

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.257 of 2020**

U.D. Thorad ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 29.06.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

Sd/-

**(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)**

prk

Sd/-

**(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.297 of 2021**

S.B. Kemkar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate mentions that respondents were directed to file affidavit-in-reply on 08.06.2021.

3. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks.

4. Time granted. Respondents are directed to ensure that the reply is filed in the stipulated period.

5. Adjourned to 09.07.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.77 of 2021**

D.D. Pujari ... Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment for four weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 23.07.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.69 of 2021**

P.R. Arolkar & Ors. ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 & 2, through Smt. Sunita K. Ravte, Executive Engineer, Office of Executive Engineer, South Mumbai, Electrical Division, P.W.D. Mumbai. The same is taken on record. Copy of the same is served to learned Advocate for the Applicant.

3. Adjourned to 09.07.2021 for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.37 of 2021 with O.A.No.231 of 2021**

T.B. Naik ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks two weeks for filing affidavit-in-reply.

3. Time granted. Adjourned to 09.07.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.3 of 2021 in O.A. No.951 of 2019</u></p> <p>B.R. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Joshi holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Sachin Baravkar, Sub Divisional Officer, Pune is present today in the Court pursuant to order dated 24.6.2021. In the result he submits that the order of the Tribunal will be complied with on or before 25.8.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 25.6.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.6.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.13 of 2021 in O.A. No.1211 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.L. Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that after orders issued by the Tribunal on 22.1.2021, the arrears and interest have been paid on 8.6.2021 and 10.6.2021. The applicant states that he has received the same. The applicant submits that CA may be allowed to be withdrawn.</p> <p>3. CA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N.\Dixit) Vice-Chairman 25.6.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.6.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.14 of 2021 in O.A. No.1062 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. B.T. Karvekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that there is non-compliance of the orders issued by this Tribunal on 9.2.2021 and accordingly he has served notice on contemnor on 12.5.2021.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that this is the first date and he seeks adjournment to file reply regarding fixing provisional pension of the applicant in terms of 7<sup>th</sup> Pay Commission.</p> <p>4. As per his request adjourned to 23.7.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span></p> <p align="center">Vice-Chairman <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span></p> <p align="center">25.6.2021 <span style="float: right;">25.6.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.16 of 2021 in O.A. No.910 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.P. Dhavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. Advocate for the applicant has filed this CA claiming that the order issued by the Tribunal on 31.3.2021 has not been complied with.</p> <p>3. Id. PO produces communication dated 9.5.2021 by the respondents and amendment letter dated 28.5.2021. Perusal of the same clarifies that the order issued by the Tribunal has been complied with and there is no ground for this CA.</p> <p>4. CA is therefore dismissed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) <span style="float: right;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</span> Vice-Chairman <span style="float: right;">Chairperson</span> 25.6.2021 <span style="float: right;">25.6.2021</span></p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.06.2021.</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.10 of 2015 in O.A.No.907 of 2013</b></p> <p>P.C. Dabhire &amp; Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Suo moto taken on board.</p> <p>3. In this matter, learned P.O. submits that the orders passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.907/2013 and O.A.No.1008/2013, dated 24.11.2014 and 27.02.2015 are quashed and set aside by the Bombay High Court in W.P. No.5621/2015 with W.P.No.4555/2016, M.P.S.C. V/s. Pankajkumar C, Dabhire &amp; Ors and Sandeep Bhaskar Varpe &amp; Anr. Copy whereon is taken on record and marked as Exhibit-I</p> <p>4. In view of this, learned P.O. submits that nothing remains in the C.A. and the same may be disposed off.</p> <p>5. The learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar submits as the matter is taken suo moto on board he will find out the position and will make statement on next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 26.06.2021 (F.O.B.)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N) Dixit Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.06.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.944 of 2004</b></p> <p>G.R. Gharule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today when the matter is called out, we are informed by learned Advocate that the applicant has not contacted him since 2007. He further submits that this matter was put in sine-die and thereafter no circulation was taken.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. points out the short affidavit-in-reply dated 21.04.2021 filed by Respondent No.4, through Shri Anil Maruti Kamble, working as Assistant Commandant in the office of Commandant, State Reserve Police Force, Solapur and submits that the Hon'ble Chief Judicial Magistrate Court No.1, Solapur has granted / allowed the B Summary report in C.R.No.79/1999. The B Summary concluded in the year 2006. However, thereafter the matter had appeared on board of 25.03.2021 and the time was granted to learned Counsel to contact the Applicant.</p> <p>4. However, learned Advocate Shri Bandiwadekar submits that the address of the Applicant is not the present residential address. The present residential address is not available with him and only office address of the Applicant is available which was his last place of posting.</p> <p>5. The warned list was prepared by this Tribunal on 25.02.2021 and the matter appeared at serial No.4. Thus this office has given idea and sufficient time to the Applicant.</p> <p>6. The Registry also is not in a position to send the notice to the Applicant as his residential address is not available. The applicant's age is also not known. Thus for want of instructions, learned Advocate is unable to address the matter.</p> <p>7. In view of these facts we hereby dismiss the matter for want of prosecution by the Applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.06.2021.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.810 of 2018</b></p> <p>T.L. Savane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this matter the Applicant challenges order dated 14.08.2018 issued by the Respondent-State thereby order of promotion dated 22.12.2012 is to be cancelled and the applicant is to be reverved back to his post of Teacher.</p> <p>3. By the said order he was promoted to the post of Assistant Deputy Education Inspector. The said order of Respondent No.1 was stayed by this Tribunal by order dated 31.08.2018 and thereafter the Applicant retired from the service on 31.05.2020 from the post of Assistant Deputy Education Inspector.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 25.06.2021.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.232 of 2021</b></p> <p>C.S. Khose ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that there was D.E. initiated against the Applicant and according to her the same has been completed on 11.12.2020. However, final orders are still not issued. Pending the same, the applicant has not been given time bound promotion for which according to her he was due in 2016 and there was no D.E. at that time.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.07.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. The learned P.O. seeks three weeks for seeking instructions on the above matter we well as for prayers made in the O.A.</p> <p>9. Adjourned to 16.07.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.407 of 2013**

M.A.R. Shaikh & Anr. ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate submits that the Applicants' are working on the post of Shop Inspector, Grade-II have made prayer that the three different Grades i.e. Grade-I, Grade-I-A and Grade-II need to be merged to avoid stagnation in the rank of Shop Inspector.

3. The learned P.O. during hearing today submits that she has received instructions from Smt. Sheetal Nikam, Under Secretary, Industry, Energy & Labour Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. The relevant information is being compiled in order to seek policy decision on the matter. She further submitted that the time limit for the same cannot be stipulated.

4. The learned Advocate for the Applicants appreciates the information furnished by learned P.O. and states that the matter may be disposed off. As the Government is seized with the issue after compiling relevant information, no purpose would be served in continuing the O.A. Therefore we dispose off this O.A. which is pertaining to 2013 and already highly delayed. Applicants are at liberty to approach the Tribunal, if there is cause for grievance.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.64 of 2021**

U.T. Sarode ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that para-wise remarks are received and reply would be filed shortly.

3. Adjourned to 01.07.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**C.A.No.47 of 2009 in O.A.No.690 of 2004**

U.K. Bavdekar ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Suo moto taken on board. Hence, the applicant is not aware of this.
3. The learned C.P.O. submits that she will find whether the Writ Petition before the Hon'ble High Court is pending or not.
4. Time granted. Adjourned to 13.07.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.754 of 2020**

B.S. Pawar ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply. The same is taken on record. Copy is served to the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

3. The learned Advocate seeks two weeks for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder, if any.

4. Adjourned to 09.07.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.561 of 2020**

Dr. K.S. Jawale ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned C.P.O. seeks time to take instructions regarding actions to be taken on the prayers made by the Applicant for voluntary retirement.

3. Adjourned to 09.07.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**Date : 25.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.372 of 2020 with O.A.No.373 of 2020  
with O.A.No.374 of 2020**

J.K. Khetre (O.A.372/2020)

P.A. Lad (O.A.373/2020)

B.K. Nagare (O.A.374/2020)

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Gaurav A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant files affidavit-in-rejoinder in O.A.No.374/2020. The same is taken on record and copy is served to learned P.O.

3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and kept for final hearing.

4. Adjourned to 04.10.2021.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.355 of 2020 in O.A. No.794 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.N. Chavan &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files affidavit in reply dated 15.6.2021 of Devendra V. Tawde, Under Secretary, MPSC on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">  /   (P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)  Vice-Chairman Chairperson  25.6.2021 25.6.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.343 of 2021</u></p> <p>Swanand G. Rajput &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicants are at present working as Chief Intelligence Officers in the State Intelligence Department. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that applicants are in large numbers and at present they are stagnating as they are not getting promotion to the higher rank of Assistant Commissioner as the post of Assistant Commissioner is filled in by persons belonging to Police Department.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO submits that the prayer made by the applicants to increase their quota to 50% instead of 33% as per rules is the policy decision and this Tribunal does not have the jurisdiction for the same.</p> <p>4. Ld. Advocate for the applicants is directed to bring forth the legal grounds to establish that this Tribunal has jurisdiction to consider the prayers made by the applicants.</p> <p>5. At the request of the Ld. Advocate for the applicants the OA is adjourned to 9.7.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 25.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.6.2021</p> </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.342 of 2021</u></p> <p>Sanjay S. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant is working in Industries, Energy and Labour Department as Assistant Electrical Inspector, Group-A since 26.3.2015. He has made representation on 19.12.2018 and 24.1.2019 for giving advantage of continuation of his earlier service. Earlier he was working in Water Resources Department from 3.3.2014 to 19.3.2015. Ld. Advocate for the applicant claims that he had sought permission from the earlier department before he appeared MPSC for selection in the present assignment. However, he does not have the necessary documents to support his claim of receiving permission. Ld. Advocate is directed to bring necessary permission, if available, on record.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fee to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.7.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 25.6.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 25.6.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>Date : 25.06.2021.</b>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.170 of 2021</b></p> <p>V.D. Wakhare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.L. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. for the Respondents mentions that during the course of the day she will file affidavit-in-reply. It be taken on record and copy be served to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to file his rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 23.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>(P.N. Dixit)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)</b></span> <b>Vice-Chairman (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Chairperson</b></span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**LATER ON**

**Date : 24.06.2021.**

**O.A.No.544 of 2018 with O.A.No.513 of 2019**

Mr. V.B. Shende (O.A.544/2018)  
Ms.S.V. Rane (O.A.513/2019) ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Mr. Chandratre, learned Advocate holding for Mr. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 & 2 and Mr. Baviskar, Respondent No.4 in person.
2. We have dismissed both the Original Applications. The learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre holding for the learned Advocate Shri R.G. Panchal submitted that order be stayed for two weeks.
3. The learned C.P.O. points out that there is a long standing stay. Shri Baviskar, party in person, who is present here, submits that he is waiting for his posting since March, 2019 and he needs to join and may call for necessary arrangements.
4. Considered the submissions. M.P.S.C. has initiated the process in 2018 and has declared results in February 2019. Thus two years are already over.
5. We have already held that there is no merit in the case of the Applicant, so also were are informed that as on today, the applicant is already promoted to the post of Deputy Director, Town Planning in routine manner.
6. In such circumstances, we are not inclined to stay our order and hence prayer of Mr. Panchal is rejected.

Sd/-

(P.M. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)  
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.802 of 2020</p> <p>P.S. Pande .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. V.K. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Advocate for the Applicant two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.07.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.797 of 2020 with O.A. No.799 of 2020 With O.A. No.04 of 2021</p> <p>R.V. Solankhe .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply in each O.A. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Since, pleading is complete, matter is admitted for Final Hearing.</li> <li>4. Adjourned to 23.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.765 of 2020</b></p> <p>T.P. Rathod .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply, but the same is not filed. However, on request of learned P.O. one week time as most last chance with specific direction that no further time will be granted.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-  <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.295 of 2020</p> <p>A.G. Shinde &amp; Ors., .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today matter is for filing Rejoinder but the same is not filed.</li> <li>3. Since pleading is complete, O.A. is admitted for Final Hearing.</li> <li>4. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 22.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 25.06.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A. No.68 of 2021 in M.A. No.69 of 2021 in O.A. No.112 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>J.P. Madye</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. No.112/2018 is for Time Bound Promotion and M.A. are for Condonation of Delay and Restoration.</p> <p>3. In view of recent Office order dated 28.05.2021, subject of Time Bound Promotion is allotted to Division Bench. Therefore, this O.A. and M.A. needs to be placed before Division Bench.</p> <p>4. Registrar to place it accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.359 of 2020 in O.A. No.807 of 2020</p> <p>J.R. Gaud .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sumant Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 in M.A. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. Adjourned for hearing of M.A.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center">R.A. No.04 of 2021 in O.A. No.323 of 2019 with R.A. No.05 of 2021 in O.A. No.321 of 2019 with R.A. No.06 of 2021 in O.A. No.322 of 2019</p> <p>A.T. Rajam S.A. Koyande M.Y. Raorane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 09.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ ~~~~~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Date: 25.06.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.157 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>R.R. Gabhale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw O.A. with permission to file fresh substantive O.A.</li> <li>3. This O.A. was filed simpliciter for direction to Respondent to decide the representation without filing substantial O.A., and therefore, objection was raised by the Tribunal about maintainability of such O.A.</li> <li>4. Another development is that during the pendency of O.A. the representation of the Applicant is already decided and declined. The Applicant therefore needs to challenge the rejection by filing substantive O.A.</li> <li>5. Allowed to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. as permissible in law.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/-    <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b>  <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-top: 20px;">NMN</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 25.06.2021</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A. No.142 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S.K. Davar</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>3. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply by way of last chance.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 50px;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.141 of 2021</b></p> <p>D.H. Kharat .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.H. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.3 has already filed Affidavit-in-Reply. No separate Reply on behalf of Respondent No.1 &amp; 2 is filed.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to file Reply on the part of Respondent No.1 &amp; 2, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.98 of 2021</p> <p>R.C. Sonawane .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that Affidavit-in-Reply is ready but affirmation is yet to be done. She undertakes to file the same on Tuesday i.e. 29.06.2021. Since, unapproved copy is given to the learned Advocate for the Applicant, she may file Rejoinder if any on next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 29.06.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 25.06.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.06 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>U.R. Harmalkar</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.</li> <li>2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>3. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</li> <li>4. Since, pleading is complete, O.A. is admitted for Final Hearing.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 23.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="right">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (J)</b></p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1039 of 2016</p> <p>A. N. Sonkamble <span style="float: right;">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. In view of absence of the Applicant and his Counsel, they seem not interested in the matter.</li> <li>3. Hence, Original Application is dismissed in default.</li> <li>4. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span></p> <p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float: right;">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.06.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.1141 of 2016</p> <p>H. D. More <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. On previous date i.e. 03.06.2021 the Applicant and his Counsel both <del>are</del><sup>were</sup> absent but the matter was adjourned to give one opportunity of hearing but in vain.</li> <li>3. Thus, the Applicant and his Counsel seems not interested in the matter.</li> <li>4. Hence, O.A. is dismissed in default.</li> <li>5. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.06.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.831 of 2016</p> <p>S. B. Jagtap <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</li> <li>2. The Applicant and his Counsel appears not interested in the matter. Their absence was also recorded earlier by the Tribunal but the matter was adjourned.</li> <li>3. Hence, Original Application is dismissed in default.</li> <li>4. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> </div> </div> <p style="margin-top: 20px;">vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.06.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.825 of 2016</p> <p>D. B Kalokhe ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(Medha Gadgil) (A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member (A) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.712 of 2016</p> <p>D. A. Sarge <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter is for final hearing. On last date i.e 07.06.2021 also the Applicant and his Counsel are absent. The matter was adjourned to give one opportunity to Applicant and his Counsel. However, today also they both are absent. They seem not interested in the matter.</p> <p>3. Hence, Original Application is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medha Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span></p> <p align="center">Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.264 of 2016</p> <p>C. B. Sawant <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Vaishali K. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned to take necessary steps for amendment of O.A. and to bring on record certain subsequent events.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float:right">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center">(Medhā Gadgil) <span style="float:right">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> Member (A) <span style="float:right">Member(J)</span></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s



(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.436 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>K. V. Kalekar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>Member (A)</b></p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 25.06.2021 Tribunal's orders</p>
	<p align="center"><b>O.A.No.1120 of 2018 With M.A.No.139 of 2021</b></p> <p><b>S. N. Salve</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere with Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Bhushan Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.4.</li> <li>2. During the course of hearing, it is transpired that after filing of present O.A. the Government had initiated enquiry against the Respondent No.4 and she is terminated from service for breach of Maharashtra Civil Services (Declaration of Small Family) Rules, 2005. However, the termination order is not on record. Apart, the Applicant needs to amend the Original Application as well as prayer clause suitably.</li> <li>3. Furthermore, the Respondent No.4 had already challenged the termination by filing another O.A. which is also subjudice.</li> <li>4. In view of above, it would be desirable to decide both the Original Applications together.</li> <li>5. Presently in so far as this O.A. is concerned, the Applicant needs to amend the O.A. suitably. The Applicant's Counsel is, therefore, directed to take suitable steps.</li> <li>6. One week time is granted as prayed for.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 09.07.2021.</li> </ol> <p align="center">Sd/-  Sd/- </p> <p align="center"><b>(Medha Gadgil)</b> <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member (A)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.256 of 2021</p> <p>Dr. U.D. Munde .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though enough time is granted, no Reply is filed.</p> <p>3. Matter be kept for hearing at the stage of admission with liberty to the Respondent to file Reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-    (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member (J) </p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.254 of 2021</b></p> <p>S.B. Gavit .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit-in-Reply as last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date: 25.06.2021</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A. No.194 of 2021 with O.A. No.287 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. A.S. Bendre</b> <b>Dr. P.N. Kakade</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y.Ukey, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Advocate holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A. No.194/2021 is for filing Reply but the same is not filed though enough time is granted.</p> <p>3. Whereas O.A. No.287/2020 is for filing Sur-Rejoinder but the same is not filed despite of enough time.</p> <p>4. O.A. be kept for Final Hearing at stage of admission on 26.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">             Sd/-            (A.P. Kurhekar)            Member (J)         </p> <p>NMN</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 25.06.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.183 of 2021 with O.A. No.184 of 2021</p> <p>B.D. Potdar K.P. Ramchandra .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for filing Rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 13.07.2021</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 25.06.2021

O.A. No.410 of 2021

S.T. Zaware .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has challenged communication dated 19.03.2021 denying Deemed Date of Promotion w.e.f. 01.04.1988.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.07.2021.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of Original Application. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 22.07.2021.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.396 of 2021</u></p> <p>Narayan G. Parmar ..Applicant  Vs.  The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 23.7.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (Medha Gadgil) <sup>v</sup>  Member (A)  25.6.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>