

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.69 OF 2017**

Shri D.N. Chinchani

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Shri M.D. Lonkar – Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman
DATE : 26th April, 2017
PER : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The case is taken up on today's board. Three officers viz. Shri Manik Gutte, Joint Secretary, Shri K.B. Kenga, Joint Secretary and Shri S.D. Shinde, Under Secretary from Revenue and Forest Department are present.
3. One amongst them Shri S.D. Shinde, Under Secretary has affirmed the affidavit dated 7.4.2017. All the three officers were permitted to address in the background of order passed by this Tribunal on 11.4.2017.



4. The manner in which these officers have addressed, it emerges as if they have not read the OA, the affidavit in reply and the order passed by us on 11.4.2017 and do not understand the gravity of the matter.

5. In the situation as is obtaining, i.e. when the directions given by this Tribunal are either not read or not understood, we are constrained to call Shri Manukumar Shrivastav, Principal Secretary, Revenue & Forest Department to remain personally present and address as to the stance of the department and explain the reason of reckless with which the case is dealt and continuous to be dealt with despite that the deficiency in the affidavit is duly narrated in our order dated 11.4.2017.

6. Learned PO is directed to communicate this order.

7. S.O. to 28.4.2017. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
26.4.2017

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
26.4.2017

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri B. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Applicant in person Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>holding P.O. S. Suryawanshi</u> <u>28/4/17</u> Adj. To <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1037 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri P.D. Pramanik ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Applicant in person present. Heard Smt. Archana B.K. holding for Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned P.O. presents on record the order of 25.04.2017, issued by the State in Home Department and the detailed order of 25.04.2017. It is clear that the Original Application has worked itself out. It is adjourned to 28.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 26.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.291 of 2017

Smt. Dr. P.D. Dalvi ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

The learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar seeks interim relief. On behalf of the Respondents, documents are produced. I have carefully perused them and going by the contents of the documents at page no.66, I am not so inclined to grant any interim relief. The fact that the Applicant is a contract basis employee has to be borne in mind. However, to a pointed query on the instance of Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate is informed on behalf of the Respondents that the facts set out in the documents including the recital of the page 66 were not informed to the Applicant.

The learned P.O. submits that ^{regard} the record being had to the status as a contract employee, it was not imperative to give her such an opportunity. I have recorded the said statement and also perused the judgments cited by the learned P.O. in the matter of **Civil Appeal No. 4990-4991/2011 (Union of India & Anr. V/s. Arulmozhi Iniarasu & Ors)**, dated **06.07.2011**, no interim relief as of today. Adjourned for rejoinder, if any to 05.05.2017. Hamdast.

DATE: 26/4/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~

(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

~~Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Smt. Archana B.K.~~

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Reply filed by R. No. 122

Adj. To: S.O. to 5/5/17

Hamdast

[Signature]

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 26.4.17
Member (J)
26.04.2017


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJYAGARWAL (Vice-Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) <u>+</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.R. Atre</u> Advocates for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>2/5/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">PH </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1144 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri H. S. Pandit ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Atre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The arguments part of this OA is almost over. On the sincere request of the learned P.O. and a last opportunity being given to him to file whatever affidavit he wants to file but it is made clear that on the next date either the matter will be closed for orders or the order will be made.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">S.O. to 02.05.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (R.B. Malik) <u>26.04.17</u> Member (J) 26.04.2017</p>
(vsm)	

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

M.A. No.177 of 2017
In
O.A. No. 352 of 2017

Smt S.D. Narvekar ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 13.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 13.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.
 Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 26.4.17
 Member (J)
 26.04.2017

DATE: 26/4/17

CORAM:

~~Non'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~
 (Vice Chairman)

Non'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar
 Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To S.O. to 13/6/17

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice Chairman) Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Me. S.S. Desai Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents <u>O.A. is admitted.</u> Adj. To. <u>15/6/17</u> with <u>MA 560/16.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>FH</i> <i>Chougule</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1211 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri H.D. Mandavkare & ors. ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms S.S. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that the Applicants do not want to file Rejoinder.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 15.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(R.B. Malik) 26.04.17</u> Member (J) 26.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 26/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Chief Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> P.O./P.O. for the Respondents No. 7</p> <p><u>U.R. Agandurve</u> for R.No. 5</p> <p><u>A. Misal</u> for R.No. 1 to 4 and 6.</p> <p>S.O. to 5/5/17. for hearing O.A. with C.A.</p>	<p><u>Su-motu C.A. 02/2017</u> <u>in</u> <u>O.A. No.1080 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. Y. G. Jagtap ... Applicant</p> <p>V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondent No.7, Shri U.R. Agandurve, the learned Advocate for Respondent No.5 and Shri A. Misal, the learned Advocate for the Respondents 1 to 4 and 6.</p> <p>These proceedings are adjourned for hearing and they should be shown in the proper column.</p> <p>S.O. to 05.05.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (R.B. Malik) Member (J) 26.4.17 26.04.2017</p> <p>(vsm)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.156 of 2016

Smt. K.A. Jamdar ... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri T. Jadhav, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

If the Affidavit-in-Reply that the Respondents have to file is filed during course of the day, it will be taken on record. Otherwise, this O.A. shall proceed without Affidavit-in-Reply and on the next date hearing shall resume.

S.O. to 15.06.2017.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 26.07.17
Member (J)
26.07.2017

DATE: 26/4/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Tanaji Jadhav

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

(vsm)

Adj. To. S.O. to 15/6/17.

PH
[Signature]



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 26/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJY AGARWAL <small>(Jice - Chairman)</small> Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) J</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Scaryeasandhi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents holding floor N.K. Rajpurohit.</p> <p><u>Adj. To</u> O.A. is admitted. S.O. to 14/6/17.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">F4 </p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1085 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri B.B. Thakur ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V/s.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>The learned Advocate informs that the Applicant does not want to file the Rejoinder.</p> <p>Original Application is admitted and appointed for final hearing on 14.06.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (R.B. Malik) 26.04.17 Member (J) 26.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(vsm)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Ceram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.178 of 2017

In

O.A. No.353 of 2017

Smt. K.S. Gadkari

... Applicant

V/s.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 13.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 13.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 26
Member (J)
26.04.2017 17

DATE: 26/4/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL

(Vice Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

S.O. to 13/6/17

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.348 of 2017

Shri L.N. Mhaske ... Applicant
V/s.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale holding for Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

Issue notice returnable on 13.06.2017.

Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

The service may be done by hand delivery / speed post / courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within four weeks. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

S.O. to 13.06.2017. Learned P.O. do waive service.

Sd/-

(R.B. Malik) 20.1.7
 Member (J)
 26.04.2017

DATE: 26/4/17

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Shri. RAHVAGARWAL~~
(Vice-Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri R. B. MALIK (Member) ✓

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit

Adj. To

S.O. to 13/6/17

[Handwritten signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.309 OF 2017**

Shri Pankaj B. Wankhede

..Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Shri A.G. Ambetkar – Advocate for the Applicant

Ms. Savita Suryawanshi – Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman
Shri Rajiv Agarwal, Vice-Chairman
DATE : 26th April, 2017
PER : Shri Justice A.H. Joshi, Chairman

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 5.5.2017.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the



case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant wants to argue on interim relief. Heard.

8. At this stage Ld. PO, Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, states that instructions are received by letter dated 26.4.2017, which contains the following text:

“उपरोक्त विषयातील संदर्भिय पत्रास अनुसरून कळविण्यात येते की, प्रस्तूत न्यायालयीन प्रकरणांमध्ये मा. न्यायाधिकरणाने पारित केलेल्या आदेशांस अनुसरून खालीलप्रमाणे कळविण्यात येत आहे.

(१) अधिव्याख्यात्यांच्या रिक्त पदे भरण्याच्या दृष्टीने महाराष्ट्र लोकसेवा आयोगाने जाहिरात प्रसिध्द केली असून आयोगाकडून अधिव्याख्यात्यांची निवड तातडीने करण्यात यावी, यासाठी शासनाकडून आयोगाकडे पाठपुरावा करण्यात येत आहे. तसेच आयोगाकडून शैक्षणिक वर्ष २०१७-२०१८ साठी अधिव्याख्यात्यांची निवड यादी शासनास लवकरच सादर होण्याची शक्यता आहे.

(२) शैक्षणिक वर्ष २०१७-२०१८ साठी अधिव्याख्यात्यांची निवड यादी आयोगाकडून शासनास सादर न झाल्यास शैक्षणिक वर्ष २०१७-२०१८ साठी अधिव्याख्यात्यांच्या आवश्यकतेबाबतचा आढावा घेण्यात येईल. तसेच



आवश्यकता असल्यास अधिव्याख्यात्यांच्या शैक्षणिक व अन्य कामकाजाचे मुल्यमापन करून गरजेनुसार त्यांची तासिका तत्वावर नेमणूक करण्याचा विचार करण्यात येईल.”

9. In so far as continuation of services of the applicant are concerned, the letter referred to in foregoing para contains assurance that depending upon the review of applicant's services and as to whether list is received from MPSC, the matter of continuation of applicant's services will be considered and in the event appointment is considered necessary, it would be made on clock-hour basis.

10. Issue of grant of interim relief is kept open.

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman
26.4.2017

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
26.4.2017

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 26/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>RAJIV AGARWAL</u> (Member A)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>15/6/17.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.344 of 2017</p> <p>C.G. Naik & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants prays for leave to amend.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed is granted. Amended copy be served upon the Respondents.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>S.B. Talekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>7/6/2017</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.134 of 2016</p> <p>N.L. Dugane & Ors.Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Talekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has come up with letter received from Government. It contains text which reads as follows :-</p> <p>"श्री. नितीन लक्ष्मणराव दुगाने व इतर यांनी मा. महाराष्ट्र प्रशासकीय न्यायाधिकरण, मुंबई येथे मूळ अर्ज क्र.9३४/२०१७ दाखल केलेला आहे. सदर प्रकरणात मा. न्यायाधिकरणात दि.२६.०४.२०१७ रोजी सुनावणी घेण्यात आली. कृषी पदविका/कृषी पदवी अभ्यासकमातील प्राप्त टक्केवारीतील भारांकनाच्या आधारे भरण्याची कार्यवाही सध्या सुरू असल्याचे मा. न्यायाधिकरणास सुनावणी दरम्यान सांगितले. सुनावणीदरम्यान मा. न्यायाधिकरणाने दिलेल्या निदेशांप्रमाणे भारांकन पध्दतीने कृषी सेवकांच्या नियुक्तीची अंतीम कार्यवाही पुढील ४४ दिवसात करण्यात येणार नसल्याबाबत मा. न्यायाधिकरणास हमी देण्यात येत आहे."</p> <p align="right">(Quoted from letter dated 26.04.2017.)</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing, hearing can wait, and is adjourned to 07.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 26/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : P. Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant filed leave note Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 13/6/2017</p> <p align="right">BTE</p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2017</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.80 of 2016 in O.A.No.517 of 2015</p> <p>R.B. MoreApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed a leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for following:-</p> <p>(a) To produce the details of compliance of order on affidavit or otherwise.</p> <p>(b) Time is needed to find out from the Respondents whether they wish to file affidavit of apology.</p> <p>4. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 13.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Rajiv Agaiwal) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.H. JOSHI) Chairman</p> <p align="right">13/6/17</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20.

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajwadekar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>G.K. Kshirsagar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>N.G. Gohad, P.O. with</u> C.P.O / P.Q. for the Respondent/s <u>D.B. Khaire Spl. Counsel for Rep.</u> Adj. To: <u>15/6/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HTL</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1029 of 2016</p> <p>Maharashtra Rajya Padvidhar Prathmik Shikshan va Kendrapramukh Sabha & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer with Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 15.06.2017.</p> <p style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman sba Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Srri/Smt. : <u>G.K. Kshirsagar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Srri /Smt. : <u>N.G. Gohad, P.O. with</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>D.B. Khaire spl. Counsel for Rsp.</u></p> <p>Adj. To: <u>MA is allowed</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.431 of 2016 in O.A.No.1029 of 2016</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Maharashtra Rajya Padvidhar Prathmik Shikshan va Kendrapramukh Sabha & Ors.Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer with Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering that the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common and concurrent, application for leave to sue jointly is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. M.A. is allowed.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p style="text-align: left;">sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 26/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre, U. Adv. holding P. Adv. V.V. Joshi Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 13/6/17.</p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2017</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.03 of 2017 in O.A.No.849 of 2015 With C.A.No.04 of 2017 in O.A.No.850 of 2015</p> <p>D.C. Bhosale (C.A.3/17 in O.A.849/15) D.C. Bhosale & Ors. (C.A.4/17 in O.A.850/25)Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-</p> <p>(a) The apology towards the delay is already filed.</p> <p>(b) Compliance of order of this Tribunal is done.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre appears for Shri V.V. Joshi prays for time to make a statement as to whether Applicants are satisfied as regards compliance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.06.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi/J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sri/Smt. <u>Leave note of adv. P. Mahajan taken on record.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Sri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>27/4/17.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>APZ</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2017</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.30 of 2017 in O.A.No.864 of 2015</p> <p>A.V. LankeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed a leave note.</p> <p>3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 27.04.2017.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>B.S. Shinde</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>3/5/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 26.04.2017</p> <p>C.A.No.76 of 2016 in O.A.No.242 of 2015</p> <p>V.D. Kulkarni & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.S. Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 03.05.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

Date : 26.04.2017

C.A.No.44 of 2014 in O.A.No.364 of 2011

V.Y. MokashiApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for following:-

- (a) To produce the details of compliance of order on affidavit or otherwise.
- (b) Time is needed to find out from the Respondents whether they wish to file affidavit of apology.

3. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 13.06.2017.

DATE : 26/4/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. C.T. Chandratre holding
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. V.V. Joshi
Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 13/6/2017

Sd/-

(Rajiv Agarwal)
Vice-Chairman

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 26/4/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rajiv Agarwal (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. None for the app. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N. G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 4/5/2017</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.1075 of 2016</u></p> <p>Shri Y.G. Birajdar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ms. Neelima Gohad, Ld. PO, adjourned to 4.5.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, Chairman) Vice-Chairman 26.4.2017 26.4.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Smt. <u>Rajiv Agarwal</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. to <u>Admit Liberty to circulate</u></p> <p align="right"><i>Bte</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.46 of 2017</u></p> <p>Smt. M.P. Mhapankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has tendered affidavit for and on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadkar, Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for time to consider the reply and file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Admit. Liberty to circulate.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Rajiv Agarwal) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairman 26.4.2017 26.4.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.198 of 2017
<p>DATE: <u>26/4/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>Rajiv Aggarwal</u> (Member) Hon'ble Shri <u>M. Kameshkumar</u> (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>16/6/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>RTI</i></p>	<p>Shri B.B. Thakur ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that draft affidavit in reply is received and she is not satisfied with it. We were curious enough and therefore called for the affidavit. We see that it is hopelessly drafted without replying the crucial averments contained in the OA.</p> <p>3. Shri S.K. Gupte, Under Secretary, Industries, Energy and Labour Department, who is present for the respondents, states that the OA will be scrutinized with reference to each sentence and point contained in it and appropriate reply would be drafted and for that purpose he prays for two weeks time.</p> <p>4. If the affidavit filed is found unsatisfactory, Secretary of the department would be called to personally pay cost.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO is directed to bring this aspect to the notice of the concerned Secretary.</p> <p>6. Though two weeks time is sought, we grant longer time with a hope that reply would be filed positively.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 16.6.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Rajiv Aggarwal) Vice-Chairman 26.4.2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.H. Joshi) Chairman 26.4.2017</p> <p>(sgi)</p>