

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.26 of 2019 in M.A. No.616 of 2018 in O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p>J. V. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N. A. Agashe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. No.26/2019 is made to restore the M.A.No.616/2018, which has been dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order passed by this Tribunal on 10.12.2018.</p> <p>3. As per the order passed by this Tribunal, the Applicant was to collect the notices within seven days and to file the Affidavit of Service three days before the returnable date. However, instead of collecting notices from the office of the Tribunal, the Applicant's Advocate has issued the notices on his letterhead directly due to inadvertent^{once}. He, therefore, prayed for restoration of M.A.No.616/2018.</p> <p>4. For the reasons stated above, M.A. No.616/2018 is restored.</p> <p>5. M.A. No.26/2019 is allowed accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.616 of 2018 in O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p>J. V. PatilApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri N. A. Agashe, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In view of the order passed in M.A.No.26/2019, this M.A.No.616/2018 which has been dismissed for non compliance of condition order of Service of Affidavit has been restored. 3. In view of the restoration of M.A.616/2018, issue fresh notices before admission returnable on 21.02.2019. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 21.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">O. A. No.639 of 2018</p> <p>T.M. MujumaleApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B. K. learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 and the same is adopted on behalf of Respondent No.1 also.</p> <p>3. Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>4. Two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 14.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[PTO]</p>

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.78 of 2019</p> <p>G. P. NaikApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Perusal of the Original Application reveals that the Applicant stands retired from the post of Assistant Sub Inspector of Police on 31.08.2017 but till date, no pensionary benefits have been released. He has made representation on 24.12.2018, but in vein.</p> <p>3. This matter was called out in morning session and having noticed inordinate delay in releasing pension, learned P.O. ^{W.P.S} is directed to take instructions from the department and the matter was kept in second session.</p> <p>4. In second session, learned P.O. submitted that provisional pension has been released for the period from September, 2017 to February, 2018 and for subsequent period, the proposal is under consideration. Admittedly, the gratuity is not paid. The hurdle seems to be regularization of suspension period from 31.10.1992 to 05.02.1996.</p> <p>5. The Applicant was accused in Criminal Case but was acquitted long ago. In any case, in view of the retirement w.e.f.31.08.2017, the Respondents ought to have expedited the process of pension but there is inordinate delay for the same.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

6. In view of above, the Original Application needs to be expedited.
7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.02.2019.
8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
12. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
13. S.O. to 07.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

O.A.No.83 of 2019

A. V. Shahane & Ors.)Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.) ...Respondents.

Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicants

Ms N. G. Gohad, Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER (J)

DATE : 23.01.2019

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. At the very outset, it needs to be mentioned that issue involved in the present O.A. has been subject matter of earlier decision rendered by this Tribunal in O.A. No.841/2015, decided on 29.01.2016 as well as O.A.237/2016, decided on 22.08.2016. Based on these decisions of the Tribunal, the Respondents have also taken policy decision as reflected from Circular dated 16.07.2018 as well as 02.04.2018. The Applicants now completed more than five years on deputation. However, despite the decisions rendered by this Tribunal and the representation made by one of the Applicant dated 21.08.2018, no decision has been taken by the Respondents for their repatriation.
3. Thus, there seems to be no legal impediment to accept the representations made by the applicants. However, they ^{are} constrained to approach this Tribunal.
^

4. In view of the above, learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to take instructions from the Respondents on the above aspect and inform the Tribunal on the next date without fail, so that the matter can be disposed of expeditiously.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 04.02.2019

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.72 of 2019</p> <p>R. S. NileApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.02.2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.8. S.O. to 20.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.738 of 2017</p> <p>A. B. ManeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is on board for final hearing and accordingly the arguments of Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate were heard and on the request of Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O., the matter was adjourned for his argument for today.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. on telephonic instructions submitted that the request of the Applicant to consider his application for appointment on compassionate ground w.e.f. date of filing reply is under consideration of the department and the decision will be taken in a week in this matter. The name of the applicant is already taken on wait list w.e.f. 28.09.2016. As such, the issue is regarding seniority of the applicant.</p> <p>4. In view of this statement, learned P.O. requested for time.</p> <p>5. Seven days time is granted to take necessary decision.</p> <p>6. Adjourned for final hearing on 30.01.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.872 of 2018</p> <p>Sanjay BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant states that he has instructions from his client to withdraw this Original Application.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement made by the learned Advocate, allowed to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>4. Interim relief granted by this Tribunal on 01.10.2018 stands vacated.</p> <p>5. In view of the withdrawal of Original Application, the Respondents are at liberty to proceed with the process in accordance with rules and regulations.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 265 of 2018</p> <p>A: D. ShaikhApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and the same is adopted on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 and 3 also. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 267 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. M. K. BarveApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that despite the order of this Tribunal dated 28.11.2018, there is no compliance of the payment of allowances pertaining to the period from 27.3.2018 to 03.04.2018. He has also pointed out that the suspension order has been passed on 17.02.2018 but the Respondents have not filed reply in this O.A. He, therefore, requested to expedite the matter.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the allowances pertaining to period from 27.3.2018 to 03.04.2018 would be paid soon. The allowances if not paid for the above aforesaid period be paid within seven days as well as reply be filed within seven days, failing to which, the matter will be heard finally on the next date.</p> <p>4. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 30.01.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.32 of 2019 in O.A.No.07 of 2019</p> <p>S. T. RathodApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. This Mis. Application has been filed to restore the O.A.No.07/2019, which has been dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order passed by this Tribunal on 03.01.2019. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that he had served the notices as per the order of the Tribunal but could not tendered the Service Affidavit within time. Today, he is ready for filing Service Affidavit and, therefore, prayed for restoration of Original Application. 4. For the reasons stated above, Misc. Application is allowed and O.A.No.07/2019 is restored to the file. 5. Original Application be taken on board on 07.02.2019 for filing reply. 6. S.O. to 07.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 23.01.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.34 of 2019 in O.A.No.84 of 2019</p> <p>D. B. Salunkhe & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 23.01.2019.

O.A.No.84 of 2019 :

D. B. Saiunkhe & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 06.02.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 06.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 23.01.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">M. A. No.33 of 2019 in O.A.No.83 of 2019</p> <p>A. V. Shahane & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.5. M.A. is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>23/1/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: By <u>R. G. Panchal</u> C.P.O. for the Applicant By <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>M.A. is allowed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MIT</i></p>	<p>23.01.2019</p> <p><u>M.A 588/2018 in O.A 101/2017</u></p> <p>Shri M.A Sayyed ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G Panchal, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. In view that Original Application is taken up for final hearing, M.A is disposed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.H Joshi (J.) Chairman)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>23/1/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Manohar (Member) A</p> <p>APPLICANTS: Shri <u>S.D. Patil</u> holding <u>A.A. Desai</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri / Smt.: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Place it before DB as per convenience.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MPK</i></p>	<p><u>23.01.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 296/2018 with 297/2018</u></p> <p>Dr K.S Ubale ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, holding for Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned CPO for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Place it before DB as per convenience.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>