

0000. N. No. Office Memoranda of Coram.  
Applicant, Tribunal's orders or  
Respondents and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.04.2019.

O. A. No. 411 of 2019

S. P. Kapile

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosle with Shri M. D. Lonkar learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. M. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 13.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.04.2019.

O.A.No.342 of 2019

S. P. Hadke

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 15.04.2019, today learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 explaining difficulties in processing pension papers of the Applicant.
3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2016 but could not get retiral benefits because of destruction of service book in the fire broke out in Mantralaya. In view of destruction of service book, the department was required to reconstruct the service book for which circulars were issued time to time for reconstructions of service book. The Applicant was also required to submit the relevant papers which are in his possession and necessary for reconstruction of service book.
4. The Applicant is present before the Tribunal and is directed to cooperate for reconstruction of service book and to deliver necessary documents which are in his possession and necessary for reconstruction of service book.
5. Smt. Pranali Gosavi-Yadav, Desk Officer is present on behalf of the Respondents. The Applicant is directed to meet her and to submit necessary documents necessary for reconstruction of service book.
6. So long the service book is not reconstructed and verified, the pension papers cannot be processed finally. Therefore, the Respondents are directed to complete the exercise of reconstruction of service book within two weeks and to take all necessary steps for release the retiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement and in accordance to rules. Simultaneously, the steps be taken for continuation of provisional pension till grant of final pension.
7. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for compliance of the aforesaid directions.
8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.
9. S.O. to 04.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurnekar)  
Member(I)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 23.04.2019.**

**O. A. No. 411 of 2019**

**S. P. Kapile**

**....Applicant**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**...Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosle with Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 13.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

*Handwritten signature and date:*  
U. V. Bhosle  
24/4/19

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.04.2019.

O. A. No.251 of 2019

D. S. Sabale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. B. Nikte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice or date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 13.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(I)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
Date : 23.04.2019.

O.A.No.303 of 2019

M. N. Thosare .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the suspension order dated 12.07.2017 whereby the Applicant was kept under suspension invoking the Rule 4(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. Being aggrieved by it, the Applicant has earlier filed the O.A.No.691/2018 which was allowed on 31.10.2018 whereby the directions were given to place the matter before the Review Committee within one month. In pursuance of the directions given by the Tribunal in O.A. No.691/2018, the matter was placed before the Review Committee but Review Committee deferred the matter for two months. As no decision was taken within two months, the Applicant has again filed this present O.A.

3. When present O.A. <sup>was</sup> taken up for admission on 03.04.2019, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. had submitted that the Review Committee has already taken decision on 27.03.2019 to revoke the suspension. In view of the said statement, the matter was adjourned for issuance of posting orders.

4. However, today the learned P.O. submitted that though the Review Committee has recommended for revocation of suspension, it is not acceptable to the Government and, therefore, posting orders cannot be issued.

5. In view of above, the present O.A. needs to be decided on merit expeditiously as the Applicant is under suspension for near about two years.

6. One week's time is lastly granted for filing reply without fail.

7. S.O. to 02.05.2019.

Sd/-  
(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 23.04.2019.</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.379 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>B. K. Vane &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A, the Applicants have challenged the impugned orders dated 27.03.2019 as well as order dated 11.03.2019 and also prayed for interim relief to stay the implementation of the impugned orders.</p> <p>3. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants has pointed that the Applicants were deputed in Highway Traffic Police Department for the period of five years but before completion of their five years now under the garb of repatriation, they have been transferred to their earlier post. He has further pointed out that as per Circular issued by the Respondent No.1 on 16.03.2018, the deputation should be for five years and in case where on administrative grounds their transfer is necessitated then it should be done on the recommendation of Police Establishment Board (PEB) by the Competent Authority. He urged that in the present matter, there is no recommendation of PEB as constituted in terms of Notification dated 18.01.2016 whereby separate PEB at Highway Traffic level is established.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Whereas, learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the Applicants have been already relieved from their post and, therefore, the question of interim relief does not survive. She has also pointed out that the Applicants have also joined their earlier post in view of the impugned orders.

5. However, the learned P.O. fairly concedes that there is no approval of PEB. She submits that now the Respondents will take remedial measures and will pass appropriate orders by following due process of law.

6. Thus what transpired from the record and submissions made by the learned Counsel that the Applicants period of deputation have been curtailed and they have been transferred without recommendation of PEB. This being the position, prima-facie the impugned order suffers from material illegality and, therefore, deserves to be stayed.

6. In view of above, interim relief in terms of prayed clause 10(b) is granted.

7. As the Applicants are relieved from their post in view of the stay, the Respondents are directed to re-post them at their earlier post within three days from today.

8. The Respondents are at liberty to pass the order afresh after following due process of law on compliance of the interim relief granted by the Tribunal.

9. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

10. S.O. to 03.05.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 23.04.2019. Tribunal's orders

M. A. No.209 of 2019 In O.A.No.391 of 2019

A. H. Nikalaje .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. G. Kathane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. M.A. No.209 of 2019 is filed for condonation of delay of two years and nine months caused in filing O.A.319/2019 wherein the Applicant has challenged the impugned orders dated 18.06.2016 as well as 26.11.2018. Learned Advocate submitted that in so far as the order dated 26.11.2018 is concerned, O.A. is within limitation but as regard impugned communication dated 18.06.2016, he has filed application for condonation of delay to be on safer side. He has urged that by impugned order 26.11.2018 recovery of Rs.2,49,323/- has been ordered from his retiral benefits. The said amount is towards alleged excess payment made to him during 1996 to 2017. He, therefore, prayed to interim relief to stay the recovery.
3. The Applicant is retiring at the end of April, 2019. The sum of Rs.2,49,323/- has been ordered to be recovered on the ground that excess payment was made to him. Needless to mention that the Applicant being Group 'C' employee, the recovery is *prima-facie* is not permissible in view of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer))*, decided on 18th December, 2014. Therefore, interim relief deserves to be



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. There shall be stay to the implementation of impugned order dated 26.11.2018 till further order.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2019.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 13.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.251 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D. S. Sabale</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri C. B. Nikte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Doie, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 13.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>Sd/-</b></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> <b>Member(J)</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 29.04.2019.

O.A.No.342 of 2019

S. P. Hadke ...Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 15.04.2019, today learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 explaining difficulties in processing pension papers of the Applicant.

3. The Applicant stands retired on 31.07.2016 but could not get retiral benefits because of destruction of service book in the fire broke out in Mantralaya. In view of destruction of service book, the department was required to reconstruct the service book for which circulars were issued time to time for reconstructions of service book. The Applicant was also required to submit the relevant papers which are in his possession and necessary for reconstruction of service book.

4. The Applicant is preset before the Tribunal and is directed to cooperate for reconstruction of service book and to deliver necessary documents which are in his possession and necessary for reconstruction of service book.

5. Smt. Pranali Gosavi-Yadav, Desk Officer is present on behalf of the Respondents. The Applicant is directed to meet her and to submit necessary documents necessary for reconstruction of service book.

6. So long the service book is not reconstructed and verified, the pension papers cannot be processed finally. Therefore, the Respondents are directed to complete the exercise of reconstruction of service book within two weeks and to take all necessary steps for release the retiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement and in accordance to rules. Simultaneously, the steps be taken for continuation of provisional pension till grant of final pension.

7. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for compliance of the aforesaid directions.

8. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

9. S.O. to 04.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.04.2019.

O. A. No.416 of 2019

D. M. Birajdar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Galkwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents Intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This Intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 13.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 29.04.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">D. A. No.417 of 2019</p> <p>M. I. A. Shaikh <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2019.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This Intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 13.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.04.2019.

O. A. No. 411 of 2019

S. P. Kaple

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosle with Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 13.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.149 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. Suryawanshi &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. In so far as reply of the Respondent Nos.2 to 4 are concerned, learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that he needs to take instructions from the department as to whether separate reply on their behalf is necessary.</li> <li>4. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4, if necessary.</li> <li>5. S.O. to 04.06.2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT ..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1112 of 2018</p> <p>A. M. Mall .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Ms Sangita holding for Shri D. Pagare, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. One week's time is granted to learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 to file reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 03.05.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.171 of 2019</p> <p>D. E. Shinde .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 02.05.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.143 of 2019</p> <p>A. R. Uttare .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. Harit, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Galkwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Respondent No.4 is present in person.</p> <p>2. Today, Respondent No.4 is present in person and he has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, last chance is granted for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A.No

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>23/4/2019</u></p> <p><u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p><u>Anil Jaiswar</u> Ld. Adv. holding for <u>Shri R.G. Panchal</u></p> <p><u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Respondent/s</p> <p>Att. To: <u>OA disposed off accordingly.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>B.L.</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.721 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.S. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO has produced a communication dated 30.1.2019 from the respondent no.3. The order states as under:</p> <p align="center">"प्रस्तावित शिक्षेत बदल करून त्यांना "तोडी समज" देण्यात येत आहे."</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to reconsider the punishment mentioned above in view of his prayers as per merits within a period of two months, as the punishment of oral warning does not exist in the Rules. OA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.4.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>23/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Hon. Justice <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>PRESENTEE</p> <p><u>Ms. Prachi Hendre</u> L. Adv. holding for <u>Shri V.V. Joshi</u> Applicant</p> <p><u>B.D. Dole</u> for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>MA is allowed</u> <u>accordingly.</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.137 of 2019 in O.A. No.244 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.B. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The above MA is for condoning delay of six months in filing the OA.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in MA, the delay is condoned and MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.4.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>23/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>Ms. Prachi Hendre L.A.W. holding for Shri V.V. Joshi Applicant</p> <p>S.D. Dole Respondents</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>18/6/2019</u></p> <p><i>[Handwritten signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.244 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.B. Jagtap ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.6.2019.</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.4.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: right;">23/4/2019</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Ms. A.R. Deshpande Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....26/4/2019.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1133 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.A. Kale &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks two days time for finalizing the reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.4.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>23/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>30/4/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>gite</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.223 of 2019</u></p> <p>P.P. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks one week's time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.4.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>23/4/2019.</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> Registrar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>21/4/2019.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.292 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.A. Sawant ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Id. CPO seeks one week's time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 2.5.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 23.4.2019</p> <p>(sgl)</p>