

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.192 OF 2016**  
**(Maroti S. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Syed Zahed Ali, learned Advocate  
holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate  
for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 05.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.12 OF 2018**  
**(Khandu T. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding  
for Shri P.R. Kadam, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 6.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.392 OF 2018**  
**(Chandrakant R. Kapse V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. None appears for other Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.421 OF 2018**  
**(Manoj S. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Syed Zahad Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request and with consent of both the parties, S.O. to 5.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.422 OF 2018**  
**( Dr. Raghuvir V. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 6.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.608 OF 2018**  
**(Narendra S. Suryawanshi & Anrs. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed **leave note**. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. In view of the leave note of the Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 7.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.827 OF 2018**  
**(Shaliendra P. Sandanshiv V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Chandrakant P. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri S.U. Chaudhary, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 23.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.829 OF 2018**  
**(Kiran Pranav Prabhakar Kolte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Adhip Ghosh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.851 OF 2018**  
**(Krushnadas A. Palsikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Pramod A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.S. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 (**Absent**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3, is present.

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for the Applicant and learned Advocate for respondent no.4, S.O. to 23.10.2019. Learned Advocate for the Applicant to satisfy the Tribunal on the line of order dated 13.6.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.904 OF 2018**  
**(Shaikh Ayyub Shaikh Jaleel V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Zia-UI-Mustafa, learned Advocate for  
the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed  
affidavit-in-rejoinder. The same is taken on record and  
copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 23.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.31 OF 2019**  
**(Vivek P. Bhamre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kunal A. Kale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri N.R. Dayama, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. At the request of the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 24.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.232 OF 2019**  
**(Maruti T. Kamble & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the  
Applicants and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the  
Respondents, S.O. to 24.10.2019, for filing affidavit-in-  
reply.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.307 OF 2019**  
**(Rahul D. Sathe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for  
the Applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned  
Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the  
Respondents, S.O. to 24.10.2019, for filing affidavit-in-  
reply.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.531 OF 2019**  
**(Nagnath Vishwanath & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 to 4. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.717 OF 2019**  
**(Rajendra A. Shrisath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Amit Salve, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting  
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to  
file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.  
Time granted.

3. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.722 OF 2019**  
**WITH**  
**CAVEAT NO.27 OF 2019**  
**WITH**  
**CAVEAT NO.63 OF 2019**  
**(Gajanan B. Bansode & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent, Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for Caveater in Caveat No.27 of 2019 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for Caveater in Caveat No.63 of 2019.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 30.9.2019, for filing affidavit-in-reply.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.724 OF 2019**  
**(Kailas I. Khandagale & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.53 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.185 OF 2019  
(Ashok B. Amrutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1,2 & 4 and Shri S.S. Chillarge, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply of respective respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.11.2019 for filing affidavit-in-reply by the respective respondents.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.341 OF 2010**  
**WITH**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.424 OF 2015**  
**(Dr. Sarda R. Mithulji & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.S. Sukale, learned Advocate holding for Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants in both the cases, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents in both the cases, Shri K. G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.10, Shri Vivek Bhavthankar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.11 and Shri S.S. Dambe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.8 in O.A.No.424 of 2015.

Shri Sachin G. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.9, Shri S.T. Shelke, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.13, Shri S.B. Gastgar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.14 and Shri U.B. Bondar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.7 in O.A.No.424 of 2015 (**Absent**).

2. This matter be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.321 OF 2017  
(Manisha P. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench)

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.A. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This matter be placed before the Division Bench as and when it is available.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 963, 964 AND 965 ALL OF 2019  
(Shri Vitthal G. Mhashal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Rajendra Godbole, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the three cases and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned representing Officer for the respondents in all the three cases.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 13.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 52/2019  
(Shabana Begum Amjad Khan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shaikh Wajeed Ahmed, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other sides.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 8.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 103 AND 104 BOTH OF 2019  
(Shri Balkrishna R. Chharage V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and S/shri M.S. Mahajan & I.S. Thorat, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officer for the respondents in respective cases.

2. Learned C.P.O. / P.O. submits that the respondents have deposited the costs as imposed by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 23.8.2019 in both the cases.

3. Learned C.P.O. and P.O. has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 to 3 in O.A. no. 103/2019 and on behalf of res. nos. 2, 3 & 4 in O.A. no. 104/2019. The said replies are taken on record and copies thereof are supplied to other side.

4. S.O. to 8.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 124, 125, 126 AND 127 ALL OF 2019  
(Shri Arun K. Gosawi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the cases and S/shri S.K. Shirse, I.S. Thorat, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate & Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective cases.

2. Learned Presenting Officers seek time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents in all the cases. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 5.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 721/2019  
(Bhahwan W. Landge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 8.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 810/2019  
(Shri Shamsundar M. Choudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.9.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 682/2019  
(Shri Vishal V. Aadmane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 13.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 13.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 807/2019  
(Dr. Anil S. Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 14.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 14.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804/2019  
(Dr. Shivkumar L. Tamlurkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that during the pendency of the Original Application the applicant has been relieved and therefore the same has become infructuous. Therefore, he prayed to dispose of the Original Application.

3. In view of above submission of learned Advocate for the applicant, the present Original Application stands disposed of as has become infructuous. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 834/2019  
(Shri Ravikant R. Hadoltikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant wishes to file separate application for condonation of delay caused in filing the Original Application. Therefore, he sought leave of the Tribunal to file application for condonation of delay caused in filing the O.A. Leave as prayed for is granted. The applicant to file such M.A. on or before 17.10.2019.

3. O.A. is removed from the board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 846/2019  
(Shri Ramesh H. Sapkal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Manoj U. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant has been transferred by the impugned order dtd. 28.8.2019 issued by the res. no. 1 from the office of Regional Deputy Commissioner, Aurangabad to the office of Assistant Commissioner of Fisheries, Gondia without following the provisions of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (for short the Transfer Act, 2005). He has submitted that the applicant joined the posting at Aurangabad on 30.6.2018 and has not completed his normal tenure of posting. Moreover, he is going to retire in the next year i.e. on 30.6.2020, but the respondents has issued the impugned order without considering the said fact. Therefore, he prayed to grant interim stay to the impugned order.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the applicant has been transferred by the respondent in view of the provisions of sec. 4(4) & 4(5)

of the Transfer Act, 2005 by the impugned order dtd. 28.8.2019. Thereafter the applicant has been relieved from the post on 31.8.2019 by the Commissioner of Fisheries, Mumbai.

4. Since the applicant has been relieved from the post, no question of granting the interim relief to the impugned order arises. Hence, request of the applicant for grant of interim relief is rejected.

5. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 23.11.2019.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the

Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. S.O. to 23.11.2019.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 813/2019  
(Shri Nadim Baig Akhatar Baig V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Applicant has approached this Tribunal by filing the present Original Applicant and prayed the following relief :-

*“B) The respondents authority may kindly be directed to pay the arrears (Differential amount of Wages which were not paid) as per the Government notification dated 15.2.2003 and Government Notification 28.9.2010, and Government Resolution dated 7.3.2018, plus special allowance to the applicant along with appropriate rate of interest till the enhance rate of wages within a specified time.”*

3. Applicant has already filed O.A. no. 34/2016 along with others claiming similar relief. That Original Application was allowed by the Tribunal on 24.2.2016 and the relief as claimed by the applicant was already granted. The applicant is claiming similar relief again by filing the present Original Application. Already said issue has been decided by the Tribunal and the relief as prayed for has been granted to the applicant.

Therefore, the present O.A. is barred by the principles of *res judicata*.

4. Therefore, the present Original Application is not maintainable. Hence, the Original Application stands dismissed with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 855/2019**  
**(Smt. Shobha R. Waghmare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 14.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 14.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 868/2019  
(Dr. Suryakant R. Lonikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 23.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained



and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.10.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 835/2019**  
**(Shri Sanjay M. Churmurkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 14.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 14.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1580/2019  
(Dr. Sujitkumar S. Randive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. In view of submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the objection raised by the Registry regarding limitation is overruled. Accordingly, the O.A. be registered.

3. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 8.11.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 8.11.2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 314/2018**  
**(Shri Govind S. Surewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. It appears from the record that the applicant and his Advocate remained absent on previous two dates. Today also applicant and his Advocate are absent. Thus, it seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the Present Original Application.

3. In the above circumstances, the Original Application stands dismissed for want of prosecution. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 87/2019**  
**(Shri Yogiraj V. Mane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of res. no. 1. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 5.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 25.9.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 820/2019**  
(Shri Yogesh B. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 821/2019**  
(Smt. Shital T. Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 822/2019**  
(Shri Rohidas M. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 823/2019**  
(Shri Tukaram B. Lavte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 824/2019**  
(Shri Dinesh P. Devre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 825/2019**  
(Shri Balaji P. Johare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 826/2019**  
(Shri Khalil Yakub Shaikh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 827/2019**  
(Smt. Rohini P. Sonar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 828/2019**  
(Shri Shaligram K. Kankhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 829/2019**  
(Smt. Nilam A. Pardeshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 830/2019**  
(Smt. Sosni B. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 831/2019**  
(Smt. Dipika M. Palve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832/2019**  
(Smt. Sunita S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 837/2019**  
(Smt. Sarika N. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

AND



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 838/2019**  
**(Smt. Aswini R. Dhattrak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**  
**AND**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 839/2019**  
**(Smt. Bhavna A. Sangore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Sapkal, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these cases and S/shri M.S. Mahajan, S.K. Shirse, N.U. Yadav, V.R. Bhumkar, M.P. Gude, B.S. Deokar, I.S. Thorat, D.R. Patil, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective cases.

2. On perusal of record, I am of the view that the recovery ordered against the respective applicants is against the provisions of G.R. dtd. 6.8.2002. Hence the respective impugned order to the extent of recovery of amount is hereby stayed till filing of affidavit in reply by the respondents.

3. Issue notice to respondents in all the cases, returnable on 15.11.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 15.11.2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 836/2019  
(Smt. Sajidbanu Gulamnabi Shahpure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant has been transferred by the impugned order before completion of the tenure and in the midst of the term in contravention of the provisions of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (for short the Transfer Act, 2005). He has submitted that the applicant is still working on the said post and she has not been relieved and nobody has been posted in her place. He has submitted that the son of the applicant is school going and due to the impugned order inconvenience is caused to the family members of the applicant. Therefore, he prayed to grant stay to the execution and operation of the impugned order.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has further submitted that the provisions of sec. 4 (4) & 4(5) of the Transfer Act, 2005 has not been strictly followed by the respondents while issuing the impugned order and

therefore it is illegal. Therefore, he prayed to grant stay to the impugned order.

4. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the provisions of sec. 4(4) & 4(5) of the Transfer Act, 2005 have been strictly followed by the respondents while issuing the impugned order. He has submitted that because of negligence on the part of the applicant in discharging her duties inconvenience has been caused to the public at large. He has submitted that the applicant has released water reserved for drinking in exceed to the limit granted to her and thereby wasted the water and therefore the villagers were required to face shortage of drinking water. He has submitted that because of action on the part of the applicant the Government was required to supply water to the villagers by tankers and therefore loss has been caused to the Government. Therefore, on the basis of the report made by the Collector the Department proposed the transfer of the applicant. The proposal of the Department has been considered by the Civil Services Board and on the recommendations of the Civil Services Board the impugned order has been issued by the respondents. He has submitted that no provision of the Transfer Act, 2005 has been violated by the respondents while issuing the impugned order. Therefore, he prayed to reject the prayer of the applicant regarding grant of interim relief.

5. On perusal of documents it reveals that there was negligence and lapses on the part of the applicant in discharging the duties. Because of inaction on the part of the applicant and because of her negligence large quantity of water has been wasted and therefore the Government has supplied water to the villages by tankers. Prima-facie, it seems that the applicant has not followed the orders issued by the Collector regarding distribution of water to the villages. Due to negligent act on the part of the applicant the Department proposed to transfer her on the basis of report submitted by the Collector. Prima-facie, it seems that the Civil Services Board considered the proposal of the Department and recommended the transfer of the applicant and thereafter the competent authority on the basis of recommendations of the Civil Services Board issued the impugned order. Prima-facie, there is no illegality in the impugned order. The provisions of the Transfer Act, 2005 has been strictly followed by the respondents while issuing the impugned order. Therefore, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief as prayed for by the applicant. Hence, the request for grant of interim relief as claimed by the applicant is rejected.

6. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 21.10.2019.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

12. S.O. to 21.10.2019.

13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 760 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Vishnu B. Jaybhaye V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Swapnil A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Since nobody appears for the applicant, S.O. to 15.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 788 OF 2019  
(Shri Santoshkumar A. Kaul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Santosh B. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 08.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal



(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 08.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 809 OF 2019  
(Shri Gautam K. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 08.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

**O.A. NO. 809 OF 2019**

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 08.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.174/2017 IN O.A.ST.NO. 588/2017  
(Shri Asaram S. Chormare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application filed by the applicant for condonation of delay of about seven years caused in filing accompanying O.A.

3. Issue notice to respondents in M.A. No. 174/2017, returnable on 08.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 08.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 727 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Madhav V. Birajdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply.

3. It appears from the proceedings that on last two occasions i.e. on 09.09.2019 & 11.09.2019 the present case was adjourned at the request of learned C.P.O. for filing affidavit in reply.

4. In view of the above, time is granted as a last chance to file affidavit in reply.

5. S.O. to 16.10.2009. Interim relief to continue till then.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 737 OF 2018  
(Dr. Rangnath B. Sangle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Abhijit P. Avhad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply.

3. It appears from the proceedings that on last two occasions i.e. on 07.08.2019 & 26.08.2019 the present case was adjourned at the request of learned P.O. for filing affidavit in reply.

4. In view of the above, time is granted as a last chance to file affidavit in reply.

5. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 49/2018 IN O.A.NO. 713/2018**  
**(Shri Tikaram H. Sarjare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Chandrakant R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present case is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 756 OF 2018  
(Shri Barindrakumar C. Gavit V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.R. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Shelke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. It is made clear that if respondents fail to file affidavit in reply on the next date, heavy costs would be saddled on them.

4. S.O. to 30.09.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 457 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Ashish G. Vaishnav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Manoj M. Kadtu, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 8.11.2019 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 502 OF 2019  
(Shri Navnath R. Wakhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.09.2019 for enabling him to take instructions from the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 503 OF 2019  
(Shri Balu V. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.09.2019 for enabling him to take instructions from the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 504 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Narendra P. Katke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.09.2019 for enabling him to take instructions from the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 505 OF 2019**  
**(Shaikh Gorepasha Abdul Majeed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.09.2019 for enabling him to take instructions from the applicant.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 481/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 1850/2018**  
**(Shri Himmatrao M. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.10.2019 as a last chance.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 197/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO. 945/2018  
(Musaddiq Ahmed Madni Masood Ahmed Madni V/s. State of Maharashtra &  
Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

-----  
**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD



***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 731 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Bhalchandra H. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 04.11.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 540 OF 2019**  
**(The Maharashtra State Gazettted Veterinary Officer's Union V/s. State of**  
**Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer submits that he has received para-wise remarks and seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 04.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 708 OF 2016**  
**(Shri Vivek S. Harale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. The present case be removed from the board. The Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to place the present case before the appropriate bench.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 151 OF 2018**  
**(Shri Rajendra G. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer sought time to take instructions from the concerned respondents regarding present status of the enquiry initiated against the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.10.2019.

4. Steno copy is allowed for the use of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 128/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 97/2019**  
**(Dr. Shridhar K. Kendre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rajendra M. Lone, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Smt. Sarita Gaikwad, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Pratibha Bharad, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 & 5. Shri Ashish B. Shinde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 6 & 7 (**absent**).

2. The present case is heard at length and reserved for orders.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 460/19 WITH M.A.508/18 IN O.A.ST. 3025/18  
(Shri Anant S. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed on record a copy of communication dated 17.09.2019 received to him from Special Inspector General of Police (Establishment), Mumbai along with copy of communication dated 11.06.2019 and the same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively.

3. On perusal of the aforesaid communication, it reveals that the representation filed by the applicant has been decided on 27.05.2019.

4. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not want to proceed with M.As. and O.A. & intends to challenge the aforesaid letter dated 27.05.2019 and prayed to dispose of the present MAs & O.A.

5. In view of the aforesaid submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant both the MAs and O.A. stand disposed of for want of prosecution.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 265 OF 2019  
(Shri Taher Ali Sayed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidhya Taksal, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant intends to add Range Forest Officer, Near Khandoba Mandir & IIT College, Kopergaon, as party respondent No. 4 in the present Original Application and, therefore, she seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is hereby granted.

4. The applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

5. Issue notice to the added respondent i.e. respondent No. 4, returnable on 15.11.2019.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly

authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. S.O. to 15.11.2019.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 735 OF 2019  
(Shri Raghavendra B. Ghorpade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue fresh notice to respondent, returnable on 08.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

**O.A. NO. 735 OF 2019**

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 08.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 732 OF 2019  
(Shri Rajendra D. Tubakale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.P. Telgote, learned Advocate holding for Shri Anant R. Devakate, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3. Learned Advocate Shri S.P. Dhoble appeared today and he has filed **VAKALATNAMA** of learned Advocate Shri Santosh Pulkundwar on behalf of respondent No. 2 and the same is taken on record.

2. S.O. to 21.10.2019. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 509 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Sunil P. Pokharna V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Umakant U. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to place on record original record regarding transfer. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 483 OF 2019**  
**(Shri Laxman B. Kolte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.B. Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and the same is taken on record and the copy thereof has been served on the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 478 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Anand S. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 08.11.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 405 OF 2019  
(Shishupal S. Raut V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vithalrao G. Salgare, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time for filing affidavit in reply. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 966 OF 2018  
(Smt. Suman S. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rajendra M. Lone, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 4 & 5 has already been filed on record.

3. On instruction, learned Presenting Officer submits that there is no need to file affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

4. In view of the above, pleadings are complete.

5. Admit. Learned Presenting Officer waives notice for admission on behalf of the respondents.

6. S.O. to 13.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 911 OF 2018  
(Dr. Sanjay P. Biradar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sudhir K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. It appears from the proceedings that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4 has already been filed on record.

3. Admit with liberty to the applicant to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. Learned Presenting Officer waives notice for admission on behalf of the respondents.

5. S.O. to 15.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 781 OF 2019  
(Shri Suryakant M. Garude & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 757 OF 2018**  
**(Shri Bhujang S. Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Shakil U. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, present.

2. It appears from the proceedings that on previous date i.e. on 16.07.2019 nobody appeared for the applicant. Today also nobody appeared for the applicant. Hence, the present case is dismissed in default. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 574 OF 2018**  
**(Sau. Seema Arun Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 25.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Gajanan Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 6 and Shri Parag Shahane, learned Advocate for respondent No. 8.

2. Learned Advocate Shri Mahesh R. Bhokariker appeared today and submits that he has received instructions from respondent No. 7 to appear on his behalf. He undertakes to file **VAKALATNAMA** on the next date on behalf of respondent No. 7.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 06.11.2019 for making submissions on the line of the order passed by this Tribunal on 04.09.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 25.09.2019-HDD

