

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.991 OF 2016

DISTRICT:-Pune

SarifaIqbalpasha Patel@Patil  
Age: 51 years, Occ. Nil,  
R/at GaganEmbran E-1, 902,  
Opp. Shital Petrol Pump,  
Kondwa, Saibabanagar, Pune.

)  
)  
)  
)  
).... Applicant

Versus

1. State of Maharashtra,  
Through its Secretarial Minister,  
Ministry of Agricultural,  
Mantralaya, Mumbai 400 032.

)  
)  
)  
)

2. Commissioner for Agriculture,  
For State of Maharashtra,  
Central Building, Pune-01.

)  
)  
)

3. Divisional Joint Directors  
Of Agricultural,  
Kolhapur Division, Kolhapur.

)  
)  
)..... Respondents

Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM** : **Shri P.N. Dixit, Member (A)**

**DATE** : **23.07.2018**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Government Employee who was the husband of the Applicant left his house in November, 2005 and remained absconding since 1<sup>st</sup> November, 2005.

3. The Departmental Enquiry was started against him and concluded on 20.05.2011. Hence, he was dismissed from service on 20.05.2011 (page no.74). Three days prior to his death, the Applicant became aware of his being in the hospital at Satara.
4. The Government employee died on 04.01.2013 (Exhibit. 'D', page no.19). The Applicant approached the office of the deceased on 20.02.2013 for pensionary benefits.
5. The District Agricultural Officer, Ajara informed her by communication dated 15.05.2013 that as the deceased was dismissed, she will not be entitled for compassionate pension/appointment. Being entitled for G.P.F. as per details of his account. The same was disbursed
6. On 3.02.2014, the Applicant approached the Agriculture Commissioner, Pune (Exhibit 'F', page no.21) stating that as her husband was mentally disturbed, the order of dismissal may be withdrawn. The same has not been replied.
7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant relies on the judgment given by the Hon'ble Supreme court (1994) 2 Supreme Court Cases 416 in the case of Dr. Ramesh Chandra Tyagi Vs. Union of India and Others, Criminal Appeal No.581 of 1993 with Civil Appeal No.4898 of 1993, decided on February 11, 1994. The order reads in para no.7 as under:-  
  
"7. No charge-sheet was served on appellant. The Enquiry Officer himself stated that the notices sent were returned with endorsement "left without address" and on other occasion, "on repeated visits people in the house that he has gone out and they do not disclose where he has gone. Therefore, it is being returned." May be that the appellant was avoiding it but avoidance does not mean that it gave a right to Enquiry Officer to proceed ex parte unless it was conclusively established that he deliberately and knowingly did not accept it. The endorsement on the envelope that it was refused, was not even proved by examining the postman or any other material to show that it was refusal by the appellant who denied on oath such a refusal. No effort was made to serve in any other manner known in law. Under Postal Act and Rules the manner of service is provided. Even service rules take care of it. Not one was resorted to. And from the endorsement it is clear that the envelope containing charge-sheet was returned. In absence of any charge-sheet or any material supplied to the appellant it is difficult to agree that the inquiry did not suffer from any procedural infirmity. No further need be said as the appellant having been

removed for not complying with the transfer order and it having been held that it was invalid and non est the order of dismissal falls automatically."

8. Learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states that the judgment quoted above is not relevant in the present case as it pertains to the transfer of the Applicant.
9. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that it is an admitted fact that the charge-sheet was not served on the deceased government servant.
11. According to the learned P.O., the order of dismissal has not been challenged and set aside. Unless the original order is challenged, the Applicant cannot be considered for any benefits including the compassionate appointment as per the G.R. dated 22.08.2005 (Exhibit 'R-5', page no.252).
11. In view of the foregoing, it is clear that the Applicant should approach the Respondent No.2 to consider the facts including his mental illness as well as death.
12. The Representation dated 3.02.2014 remained unanswered. The Respondents are directed to apply their mind regarding his mental illness and subsequent death. The order of his dismissal therefore should be reviewed and decision should be taken on the same.
13. Respondents are directed to take decision on the representations dated 3.02.2014 and 31.03.2016 within two months from today and intimate the progress regarding the same to the learned Advocate for the Applicant.
14. Original Application is disposed off accordingly.

Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT,  
Member (A))

sba

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No:640 of 2018

Dr. S.B. More  
Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heara Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 31.8.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that for family difficulties he has requested the respondents to consider his posting at Pune city in the vacant place. The respondent no.1 is directed to consider his representation dated 1.6.2018 on merits.

DATE 23/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.D. Joshi

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 31/8/18

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member (A)  
23.7.2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p><del>Smt/Smt. Name for the applicant</del> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><del>Smt/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad</del> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>10/8/18</u> <u>as a last chance.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 499 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.D. Talak ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Note for Applicant. Heard Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 10.8.2018 as a last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>27/7/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 889 of 2017</u></p> <p>V.H. Solanke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 13.8.2018 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> <u>C.P.O/P.O.</u> for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>13/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 1015 of 2017</u></p> <p>D.B. Kamble ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 13.8.2018 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 13.8.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <del>Shri/Smt. None for the applicant.</del> <del>Advocate for the Applicant</del></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>27/7/18</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.998 of 2017</u></p> <p>M.J.P. Montode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>None for the Applicant. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is absent.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 27.7.2018. If the applicant or his Advocate do not remain present the matter will be proceeded exparte.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/8/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj.S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u> <u>part heard.</u></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.67 of 2018</p> <p>D.M. Shelke .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Treated as part-heard.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>gba</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: ~~23.07.2018~~

O.A.No.576 of 2018

A.M. Dharashivkar .....Applicant  
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice made returnable on 27.08.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice..
7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Learned Advocate for the Applicant requests for staying the impugned order.
9. The issue is kept upon. Respondents should file reply by 27.08.2018.
10. S.O. to 27.08.2018.

DATE 23/7/18

CORAM

Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Manchekar

C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondents

Adj./S.O. to 27/8/18



Sd/-

(P.N. DIXIT)  
Member (A)

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>6/8/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.181 of 2018</p> <p>S.K. Kadam .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks two weeks' time to file his rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.08.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sb</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>P.S. Pathak</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.193 of 2017</p> <p>R.S. Godbole .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 30.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>D.B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> G.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.419 of 2018</p> <p>V.G. Koyande .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to take instructions from the client and hence requests for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.07.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><del>OFFICER</del> P.O. to Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><del>Smt/Smt. Archana B. (2)</del> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/7/18</u></p> 	<p><u>M.A. No.344 of 2017 in O.A. No.169 of 2016</u></p> <p>B.S. Nikam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO mentions that the brief is not traceable. She seeks time to reconstruct the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.7.2018.</p> <p>4. In several cases the Ld. PO keeps mentioning that the brief is not traceable in their office. Registrar should call the concerned and take suitable measures and let me know the action taken within 7 days.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>Atul Mahajan</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>20/8/18</u> as a last chance.</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.414 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. P.M. Rathi ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Atul Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.8.2018 as a last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.A. for the Respondent/s Reply filed by A.No.3. Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.169 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.B. Waje ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.3 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO may find out whether respondent no.2 proposes to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 30.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./PO for the Respondent/s Reply filed by <u>R.D. L.</u> Adj./S.O. to <u>3/9/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1026 of 2017</u></p> <p>C.W. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 3.9.2018 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 3.9.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>Navin Arora</u> <u>holding Power A.A. Desai</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No. 582 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.A.G. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Navin Arora, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent/s.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that para wise remarks are received and seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>V.V. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>31/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.637 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.C. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to find out whether there is a vacant quarter of the rank to which the applicant has been reverted. He seeks adjournment till 31.7.2018.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 31.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ District  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>V.V. Joshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>7/8/18</u></p>	<p><del>M.A. No.340 of 2018 in O.A. No.678 of 2018</del></p> <p>V.P. Atre ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks permission to substitute the MA No.340 of 2018 for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Permission to substitute the MA is granted. Ld. Advocate for the applicant to substitute the MA and serve all the concerned.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 7.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u> CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>27/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.218 of 2015</p> <p>C.G. Gaikwad .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 27.07.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>G. A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.550 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.H. Bobade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 30.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CCRAM</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Sri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p><u>Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri M.S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p><del>C.P.O.</del> P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.551 of 2018</u></p> <p>R.V. Manvar ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks one week's time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.7.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>28/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> <u>Shri/Smt. : Lata Patane</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. Ms. N.G. Gohad</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>21/8/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.493 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. T.G. Kulkarni .....Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss Lata Patne, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO, on instructions from the officer who is present in the Court, seeks two weeks time to file reply as the representation made by the applicant is under consideration.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 21.8.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p>CORAM Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad</u> P.O. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. : A.M. Kolge</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adv.</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>25/7/18</u> with <u>OA 1195/17</u></p>	<p><u>MA.477/2017 in RA.21/2017 in OA.138/2016</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. A.L. Pansare ..Respondent</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants-original Respondents and Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Respondent-original Applicant.</p> <p>2. Id. PO requests for 2 days time to provide judgments.</p> <p>3. S.C.to 25.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>P.S. Pathak</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><del>Shri/Smt. <u>M.S. Suryawanshi</u></del> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><del>Adj./S.O. to</del> <u>Let the matters be kept before the Division Bench as part-heard.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.1069 of 2016 with O.A.No.1070 of 2016 with O.A.No.1071 of 2016 with O.A.No.1072 of 2016 with O.A.No.1073 of 2016 with O.A.No.1074 of 2016</p> <p>P.K. Sahane (O.A.No.1069 of 2016) S.S. Kokate (O.A.No.1070 of 2016) K.N.Ghule &amp; Ors. (O.A.No.1071 of 2016) A.D. Dhonnar (O.A.No.1072 of 2016) D.S. Sabale (O.A.No.1073 of 2016) Y.S. Kedar (O.A.No.1074 of 2016)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants requests for transferring the matters already heard by Division Bench as the matters are part-heard.</p> <p>3. Let the matters be kept before the Division Bench as part-heard.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.C/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>6/8/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.180 of 2018</p> <p>S.E. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks two weeks time to file his rejoinder.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.08.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u></p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Sharma</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>3/8/18.</u></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.306 of 2016</p> <p>S.B. Pardeshi .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Sharma, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks time to file his reply to the additional affidavit.</p> <p>3. Time is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.08.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sb</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>23/8/18</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 23.07.2018</p> <p>O.A.No.547 of 2017</p> <p>C.K. Yerunkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant mentions that the Applicant would available after four weeks.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.08.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. DIXIT) Member (A)</p> <p>sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M. B. Kadam, holding</u> <u>for P.V. Patil.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>27/7/18.</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.263 of 2017</u></p> <p>U.P. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O.to 27.7.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N! Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><u>CORAM</u> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Reply filed by P. No. 122. Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No 492 of 2018</u></p> <p>D.V. Khot ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM</b> Hon'ble Shri P.N. DIXIT (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>30/7/18</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.114 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.D. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for adjournment.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.7.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

23.07.2018

O.A 807/2017 & Ors

Shri N.M Tile & Others ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

**DATE :** 23/7/18

**CORAM :**  
Hon'ble Justice M.T. Joshi (Chairman)  
A.R. Chadha (Member)

**APPEARANCE:**  
Shri/Smt. G.A. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. D.B. Khaire (Special Counsel)  
C.P.O. for the Respondents  
S. Manchekar (C.P.O.)

Adi./S.O. to 23/7/18

*for final hearing.  
along with Group matters  
(O.A 1104, 117, 808/17.  
809, 810, 811/17)  
Reply filed by  
respondent 203 in  
O.A 1104/17  
S.O to 23/7/18.*

1. Heard Shri G.A Bandiwadkar, learned for the applicants and Shri D.B Khaire, learned Special Counsel with Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply and the same is taken on record.

3. Shri G.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicants submits that the matter may be fixed for final hearing on 25.7.2018.

4. S.O to 25.7.2018 for final hearing.

Sd/-

(A.R Chadha)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M.T Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. 6720

T N

Original Application No. 6720

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

23.07.2018

O.A 685 with O.A 703/2016

Smt H.B Gawhane & Ors ... Applicants  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

**DATE :** 23/7/18  
**CORAM :** M.T Joshi  
 Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T Joshi (Chairman)  
 & Sh. Chadha (M.A)

**APPEARANCE:**  
 Shri/Smt. : None for the Applicant.

Advocate for the Applicant  
 Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise  
 C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s  
 Adl./S.O. to 9/8/18

order passed in  
 Tribunal Column

(with  
 O.A 703/16)

- None for the applicants. Heard Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents.
- Learned Presenting Officer files on record copies of orders passed by the Hon'ble High Court in Writ Petition 2931/2017 as downloaded from the official website. Those are collectively marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification.
- Order dated 10.3.2017 would show that interim relief granted in the present O.As is stayed by the Hon'ble High Court as necessary party was not impleaded.
- Learned P.O submitted that after order dated 8.1.2018 in the said Writ Petition, there is no further progress in the said Writ Petition as per information available on the website.
- In view of absence of learned advocate for the applicants, matter adjourned to 9.8.2018 for making submission on the above lines.
- S.O to 9.8.2018.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(A.R Chadha)  
Member (A)

(M.T Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

23.07.2018

O.A 651/2018

**DATE :** 23/7/18

**CORAM :** M.T. NICE  
Hon ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi (Chairman)  
B.P. Chadha (M-8)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. Ruchita V. Somane / Swati Manchekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adl./S.O. to Head  
order passed in  
Tribunal Column.  
SO no 27/2/18.

Ms Ruchita V. Somane ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Ruchita V. Somane, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.
2. Upon hearing learned C.P.O submitted that she would take instructions from Res.no. 1, MPSC as to what s the criteria for selection only on the basis of oral interview.
3. S.O to 27.7.2018.
4. Steno copy and Hamdast granted. Learned C.P.O 's directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.R. Chadha)  
Member (A)

Sd/-

(M.T Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<b>23.07.2018</b>

**O.A 665/2018**

DATE : 20/7/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi (Chairman)  
M. P. Chaudhary (M.O.)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.G. Kabadi in person  
Present.

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

Counsel for the Respondent/s

Adt/S.O. to 30/7/18Shri N.G Kabadi ... Applicant  
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N.G Kabadi, applicant in person and Ms Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Upon hearing, party in person seeks time to make submission on the question of limitation that was raised during the arguments at his own request.

3. S.O to 30.7.2018.

Sd/-

(A.R. Chaudhary)  
Member (A)

Attn

Sd/-

(M.T Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>mtj. vice</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>A.H. Joshi</u> (Chairman) <u>A.A. Chaudhary</u> (M-J)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>K.R. Jagdale.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/7/18.</u></p>	<p><u>23.07.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A 667/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.K Parlikar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that she would take instruction regarding issue raised in the O.A.</p> <p>3. S.O to 26.7.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.R Chādha) Member (A) Akn</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

J N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> <u>MT, Vice</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>A.T. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>A.R. Chadha (M.B)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>M.R. Patil</u> vs <u>U.A. Telvekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manohar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adv/S.O. to <u>28/8/18</u></p> <p><u>M.B. Kadam</u> holding for <u>Shri S.S. Dere</u> Adv for <u>He Res. no 101.</u></p> <p align="right"><u>e</u></p>	<p align="center"><b>23.07.2018</b></p> <p align="center"><b><u>O.A 862/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri A.J Telvekar &amp; Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri M.R Patil, learned advocate files leave note. Heard Ms Swati Mahcekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents and Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for Respondent no. 101, files affidavit in reply.</p> <p>2. S.O to 28.8.2018</p> <p align="center">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p align="center"><b>(A.R. Chadha)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>(M.T Joshi, J.)</b></span> <b>Member (A)</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>Vice-Chairman</b></span></p> <p align="center">tkn</p>

(Spl.- MAT-P-2 E)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>23/7/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> <u>M.T. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>M.T. Joshi</u> (Chairman) <u>A.R. Chaudhary</u> (MR)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>J.N. Kamble</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>N.G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>24/7/18</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>e</u> EH.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>23.07.2018</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A 1112/2016</u></b></p> <p>Shri S.K Bhalerao ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N Kamble, learned advocate for applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks time.</p> <p>3. S O to 24.7.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.R Chadha) Member (A) Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(M.T Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>