

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As.161 & 162/2019

1. Shri N.S. Nyamane  
2. Shri N.T. Gabhale                   ... Applicants  
      Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors.             ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.

2. In these O.As, the challenge is to the transfer orders dated 14<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 whereby the Applicants have been transferred from Hinjwadi Police Station to Commissionerate, Pune by order of Police Commissioner, Pimpri-Chinchwad. The Applicants have challenged these orders contending that there is blatant violation of the provisions of Section 22N of Maharashtra Police Act and the orders are passed by the Commissionerate without recommendation of Police Establishment Board (PEB). The Applicants have also placed reliance in this behalf on the Judgment passed by this Tribunal in *O.A.843/2018 (Ajay Bhapkar Vs. Additional Commissioner of Police) decided on 1<sup>st</sup> January, 2019.*

3. This matter was called out in the morning session and it was brought to the notice of learned P.O. as to how such transfer orders have been issued despite decision in O.A.843/2018 wherein in similar situation was resolved. On his request, the matter was kept in second session. In the second session, the learned P.O. submitted that he tried to contact to transferring authority, but he could not speak to him. At the same time, he tried to justify impugned order contending that it is internal transfer.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. So far as the transfers from one Commissionerate to another Commissionerate in the same city is concerned, this issue has already been decided by this Tribunal in O.A.843/2018 and the impugned transfer order passed by Additional Commissioner of Police was set aside.

5. In the present case also, there is nothing to indicate that the PEB approved the transfers. The learned P.O. is also unable to enlighten the Tribunal in this behalf. As such, there is no approval of competent PEB. Consequently, the impugned transfer orders are prima-facie not legal.

6. In view of above, I am inclined to grant interim relief in terms of prayer clause 10(a) till the filing of reply.

7. issue notice returnable on 22.03.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 22<sup>nd</sup> March, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
22.02.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.140/19

Shri R.M. Jarag ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Mrs. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.

2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking stay to the implementation of order of punishment dated 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2019 whereby the Applicant has been reverted from the post of Police Inspector to the post of Assistant Police Inspector for a period till his retirement, which is due on 31<sup>st</sup> May, 2019. The Applicant is Police Personnel, and therefore, governed by the provisions of Maharashtra Police Act and Rules framed thereunder.

3. The learned CPO pointed out that, it being the matter of reversion under Maharashtra Police Act falls within the jurisdiction of Division Bench as per the directions issued by Hon'ble Chairman about division of work. As per Serial No.15 of the Office Order, the reversion falls within the jurisdiction of Division Bench.

4. True, minor punishment falls within the jurisdiction of Single Bench. However, as pointed out by learned CPO, there is no such distinction of minor punishments and major punishments in Maharashtra Police Act as in M.C.S.R.(Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979.

5. In view of above, the Registrar is directed to examine the matter and place before the appropriate Bench.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

22.02.2019

(skw)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.968/2018</u></b></p> <p><b>Dr. S.D. Bhosale</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Puman Mahajan, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered a copy of order dated 18<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 whereby the representation of the Applicant has been accepted and he has been posted as Livestock Development Officer, Kamsheth, Tal. Maval, District Pune. The said letter is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As such, the grievance of the Applicant stands redressed. In view of above, no cause of action survives and the O.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(shw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.1004/17</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri A.K. Jetithar</b> ... Applicant <b>Vs.</b> <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Mr. J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</li> <li>2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-reply of Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</li> <li>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks' time is granted for hearing at the stage of admission.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> March, 2019.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>O.A.985/2018</u></b></p> <p><b>Shri V.G. Tambe</b> ... Applicant  <b>Vs.</b>  <b>The State of Mah. &amp; ors.</b> ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Heard Mr. A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per order passed by this Tribunal on 15.02.2019, one week's time was granted to obtain the approval of Hon'ble Chief Minister. However, today, again, the learned P.O. tendered a letter issued by Desk Officer, dated 21.02.2019 seeking ten days' time.</p> <p>3. Enough time is granted for remedial measures in terms of orders passed by this Tribunal from time to time. However, seven days' time is lastly granted to take appropriate decision and to pass necessary orders.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> March, 2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member-J  22.02.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.1057/18

Shri B.A. Patil

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.

2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 18<sup>th</sup> May, 2018 *inter-alia* on the ground that there is no compliance of Clause No.7(a) of G.R. dated 14.10.2011.

3. Whereas amidst the hearing, the learned CPO has pointed out that the decision has been taken to continue the suspension by the competent authority, and therefore, the ground of non-compliance of Clause 7(a) of G.R. dated 14.10.2011 does not survive.

4. The Applicant has not challenged the order of refusal to revocation of suspension and to continue the suspension passed by the competent authority. According to learned Advocate for the Applicant, he has not been served with the reasoned order.

5. At this juncture, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that he would amend the O.A, so as to challenge the decision taken by the competent authority to continue the suspension.

6. In view of above, the matter is adjourned for a week. S.O. to 1<sup>st</sup> March, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member-J

22.02.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.336/18

Shri R.D. Akpure ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for Respondents.

2. In this matter, the challenge is to the suspension order. But during the pendency of the application, the Government has taken decision to revoke suspension, but the posting orders have not issued. Only to facilitate issuance of posting order, the matter is pending and adjourned from time to time.

3. Today, Mr. Hemant Mahajan, Under Secretary, Medical Education Department is present. He stated that the file for posting has been placed before the Hon'ble Minister on 6/7<sup>th</sup> February, 2019 but yet the orders are not passed. He further stated that he will take the follow-up of the matter. However, he was unable to tell as to how much time it will take for approval from the Hon'ble Minister.

4. As already enough chances are granted, now there is no point to keep the matter pending awaiting the decision, as the authorities seems to be not serious about the issuance of posting order.

5. Hence, the matter be heard on merit on the next date. S.O. to 26<sup>th</sup> February, 2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
22.02.2019

(skw)



1 N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
Directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
**O.A.994/2018**

**Shri B.M. Dahiphale** ... Applicant

**Vs.**

**The State of Mah. & ors.** ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A, the Applicant has prayed for direction to the Respondents to pass final order on the representation made by him and to regularize the period of suspension from 19.08.2005 to 19.12.2011 as duty period.

3. However, today, the learned P.O. has tendered a copy of letter dated 30<sup>th</sup> July, 2013 which shows that the Government has already taken decision not to treat the suspension period as a duty period on the ground that the Applicant is not permanent employee, and therefore, M.C.S.R. (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981 are not applicable to him.

4. However, it appears that there is no service of the said order dated 13.07.2013 upon the Applicant. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that he be given opportunity to challenge the said order and the present O.A. be disposed of.

5. It is quite strange to observe that the order dated 13.07.2013 is not served upon the Applicant for the period of near about six years. Mr. H.G. Kulkarni, Junior Clerk, Health Department, Mumbai is present <sup>(M.D)</sup> stated that there is no record showing the service of the letter dated 13.07.2013.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. The Respondents are directed to serve the order dated 13.07.2013 upon the Applicant in proper manner so that if desired, the Applicant can challenge it in accordance to law.</p> <p>7. In view of above, the O.A. is disposed of with liberty to Applicant to challenge the order dated 13.07.2013 in accordance to law. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.86 of 2019 in O.A.No.160 of 2019</p> <p>A. R. Bhalekar &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. S. Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common; concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.02.2019.

O.A.No.160 of 2019

A. R. Bhalekar & Ors. ....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D. S. Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.03.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 15.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders  
Date : 22.02.2019.

M.A. No.444 of 2018 in O.A.No.795 of 2018

V. N. Ghatge .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. S. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. M.A. No.444/2018 is for condonation of delay caused in filing of O.A.No.795/2018 for the appointment on compassionate ground.
3. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered a copy of letter dated 21.02.2019 by way of compliance in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 03.10.2018. As per this letter dated 21.02.2019 tendered today, the Applicant's mother has crossed the age of 40 years and in terms of G.R. dated 22.08.2005 her name has been deleted from the waiting list. In so far as, the Applicant is concerned as per the said letter, the Applicant had completed majority in 2009 and was required to file an application within one year but filed application six year after attaining majority. As such, on the point of delay, the name of the Applicant has not been considered for inclusion in waiting list.
4. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder in M.A. It is taken on record.
5. In the present matter, on going through the record, it appears that the Applicant's father was Group-B employee and, therefore, it is necessary to ascertain whether the scheme of compassionate appointment to the heir of deceased employee of Group-B employee is applicable. Simultaneously, if the scheme of appointment on compassionate ground is applicable to the deceased father of the Applicant, in that event the question of limitation needs to be decided.
6. In view of above, learned Advocates are directed to make submission on the next date.
7. S.O. to 15.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.36/2019

Shri V.P. Narwade ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Applicant in person and Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A, the challenge is to the order dated 10.07.2018 whereby the representation of the Applicant has been rejected by Respondent No.2.

3. Learned P.O. has pointed out that this Tribunal has no jurisdiction and the application ought to have been filed before A'bad Bench. She has further pointed out that admittedly, the Applicant is in service at Nandurbar, District : Dhule.

4. As the Applicant is the resident of Nandurbar, the O.A. ought to have been filed before A'bad Bench.

5. In view of above, the Applicant has requested for return of O.A. for its proper presentation to A'bad Bench of this Tribunal.

6. For the aforesaid reasons, the O.A. be returned to the Applicant for its proper presentation before MAT Bench at Aurangabad.

7. In view of above, the matter is disposed of.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member-J  
22.02.2019

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.911/2015</u></p> <p>Shri H.M. Chikhale &amp; Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Mah. &amp; ors. ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Shri S.V. Potare, Under Secretary, Home Department is present.</li> <li>3. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered a letter dated 21.02.2019 which depicts that the Finance Department has conveyed to the Home Department to take decision at their level. The Home Department seems to have called report from the Office of Director General of Police as well as Police Commissioner, Pune. The said letter is taken on record.</li> <li>4. In view of letter dated 21.02.2019, the learned P.O. submitted that the matter is under process at the Government level and necessary decision will be taken within two weeks.</li> <li>5. As the O.A. is of 2015 and the Applicants have retired long back, more than near about 70 years old, the O.A. needs to be disposed of expeditiously and Government is also required to take decision within two weeks. <span style="float: right;"><i>who</i></span></li> <li>6. In view of above, two weeks' time is granted to take appropriate decision.</li> <li>7. S.O. to 8<sup>th</sup> March, 2019 as last chance.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 22.02.2019</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.164 of 2019**

**DISTRICT : PUNE**

Ranjit P. Desai, Tahsildar, Maval, Dist. Pune. )..... **Applicant**

**Versus**

1. State of Maharashtra, through the Secretary, )  
Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, )  
Mumbai 400 032. )

2. Vaishali Waghmare, Tahsildar (Election), Pune. )...**Respondents**

Shri M. D. Lonkar, Advocate for Applicant.

Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1.

Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

**CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 22.02.2019**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the transfer order dated 20.02.2019 whereby the Applicant has been transferred from Maval, Pune to Solapur and in his place the Respondent No.2 has been posted at Maval.

3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pressed for interim relief contending that the Applicant's case does not fall within the instructions issued by the Election Commission of India and secondly, the impugned order is in violation of section 4(4)(2) and 4(5) of the ROT Act, 2005 (herein after referred as Act 2005 ). He, therefore, prayed for interim relief.

*Wadhwa*



4. Whereas, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has produced the original file contending that the Applicant has been transferred on account of alleged misconduct and irregularity in his performance and sought to justify the impugned transfer order.

5. Whereas, Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 submitted that his client has already taken charge on 21.02.2019 and, therefore, the interim relief prayed by the Applicant has become infructuous.

6. In so far as the guidelines issued by the Election Commission of India is concerned, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondent no.1 fairly concedes that the impugned transfer is not based upon the guidelines.

7. As regards, the ground of transfer, the perusal of original file shows that there is no proposal of Civil Services Board to transfer the Applicant much less on the basis of alleged misconduct or irregularities. The said aspect had come up when the matter was placed before the Hon'ble Minister as well as before the Hon'ble Chief Minister. The said authorities made some of the charges whereby the Applicant has been ordered to be transferred from Maval to Solapur. It is thus explicit that there is no recommendation of Civil Services Board, which is mandatorily required for mid-term transfer. This legal defect is fatal and on this ground itself the impugned order is assailable. In other words, there is no compliance of section 4(4)(2) and 4(5) of the Act, 2005.

8. As the legal defect goes to the root of the matter only because the Respondent No.2 has joined the posting in the place of the Applicant that should not come in the way of grant of interim relief otherwise it would amount to countenance the illegality.

9. In view of above, I am satisfied that prima - facie case is made out for grant of interim relief in favour of the Applicant. Interim relief is, therefore, granted as prayed in clause 15 (b) of the application.

10. Respondent No.1 is directed to repost the Applicant on his original post at Maval.
11. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.
12. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.02.2019.
13. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
14. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
15. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
16. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
17. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
18. S.O. to 28.02.2019.

Sd/-

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.579 of 2018 in O.A.No.1059 of 2017 With M.A. No.580 of 2018 in O.A. No.1060 of 2017</p> <p>5. S. Charankar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. M. Savagave , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply in M.A.No.579/18 and M.A.No.580/2018. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing on 18.03.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 22.02.2019. Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.145 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>A. D. Bhalekar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is only seeking the directions to Respondent No.3 to consider his representations dated 26.11.2018, 27.11.2018, 09.01.2019 and 12.02.2019 in the light of recommendations of Range Forest Officer Social Forestry, Khandala as well as recommendation of Divisional Forest Officer, Social Forestry, District Satara dated 19.12.2018.</li> <li>3. It appears that by order dated 29.11.2018, the Applicant who was working as Forest Guard, Wai Range Office, Satara has been transferred to Forest Guard Sahayadri Tiger Reserve Project Karad, Dist. Satara. However, the said transfer order is not subject matter of challenge in the present O.A.</li> <li>4. The Applicant is seeking transfer in Social Forestry, Khandala, Dist. Satara which has been recommended by the concerned authorities vide letter dated 29.11.2018 and 19.12.2018.</li> <li>5. In view of above, the Original Application can be disposed of with suitable directions.</li> <li>6. The Original Application is disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.3 to consider the representations made by the Applicant referred above at the earliest or in ensuing the general transfer in 2019 and pass the appropriate order.</li> <li>7. No order as to costs.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.899 of 2018</p> <p>D. K. Pawar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O.to 15.03.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>O. A. No.930 of 2018</p> <p>P. Y. Shinde ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.955 of 2018</p> <p>R. D. Gavit .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 and the same is adopted on behalf of Respondent No.1 also. It is taken on record.</li><li>3. In the present matter, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 09.05.2008..</li><li>4. Learned P.O. submitted that after filing this O.A., the Applicant has been reinstated in service.</li><li>5. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</li><li>6. S.O. to 20.03.2019.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.76 of 2019 in O. A. No.63 of 2019</p> <p>D. S. Raut .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the intervener. Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A. 63/19 and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate has filed M.A.No.76/2019 for joining his client as intervener.</p> <p>3. Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has also filed reply to M.A., today.</p> <p>4. In so far as, O.A. No.63/2019 is concerned, the State has not filed reply though enough chances are granted.</p> <p>5. On the request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply in O.A.</p> <p>6. O.A. and M.A. both are adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 15.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.60 of 2019</p> <p>R. B. More .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that Affidavit-in-Reply will be filed during the course of the day. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.97 of 2019</p> <p>A.H. Chandekar ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri P. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 and the same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.3 also. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. No reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and</p> <p>4.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">N. S. Kurhekar Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.949 of 2017

A.R. Patil

Vs.

..Applicant

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate holding  
for Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant  
and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the  
Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time for filing reply.

3. S.O. to 8.3.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-

(P.N.Dixit)  
Member (A)  
22.2.2019

(Sgi)

DATE: 22/2/2019

CORAM:

Mem'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Mem'ble Shri M. P. Patil (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare L.N.  
holding for Adv. J.N. Kamble  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 2/3/2019

*MIT*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 22/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt.: S.R. Deshpande Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: Ms. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 13/2/19 for filing reply. <i>M.P.N.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.976 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.B. Rode ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the Applicant states that he would seek instructions from the applicant regarding the date of procuring necessary certificates and submitting the same for verification. Ld. CPO mentions that reply would be filed within one week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.2.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 22/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> M.T. Joshi (V/C) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Shmt: J.N. Kamble Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Shmt: K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 14/3/2019 Steno copy and Hamdast is all ruled.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1081 of 2017</p> <p>D.B. Ghalpade &amp; Ors. ....Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents seeks time for reporting regarding the corrective steps, if any, on the line of the order dated 20.02.2019, on the ground that the officer has personal difficulty.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. S.O. to 14.03.2019 for reporting on the line of above order.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.02.2019.

O.A.No.209 of 2017

B.S. Chaudhari .....Applicant.  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard <sup>smt.</sup> B.S. Chaudhari, Applicant in person and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. holding for Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Special Counsel for M.P.S.C. and Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 is absent.

3. Applicant in person herself told that Shri S.S. Dere, learned Special counsel for M.P.S.C. has communicated that he would be unable to attend today. Applicant in person further seeks time to file rejoinder on the next date.

4. S.O. to 22.03.2019.

Sd/-  
(P.N. Dixit)  
Member(A)

Sd/-  
(M.T. Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

DATE 22/2/2019

CORAM: M.T. Joshi (C/Ch)

Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. P. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : B.S. Chaudhari Applicant in person.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : N.G. Gohad Ld P.O.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s holding for Ms. S. Suryawanshi Ld. P.O.

Adv. for the R. No. 2

Shri S.S. Dere special counsel for M.P.S.C. and Shri V.P. Potbhare Ld. Adv. for R. No. 2 is absent.

S.O. to 22/3/2019

*[Handwritten signature]*

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 22/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> M. T. Joshi, C/JC Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt: R. M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: N. G. Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To with directions of is disposed of.</p> <p>prk</p>	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.902 of 2018 (Subject : Continuation of service)</p> <p>P.K. Jadhav &amp; Ors. ....Applicant. Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present Original Application, Applicants are claiming the following relief :- 9(a) by suitable order and direction of this Hon'ble Tribunal be please to direct the respondent No.2 the benefits of the status of permanent employee to the applicants since from the day when they have been appointed by the respondent as a Mustering Assistant In the light of the judgment and order dt. 19th July 2012 in W.P.No.2946 by the Bombay High Court and the judgment in case of Shri Mahajan and order dt.6/9/2017 in W.P. No.12043/2016 and others delivered by the Aurangabad High Court, in case of Shri Kadu M. Bhawar respectively in a cases of mustering assistants whose services has been regularized since from the day initial they have been appointed as Mustering assistant to the date of absorption in class III post with pensionary benefits since from the date of their appointment as mustering assistant and O.A. be allowed accordingly.</p> <p>3. Affidavit-in-reply of the Respondent No.2 would show that the proposal to this effect is sent to the Respondent No.1 on 15.02.2018 (copy whereof is at page 73) is filed by the Respondent No.2 itself.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate Shri R.M. Kolge for the Applicants submits that some statement made in the said proposal are incorrect and the facts are given in the present O.A.</p> <p>5. In such circumstances, present O.A. is disposed of with directions to the Respondent No.1 to decide the said proposal within 3 months from the date of this order, in the light of the facts contained therein and by seeking further clarification from Respondent No.2, if any. No order as to costs.</p> <p>Sd/- (P. M. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 22.02.2019. Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 22/2/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> M.T. Joshi (V/C) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Prashant Suryawanshi Lt. Adv. holding for Shri G.M. Savagare Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 11/3/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B/T</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">O.A.No.501 of 2015</p> <p>K.R. Sarolkar .....Applicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate holding for Shri G.M. Savagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit-in-reply, if any, to the amended O.A. may be filed by the Respondents on the next date.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 11.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) (M.T. Joshi, J.) Member(A) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 22/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> M.T. Joshi (Vice) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. Mishra R.P. Ld. Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Shah Ld. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. H.G. Gohad Ld. P.O. holding for C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s MS S. Suryawanshi Ld. P.O. for the P.O.s.</p> <p>Adj. To: 25/3/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">prk</p>	<p>Date : 22.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.461 of 2015</p> <p>A.H. Khedkar .....Applicant. Versus .....Respondents. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Mishra R.N., learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Shah, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. holding for Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri Mishra R.N. files additional affidavit along with some documents which according to learned Advocate is necessary.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad seeks time to go through the additional affidavit along with some documents and file reply to the additional affidavit, if necessary.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 22.02.2019.

O.A.No.794 of 2017

B.D. Tamboli  
Versus

....Applicant.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Prashant Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate holding for Shri G.M. Savagave, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submits that additional affidavit is required to be filed, hence time may be granted.

3. S.O. to 08.03.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

DATE: 22/2/2019

CORAM: M.T. Joshi (V/C)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A  
P.N. Dixit

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Prashant Suryawanshi  
holding for Shri G.M. Savagave  
Advocate for the Applicant  
Shri/Smt.: K.S. Gaikwad  
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Ad. To: 8/3/2019

M.T.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.02.2019.

M.A.No.85 of 2019 in O.A.No.710 of 2016

A.C. Sonwane .....Applicant.  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.03.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 20.03.2019.

DATE: 22/2/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri M. T. Joshi (C/JC)  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit  
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri V.P. Potbhare

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt/Sr K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Issue notice before  
admission returnable on  
20/3/2019.

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.02.2019.

M.A.No.74 of 2019 (A) in O.A.No.1111 of 2017

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

....Applicant (Org. Respd.)

Versus

P.K. Mangudkar

.....Respondents. (Org. Appl.)

1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for Respondents (Org. Applicant).

2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. submits that the copy of the application would be served on the learned Advocate Shri C.T. Chandratre.

3. Adjourned to 28.02.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

DATE: 22/2/2019

CORAM:

M.T. Joshi (V/C)  
Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Archana B.K. Ld. P.O.

Advocate for the Applicant Org. Respd.

Shri/Barr.: C.T. Chandratre Ld. Adv.  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Org. Appl.

Adj. To: 28/2/2019.

*[Handwritten signature]*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_

of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_

(Advocate .....)

..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 22.02.2019.

O.A.No.165 of 2019

R.P. Pimpalgaonkar  
Versus

....Applicant.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.R. Tarale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, the learned P.O. holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri S.R. Tarale for the Applicant seeks time to amend the O.A. and also to file documents like roster etc.

3. S.O. to 05.03.2019. In the meantime he may amend the O.A. and file documents.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Member(A)

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)  
Vice-Chairman

prk

DATE: 22/2/2019

CORAM: M.T. Joshi C/JC  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. P. D. D. (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: S.R. Tarale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: N.G. Gohad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 5/3/2019. In the  
meantime he may amend  
the O.A. and file documents.

File

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

OA No.40/18 with MA No.214/18 with MA No.215/18, OA No.41/18 with MA No.216/18 with MA No.217/18, OAs No.646/17, 57/18, 161/18, 163/18, 332/18, 347/18, 348/18, 349/18, 357/18, 361/18, 381/18, 382/18, 383/18, 425/18, 443/18, 510/18, 512/18, 389/18, 395/18 659/18, 662/18, 664/18 and 720/18

M.C. Patil & Ors. Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Applicants ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.R. Rathod, learned Advocate for Applicants (in OAs No.40/18, 41/18, 347/18, 348/18, 349/18, 389/18, 395/18), Shri G.S. Shukla, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.646/17, Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for Applicants (in OAs No.57/18, 443/18, 659/18 & 850/18), Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for Applicants (in OAs No.161/18, 163/18, 332/18, 361/18, 381/18, 382/18, 383/18, 425/18, 510/18, 512/18, 662/18, 664/18 & 720/18), Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.357/18, Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents, Ms. Vaishali Maindad, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Intervenor in OAs No.41/18, 57/18, 381/18 & 443/18.

- 2. Ld. CPO states that reply is already filed in OAs No.40/18, 41/18, 646/17, 357/18 & 395/18.
3. Ld. CPO undertakes to file reply today in OAs. 57/18, 347/18, 348/18, 349/18, 361/18, 381/18, 382/18, 383/18, 425/18 & 512/18.
4. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks time to file reply in OAs.161/18, 163/18, 332/18, 443/18, 510/18, 389/18, 659/18, 662/18, 664/18 & 720/18. And also in MAs.214/18 & 215/18 in OA.40/18 and MAs.216/18 & 217/18 in OA.41/18.
5. Ld. Advocate for Applicant in OA No.646/17 mentions that amended OA has not been replied. Ld. CPO seeks two weeks adjournment for getting further instructions and filing reply.
6. By consent S.O. to 1.3.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 22.2.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 22/2/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Patil (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.R. Rathod Ld. Adv.

Advocate for the applicants. (O.A. 40/18, 41/18 with MA No. 214/18, 215/18, 216/18, 217/18, 347/18, 348/18, 349/18, 381/18, 382/18, 383/18, 425/18, 443/18, 510/18, 512/18, 389/18, 395/18, 659/18, 662/18, 664/18 & 720/18). G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents (389/18, 395/18).

Shri G.S. Shukla Ld. Adv. for appl. in O.A. 646/17.

Shri C.T. Chandratre Ld. Adv. for appl. in O.A. 57/18, 443/18, 659/18 & 850/18.

Shri C.R. Nagare Ld. Adv. for Appls. O.A. 161/18, 163/18, 332/18, 361/18, 381/18, 382/18, 383/18, 425/18, 510/18, 512/18, 662/18, 664/18 & 720/18.

Shri K.R. Jagdale Ld. Adv. for Appl. O.A. 357/18).

Smt S.P. Manchekar Ld. C.P.O. with Smt K.S. Gaikwad Ld. P.O. for Rps.

Ms. Vaishali Maindad holding for Adv. A.A. Desai Ld. Adv. for Intervenor. O.A. 41, 57, 381 & 443/18).

By consent S.O. to 1/3/19.

Handwritten signature/initials.