

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.409 of 2018 In O.A.No.730 of 2018</p> <p>B. I. FulariApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the matter is fixed for hearing however the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. They were absent on last date also but the matter is adjourned for giving opportunity of hearing for them. 3. Today, the matter was called out for hearing but the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent and the matter was kept back. However, when the matter is again taken up and called out still the Applicant and his Advocate are absent. 4. In view of above, it appears that the Applicant is not interested in the matter. Hence, Misc. Application is dismissed in default. 5. Accordingly, M.A. as well as O.A. are disposed of with no order as to costs. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.04.2019.

O. A. No.384 of 2019

A. K. Bangar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.409 of 2019

DISTRICT : PUNE

Dr. B. H. Tadvi)..... **Applicant**

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.)..... **Respondents**

Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicant.
Shri A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1.

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 22.04.2019

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the impugned transfer order dated 15.04.2019 whereby the Applicant, Superintendent, State Excise, Pune has been transferred to Superintendent, State Excise, Sindhudurg invoking Section 4(5) of the Transfer Act, 2005.
3. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant sought interim stay to the execution of transfer order dated 31.05.2018 on the ground of absence of Chair Person of Civil Services Board (CSB) in the meeting, absence of prior permission of Election Commission and absence of signature of Principal Secretary on the note placed before the Hon'ble Minister for transfer.
4. Whereas, the learned P.O. submitted that there is sufficient compliance of Section 4(5) of the Transfer Act, 2005 and the same has been approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister. He further submitted that objections raised by the learned Advocate for the Applicant are unsustainable as prima-facie there is compliance of mandatory provisions of law.



5. As regard the absence of Chair Person of CSB, the file produced by the department reveals that it being urgent situation directions were sought from the Chief Secretary having found that the various wine shops of Hinjewadi, Pune were found functional in the night in contravention of the rules. It being emergent situation, consent of the members of CSB was obtained by circulation as the Chair Person of the CSB was out of station. His approval has been obtained through Whatsapp Messenger. Then file was placed for transfer before the Hon'ble Minister as well as Hon'ble Chief Minister who approved the same. True, the signature of Principal Secretary is missing on the proposal submitted to the Hon'ble Minister. However, absence of his signature itself at this stage cannot be the ground to vitiate the transfer order. Perhaps, in view of emergent situation, he being not available, the file was placed by the Joint Secretary and the same was approved by the Hon'ble Minister for State Excise as well as Hon'ble Chief Minister.
6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant referred to circulation issued by the Election Commission of India dated 07.01.2007 which inter-alia provides for prior permission of Election Commission. So far this aspect is concerned, learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the oral directions were availed from the State Election Commission.
7. Thus, perusal of file reveals that the transfer of the Applicant was necessitated urgently and accordingly, file was placed before the Hon'ble Minister and Hon'ble Chief Minister who approved the same.
8. As such, *prima-facie* there is substantial compliance of Section 4(5) of the Transfer Act, 2005. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief.
9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 02.05.2019.
10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
14. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
15. S.O. to 02.05.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

Office Notes. Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance. Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.04.2019.

O.A.No.883 of 2017 with M.A.No.429 of 2018

P. D. Nagpurkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit of Applicant (Page Nos.106 to 111) along with annexures (Page Nos.112 to 115). It is taken on record.
3. In the present matter, the Applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 31.01.2015 whereby the request of the Applicant for reinstatement in service has been rejected.
4. Perusal of the O.A. reveals that the Applicant was prosecuted under the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 and was convicted by the Special Judge, Nagpur. However, being aggrieved by this, the Applicant had filed the Appeal before the Hon'ble High Court which came to be allowed on 30.10.2014. However, earlier to his prosecution in Criminal Case, he was subjected to O.E. and by order dated 30.04.1997, he was dismissed from service. Being aggrieved by it, he had filed appeal which was dismissed. Thereafter, he had filed revision before the Government. Now, the Applicant contends that the appeal was heard by the Hon'ble Minister and the order of dismissal from service was modified by withholding two increments with reinstatement in service. It is on the basis of this purported order passed by the Hon'ble Minister, now the Applicant who meantime stands retired is seeking for retiral benefits.
5. However, crucial aspect is that the authenticated or certified copy passed by the Government whereby the Applicant was directed to reinstate in service is not forthcoming. In this behalf, learned P.O. submits that no such order has been passed neither any such record is available with the Government.
6. It is on the above background, the Applicant has today filed Affidavit along with zerox copy of letter of the Hon'ble Minister dated 27.02.2019 who allegedly heard the revision and disposed of the matter.
7. On the request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply, if necessary or the matter will be heard finally.
8. S.O. to 02.05.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.04.2019.

O. A. No.384 of 2019

A. K. BangarApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.06.2019.

n 17
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.319 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. N. D. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing on 06.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1130 of 2018</p> <p>U. B. Dhumal & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 will be filed during the course of the day. He further submitted that it be treated as Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 also. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.04.2019.

O. A. No.408 of 2019

D. R. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D. V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.1056 of 2018</p> <p>V. L. HateApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and the same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks time granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4.5.O. to 04.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.255 of 2019</p> <p>V. B. WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. There is no compliance of the order passed by this Tribunal on 15.04.2019 whereby the directions were given to Respondent No.3 to file the reply explaining the steps taken to release the retiral benefits date-wise since beginning so that necessary orders can be passed by the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. Shri Sunil Chavan, Administrative Officer, J. J. Hospital, Mumbai is present and submitted that now steps are being taken to release the retiral benefits forthwith. This statement is not enough in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on 15.04.2019.</p> <p>4. On the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents, the matter is adjourned to 24.04.2019 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply in terms of order passed on 15.04.2019 and also to apprise the Tribunal about the present status of the file relating to payment of retiral benefits to the Applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 24.04.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.04.2019.

O.A.No.206/2019 with 207/2019 with 211 of 2019

S. C. Shinde
P. K. Hfje
S. M. Awalkanthe Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

O.A. 206/2017

2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondent has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
3. Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 submits that Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.

4. S.O. to 02.05.2019.

O.A.207/2019 with O.A.211/2019

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondent has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
3. Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 submits that today he is furnishing copy of the un-affirmed reply to the opposite side and further submits that duly affirmed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 will be filed in the Tribunal on or before next date without fail. Statement is accepted.
4. S.O. to 02.05.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.217 of 2019</p> <p>V. J. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and</p> <p>4. It is taken on record. As regards the reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 3, learned P.O. seeks time to confirm whether the reply filed today is enough for all the Respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.05.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1085 of 2017</p> <p>D. T. NawleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing on 11.06.2019.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.06.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.04.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.714 of 2018</p> <p>R. M. PalandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">vsm</p>

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000—10-2013)

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>22/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Manoj Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manchekar Ld.</u> C.P.O./B.O. for the Respondent/s <i>Amendment carried out in the court.</i> Adj. To: <u>21/4/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(sgl)</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.128 of 2019 with M.A. No.217 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.L. Shete ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed the above MA seeking permission for amending the OA as per Annexure 'A' attached to the MA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks three days time to carry out the amendment.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. OA may be amended accordingly and amended copy of OA be served on all concerned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>4. In the meanwhile respondents may consider the applicant's request and if they are considering it favourably, the reply need not be filed.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 6.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.4.2019</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.04.2019.

O. A. No.408 of 2019

D. R. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D. V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.04.2019.

O. A. No.384 of 2019

A. K. Bangar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S. O. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.06.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 10.06.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

(G.C.P.) J 1728(B) (20,000-10-2018)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>22/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>E. T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar Ld.</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s Ad. To. <u>21/4/2019</u></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.128 of 2019 with M.A. No.217 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.L. Shete ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed the above MA seeking permission for amending the OA as per Annexure 'A' attached to the MA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks three days time to carry out the amendment.</p> <p>3. Permission granted. OA may be amended accordingly and amended copy of OA be served on all concerned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p>4. In the meanwhile respondents may consider the applicant's request and if they are considering it favourably, the reply need not be filed.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 6.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.4.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgl)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 1728(B) (20,000—10-2013)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

M.A. No.98 of 2019 in O.A. No.690 of 2018 with
O.A. No.947 of 2018 & OA No.1087 of 2018

P.S. Vetal & Ors.
S.S. Salve & Ors.
S.S. Pingale & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Suhas R. Mandal, learned Advocate holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned Advocate for Applicant in MA No.98 of 2019, Shri Shubham Kaushal, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OAs and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate Shri Suhas Mandál requests that MA may be kept for hearing before the Division Bench. In amending the earlier order the request for hearing before the Division Bench is allowed.

3. S.O. to 26.4.2019 before Division Bench.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

(sg)

DATE: 22/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Suhas R. Mandal Ld. Adv.
holding for Shri N.K. Rajpurohit Ld. Adv.
Advocate for the Applicant < M.A. 98/2019 >
Shri/Smt. Shubham Kaushal Ld. Adv.
C.P.O. for the Respondents for Appls. in
OAs and Ms S.P. Manchekar Ld.
Att. to C.P.O. for the Resp.

S.O. to 26/4/2019 before
Division Bench.

[Handwritten signature]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>22/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Ipohi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri N. Ramasubramanian (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>U.V. Bhosale</u> Ld. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Ld. C.P.O./A.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Issue notice & intimations</u> on <u>12/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.407 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Chougule & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 12.6.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. While replying, the respondents are directed to particularly reply paras 6.16 to 6.21 and 6.26 of OA. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is allowed to include additional papers by way of amendment.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.4.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 1728(B) (20,000-10-2013)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>22/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. G. Kulkarni (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>22/6/2019 by consent</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.812 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.S. Lodhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant mentions that he needs to get fresh instructions regarding subsequent developments from the applicant. He seeks adjournment till 28.6.2019.</p> <p>3. By consent S.O. to 28.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.4.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

(Spl.- MAT-P-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>22/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice M. B. Kadam (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri M.B. Kadam Ld. no. holding for Shri P.V. Patil</p> <p>Shri K.S. Gaikwad C.E.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Id. To: <u>30/4/2019 by way of last chance.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.14 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. R.S. Shinge ..Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO mentions that she will definitely file reply on the basis of revised parawise remarks before 30.4.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 30.4.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.4.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 1728(B) (20,000-10-2013)

(Spl. - MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./A/C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.123 of 2018

R.S. PawarApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

Applicant in person is absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.
- Applicant in person has submitted a request stating that the OA may be listed on the same day as per OA No.42 of 2018.
- S.O. to 6.6.2019 with liberty to circulate along with other OA.

DATE: 22/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Applicant in person is absent. (sgl)

Advocate for the Applicant:

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.E.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 6.6.2019 with liberty
to circulate along with OA.

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

(G.C.P.) J-1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

[Spl. MAT-P-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.588 of 2018

M.M. Solanki ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar, learned Advocate holding
for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant
and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 7.6.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 22/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Anil Jaiswar Ld. Adv. holding
for Shri R.G. Panchal Ld. Adv.
Advocate for the Applicant

Smt/Smt: K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: 21/4/2019

BTe

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2018)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No. 596 of 2018

Dr. S.M. Gaikwad & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the
Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 7.6.2019 by way of last chance.

DATE: 22/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Spec: M.D. Lonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Spec: K.S. Gaikwad & U.

Advocate for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: 21.6.2019

(sgl)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

[Handwritten signature]

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.764 of 2018

N.S. Shinde ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the
Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks six weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 6.6.2019 by way of last chance.

DATE: 22/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. P. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shr/Smt. Applicant and Advocate
for the Applicant are absent.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shr/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 6/6/2019 by way of
last chance.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

(sgl)

MPD

(G.C.P.) J 1728(B) (20,000-10-2013)

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memorande of Ceram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.262 of 2019

D.B. Dhawale ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer
for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO mentions that copy of OA has not been served in the office of CPO.
3. As Applicant as well as Advocate for the applicant are absent, keep the matter for dismissal on 25.4.2019.
4. S.O. to 25.4.2019 for dismissal.

DATE: 22/4/2019

ORDER:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. B. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sm. Applicant and Advocate ~~are~~ (sg)

~~The Applicant are absent.~~

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Sm. S.P. Manchekar

Ld. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adn. To: 25/4/2019

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

BZ

G.C.P.) J 1728(B) (20,000-10-2018)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribuna's orders
---	------------------

O.A. No.1092 of 2018

B.P. Davari & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO proposes to make short affidavit mentioning status regarding various applicants and their joining on promotion.

3. At the request of Ld. CPO, S.O. to 2.5.2019.

DATE 22/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Applicant and Advocate
for the Applicant are absent.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To 2/5/2019

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

(sgl)

B.P.

(G.C.P.) 1728(B) (20,000-10-2013)

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
--	-------------------

O.A. No.134 of 2019

V.L. Kardile ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks two days time for intimating progress regarding order issued on 18.3.2019.
3. S.O. to 24.4.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

DATE 22/4/2019

CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri H. J. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant
Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Ld.
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To 24/4/2019

(sgl)

Handwritten initials

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2018)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.1058 of 2018

J.R. Ingavale ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Shambhuraje Pisal, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.D. Rananaware, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment.

3. S.O. to 14.6.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

(sg)

DATE: 22/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. R. Jadhav (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Shambhuraje Pisal, Ld. Adv.
holding for Shri D.D. Rananaware
Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: 14/6/2019

BT

(G.C.P.) 1728(B) (20,000-10-2013)

[Spl.: MAT-F-2 E.]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./E.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>22/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>U.V. Bhosale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>31.04.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>U.V.</i></p>	<p><u>Q.A. No.931 of 2018 with M.A. No.552 of 2018</u></p> <p>J.V. Patil Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply in OA.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 3.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.4.2019</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

(G.C.P.) J. 1728(B) (20,000-10-2013)

[Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./L.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.741 of 2018

M.L. Bramhane Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the
Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 30.4.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

DATE: 22/4/2019

DORAN:

For the Petitioner, Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

For the Respondent, Shri M. K. Gaikwad (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. J. N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Ld.

P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To. 30/4/2019

(sgj)

BJE

(G.C.P.) J 1728(B) (20,000-10-2013)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.890 of 2018

B.N. Nagre ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.

3. S.O. to 26.4.2019.

Sd/-

(P.M. Dixit)
Member (A)
22.4.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 22/4/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Kambhakar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri's for Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.

Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O. for the Respondents

Ad. To: 26/4/2019

[Handwritten signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>22/4/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jashi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCES:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Anil Jaiswar Ld. Adv. holding</u></p> <p>4. <u>for Shri R.G. Panchal</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>23/4/2019</u>.....</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>g.w.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.721 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.S. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. ^{have} Ld. Advocate for the applicant mentions that he does not the brief and seeks adjournment till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.4.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>