not fit to undergo physical test then only his request is to be considered by MPSC.

9. Whereas in present case the medical officer who was present on the spot has examined the applicant and certified that he is physically fit to undergo physical test. However, despite this certificate issued by medical officer on the spot and applicant seems to have abstained from participating in physical test. As such in terms of GR dated 27.9.2017 the decision of MPSC refusing request of the applicant for change of date of physical examination cannot be faulted with.

10. In view of the above, we are not inclined to grant interim relief as prayed for.

11. S.O. to 27.2.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
27.1.2020

(sgl)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
27.1.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>C.A. No.44 of 2019 in O.A. No.1134 of 2016</u></p> <p>B.R. Nalawade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO tenders communication dated 24.1.2020 from the Additional Govt. Advocate who appeared in the matter before Hon'ble Supreme Court. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. The relevant portion from the same reads as under:</p> <p><i>"It is to inform you that counsel for respondents made statement before the Hon'ble Supreme Court that they will not press Contempt proceedings pending before MAT, Mumbai. Said statement is recorded by Hon'ble Court in the order."</i></p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that he would get in contact with his counterpart in the Hon'ble Supreme Court and inform the progress accordingly.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 3.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.64 of 2020 in O.A. No.25 of 2020</u></p> <p>C.L. Suryawanshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Siddharth Khandelwal, learned Advocate i/b Talekar & Associates, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed the above MA for restoration of OA which has been dismissed as per conditional order dated 14.1.2020 for failing to do necessary service. He is directed to deposit a cost of Rs.2000/- in the office of this Tribunal and produce receipt.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td></tr><tr><td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 27.1.2020</td><td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</td></tr></table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 27.1.2020	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 27.1.2020	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020				

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.722 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.A. Singh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Sangita Dongare, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.S. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply on behalf of respondent no.2.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.510 of 2019

U.S. Waval ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO mentions that there is no difference between similarly situated persons who had approached this Tribunal and this Tribunal has given judgment on 25.10.2018 in OA No.170 of 2018. The respondents would be informed of the same and instructions obtained within one week.

3. At the request of Ld. PO, S.O. to 5.2.2020. No extension will be considered. Steno copy granted. Part Heard.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
27.1.2020

(P.N./Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
27.1.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>O.A. No.437 of 2016</p> <p>P.B. Pardeshi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders copy of judgment dated 19.11.2019 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Criminal Appeal No.37 of 2016. According to the same the applicant has been acquitted from the offence registered against him for which he was dismissed from service. He further mentions that the applicant has superannuated on 31.1.2017 and he would make a representation to the respondents for his consequential retirement benefits in the light of this judgment.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment to 13.2.2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.20 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.S. Daud ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that he proposes to file rejoinder. However, as the applicant has expressed his inability for two weeks, he seeks adjournment for file filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 11.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.229 of 2019 And</u> <u>O.A. No.1121 of 2019 with M.A. No.641 of 2019</u></p> <p>G.A. Kiture & Ors. S.K. Bhitade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned CPO for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that as per her instructions she needs to be given one week for informing progress in the matter. Respondent no.2 is directed to keep responsible officer present on the next date of hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 6.2.2020 with liberty to circulate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>MAs.642, 643, 644, 646, 647/19 in</u> <u>OAs.1122, 1123, 1124, 1127, 1128/19</u></p> <p>E.J. Barshinge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that OAs No.1064 to 1067 of 2016 filed by the applicant are pending for final hearing. He mentions for early hearing of the same. Present matters would be taken up after disposal of the OAs. No.1064 to 1067 of 2016.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1131 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.D. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record. He further mentions that reply of respondents no.1 & 3 is not necessary.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(A.Ā. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1085 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.L. Navkhare ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files affidavit in sur-rejoinder on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record. Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that the applicant has been given a chance for appearing in the departmental examination to be conducted by MPSC. The schedule of examination is yet to be announced.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that the matter may be heard after results of examination are declared.</p> <p>4. Keep the matter in sine die list with liberty to both the sides to circulate.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.281 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.J. Pandharpur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces copy of order dated 24.4.2018 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in WP No.8926 of 2015. The relevant portion of the same reads as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"3. Order dated 4.7.2014 passed by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal in Original Application Nos.1215, 1128 & 1127 of 2013 and order dated 1.9.2014 passed by the Tribunal in Review Application No.23 of 2014 are stayed."</i></p> <p>3. In the present case the applicant is similarly situated and has made similar prayer. Therefore, this matter is like others kept in sine die list. Keep the matter in sine die list with liberty to circulate.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (P.N!Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.8 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.D. Ugale Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">..Applicant ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ed. PO produces copy of order dated 24.4.2018 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in WP No.8926 of 2015. The relevant portion of the same reads as under:</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">"3. Order dated 4.7.2014 passed by the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal in Original Application Nos.1215, 1128 & 1127 of 2013 and order dated 1.9.2014 passed by the Tribunal in Review Application No.23 of 2014 are stayed."</p> <p>3. In the present case the applicant is similarly situated and has made similar prayer. Therefore, this matter is like others kept in sine die list. Keep the matter in sine die list with liberty to circulate.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (P.N! Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1079 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.M. Atre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

O.As. No.79 & 80 of 2020

M.M. Kesarkhane

D.A.S. Daniel

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Applicants

..Respondents

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicants are placed in similar situation and made similar prayer therefore both the OAs are taken together. The applicants are appointed on 11.9.2019 for a period of 120 days on temporary basis on certain terms and conditions. The period of appointment is coming to an end on 28th and 30th January 2020 respectively.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicants mentions that applicants are apprehending about their discontinuation as similarly placed other nine candidates have been issued fresh ad hoc appointment for 120 days on 24.1.2020. However, no such orders have been given to the applicants. In support he has also cited the judgment dated 16.1.2006 by this Tribunal in OA No.587 of 2005. In similar situated conditions OA was allowed. The respondents are directed to mention legal impediment if any in conceding prayer made by the applicants. Prayer at para 9(B) reads as under:

"9(B) The respondent no.1 to 3 may kindly be directed that applicant's service shall not be terminated by appointing another ad hoc appointee till the candidate selected by MPSC/regular incumbent is available."

4. Ld. PO seeks adjournment till tomorrow i.e. 28.1.2020.

5. Place the above matters before SB-2 on 28.1.2020.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
27.1.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.791 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.G. Rajput ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Niranjana S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant allowed to enclose relevant judgments and notification to support his case.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

O.A. No.1179 of 2019

C.P. Patil ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 30.3.2020. Meanwhile Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to remove office objections within one week.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
27.1.2020

(sgl)

M.A. No.290 of 2020 in O.A. No.1125 of 2019

S.V. Lolge & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice in MA returnable on 30.3.2020. I.d. Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to amend the prayer. Permitted.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, MA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
27.1.2020

(sgj)

O.A. No.58 of 2019

K.G. Lande Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 30.3.2020. Meanwhile Ld. Advocate for the applicant is directed to remove office objections immediately.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
27.1.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.783 & 802 of 2019</u></p> <p>C.S. Dhanawade D.P. Jagtap ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 3.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.727 of 2019</u></p> <p>J.H.K. Inamdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld: Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>MA.1/19 in OA.2/19, MA.213/19 in OA.402/19, MA.236/19 in OA.433/19, MA.239/19 in OA.436/19 in MA.469/19 in OA.859/19 with MA.471/19 in OA.860/19</u></p> <p>R.S. Bhagwat & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants mention that necessary details called from the various offices have been submitted on 15.10.2019. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. He provides copy to the Ld. PO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.2.2020. Responsible officer from the Irrigation Department is directed to remain present and report progress in the matter.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 27.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020</p> <p>M. A. No.562 of 2019 in O.A.No.609 of 2019</p> <p>K. M. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the Misc. Application with liberty to file fresh M.A. for amendment.</p> <p>3. Allowed to withdraw the Misc. Applications with liberty to file fresh M.A. on its own merit.</p> <p>4. Misc. Application is disposed of with no order as to cost.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

Date : 27.01.2020

O. A. No.1214 of 2019 with M.A. No.682 of 2019

D. K. Bendre

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.02.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 24.02.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.828 of 2018</p> <p>D.J. AmbilwadeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per submission made by learned C.P.O. for the Respondent by order dated 20.10.2018 interim relief was granted to the Applicant and that order was followed by the Respondents. However, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the order was not complied.</p> <p>3. It appears that the Applicant was paid all the monetary benefits, but was not reinstated in service and subsequently he was allowed to retire on superannuation. Now, the issue is about his order of compulsory retirement and pensionary benefits.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to file on record Respondents action taken as per order dated 26.10.2018 on next dated.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 29.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.Stamp No.731 of 2014</p> <p>Dr. S.P. Patil & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.G. Gavle, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. holding for Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents, Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for Respondents No.3, 6 to 10, 12, 13 and Shri B.N. Kasare, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 to 70.</p> <p>2. In the last hearing on 05.10.2015 it appears that learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has not filed their reply and also learned P.O. is also not aware of the facts. Learned P.O. is therefore requested to clear all these points by next date. Learned C.P.O. is directed to make one file in chronological order and put up the matter on next date.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the issue involved is of horizontal reservation and that requires to take instructions from Respondents. /MPSC a</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.250 of 2019</p> <p>G.G. Kamble & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.G. Gavle, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri A.G. Gavle submits that the learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte is out of Mumbai and he will appear on 30.01.2020.</p> <p>3. Reply has been filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.953 of 2019</p> <p>P.L. Palsamkar & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.G. Gavle, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri A.G. Gavle submits that the learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte is out of Mumbai and he will appear on 30.01.2020.</p> <p>3. Reply has been filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.937 of 2017</p> <p>A.H. Gawale & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.G. Gavle, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri A.G. Gavle submits that the learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte is out of Mumbai and he will appear on 30.01.2020.</p> <p>3. Reply has been filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 27.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.4 of 2018</p> <p>S.M. Jadhav & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate with Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.4 to 70.</p> <p>2. Adjourned with directions that learned C.P.O. is willing to file reply to the written submissions. Copy of the same be supplied to learned Advocates as well.</p> <p>3. Matter will be heard tomorrow. Adjourned to 28.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>