

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 990 OF 2018  
(Shri Gaurishankaar P. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed short affidavit on behalf of respondent No. 3 in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 25.03.2019. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed affidavit in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 25.03.2019. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 29.03.2019.

5. The present O.A. is to be treated as part heard.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.195/2019  
(Shri Atul Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.P.Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. Since none is present for the applicant, case be placed for passing dismissal order on the next date.

3. S.O. to 24-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.267/2019  
(Shri Kashinath Ghumare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.454/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1906/2018  
(Kiran S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 04-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.611/2018  
(Premla Hanumante & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O.to 11-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.652/2018  
(Indira Maind & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O.to 11-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.679/2018  
(Sushilabai Kalaskar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O.to 11-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.269/2019  
(Shri Sunil Wagh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. T.M.Tripathi learned Advocate holding for Shri M.P.Tripathi learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.932/2017  
(Shri Walmik Kande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 05-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.432/2018  
(Shri Ajabrao Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. Learned P.O. has sought 2 weeks' time to submit compliance report regarding order passed by this Tribunal on 01-12-2018.

3. 2 weeks' time is granted as prayed for with direction to the respondent no.1 to file personal affidavit regarding compliance of the direction given by this Tribunal on 01-12-2018.

3. S.O. to 09-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.548/2018  
(Smt. Ganga Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant has filed **leave note** on record.

Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri S.N.Deshmukh learned Advocate for respondent no.3.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.639/2018  
(Shri Maroti Sonkamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B.Wagh learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri Gajanan Shembole learned Advocate for respondent no.4.

2. Learned P.O. has sought one week's time to comply with the order dated 15-03-2019 passed by the Tribunal. Time as prayed for is granted.

3. However, the respondent no.1 shall produce entire record/papers regarding transfer of the applicant along with personal affidavit of respondent no.1 on the next date.

3. S.O. to 04-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.723/2018  
(Shri Arjun Kolmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T.Devane learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file reply of other respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 29-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.889/2018  
(Shri Sayyed Matinoddin Aminoddin V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Gajanan Shembole learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R.Dheple learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondent no.3. Time is granted.
3. S.O. to 23-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.942/2018  
(Dr. Meena Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for compliance of the order dated 06-03-2019, S.O. to 23-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.977/2018  
(Shri Parag Nawalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G.Kulkarni learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents. Shri S.R.Wakle learned Advocate for respondent no.2 is **absent**.

2. Smt. Vidya Taksal learned Advocate states that Shri A.S.Deshmukh will file Vakalatnama on behalf of respondent no.2 on the next date.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 15-04-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.14/2019  
(Shri Shahadeo Jogdand V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Savita N. Solanke learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03-05-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.476/2016 IN O.A.ST.NO.2024/2016  
(Shri Devidas Ahire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri T.R.Daware learned Advocate holding  
for Shri Pramod S. Gaikwad learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting  
Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply in  
the M.A. Time is granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 02-05-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.87/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO.280/2018  
(Punamchand Bainade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced copy of communication dated 10-12-2018 received to the applicant from the respondent no.4. Same is taken on record.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that since the applicant has retired from service on superannuation on 27-04-2018, O.A. may be disposed of.

4. In the facts and circumstances of the case, learned P.O. has prayed to pass appropriate order.

5. Since the applicant does not want to prosecute the O.A. as he has retired from service, M.A. as well as O.A. both are disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.276/2017  
(Shri Prashant Bibrare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.H.Sukale learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the communication dated 21-12-2016 by which respondent no.3 has returned application/proposal of the applicant. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the respondent has neither rejected nor allowed the application and simply returned the proposal. Therefore, he prayed to direct the respondent no.3 to reconsider the application dated 08-12-2016.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the application of the applicant is not maintainable as earlier application of the mother of the applicant was rejected. Therefore, the applicant is not entitled to get appointment on compassionate ground.

4. On perusal of the impugned order, it reveals that the respondent no.3 has simply returned the proposal of the applicant without recording reasons as contended by the respondents in the affidavit in reply. Respondent no.3 ought to have decided the application of the applicant dated 08-12-2016 on merit but the respondent no.3 neither rejected nor allowed the application of the applicant. Therefore, it is just to direct the respondent no.3 to consider the application of the applicant dated 08-12-2016 afresh on merit as per rules.

5. In view thereof, the O.A. stands disposed of with direction to respondent no.3 to decide the application of the applicant dated 08-12-2016 afresh as per rules within one month from the date of this order and communicate the decision thereon to the applicant in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.357/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO.1239/2017  
(Shri Prabhu s/o Rupala Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.J.Kore learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has filed O.A. for grant of time bound promotion with effect from 2009 and for correction of the pay scale accordingly. There is delay of about 9 years and 7 months caused for filing the O.A. Therefore, the applicant has filed M.A. for condonation of the said delay.

3. It is contention of the applicant that he has been retired from service on 31-07-2016. After retirement, he had gone through the service book supplied to him and at that time he came to know that his pay was wrongly fixed. Thereafter, he approached his Advocate and took advice. It is his contention that because of the said reasons the delay has caused for filing the O.A. but the said delay is not intentional or deliberate. Therefore, he prayed to condone the delay.

4. Respondent no.3 has filed affidavit in reply and resisted the contention of the applicant. It is his contention that an inordinate delay of 9 years and 7 months has been caused for filing the O.A. and the applicant has not explained the delay by giving satisfactory reasons. He states that the reason given by the applicant is not justifiable, and therefore, he prayed to reject the M.A. It is his further contention that the applicant was enlisted as Police Constable in Osmanabad District on 03-05-1982 and retired on superannuation on 31-07-2016 on completion of 58 years of age. It is his contention that duplicate service book was supplied to the applicant when he entered in the service and entries were recorded in the duplicate service book from time to time.

5. It is his further contention that after completion of 12 years' service applicant was entitled to get first time bound promotion to the rank of Police Naik in the pay scale of Rs.4000-100-6000 with effect from 03-05-1994. While extending the benefits of time bound promotion, employee has to satisfy the eligibility criteria required for regular promotion. It is

his contention that the applicant was unfit for promotion on the next higher post during the year 1989 to 1994. Thereafter, he was found fit for promotion to the higher post, therefore, he was given benefit of time bound promotion to the rank of Police Naik with effect from 22-11-2000 in the pay scale of Rs.4000-100-6000. The applicant was entitled for second time bound promotion after completion of 12 years from the date of first benefit. He was eligible for second time bound promotion on 22-11-2017. But the applicant was promoted to the post of Police Head Constable on 01-11-2008. Therefore, no question of giving second benefit of time bound promotion to the applicant arises. Applicant has already got 2 benefits, and therefore, his application was not maintainable and hence same was not entertained. It is his further contention that the applicant has not given satisfactory reasons for condonation of delay caused for filing the O.A. Therefore, he has prayed to reject the M.A.

6. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant came to know about the



fact that he was not given benefit of second time bound promotion after retirement when he had gone through the service book supplied to him. He has submitted that the applicant benefit under the scheme ought to have been given to him on completion of 12 years and 24 years of service but the respondents had not given him the said benefits. Therefore, he has approached this Tribunal. He has submitted that due to lack of knowledge, delay for filing the O.A. has been caused and reason given by the applicant is justifiable. Therefore, he has prayed to condone the delay caused for filing the O.A.

7. Learned P.O. submits that delay of 9 years and 7 months is caused for filing the O.A. and the said delay is inordinate and the same has not been explained by the applicant satisfactorily. Therefore, he has prayed to reject the M.A. filed for condonation of delay caused for filing the O.A.

8. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant entered in the Government service in the year 1982. On joining service, duplicate service book was supplied to the applicant. Entries were made in

the service book from time to time. First benefit of time bound promotion has been given to him in the year 2000. Thereafter, he was promoted on the next higher post i.e. Police Head Constable in the year 2008. Already two benefits have been given to the applicant and entries to that effect have been taken in the service book. This fact is evident from the copies of service book of the applicant produced by the respondents. The applicant is aware about the fact that necessary benefits have been extended to him from time to time. If he had any grievance, he ought to have raised his grievance immediately before his higher authorities but he kept mum. After retirement, he raised grievance by filing the O.A. but delay of 9 years and 7 months is caused for filing the O.A.

9. Reasons mentioned by the applicant are not satisfactory to condone the inordinate delay caused for filing the O.A. The delay seems to be inordinate and deliberate. Therefore, in my view, delay cannot be condoned as it is inordinate, intentional and deliberate. Therefore, application deserves to be

=6=

M.A.NO.357/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO.1239/2017

rejected. In view thereof, M.A. stands rejected.  
Consequently, O.A. stands rejected. No costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 26.03.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.71/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.103/2018  
(Khanderao D. Musande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 03.05.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 26-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.100/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.398/2018  
(Vithal D. Savalsure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh J. Kore, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 03.05.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 26-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.365/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.736/2018  
(Dr. Pushpa T. Narote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Vishwash B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 23.04.2019 as a most last chance for filing affidavit-in-reply in O.A.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 26-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.485/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.2011/2018  
(Deorao G. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. For the reason mentioned in the para no.3 of the M.A., Misc. Application deserves to be allowed as the Applicant has shown the satisfactory reasons for condoning the delay of 670 days caused for filing O.A. Therefore, the delay of 670 days caused for filing O.A. requires to be condoned by allowing the M.A.

4. In view of above, the Misc. Application is allowed. The delay of 670 days caused for filing Original Application is hereby condoned. The registry is directed to resister the O.A on due scrutiny. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO.2011/2018  
(Deorao G. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Upon registration, issue notice to the Respondents returnable on 03.05.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 03.05.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.38/2019 IN O.A.NO.468/2018  
(Ravikiran M. Ghante V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amol T. Jagtap, learned Advocate learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and Shri G.J. Kore, learned Advocate holding for Shri Nagesh Talekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. The Applicant wants to amend the O.A. on the ground that during the pendency of the O.A., the Respondent No.2 has issued appointment order to the Respondent No.3 on the post of Police Patil of village Nandgaon, Tq. Tuljapur, Dist. Osmanabad.

3. It is contention of the Applicant that the proposed amendment is based on subsequent event and it will not change the nature of Original Application. Therefore, he prayed to allow the Misc. Application.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondent Nos.1 & 2 and learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 have strongly opposed to grant application for amendment on the ground that if the applicant wants to challenge the order of the

Respondent No.3 then he has to file separate O.A. and therefore, they prayed to reject the M.A.

5. On perusal of record it reveals that the Applicant has initially challenged the order of Respondent No.2 qualifying the Respondent No.3 for the recruitment process. During the pendency of the O.A., the Respondent No.2 declared the Respondent No.3 as selected candidate and issued appointment order. The Applicant wants to challenge the said order by proposed amendment. The proposed amendment is based on the subsequent event and it is relevant. No prejudice will be caused to the Respondents in case of allowing the application. Moreover, the proposed amendment avoids multiplicity of proceedings. Therefore, M.A. requires to be allowed.

6. In view of the above, Misc. Application is allowed. The Applicant shall amend the O.A. within two weeks.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.116/2017  
(Dr. Dhanraj W. Kendre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Subhash Sawangikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 16.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 26-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.265/2017  
(Dr. Suresh M. Karamunge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri D.S. Mali, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. By consent adjourned to 15.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 26-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.584/2017  
(Mohammad Abdul Sami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 03.05.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 26-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.116/2018  
(Sanjay M. Deokate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 16.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 26-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 629 AND 630/2018  
(Dr. Rahul C. Dadas & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. The concerned respondents are hereby directed to take corrective steps in the present matters in view of their admission in the affidavit in replies regarding vacant posts within the same revenue circle. The corrective steps as mentioned above be taken by the next date and report in that regard be filed on record.

In case for any valid reason the corrective as above are not possible, then concerned respondents to file short affidavit explaining the reasons as to why posts of the present applicants on the vacant post within same revenue circle is not possible.

3. S.O. to 16.4.2019 for above compliance from the side of respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 25/2019**  
**(Dnyaneshwar D. Kale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Raghavendra N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that notice to res. no. 2 is not served properly. He, therefore, prays for issuance of fresh notice to res. no.2.

3. In the circumstances, issue fresh notice to res. no. 2, returnable on 23.4.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 23.4.2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32/2019**  
**(Ashok D. Phadnis V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record copy of communication dtd. 26.3.2019 received to him from the concerned respondent, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification with copy thereof to the learned Advocate for the applicant. On perusal of the said communication, it reveals that the prayers sought by the present applicant in the present O.A. have been complied with by the concerned respondents.

3. In view of above position, with consent of both the sides, the present O.A. is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 110/2019  
(Ashok M. Randhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been served upon the learned P.O.

3. Learned P.O. submits that he would go through the rejoinder of the applicant and would file sur-rejoinder, if required. In the circumstances, S.O. to 5.4.2019 for filing sur-rejoinder by the respondents, if any.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 134/2019  
(Angad S. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 2 & 3.

2. S/shri R.B. Deshmukh / Datta Madke, learned Advocate has filed V.P. for res. no. 4 and seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. Service of notice on res. no. 1 is awaited. Learned Advocate for the applicant prays for issuance fresh notice to res. no. 1.

4. In the circumstances, issue fresh notice to res. no. 1, returnable on 16.4.2019.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that

the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. S.O. to 16.4.2019.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 449/2018 IN C.P. ST. 1276/2018 IN O.A. 793/1996  
(Chokhoba S. Kharat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent no. 3 (**leave note**). None appears for respondent no. 2.

2. At the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 12.4.2019 for filing affidavit in reply in misc. application.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 506/2018 IN O.A. ST. 1951/2018  
(Sajed Khan Ajiz Khan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 2 & 3. Shri C.B. Gore, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 5 & 6 (**absent**). None appears for respondent nos. 4 & 7 though duly served.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant requests for issuance of fresh notice on res. no. 1.

3. In the circumstances, issue fresh notice to res. no. 1, returnable on 10.6.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 10.6.2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 44/2013**  
**(Dr. Rashmi P. Dhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Division Bench is not available. In the circumstances, the present matter be placed on board for final hearing as and when regular Division Bench would be available.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 575/2014  
(Deepak B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate files on record short affidavit of the applicant, which is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned P.O.

3. Presently Division Bench is not available. In the circumstances, S.O. to 10.4.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 649/2019  
(Maharashtra Rajya Hangami Hivtap Prayogashala Karmachari  
Sanghatina through its President Shri Bharat s/o Mulujirao  
Tangade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Misc. Application for permission to file Original Application in representative capacity is not filed under Rule 4 sub rule 5(b) of M.A.T. (Procedure) Rules.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.3.2019. In the meantime office objection except postal order be removed by the learned Advocate for the applicant.

4. Hamdast / Steno copy allowed.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 321/2017  
(Manisha P. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of non-availability of Division Bench, S.O. to 2.4.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 203/2018**  
**(Savita S. Wandhekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.A. Nimbalkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to go through the minutes filed by the learned P.O. on 7.3.2019 pursuant to the order dtd. 4.2.2019.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 29.3.2019 for advancing submissions by the learned Advocate for the applicant on the above line.

**MEMBER (A)**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 26-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 512/2018  
(Ashok L. Supekar (Died) through L.R. Smt. Lalita wd/o Ashok  
Supekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants files on record copy of G.R. dtd. 27.12.2016, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification and copy thereof has been served upon learned P.O.

3. At the request of learned P.O., as a last chance, S.O. to 10.4.2019 for taking corrective steps in the present matter on the line of order dtd. 27.9.2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 989/2018  
(Dr. Shankarrao B. Wadkikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3. Shri Ashish Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 **(absent)**.

2. Learned P.O. has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant as well as learned P.O. for res. nos. 1 to 3 submit that the present matter is covered by the order of the Tribunal dtd. 25.1.2019 passed in O.A. no. 09/2019, copy whereof is placed on record.

4. In view of above submissions of both the sides, the present O.A. is disposed of with consent with a direction to the concerned respondents to implement the directions given by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 25.1.2019 passed in O.A. no. 9/2019, within a period of 3 months. There shall be no order as to costs.



5. Registry is hereby directed to attach copy of order dtd. 25.1.2019 passed in O.A. no. 9/2019 while issuing copy of present order to the respondents.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 758/2015 WITH REV. 3/2016 IN O.A. 63/2016  
(Dr. Tanuja S. Kulkarni Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant in both the cases and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities in both the cases and Shri A.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5 in Rev. 3/2016 in O.A. no. 63/2016. None appears for respondent no. 6 in Rev. 3/2016 in O.A. no. 63/2016

2. Presently Division Bench is not available. In the circumstances, both the cases be placed on board for final hearing as and when regular Division Bench would be available, with liberty to both the sides to ask for circulation if such contingency arises.

**MEMBER (A)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 443 OF 2018**  
**(Shri Jagannath W. Vispute Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.R. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 12.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 266 OF 2018  
(Dr. Sandeep J. Kala Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to file second set in the office of this Tribunal.
3. S.O. to 10.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 262 OF 2018  
(Dr. Sanjiv K. Munde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant undertakes to file second set in the office of this Tribunal.
3. S.O. to 10.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 72 OF 2018  
(Shri Anant J. Hange Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Avinash D. Aghav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 05.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 46/2018 IN O.A.NO. 207/2015  
(Balika D. Tawshikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shaikh Mazhar A. Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri Jivan J. Patil, learned Special Counsel for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 (**absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for State.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that the Writ Petition No. 1369/2019 has been filed in which Hon'ble High Court has directed that respondent No. 1 shall not proceed with the contempt till the next date of hearing.

3. In view of the above, adjourned to *sine die* with liberty to approach this Tribunal as and when exigency arises.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 17/2017 IN O.A.NO. 63/2015  
(Shri Saylu P. Nawod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3. Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 has filed leave note.

2. The present case be removed from the board. Liberty is granted to both the parties to circulate the present case as and when exigency arises.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 563 OF 2014  
(Shri Tushar B. Rajput Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Admit. Learned Presenting Officer waives service of notice for admission.

3. The present case be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing after 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2019 as and when Division Bench is available.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.ST.NO. 640/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 641/2019  
(Shri Rahul T. Pol & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 641/2019  
(Shri Rahul T. Pol & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 15.04.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 15.04.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 130 OF 2017  
(Smt. Priyanka A. Dongre Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4. Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5 **(absent)**.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the present O.A. needs to be amended and he will be filing necessary M.A.

3. S.O. to 08.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 37 OF 2017  
(Shri Balasaheb N. Raut & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Santosh S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment. Adjournment is granted.

3. The present case be placed before the Division Bench after 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2019, as and when Division Bench is available.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 415/2018 IN O.A.NO. 83/2017  
(Smt. Sayyed J. Raisoddin Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.U. Chandol, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 100 OF 2018  
(Shri Ramrao T. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that he has sought relevant papers under Right to Information Act. He seeks four weeks' time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 04.06.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 223 OF 2018  
(Shri Madhav V. Kale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mohit Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the M.A. has been filed in the present O.A. for carrying out necessary amendment.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.03.2019 along with Miscellaneous Application.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A.NO. 928/2017 WITH O.A.NO. 117/2018  
(Shri Santosh T. Vaidya & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.L. Kute, learned Advocate for the applicants in both these cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 05.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 845 OF 2017  
(Shri Rambhau L. Kuskar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Admit. Learned Presenting Officer waives notice for admission.

3. The present case be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing after 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2019, as and when regular Division Bench is available.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 946 OF 2017  
(Shri Namdeo L. More Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Admit. Learned Presenting Officer waives notice for admission.

3. The present case be placed before the Division Bench for final hearing after 2<sup>nd</sup> April, 2019, as and when regular Division Bench is available.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 863 OF 2018  
(Shri Ajay I. Jarwal Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to examine the same. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 09.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2004  
(Shaikh Abdul Khaja Miyan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

ORAL ORDERS 26.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 191 OF 2018  
(Shri Vilas N. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 192 OF 2018  
(Shri Suresh U. Khanke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 196 OF 2018  
(Shri Suresh K. Damkondwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 26.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents in all these cases.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has filed a copy of the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay in W.P. No. 2605/2017 and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.

3. Respondent No. 2 in its reply states in paragraph No. 9 as under: -

“9. I say and submit that the issue before Hon. High Court in subjudice matter i.e. Writ Petition No. 2605/2017 is similar to the issue raised by the Applicant Shri Vilas Salunkhe in OA No. 191/2018. Hence it may be appropriate to await the final outcome of Writ Petition No. 2605/2017



before the Hon. High Court Mumbai and further course of action Government decides to take pursuant to the final outcome.”

4. Learned Presenting Officer has also filed a copy of the communication dated 14.03.2019 and the same is also taken on record and marked as document 'X-1' for the purposes of identification.

5. In the aforesaid communication dated 14.03.2019 the Section Officer has submitted that the necessary action would be taken within a period of three months in accordance with the order of Hon'ble High Court.

6. In view of the above, with consent of both the parties, the present Original Applications are disposed of with a direction that the relief as directed by the Hon'ble High Court is granted to the applicants herein. However, liberty is granted to approach this Tribunal as and when exigency arises.

There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**