

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 51/2017  
(Shri Deelip M. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prasad Jarare, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 934/2017  
(Shri Vaibhav R. Rajput V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Bodkhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.V. Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 427/2017 in O.A. St. No. 1552/2018  
(Shri Rama N. Bhutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.11.2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 435/2017 in O.A. St. No. 1550/2017  
(Shri Bapurao S. Dumnar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.11.2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 237/2017  
(Shri Mukund S. Kachare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 308/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1257/2018  
(Shri Bapurao D. Pattewale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prasad Jarare, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, issue fresh notices to the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in M.A., returnable on 06-12-2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

//2//

M.A. 308/2018 in  
O.A. St. 1257/2018

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, the case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O.to 06-12-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1798/2018  
(Shri Sunil K. Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to remove the office objections. Time granted.

3. Hence, the present matter is removed from the board and it be kept after removal of office objections.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 425/2017  
(Shri Prasad F. Pawar and Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sartajkhan H. Pathan, learned Advocate holding for Dr. Swapnil Tawshikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 29.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 500/2017  
(Shri Vijaysing P. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 04.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 712/2017  
(Shri Ashok S. Jondhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar),  
learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.  
Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present  
O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing  
on 06.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 915/2017  
(Shri Adikrao S. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 05.12.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 195/2018  
(Shri Prakash M. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.N. Kakade, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 06.12.2018 for filing sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant.

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 347/2018  
(Shri Anil Y. Thombre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 22.11.2018.

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 348/2018  
(Shri Bhanukdas B. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to correct the address of respondent No. 3 by amending the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall carry out the necessary amendment in the O.A. forthwith.

4. After amendment, issue fresh notice to the respondent No. 3, returnable on 29.11.2018.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, the case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Time granted.

11. S.O.to 29-11-2018.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 382/2018  
(Shri Aayanna N. AadpodV/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 3. None present for respondent No. 2.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 3.

3. It transpires from the proceedings that already ample opportunities were given to the respondent Nos. 1 & 3 for filing affidavit in reply, but they have failed to file affidavit in reply in time. There is no just ground to grant further time for filing affidavit in reply. In the interest of justice, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply to the respondent Nos. 1 & 3, subject to payment of costs of Rs. 2000/- (Two Thousand Only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the Registry of this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 29.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 438/2018  
(Smt. Kavita N. Gitte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.J. Nirmal, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 and 4. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side. He has submitted that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, as a last chance, S.O. to 27.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 586/2018  
(Shri Adinath J. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, S.O. to 26.10.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 693/2018  
(Shri Ghansham S. Jaiswal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 22.11.2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 149/2018 in O.A. No. 445/2018  
(Shri Prasad D. Mule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Gotiwale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, as a last chance, S.O. to 28.11.2018 for filing affidavit in reply in M.A.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 737/2016  
(Shri Latif P. Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S.Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer and learned Advocate for respondent No. 3, S.O. to 27.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 879/2016  
(Shri Sk. Mujib Sk. Gafar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.12.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 97/2018  
(Dr. Vijayprakash N. Tambhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 20.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 161/2018  
(Shri Deepak D. Salunke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Bodkhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.V. Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri M.S. Kharat, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant joined his new posting and therefore, he does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the same.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that necessary order may be passed.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A., the same is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 416/2018 in O.A. No. 565/2018  
(Smt. Shridevi T. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. By filing the present Misc. Application, the applicant wants to amend the O.A. on the basis of subsequent event took place on 21.09.2018, by which the period of deputation of the applicant has been specified. The proposed amendment is based on the subsequent event and the amendment is material and relevant to decide the issue involved in the O.A. Hence, the M.A. No. 416/2018 is allowed and disposed of. The applicant shall amend the O.A. within a period of one week. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 565/2018  
(Smt. Shridevi T. Suryawanshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P.PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents to the amended O.A. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 22.11.2018.

**MEMBER (J)**

KPB ORAL ORDER 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 805 OF 2018**

[Shri Nagorao V. Karbhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri N.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks liberty to add party respondents those employees, according to him, though they are junior to the applicant, but are promoted. The said addition be carried out within a period of one week. Upon addition of the parties, i.e. A.K. Shete, P.B. Ubale, G.A. Bangar & U.S. Mule, notices be issued to all the respondents, returnable on 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case

**O.A. NO. 805 OF 2018**

would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. The present O.A. be kept along with O.A. No. 806/2018.**

9. S.O.to 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 806 OF 2018**

[Shri Zumber K. Sonawne Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri N.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks liberty to add party respondent the employee, according to him, though he is junior to the applicant, but is promoted. The said addition be carried out within a period of one week. Upon addition of the partie, i.e. Y.H. Chavan, notices be issued to all the respondents, returnable on 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

**O.A. NO. 806 OF 2018**

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. The present O.A. be kept along with O.A. No. 805/2018.**

9. S.O.to 18<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

10. Steno copy and Hamdash is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 815 OF 2018**

[Shri Prakash G. Gadhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri N.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



**O.A. NO. 815 OF 2018**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. Without filing any detailed affidavit in reply the concerned respondents are directed to take steps after selection of the applicant and any other similarly situated candidates, as per the law and shall file progress report in the matter.**

**9. Considering the fact that the present applicant was called for verification vide order dated 10<sup>th</sup> August, 2017, in case no steps are taken within the above period, the concerned officer of the respondents would be held personally responsible for the undue delay.**

10. S.O.to 26<sup>th</sup> November, 2018.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 818 OF 2018**

[Shri Kishor S. Surdkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri G.K. Muneshwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the

**O.A. NO. 818 OF 2018**

questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, O.A. shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. The present O.A. be kept along with similar O.A. No. 581/2018.**

**9. Decision taken by the concerned respondents in the meantime shall be subject to the final outcome of the present O.A.**

10. S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2018.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.ST.NO. 1570/2018 IN O.A.NO. 702/2018**

[Shri Dashrath G. Bhure & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the pendency of the present contempt petition, the notice was issued and the service affidavit is filed. In the circumstances, issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2018.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 439 OF 2018**

[Shri Bhimrao N. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Girish N. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks liberty to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4 on record. It however, appears that while issuing notices certain directions were issued to the respondent No. 4, regarding taking corrective steps and in case corrective steps cannot be taken then short affidavit explaining the reasons be filed on record. Affidavit in reply of respondent No. 4 now proposed to be placed on record, however, is not answer to those directions and, therefore, the same is not accepted. It is unfortunate that the respondent No. 2 has not taken care of going through the directions issued by this Tribunal nor affidavit in reply sought to be filed is relevant. In the circumstances, learned Presenting Officer is directed to go through the order dated 3<sup>rd</sup> July, 2018 and to file fresh affidavit of the respondent

**O.A. NO. 439 OF 2018**

No. 4, the Superintendent of Police. It is wrongly described as respondent No. 2 in paragraph No. 9 of the earlier order.

3. With the above directions, S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2018 for taking corrective steps or for filing affidavit in reply on the above line.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 440 OF 2018**

[Shri Shivaji D. Sirsat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> November, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 675 OF 2018**

[Shri Vilas R. Deshmukh Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to comply with the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2018. Time granted.
3. S.O. to 2<sup>nd</sup> November, 2018 for compliance of the order dated 4<sup>th</sup> September, 2018 passed by this Tribunal.
4. Learned Presenting Officer to act on steno copy.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 695 OF 2018**

[Shri Prakash B. Phule Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P.NO. 04/2017 IN O.A.NO. 122/20158**

[Dr. Ashok B. Havelikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that proposal is now sent to the Accountant General by the concerned respondent on the line of the order dated 21.3.2018 passed by this Tribunal.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has also filed a copy of communication dated 24<sup>th</sup> October, 2018 and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X1' for the purposes of identification.

4. In view of the above submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and in view of aforesaid communication placed on record by the learned Presenting Officer, the present contempt petition is disposed of without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**  
ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 848 OF 2017**

[Smt. Ranipanchsheela S. Bansode Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 5<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 162/17 WITH M.A.139/17 IN O.A. 136/17**  
[Mrs. Madhuri B. Banait Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 13<sup>th</sup> December, 2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 724 OF 2018**

[Dr. Sushma S. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 14<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 570 OF 2013**

[Shri Nitin K. Salunkhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. Divya Tyage, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 would be filed. He submits that at present affidavit in reply of respondent No. 4 is not necessary. In the circumstances, S.O. to 11<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 555 OF 2014**

[Shri Sudhir A. Sathe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing written notes of arguments on record or for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 477 OF 2018**

[Shri Santosh U. Mandage & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 610 OF 2018**

[Shri Ashok E. Dahibhale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 682 OF 2018**

[Shri Rahul S. Shirsath Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 504 OF 2018**

[Shri Rajendra D. Jamwadikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors]

---

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 12<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.10.2018-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 882/2016**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant has filed the instant proceedings for claiming deemed date of promotion from 15.3.2011 to the post of Auditor (Grade – I) in the department of respondent no. 2. It is the contention of the applicant that he was granted promotion to the post of Auditor (Grade -I) on 21.7.2015 after issuance of specific directions to that effect by the Division Bench of this Tribunal in O.A. no. 115/2010. It is the claim of the present applicant that he was promoted from the category of physically disabled persons in the year 2015 after passing of order by this Tribunal in the above said O.A., but he was entitled for such promotion in the year 2011 itself. His representation dated 18.1.2016 to that effect came to be turned down by the res. no. 2 on the ground that no junior employee is promoted depriving the applicant to the post of Auditor (Grade-I).

3. The present applicant relied upon the Government Resolution dtd. 5.3.2002 issued by the Government of Maharashtra by which procedure for filling in the posts of disabled persons was laid down i.e. out 100 point roster, point no. 1, 34 & 67 were earmarked for disabled persons.

4. The present applicant further submits that in the year 2007 one Shri Punjalwar was promoted from

physically disabled category and one Shri Shinde was also promoted from Orthopedically disabled category. Similarly one Shri S.S. Patil was also promoted to the post of Auditor (Grade - I) in the year 2011, but said Shri Patil was not promoted from any point reserved for physically disabled persons category. According to the present applicant, 3% reservation was required to be given for disabled persons as per the Disability Act. However, the person with low vision / partially blind / blind was not earmarked for Co-operative Department for Auditor Grade II. Thus, the present applicant claims deemed date of promotion from the year 2011.

5. It is to be noted that while deciding O.A. no. 115/2010 the Division Bench of this Tribunal was pleased to direct the respondents to consider the present applicant for promotion for the post of Auditor (Grade - I) from partially deaf category, but on going through the said order of the Tribunal, it appears that, the entire order is silent on the point whether the present applicant was entitled for promotion from the year 2009/2011, and whether 1% reservation of partially blind category needs to be merged with reservation of Orthopedically disabled and partially deaf category, as persons with low vision as per Sec. 2 (U) of the Disability Act are not eligible for the post of Auditor (Grade-I).

6. For granting deemed date of promotion, it is necessary to bring on record that any junior employee than the present applicant was wrongly promoted depriving the present applicant for promotional post. Before deciding the issue whether the applicant is entitled for deemed date of promotion from the year 2011, as claimed by him. The issue regarding how to address the non-eligibility of disabled persons with low vision in the overall reservation of 3% for the disabled category under the Disability Act. Thus, considering all these complexity

of facts, it is necessary to refer the present matter to the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Tribunal for proper decision on the point of promotion.

7. In the circumstances, I find it necessary that the present matter be placed before the Division Bench of this Tribunal for hearing and disposal according to law.

8. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 before the Division Bench.

**MEMBER (A)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 65/2017**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant has filed the instant proceedings for claiming deemed date of promotion from 27.9.2008 to the post of Auditor (Grade II) in the department of res. no. 2.

3. It is the contention of the applicant that he was required to file O.A. no. 999/2004 for claiming promotion to the post of Sub Auditor, which was denied to him due to pendency of the Departmental Enquiry and some other reasons. Applicant was granted promotion for the post of Sub Auditor on 26.9.2005 after directions of this Tribunal dtd. 9.9.2005 in the said O.A. no. 999/2004.

4. Thereafter, applicant was again denied promotion to the higher post of Auditor (Grade-II), though he was fulfilling the requisite criteria. Therefore, he was required to file O.A. no. 11/2011 for claiming promotion to the post of Auditor (Grade-II). However, during the pendency of that O.A., the meeting of the Departmental Promotion Committee was held by the concerned respondents and the promotion was granted to the applicant on 30.5.2015. The then Division Bench of this Tribunal while disposing of O.A. no. 11/2011 directed the respondents to consider the representation of the applicant for grant of deemed date of promotion w.e.f. 27.9.2008 vide order dtd. 15.1.2016.

5. It is further stated by the applicant that deemed date of promotion was not granted to him and, therefore,

he is required to file the instant proceedings again. Applicant is claiming deemed date of promotion from physically disabled category as he is physically disabled to the extent of 56%. According to applicant, the State Government has to follow 100 point roster as point nos. 1, 34 and 67, which were reserved to be filled in from physically disabled incumbents. The same were not followed in the case of the applicant and he was denied promotion to the post of Auditor (Grade-II).

6. It is to be noted that while deciding O.A. no. 11/2011, the Division Bench of this Tribunal has only directed the respondents to consider the representation of the applicant claiming deemed date of promotion, because the promotion was already granted to him on the basis of finding recorded by D.P.C. in its meeting dtd. 30.5.2015. Hence, the order of the Division Bench of this Tribunal was silent on the point of roster and the point nos. 1, 34 and 67 of the said roster. Before deciding the issue as to whether the applicant is entitled for deemed date of promotion, it will be necessary to decide whether the roster points were properly followed while granting promotions.

7. Thus, considering all these complexity of the facts, it is necessary to refer the present matter to the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Tribunal for proper adjudication of above issues.

8. In the circumstances, I find it necessary that the present matter may be placed before the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Tribunal for hearing and disposal according to law.

9. S.O. to 7<sup>th</sup> December, 2018 before the Division Bench.

**MEMBER (A)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 942/2016  
(Shri Shivaji s/o Satwaji Bhagade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. By the present O.A. the applicant is claiming following reliefs :-

*“(B) The impugned order dated 29.12.2014 issued by the respondent no. 2 may kindly be quashed and set aside and for that purpose issue necessary orders.*

*“(C) The applicant is entitled for subsistence allowance since suspension order dated 28.2.2014 issued by the respondent no. 2 and for that purpose necessary orders be passed.”*

3. It appears that by the impugned order dtd. 29.12.2014 (Annex. A. 8 page 34) issued by the res. no. 2 the present applicant was terminated from the service of Police Constable by invoking the powers under Article 311 proviso (2-B) of the Constitution of India for the various reasons like being accused in offences under Maharashtra Control of Organized Crime Act, 1999 (for short MCOCA) as detailed therein. The impugned order of res. no. 2, however, would show that no show cause notice was issued to the present applicant before exercise such power. Sec. 26 of the Bombay Police Act reads thus :-

*“26. Except the cases referred to in the second proviso to Clause (2) of Article 311 of the Constitution of India, no order of punishment under sub-section (7) of Section 25 shall be passed unless the prescribed procedure is followed :*

*Provided that no order for reducing, removing or dismissing a Police Officer shall be passed without giving him a reasonable opportunity of showing cause against the action proposed to be taken against him except in cases referred to in the proviso (a) to Clause (2) of Article 311 of the Constitution.”*

4. The Division Bench of Hon'ble Bombay High Court had an occasion to deal with similar case in the case of **Nitin Janardhan Raut Vs. State of Maharashtra & another [2002 (6) Bom. C.R. 43]**. In view of above provision, the Division Bench of Hon'ble High court has held that the Government could not have taken a decision to invoke the powers of removing a Police official without resorting to the provision of issuing of show cause notice.

The impugned order therefore, will have to be quashed.

5. Learned Advocate further submits that the applicant should be deemed to be under suspension and 50% salary be allowed to him. It is to be noted that decision in this regard will have to be taken by the res. no. 2 as per rules regarding the period during which the applicant was not in service and this Tribunal cannot direct the res. no. 2 in that regard.

6. In the circumstances, we pass the following order :-

**ORDER**

(i) The present O.A. is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs.

(ii) The impugned order dtd. 29.12.2014 issued by the res. no. 2 is hereby quashed and set aside. The necessary consequences of setting aside the impugned order shall follow. The concerned respondents would be at liberty to take such actions in the matter as per the due procedure of law.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 144/2018  
(Shri Dattatraya Naroba Samindre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. By the present O.A. the applicant is seeking following reliefs :-

*“A. This Original Application may kindly be allowed thereby holding and declaring that the impugned action of the DPC chaired by the Resp. No. 2 of holding the applicant to be ineligible for promotion to the post of Naib Tahsildar on the ground of his being presently under the influence / effect of punishment of withholding of his next increment is patently bad, incorrect & unsustainable in law.*

*B. This Original Application may kindly be allowed thereby directing the Resps. No. 1 & 2 to forthwith issue order of promotion of the applicant from the cadre of Awwal Karkoons to the cadre of Naib Tahsildars.”*

3. The present applicant was consciously not promoted by the Departmental Promotion Committee, which meeting was held on 14.2.2018 for the reason that the present applicant was under the effect of the punishment imposed upon him. This reasons is given in the minutes of the D.P.C. (page 30). The order of inflicting punishment dtd. 17.11.2017 (Annex. A. 2 page 34) would show that the present

applicant was awarded a punishment of withholding of one increment without having effect on the future increments. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that on the date when the D.P.C. meeting was held, the punishment was yet to take effect as the present applicant was due for increment in the month of July, 2018, while the D.P.C. meeting was held on 14.2.2018.

3. Upon hearing both the sides, in our view, there is no force in the present O.A. The punishment was declared on 17.11.2017, but by that time the present applicant had already received the increment of the year 2017 and the next of the increment according to applicant was due in July, 2018. Therefore, it cannot be said that the present applicant was not within the effect of punishment. Had there been promotion, then the present applicant would have been promoted in the month of February, 2018 and immediately within 2 months the punishment of withholding of increment in the promotional post would actually had started. The interpretation of this situation cannot be accepted.

4. In the circumstances, the present O.A. is dismissed without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 148/2018 IN O.A. 709/2017  
(Ramesh M. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, he is permitted to mention the particular date instead of year 2011 in the proposed amendment in para 10 (A) page 7 of the present M.A. The said amendment be carried out forthwith.

3. For the reasons mentioned in the present M.A., it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and the applicant is permitted to amend the O.A. in terms of proposed amendment.

4. Learned Advocate undertook to carry out the amendment in the O.A. within a period of 3 weeks. After the amendment is carried out, the O.A. be circulated.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 484/2013  
(Nagnath S. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that the concerned respondents have not answered to query raised by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 13.6.2018. Vide order dtd. 13.6.2018, the concerned respondents were directed to make a statement as to whether any waitlist is prepared. Learned Advocate points towards para 8 of the affidavit in reply of res. no. 2, which would show that in all 311 candidates were enlisted as nominee of freedom fighters.

3. Learned P.O. is, therefore, directed to file the entire list of the nominees of freedom fighters on the next date along with qualifications, if any, held by those nominees. In the circumstances, S.O. to 4.12.2018 for above compliance from the side of respondents and for hearing.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 683/2013  
(Sopan B. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to place on record the record & proceedings of the departmental enquiry as per earlier order. It is to be noted that the present matter is very old one.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 5.12.2018 for above compliance, failing which heavy costs would be imposed upon the concerned respondents.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 25-10-2018



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 42/2014  
(Surekha B. Andhale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Tambde, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. adverts the attention of the Tribunal towards the order dtd. 29.11.2017, which would show that on that day the learned P.O. made a statement that revision of Recruitment Rules is under active consideration and the learned P.O. has also filed on record the copy of communication to that effect. However, till today, no communication is received regarding finalization of Recruitment Rules.

3. In the circumstances, at the request of learned P.O., S.O. to 6.12.2018 for reporting about finalization of Recruitment Rules by the concerned respondents.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 145 AND 146 BOTH OF 2014  
(Dr. Gajanan S. Haran & Another V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants in both the matters. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both the matters, is present.

2. None has appeared for the applicants in both the matters on the last date also i.e. on 1.10.2018. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.12.2018 either for hearing or for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 300/2015  
(Santosh P. Namdas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.A. Tanwade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 11.12.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 424/2015  
(Dr. Suhas Parsewar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicants. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 6, is present. None appears for respondent nos. 7 to 14.

2. On the last date also none has appeared for the applicant. Learned P.O. seeks time in the present matter. In the circumstances, S.O. to 13.12.2018 either for hearing or for passing order in default.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 139/2017  
(Shaikh Liyakat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Golewar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has filed on record copy of communication dtd. 23.5.2018 and submits that the proposal is sent to the res. no. 1 vide the said letter. It is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification with copy thereof to other side. He submits that decision on the line of communication dtd. 23.5.2018 is awaited.

3. It is to be noted that more than 4 months have passed from sending of the above proposal still no decision is taken by the res. no. 1. In the circumstances, the res. no. 1 is hereby directed to take a decision on the said proposal and report about the same shall be filed on the next date.

4. S.O. to 14.12.2018 for above compliance.

5. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 931 AND 930 BOTH OF 2016  
(Chudaman D. Pawar & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shrikant Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the matters and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both the matters.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time. It is to be noted that for last three dates same request is made for the reasons best known to the concerned respondents. In the circumstances, as a last chance, S.O. to 11.12.2018 for taking decision in the present matters.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 118/2018  
(Sharad G. Jade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate submits that correct pagination is done. With the consent of both the sides. S.O. to 30.11.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 25-10-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 572/2013  
(Smt. Vijaya B. Malave V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, V.C.  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 25.10.2018**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri Thombre, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.12.2018 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 25-10-2018