

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.137/2019  
(Shri Jayawant Salunkhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ku. Preeti Wankhade learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has produced a copy of order dated 22-04-2019 issued by the respondents cancelling the impugned transfer order of the applicant. Same is taken on record.

3. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 02-02-2019 by which he has been transferred from Amalner, Dist. Jalgaon to Nashik. Said order has been cancelled by the Government by order dated 22-04-2019.

4. Grievance of the applicant has been redressed in view of the order dated 22-04-2019. Therefore, purpose of filing the O.A. has been served. O.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.259/2019 WITH CAVEAT NO.22/2019  
(Dr. Manik Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sanjay Wakure learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for respondents and Shri S.G.Rudrawar learned Advocate for respondent no.6.

2. Shri S.G.Rudrawar learned Advocate has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.6. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 06-06-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.132/2019 IN O.A.NO.784/2018  
(Shri Yogesh Amrutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.N.Suryavanshi learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Applicant wants to amend the O.A. and to implead the selected candidate as party respondent. It is his contention that during the pendency of the O.A. respondent no.2 has appointed the selected candidate as Police Patil. Therefore, it is necessary to amend the O.A.

3. Learned P.O. has strongly opposed to allow the application. On perusal of record, it reveals that the proposed amendment is sought to be made in view of the subsequent developments. Hence, to avoid multiplicity of litigation and as the proposed amendment will not change the nature of litigation, M.A. for amendment requires to be allowed. M.A. is

=2=

M.A.NO.132/2019 IN O.A.NO.784/2018

allowed and disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

4. Applicant shall amend the O.A. within a week.

5. Amended O.A. to be come upon on board on 19-06-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.196/2019 IN O.A.NO.168/2019  
(Shri Nivrutti Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S.Kulkarni learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K.Shirse learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. For the reasons mentioned in paragraph 3 of the M.A. order of dismissal is set aside subject to payment of costs of Rs.1000/- by the applicant.

3. In view of above, M.A. is allowed subject to payment of costs of Rs.1000/-. Applicant shall deposit costs in the Registry of the Tribunal on or before 29-04-2019 failing which this order shall automatically cancelled. M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

4. On depositing the costs O.A. shall be restored to its original position

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.139/2019 IN O.A.NO.412/2018  
(Shri Prakash Ukkalgaonkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.A.Joshi learned Advocate holding  
for Shri S.V.Natu learned Advocate for the applicant,  
Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for  
respondents and Shri S.B.Mene learned Advocate for  
respondent nos.2 and 3.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 20-06-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.215/2019 IN O.A.NO.231/2019  
(Shri Arun Choudhary V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mangesh Patil learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali Deshpande learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the M.A. and wants to withdraw the same as the applicant intends to approach the appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the M.A.

3. In view of the above, M.A. stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs. The O.A. is already disposed of.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.277/2017  
(Shri Shaikh Mehboob Abdul Kareem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S.Jadhavar learned Advocate for the applicant is **absent**. Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for respondents is present.

2. None appears for the applicant. Case be placed for passing dismissal order on 17-06-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019 bpp



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.774/2017  
(Shri Farooqui Sahed Latif V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shaikh Mohammad Taqui learned Advocate holding for Shri Mohsin Khan learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned P.O. has produced copy of rules as directed by the Tribunal. Same is taken on record.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15-07-2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

YUK ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.507/2018  
(Dr.Swheta Katkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has filed representation dated 22-06-2017 to the respondent no.2 for condonation of break in service. He has submitted that the application of the applicant is still pending with the respondent no.2. Neither respondent no.2 nor respondent no.1 has taken any decision thereon. Therefore, he has prayed to direct the respondent nos.1 and 2 to take appropriate decision on the said representation of the applicant within a reasonable period of time. He has further submitted that with the said directions O.A. may be disposed of.

3. Learned P.O. has submitted that the representation dated 22-06-2017 is still pending with

the respondent no.2. No decision has been taken by the respondent no.1 or respondent no.2 on it. He has submitted that by giving reasonable time to the respondents to decide the said representation, O.A. may be disposed of.

4. In view of the submission of learned Advocate for the applicant and the learned P.O., O.A. is disposed with direction to respondent nos.1 and 2 to take appropriate decision on the representation/application dated 22-06-2017 filed by the applicant on its own merit and as per rules within 3 months from the date of this order.

5. Applicant is at liberty to approach the Tribunal on fresh cause of action.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. St. No. 584/2019 in O.A. No. 226/2016  
(Shri Shivram N. Dhapate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.M. Shinde, learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned  
Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the  
applicant, S.O. to 11.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**Rev. No. 01/2018 in O.A. St. No. 873/2018  
(Shri Santosh R. Jagdale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Choudhari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 797 OF 2018  
(Shri Sudam K. Ukande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 30.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 774 OF 2018**

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 777 OF 2018**

**(Shri Narayan D. Nagtilak & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the O.As. (**Leave Note**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents in both the O.As., present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 11.06.2019 for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 851 OF 2018  
(Shri Krushnadas A. Palsikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri K.S. Solanke, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, are present.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, as well as, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, S.O. to 13.06.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 878 OF 2018  
(Shri Dilip T. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.06.2019 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any, and also for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 927 OF 2018  
(Shri Shamrao N. Kadam & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.P. Savant, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 30.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 79 OF 2019  
(Shri Prakash F. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 247 OF 2019  
(Smt. Jija R. Tarte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.D. Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 17.06.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 123/2019 in C.P. St. 302/2019 in O.A. No. 256/2011  
(Shri Jay S. Patole V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting  
Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in  
reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 in M.A.  
Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been  
served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 04.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. No. 15/2019 in O.A. No. 846/2016  
(Shri Kishor A. Bagul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.K. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in C.P., returnable on 10.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 10.06.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 363 OF 2019  
(Shri Sangharsh R. Gore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri A.P. Gunge, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 06.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P. No. 06/2019 in O.A. No. 19/2013  
(Shri Shivanna N. Ghante & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.D. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 24.04.2019 received from the Desk Officer, Home (Transport) Department along with the copy of the order dated 22.04.2019. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purposes of identification. He has submitted that the respondents have complied with the order in question passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 19/2013 and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the present C.P.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicants has submitted that in view of the aforesaid communication dated 24.04.2019, the applicants do not want to proceed with the present C.P. and prayed to dispose of the same.

//2// C.P. No. 06/2019 in  
O.A. No. 19/2013

4. Since the order in question passed in O.A. No. 19/2013 has been complied with by the concerned respondents, nothing remains to be decided in the present C.P. and hence, the same stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 53 OF 2018  
(Shri Sopan E. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the order of revision of pay scale made by the respondents. The subject matter of revision of pay scale is being dealt with by the Division Bench.

3. Hence, the present matter is removed from the board. The Registry is directed to take appropriate steps for placing the present matter before the Division Bench.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 816 OF 2018  
(Shri Bhaskar H. Channe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Choudhari, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 22.04.2019 received from the Deputy Executive Engineer, Kukdi Vitran Bandhkam Vibhag, Kolwadi, Tq. Karjat, Dist. Ahmednagar, stating that the second benefit under A.C.P.S. has been granted to the applicant. Same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purposes of identification.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to take instructions from the applicant regarding the said communication dated 22.04.2019. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 03.05.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 405 OF 2018  
(Smt. Nilima S. Adsul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Kanade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. On instructions, learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and therefore, he prayed to dispose of same.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to pass necessary orders.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, the O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 216 OF 2019  
(Shri Bharat P. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 24.04.2019 received from Under Secretary and seeks time for filing short affidavit. Same is taken on record.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 26.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 803 OF 2018  
(Shri Shivaji N. Davkhar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Anita A. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has placed on record a copy of the communication dated 29.01.2019 along with other relevant documents. Same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively for the purposes of identification. He has submitted that the respondent No. 2 has submitted the proposal regarding the revocation of suspension of the applicant to the Suspension Review Committee on 29.01.2019. On 27.03.2019, the Suspension Review Committee directed the respondent No. 2 to produce documents. The respondent No. 2 is going to produce the said documents within a short time and thereafter, the case of the applicant will be placed before the next meeting of the Suspension Review Committee, which will probably be held in the month of July, 2019.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that since the respondent No. 2 is going to

place the case of the applicant before the Suspension Review Committee in the next meeting, which is likely to be held in the month of July, 2019, the purpose of filing of the present O.A. is served and therefore, she has prayed to dispose of the same accordingly.

4. In view of the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and learned Advocate for the applicant, the O.A. is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 2 to place the case of the applicant before the next meeting of the Suspension Review Committee, which is likely to be scheduled in the month of July, 2019 by making necessary compliance. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804 OF 2018  
(Shri Subhash S. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Anita A. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri S.B. Mene, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 has submitted that the respondent No. 2 is going to submit the proposal regarding revocation of suspension of the applicant before the Suspension Review Committee within a period of one month and thereafter, the matter will be decided by Suspension Review Committee in the next meeting, which will probably be held in the month of July, 2019.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that since the respondent No. 2 is going to place the case of the applicant before the Suspension Review Committee in the next meeting, which is likely to be held in the month of July, 2019, the purpose of filing of the present O.A. is served and therefore, she has prayed to dispose of the same accordingly.

4. In view of the submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 and

learned Advocate for the applicant, the O.A. is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 2 to place the case of the applicant before the next meeting of the Suspension Review Committee, which is likely to be scheduled in the month of July, 2019 by making necessary compliance. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 25.04.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 367 OF 2019  
(Shri Arvind D. Sulakhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ravindra B. Ade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 05.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

**O.A. NO. 367 OF 2019**

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 05.07.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 351 OF 2019  
(Smt. Sangita M. Kalbande & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vivek G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he wants to delete the name of applicant No. 2 from the array of the applicant and for that purpose he seeks time till 02.05.2019. Time granted as prayed for.

3. S.O. to 02.05.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 588 OF 2019  
(Dr. Shivaji D. Birare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks one week's time to file application for condonation of delay caused in filing the present O.A.

3. The present case be removed from the board. However, learned Advocate for the applicant is at liberty to circulate the present case as and when exigency arises.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 240/2018 IN O.A.T.NO. 1055/2018  
(Shri Ashok M. Gadekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.07.2019 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 460 OF 2017  
(Smt. Suvarna B. Ghodke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.07.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 20/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1938/2017  
(Shri Madhukar K. Brahmane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.07.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 400/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1685/2018  
(Smt. Masrat Begum V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 12.07.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 417/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1785/2018  
(Shri Dungal Santosh Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply in M.A. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 12.07.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 98/2019 IN O.A.NO. 259/2019  
(Shri Anandsing B. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that fresh notice may be issued to respondent No. 1. Hence issue fresh notice to respondent No. 1 in M.A. No. 98/2019, returnable on 03.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 188 OF 2019  
(Shri Nilkanth R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that fresh notice may be issued to respondent No. 1. Hence issue fresh notice to respondent No. 1, returnable on 03.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 03.07.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 55 OF 2019  
(Shri Bhimrao Goba Bramahane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 03.07.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 54 OF 2019  
(Smt. Varsha S. Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service for respondent No. 1.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.07.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 122 OF 2019  
(Shri Shaikh Amamad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service for respondent No. 1.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.07.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 99/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 260/2019  
(Shri Soma M. Dhangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Await service for respondent No. 1.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 03.07.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 27 OF 2019  
(Shri Raosaheb Daga Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 13.06.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 582 OF 2018  
(Shri Rakesh R. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prashant B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the respondent No. 2 is filing affidavit in reply along with amount of costs as ordered by this Tribunal by an order dated 12.03.2019. She therefore, prayed to grant leave to respondent No. 2 to file affidavit in reply.

3. Since respondent No. 2 has deposited the amount of costs of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. Ten thousand only), the affidavit in reply tendered by the respondent No. 2 is accepted and taken on record. The copy of the same has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that respondent Nos. 1 & 3 do not want to file affidavit in reply.

**O.A. NO. 582 OF 2018**

5. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.07.2019 for filing rejoinder affidavit by the applicant, if any, or for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 787 OF 2018  
(Shri Shahadeo S. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.07.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502 OF 2018  
(Shri Bansilal C. Jaiswal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ashok D. Raut, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Special Counsel for respondent No. 2 and Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Special Counsel Shri S.D. Joshi, for respondent No. 2, S.O. to 29.04.2019 for filing reply to the show cause notice.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 201/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 828/2019  
(Shri Sunil B. Sangewar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 828 OF 2019  
(Shri Sunil B. Sangewar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 10.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

**O.A. ST.NO. 828 OF 2019**

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 10.07.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 202/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 830/2019  
(Shri Ashok P. Khotkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 25-04-2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 830 OF 2019  
(Shri Ashok P. Khotkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 10.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be

**O.A. ST.NO. 830 OF 2019**

obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 10.07.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 212/2019 IN O.A.NO. 121/2018  
(The Deputy Director of Land Record, Aurangabad, Dist.  
Aurangabad V/s. Shri Rajendra S. Jagdale)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Advocate for the applicant in M.A. and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A.

2. This is an application filed by the applicant in M.A. praying to recall / modify the oral order dated 08.02.2019 passed by this Tribunal.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the O.A. No. 121/2018 has been disposed of by this Tribunal with a direction to the Settlement Commissioner and Director, Land Records (M.S.), Pune to decide the appeal preferred by the applicant on 22.08.2016 on merit within a period of three months. He has submitted that in fact appeal preferred by the original applicant has been decided by the Settlement Commissioner & Director, Land Records [M.S.], Pune on 31.01.2017 and decision thereof has been communicated to the applicant in O.A. He has further submitted that the copy of the said order has also

**M.A.NO. 212/2019 IN  
O.A.NO. 121/2018**

been served on the original applicant on 06.03.2017. Therefore, it is just and proper to recall and modify the order passed by this Tribunal on 08.02.2019.

4. Learned Advocate for the original applicant admitted the fact that the appeal preferred by the applicant has been decided by the Settlement Commissioner & Director, Land Records [M.S.], Pune on 31.01.2017 and the intimation has been given to the applicant accordingly.

5. On perusal of order in question, it reveals that on the basis of submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the applicant in O.A. and learned Presenting Officer for the applicant in M.A., the Original Application No. 121/2018 has been disposed of on 08.02.2019 with a direction to the Settlement Commissioner and Director, Land Records (M.S.), Pune to decide the appeal preferred by the applicant in O.A. on 22.08.2016 within a period of three months from the date of that order.

6. The record shows that neither the original applicant nor the respondent i.e. the Deputy Director of Land Record, Aurangabad, District Aurangabad, had informed this Tribunal regarding decision given by the Settlement



**M.A.NO. 212/2019 IN  
O.A.NO. 121/2018**

Commissioner in the appeal. Both the parties have suppressed the material fact and they submitted that the appeal was still pending and, therefore, the order dated 08.02.2019 has been passed by this Tribunal. They had suppressed the said material fact at the time of deciding the O.A. No. 121/2018. It seems that both the parties have practiced fraud on the Tribunal by suppressing the material fact. On the basis of submissions made by both the parties, the order dated 08.02.2019 has been passed by this Tribunal. Therefore, in my opinion, there is no just ground to recall and modify the order dated 08.02.2019 passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 121/2018. Hence, I pass the following order: -

**ORDER**

M.A. no. 212/2019 stands rejected without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 431 OF 2018  
(Shri Munjarao T. Magar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By filing the present Original Application the applicant has challenged the appointment order of respondent No. 3 viz. Adv. Munjabhau Vitthalrao Magar of village Daudgaon, Tq. Aundha Nagnath, District Hingoli, on the ground that respondent No. 3 has not filed Character Certificate issued by the Competent Authority at the time of verification of the documents and, therefore, his appointment is illegal.

3. Respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit in reply dated 19.03.2019 and admitted the said fact in paragraph No. 22, which reads as follows: -

*“22. The contents in Ground No. X of the application, the respondent say and submit that, the respondent No. 3 has gave undertaking on 24/01/2018 and assured that he will file character certificate till 30.01.2018 in the office. The respondent No. 3 not filed character certificate on*

**O.A. NO. 431 OF 2018**

*30/01/2018 and gave undertaking on 31/01/2018 that he will file character certificate as early as possible. The respondent No. 3 has not filed the character certificate till today.”*

(Quoted from page No. 95 of the O.A.)

4. The Maharashtra Village Police Patils (Recruitment, Pay, Allowances and other conditions of service) (Amendment) Order, 1976, provides the criteria / eligibility for appointment on the post of Village Police Patil. Rule 1 of it provides that, “No person shall be eligible for being appointed as a Police Patil, who is adjudged by the competent authority after a summary inquiry to be of bad character or has, in the opinion of that authority, such antecedents as render unsuitable for employment as Police Patil.”

5. The aforesaid provision is mandatory. The respondent No. 2 has not followed the aforesaid provision while giving appointment to the respondent No. 3 on the post of Police Patil. Admittedly, till today, respondent No. 3 has not produced the character certificate before the Competent Appointing Authority. As the post of Village Police Patil is sensitive and responsible, it is mandatory for the person appointed on the post of Village Police Patil to produce Character Certificate before the Competent

**O.A. NO. 431 OF 2018**

Appointing Authority. The respondent No. 2 has not followed the provision of the said order. Therefore, respondent No. 2 viz. the Sub Divisional Magistrate, Vasmat, is directed to take corrective steps on his own accord in respect of appointment of respondent No. 3 to the post of Village Police Patil. If he is of the opinion that no corrective steps are required to be taken then he is called upon to file affidavit explaining the reasons for the same. The respondent No. 2 shall file affidavit on or before the next date.

6. S.O. to 18.06.2019.

7. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 490 OF 2018  
(Shri Bhaudas D. Vaishnav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 25.04.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Rebekah Daniel, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

3. The record shows that on 08.02.2019 cost of Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five Thousand Only) was imposed on the respondents for not filing the affidavit in reply in time. In spite of that neither the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 filed the affidavit in reply nor deposited amount of costs of Rs. 5000/-. Thereafter, the present case was adjourned on 19.03.2019, 25.03.2019 and today. Today also respondent Nos. 1 to 3 failed to file affidavit in reply and seek time. There is no just ground to grant time to file affidavit in reply to

**O.A. NO. 490 OF 2018**

respondent Nos. 1 to 3. However, in the interest of justice, time is granted to the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to file affidavit in reply, subject to costs of Rs. 20,000/- (Rs. Twenty Thousand only) in addition to the earlier costs of Rs. 5,000/- (Rs. Five Thousand).

4. S.O. to 11.07.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**