

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p align="center">Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p align="center">Date : 26.11.2020 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p align="center">M.A. No.247 of 2020 with O.A.No.122 of 2020</p> <p>A. S. BhamareApplicant</p> <p align="center">Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A., the challenge is to the order dated 26.06.2018 passed by the Commissioner of Police, Thane whereby treating the period of suspension from 16.09.2003 to 18.11.2004 as a period of suspension as such under Rule 72 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service, and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981. As the Respondents in their reply raised plea of limitation, the Applicant to be ^{on} safer side has filed present O.A. for condonation of delay of six months fifteen days or one month as a case may be in view of prayer of M.A.</p> <p>3. As per Section 21(1)(a) of Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985, the Tribunal shall not admit an application unless it is made within one year from the date on which the order has been made. Whereas as per Section 21(1)(b) where, in case representation or appeal has mentioned in Section 20 of Act has been made and the period of six months has expired thereafter without such final order have been made then O.A. has to be filed within one year from the date of expiry of the said period of six months.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel submits that the Applicant retired as Assistant Sub Inspector, and therefore, probably the provisions of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides for appeal against certain orders as contemplated under Rule 17 of Rules 1979 may not apply ^{Apply} as per Rule 3 of Rules 1979. Rule 3 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979 provides that these Rules shall not apply to Inspector of Police or member of the subordinate rank of police. He, therefore, submits that the Applicant had filed the appeal under bonafide belief that appeal is statutorily provided. He has pointed out that there is no specific provision of appeal against the impugned order in Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service, and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981.</p> <p>5. On previous date, learned P.O. was asked to take instructions from the Government about the status of appeal. However, he is not able to enlighten the Tribunal on this issue. All that he stated that nobody is available in the department for taking instructions.</p> <p>6. In view of above, there is hardly delay of two months having considered the provision of filing representation against the impugned order. I am, therefore, to ^{to} inclined to condone the delay and to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p>7. Delay is condoned. M.A. No.247/2020 is allowed.</p> <p>8. O.A.No.122/2019 be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 15.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS 682, 683, 684, 685, 661 & 703/2020
DISTRICT : KOLHAPUR**

Smt Shobha A. Koli	[O.A 682/2020]	
Shri Shivaji G. Koli	[O.A 683/2020]	
Shri Vilas B. Koli	[O.A 684/2020]	
Shri Prakash R. Arage	[O.A 685/2020]	
Shri P.R Ninave	[O.A 661/2020]	
Smt Pooja R. Waikar	[O.A 703/2020])... Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicants in O.A 682, 683, 684, 685 & 703/2020

Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 661/2020.

Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Vice-Chairman) (A)

DATE : **26.11.2020**

PER : **Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

ORDER

1. Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicants in O.A 682, 683, 684, 685 & 703/2020, Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 661/2020 and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In all the six matters the applicants entered the service on the basis of the reservation. However, all these applicants could not produce their respective valid Caste Certificate and therefore the Respondents transferred the applicants temporarily for 11 months to supernumerary post and which is up to 30.11.2020. However, all the applicants have filed Special Backward Class Caste Validity Certificates.

3. Learned counsel Mr Bandiwadekar submits that all the applicants were not appointed on the reserved post meant for Scheduled Tribe. He relied on the orders dated 29.1.2020 and 20.7.2020 passed by the Division Bench of High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in W.P 1963/2020 & W.P (Stamp) No. 12089/2020 & Others. He pointed out that the facts in these Writ Petitions were same as in the case of all the applicants and therefore, the order of interim relief granted by D.B of High Court, Aurangabad Bench is applicable in these matters.

4. Perused the orders dated 29.1.2020 in W.P 1963/2020 & 20.7.2020 in W.P (Stamp) No. 12089/2020 & Ors of Division Bench of High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad.

5. In order dated 20.7.2020 in a group matters in W.P no. 12089/2020 and Ors, the D.B of High Court, Aurangabad Bench, has observed that without issuing notices to the Petitioners, the Respondents have placed Petitioners on the supernumerary post. In that group of matters, the proposal for validation of the Caste Certificate was pending before the Committee.

6. Mrs Punam Mahajan relied on the order dated 12.2.2020 of D.B of Hon. High Court, Nagpur Bench in W.P 863/2020, wherein it is observed as under:-

“On the basis of Government Resolution dated 15.6.1995, the Petitioner was granted protection in service by the Department itself. Consequently, the petitioner was adjusted against the vacant seat for ‘Special Backward Class’ category. This is done much prior to the decision of the Apex Court in the case of Chairman and Managing Director, Food Corporation of India &

Ors Vs. Jagdish Balaram Bahira and Ors, reported in (2017) 8 SCC 670.”

7. In the present group of matters, no proposal for validation of the Caste Certificate is pending before the Committee, however the Valid Certificate of Special Backward Class are produced. The Respondents are directed to file reply on this point.

8. However, the learned P.O fairly concedes that in W.P 1963/2020, the facts of production of valid Caste Certificate of different categories are the same. Learned P.O is directed to file affidavit in reply and give copy of the same in advance to the applicants. In between the Respondents are free to issue notice to the applicants and applicants are directed to file their reply.

9. Issue notice returnable on 28.1.2021.

10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

12. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

13. In view of this, we follow the order passed by the Hon. High Court of Bombay, Nagpur Bench dated 12.2.2020 in W.P 863/2020 and orders dated 29.1.2020 & 20.7.2020 passed by the Hon. High Court of Bombay,

Aurangabad Bench in W.P 1963/2020 & W.P no. 12089/2020 and Ors.
Interim protection is granted to the applicants till next date.

14. S.O to 28.1.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai

Date : 26.11.2020

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

D:\Anil Nair\Judgments\2020\1.11.2020\o.a 682, 683, 684, 685, 661 and 703.2020, Temporary transfer on supernumerary post, DB. Int order.doc

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 26.11.2020</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.1033 of 2020</p> <p>R. J. KamaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Perusal of O.A. reveals that various objections were raised by the office but the same were not complied with and therefore ultimately Registrar has listed the matter on today's board before Tribunal. 3. In O.A., by impugned order dated 31.10.2018, penalty of 25% permanent deduction of pension has been passed which is under challenge in the present O.A. 4. O.A. wherein challenge is to the order of permanent deduction in pension is required to be ^{placed} passed before the Division Bench in terms of office order dated 29.10.2020. 5. Thus, the matter pertains to Division Bench. Registrar is, therefore, directed to look into the matter and place it before Division Bench. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">ysm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.146 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. GaradApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel Shri K.R. Jagdale are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the transfer order dated 13.02.2019 whereby he was transferred from SDPO, Haveli, Pune (R) to SDPO, Satara (R). Interim relief was declined by reasoned order dated 21.02.2019.</p> <p>3. Respondent Nos.1 to 3 in Affidavit sought to justify the transfer order and stated that the Applicant retired on attaining superannuation on 31.03.2020.</p> <p>4. Thus, in view of retirement of the Applicant during pendency of the O.A., the challenge to the transfer order dated 13.02.2019 has become infructuous.</p> <p>5. Original Application is, therefore, disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 26.11.2020 Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">M.A. No.247 of 2020 with O.A.No.122 of 2020</p> <p>A. S. BhamareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A., the challenge is to the order dated 26.06.2018 passed by the Commissioner of Police, Thane whereby treating the period of suspension from 16.09.2003 to 18.11.2004 as a period of suspension as such under Rule 72 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service, and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981. As the Respondents in their reply raised plea of limitation, the Applicant to be ^{on} safer side has filed present O.A. for condonation of delay of six months fifteen days or one month as a case may be in view of prayer of M.A.</p> <p>3. As per Section 21(1)(a) of Administrative Tribunal Act, 1985, the Tribunal shall not admit an application unless it is made within one year from the date on which the order has been made. Whereas as per Section 21(1)(b) where, in case representation or appeal has mentioned in Section 20 of Act has been made and the period of six months has expired thereafter without such final order have been made then O.A. has to be filed within one year from the date of expiry of the said period of six months.</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel submits that the Applicant retired as Assistant Sub Inspector, and therefore, probably the provisions of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979 which <i>inter-alia</i> provides for appeal against certain orders as contemplated under Rule 17 of Rules 1979 may not comply ^{apply} as per Rule 3 of Rules 1979. Rule 3 of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules 1979 provides that these Rules shall not apply to Inspector of Police or member of the subordinate rank of police. He, therefore, submits that the Applicant had filed the appeal under bonafide belief that appeal is statutorily provided. He has pointed out that there is no specific provision of appeal against the impugned order in Maharashtra Civil Services (Joining Time, Foreign Service, and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981.</p> <p>5. On previous date, learned P.O. was asked to take instructions from the Government about the status of appeal. However, he is not able to enlighten the Tribunal on this issue. All that he stated that nobody is available in the department for taking instructions.</p> <p>6. In view of above, there is hardly delay of two months having considered the provision of filing representation against the impugned order. I am, therefore, to ^{inclined} to condone the delay and to decide the O.A. on merit.</p> <p>7. Delay is condoned. M.A. No.247/2020 is allowed.</p> <p>8. O.A.No.122/2019 be kept for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 15.12.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate


versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.10 of 2020 in O.A.No.837 of 2019</p> <p>D. K. PajaiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit in Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 in R.A. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, matter is adjourned for hearing of R.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26 .11.2020</p> <p>M.A. No.295 of 2020 in O.A. 837 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>D.K. Pajai ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. This Misc. Application is filed for seeking extension of four months to complete the Departmental Enquiry (D.E.) as directed by this Tribunal by judgment dated 15.09.2020 passed in O.A.No.837/2019.</p> <p>3. Perusal of M.A. reveals that enquiry is completed and enquiry report has been served upon the Applicant Smt. D.K. Pajai. She has requested for grant of one month time by letter dated 29.10.2020.</p> <p>4. Indeed, in view of specific directions given by the Tribunal for taking final decision in D.E. within two months, it was expected that Respondents should expedite the matter by granting reasonable time for filing reply. However, the Respondents are sitting over the matter without taking any further steps.</p> <p>5. Indeed, the period of one month sought by the Applicant for filing reply is almost over and by that time she should have submitted her reply. Anyway, I am inclined to extend the period and the Respondents are directed to pass final order in D.E. within a month from today.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, extension of one month's only is granted.</p> <p>7. M.A. is accordingly disposed of. There shall be no further extension of time to comply the directions.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 26/11.2020</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 875 of 2017</p> <p>S.S. Agawane & Ors.Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder to the Affidavit-in-Reply of the Respondent No.1 & 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. For Final Hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.12.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1058/16, 471/17, 472/17, 473/17, 474/17, 475/17 with 538/17, 540/17, 541/17, 542/17, with 544/2017 with 1154/17, 1155/17, 1156/17, 1157/17 with 1158/17</p> <p>B. B. Pote & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. No reply is filed to the amendment made by the Applicants to Original Applications. Therefore, it can be presumed that the Respondents do not want to file additional reply.</p> <p>3. In view of above, as the matters are of 2017 are adjourned to 27.11.2020 for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.11.2020.</p> <p align="right"> N Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.325 of 2018 with O.A.No.13 of 2020</p> <p>A.M. Jadhao (O.A.325/2018) R.S. Pawar (O.A.13/2020) ...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar , learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.325/2018, Shri R.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.13/2020, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.2 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.13/ 2020.</p> <p>2. Shri A.A. Desai, learned Special Counsel for the Respondent No.1 is absent.</p> <p>3. Affidavit-in-reply is filed by concerned Respondents. Matter will be heard finally on 01.12.2020.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.12.2020 as Part heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.706 of 2020</p> <p>B.M. Turankar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, Peon, working in Institute of Science Mumbai prays that the respondents be directed to decide the representation dated 28.02.2020 regarding the promotion to Group C post.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to decide the representation of the applicant in accordance with law till 28.02.2021. The decision as may be, be communicated to the applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>4. With the above directions, O.A. is disposed off.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.594 of 2020</p> <p>N.R. Gaykar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Mrs. K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. The Applicant, challenges the order dated 15.01.2020 demanding the interest on the pensionary benefit. 3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid. 4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.02.2021. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. S.O. to 11.02.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.142 of 2020</p> <p>A.A. Kulkarni ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mandar Limaye, learned Advocate i/b. Shri Datta Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant is also present. On her instructions learned Counsel submits that she may be permitted to withdraw the O.A.</p> <p>3. Accordingly, O.A. is disposed off as withdrawn.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.275 of 2020</p> <p>V.C. Kumbhar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Counsel informs that the work of the Review Committee is not completed till date.</p> <p>3. The Respondents are directed to take coercive steps and complete the work of Review Committee on or before 10.01.2021.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned to 19.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.596 of 2020</p> <p>D.B. Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Review Committee has taken the decision on 06.11.2020 to continue the suspension of the Applicant. Already the matter is adjourned to 28.01.2021.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. to file affidavit-in reply on the said date and copy of the same be served upon learned Advocate in advance at least one week before due date.</p> <p>4. The learned Counsel submits that no charge-sheet in criminal case is filed till today.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 28.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.688 of 2020</p> <p>A.S. Tondse ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Naib Tahasildar seeks transfer from Solapur to Pandharpur. The learned Counsel submits that the applicant has completed two years and he is not due for transfer.</p> <p>3. The learned Counsel submits that the post at Pandharpur is vacant where Mr. V.T. Jamadar was working as Naib Tahasildar and the viz a viz his transfer was recommended by the higher authority. However, Mr. V.T. Jamadar died of cardiac arrest on 15.10.2020. He has given representation on 15.07.2020.</p> <p>4. Respondents to decide the representation of the Applicant and consider the case of the applicant who is suffering from heart ailment.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.01.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson </p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2020

O.A.No.687 of 2020

Dr. V.B. Patil

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.02.2021.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. The applicant challenges the order date 26.08.2020 rejecting his request for the appointment of Police Patil and also prays for cancellation of the appointment order of Respondent no.2.
8. Learned Counsel submits that Respondent no.2 is served. Affidavit of service is filed. Respondent no.1 is also directed to inform Respondent no.2 who is in service.
9. S.O. to 11.02.2021.

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 26.11.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.362 of 2020</p> <p>V.J. Pachupate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. produces order dated 02.11.2020 of Under Secretary, State of Maharashtra, stating that the order of suspension is revoked and he is reinstated at Ratnagiri. Copy of the same is taken on record and marked as Exhibit -X for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of this the O.A. is disposed off.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 26.11.2020

O.A.No.663 of 2020

R.S. Yadav ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this matter the applicant is challenging the order dated 20.01.2020, putting the applicant on supernumerary post for 11 months which is ending on 31.12.2020, mainly on the ground that the applicant has applied for the caste validity certificate before the Caste Scrutiny Committee on 20.02.2010. The applicant is appointed as Peon on 08.02.2008. However, till today her matter is pending before Caste Scrutiny Committee. Therefore, the order dated 20.07.2020 in group of petition in Writ Petition (St) No.12089 of 2020 and Ors. Gahininath D. Boyane Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay Bench at Aurangabad is applicable.

3. Therefore, the order under challenge is hereby stayed till next. Respondents are directed to file affidavit-in-reply on next date.

4. S.O. to 28.01.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 85/2020

DISTRICT : SOLAPUR

Shri N.H Koli)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Shri S.D Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant

Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Vice-Chairman) (A)

DATE : **26.11.2020**

PER : **Justice Mridula R. Bhatkar (Chairperson)**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri S.D Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this matter, the applicant entered service on the basis of the reservation in Scheduled Tribe category. He could not produce the valid Caste Certificate before the Respondents and therefore, by order under challenge the Respondents transferred the applicant temporarily for a period of 11 months on supernumerary post. The applicant has produced the Special Backward Class Certificate.

W

4. Perused the orders dated 29.1.2020 in W.P 1963/2020 & 20.7.2020 in W.P (Stamp) No. 12089/2020 & Ors of Division Bench of High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad.

5. In order dated 20.7.2020 in a group matters in W.P no. 12089/2020 and Ors, the D.B of High Court, Aurangabad Bench, has observed that without issuing notices to the Petitioners, the Respondents have placed Petitioners on the supernumerary post. In that group of matters, the proposal for validation of the Caste Certificate was pending before the Committee. In the order dated 12.2.2020 of D.B of Hon. High Court, Nagpur Bench in W.P 863/2020, wherein it is observed as under:-

“On the basis of Government Resolution dated 15.6.1995, the Petitioner was granted protection in service by the Department itself. Consequently, the petitioner was adjusted against the vacant seat for ‘Special Backward Class’ category. This is done much prior to the decision of the Apex Court in the case of Chairman and Managing Director, Food Corporation of India & Ors Vs. Jagdish Balaram Bahira and Ors, reported in (2017) 8 SCC 670.”

6. However, the learned P.O fairly concedes that in W.P 1963/2020, the facts of production of valid Caste Certificate of different categories are the same. Learned P.O has filed affidavit in reply. The same is taken on record. In between the Respondents are free to issue notice to the applicants and applicants are directed to file their reply.

7. Issue notice returnable on 28.1.2021.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

W/

10. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. In view of this, we follow the order passed by the Hon. High Court of Bombay, Nagpur Bench dated 12.2.2020 in W.P 863/2020 and orders dated 29.1.2020 & 20.7.2020 passed by the Hon. High Court of Bombay, Aurangabad Bench in W.P 1963/2020 & W.P no. 12089/2020 and Ors. Interim protection is granted to the applicants till next date.

12. S.O to 28.1.2021.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-
(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

Place : Mumbai
Date : 26.11.2020
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No 631/2020</u></p> <p>R.D Chandure ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Mrs Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays that Respondent no. 2 be directed to decide the representations dated 7.6.2019 and 3.3.2020 made by him.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that this is the first date and he has not received any instructions and seeks time.</p> <p>4. However, in view of the prayer, the matter is disposed of with following direction.</p> <p>Respondent no. 2 is directed to decide the representations dated 7.6.2019 and 3.3.2020 by 15.2.2020 and communicate the decision to the applicant.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<u>26.11.2020</u>
	<u>O.A.No 520/2020</u>
	S.K Harugade ... Applicant
	Vs.
	The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents
	1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
	2. The applicant prays for grant of deemed date of promotion to the post of P.S.I.
	3. Issue notice returnable on 16.2.2021.
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
	7. The matter pertains to Single Bench and be placed before Single Bench.
	8. S.O to 16.2.2021.
	Sd/-
	(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.11.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No 522/2020</u></p> <p>S.S Chewale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays for grant of deemed date of promotion to the post of P.S.I.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 16.2.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. The matter pertains to Single Bench and be placed before Single Bench.</p> <p>8. S.O to 16.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.628 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.M. Bagal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.12.2020.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 10.12.2020 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 26.11.2020 26.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A.No 1057/2020</u></p> <p>R.H Nikumbhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that copy of the Original Application is not served. Learned Counsel for the applicant to comply with.</p> <p>3. S.O to 28.1.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.629 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.S. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.12.2020.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 10.12.2020 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 26.11.2020 26.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.630 of 2020</u></p> <p>D.D. Rahinj ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.12.2020.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 10.12.2020 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 26.11.2020 26.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.248 of 2020 in O.A. No.1017 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.P. Kapade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record. In this MA the applicant prays that his juniors who are going to be promoted with promotion have to be stopped. However, those persons are not made party but they are going to be adversely effected if the order in favour of the applicant is passed by the Tribunal. Hence, those persons are necessary party to the present matter.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.1.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bha'kar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 26.11.2020 26.11.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA.19/2020 in OA.1158/16 with OA.352/17 with OA.353/17</u></p> <p>Smt. S.K. Goraksha & 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.2.20201.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 26.11.2020 (sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 26.11.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

CA. 21/2020 in OA.953/16 with MA.138/2020

S.S. Kamble ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the applicant. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Sufficient opportunity is given to the party in person to remain present. However, he is not present. Hence, dismissed.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman
26.11.2020

(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
26.11.2020

(sgj)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.11.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.No 521/2020</u></p> <p>B.D Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant prays for grant of deemed date of promotion to the post of P.S.I.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 16.2.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. The matter pertains to Single Bench and be placed before Single Bench.</p> <p>8. S.O to 16.2.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>26.11.2020</u></p> <p><u>M.A 237/2020 in O.A.No 521/2020</u></p> <p>B.D Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>