

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1130 of 2017</b></p> <p>R. B. Patil .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional affidavit of petitioner. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the other hand, learned P.O. has shown photographs of quarter in occupation of the Applicant to show that it is habitable.</p> <p>4. The issue in the present O.A. is arising over the condition of service quarter allotted to the Applicant. He contends that quarter allotted to him is not habitable and despite various complaints/representations, it is not made habitable.</p> <p>5. Per contra, learned P.O. submits that necessary repairs were already carried out and quarter is habitable.</p> <p>6. As such, small issue raised by the applicant is about condition of service quarter.</p> <p>7. In view of above, it would be appropriate to direct the concerned Executive Engineer to inspect the service quarter to see its condition and habitability and submit the report. This would facilitate the Tribunal to decide the O.A. and to ascertain the sustainability of the grievance raised by Applicant.</p> <p>8. Executive Engineer, Central Division, PWD, Sub-division -1, Worli, Mumbai 18 is directed to deputee the Deputy Executive Engineer for the inspection of the service quarter. Deputy Executive Engineer shall inspect the service quarter in presence of Applicant and shall prepare spot panchanama. He should submit the spot inspection report on 27.01.2020.</p> <p>9. Learned P.O. is directed to inform the Executive Engineer forthwith. Hamdast granted.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 27.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.01.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.1206 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>A. R. Dabade</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, one week's time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 1166 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. A. R. Patil <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Gavai, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter was kept to apprise the Tribunal about the decision of Civil Services Board on proposal pending with the Government in the matter of transfer of medical officers.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that the decision is already taken and the request for transfer is rejected by the Government.</p> <p>4. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks two weeks time to amend the O.A.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.02.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.26 of 2020</p> <p>N. D. Kamble <span style="float: right;">...Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. The matter is listed before this Bench (Single Bench) in terms of order passed by the Division Bench on 16.01.2020 whereby Registrar was directed to place the matter before appropriate bench.</li> <li>3. Perusal of order reveals that submission was made before D.B. that matter pertains to appointment on compassionate ground, and therefore, Division Bench has passed the order to place it before appropriate bench.</li> <li>4. However, perusal of record reveals that Applicant has already appointed under the scheme of compassionate appointment and was send for medical examination in which he failed. The Applicant has, therefore, filed present O.A. to set aside the order dated 09.04.2019 whereby he is declared fail and his request for re-medical examination by the Medical Board has been rejected. This being the position, the matter now basically pertains to appointment and eligibility of the Applicant to the post of Police Constable. As such, it pertains to Division Bench.</li> <li>5. Learned P.O. also fairly concedes that matter pertains to Division Bench.</li> <li>6. In view of above, Registrar is directed to go through the order and place it before appropriate bench.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">C.A.No.2 of 2020 in O.A.No.885 of 2019</p> <p>S.G. Bhoys .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents produces communication dated 01.01.2020. The same is marked as 'X' and taken on record. The said communication intimates that the applicant has been released from suspension and given necessary posting on 01.01.2020.</p> <p>3. In view of the same, nothing remains in the C.A. and therefore, C.A. is disposed of.</p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p align="center"> Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit)  Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI  
ORIGINAL APPLICATIONS NO.35 & 62 OF 2020**

\*\*\*\*\*

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.35 OF 2020**

- |    |                                  |               |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | Dr. Dudhabhate Birappa Tippanna, | )             |
| 2. | Dr. Shekde Sachin Devidasrao     | )             |
| 3. | Dr. Rahul Digambarrao Anerao     | )..Applicants |

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.	)..Respondents
---------------------------------	----------------

**WITH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.62 OF 2020**

Dr. Vaibhav Dattatraya Shinde	)..Applicant
-------------------------------	--------------

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Anr.	)..Respondents
---------------------------------	----------------

Shri M.D. Lonkar – Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.35 of 2020

Shri A.V. Sakolkar – Advocate for Applicant in OA No.62 of 2020

Ms. S.P. Manchekar – Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit, Vice-Chairman (A)

Shri A.P. Kurhekar, Member (J)

DATE : 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2020

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in OA No.35 of 2020, Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for Applicant in OA No.62 of 2020 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice in OA No.62 of 2020 returnable on 6.2.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Ld. Advocates for the applicants in these two OAs have prayed for interim relief. Both these OAs are taken together as the applicants have made similar prayers. The applicants in these OAs have applied for the post of District Health Officer which is being advertised by the respondent no.2-MPSC. The applicants in OA No.35 of 2020 have prayed as under:

*“10(b) This Hon’ble Tribunal further be pleased to hold and declare that the action on the part of respondent no.2 in declaring the petitioners as ineligible candidates for participation in the selection process as illegal and bad in law and the same also be quashed and set aside.*

*(c) Pending the hearing and final disposal of the present OA, this Hon’ble Tribunal be pleased to pass an interim order directing respondent no.2 to allow the petitioners to participate in the interview test and directions be issued to respondent no.2 to conduct interview test of the petitioners, subject to the outcome of the present OA and on such terms and conditions as this Hon’ble Tribunal may deem fit and proper.”*

(Quoted from pg.14-15 of OA.35/2020)

9. Similar prayer is made in OA No.62 of 2020. The MPSC has published a list of candidates eligible for interview (Exh.H page 44-47 of OA No.35 of 2020). The MPSC has also published a list of candidates who are not eligible as per criteria and prima facie not eligible (Exh.I pg.48-56). The MPSC has further published dates and time at which the candidates are called for interview starting from 20.1.2020 to 24.1.2020 (Exh.J pg.57-60). During hearing it is clarified that the list of candidates, who are ineligible, is not in descending order and is prepared in random. The applicants in OA No.35 of 2020 are figuring at Sr. Nos.6, 17 & 19 and applicant in OA No.62 of 2020 is at Sr. No.13. The MPSC has further published the criteria at Exh.G page 41 as under:



“1) अराखीव - 90 सर्वसाधारण पदाकरीता निकष (निकष क. 9)

1. *MBBS degree of a statutory University or any other qualification specified in the First Schedule or Second Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956; and*

2. *Post-graduate degree in Preventive & Social Medicine a qualification accepted as equivalent by the Medical Council of India,*

*AND THEREAFTER*

3. *Experience of Health administration, Medical relief or Family planning, Malaria/Leprosy under Government, Zilla Parishad or a local Body for not less than **11 years 7 months 2 days** in the case of those holding post-graduate degree, gained after acquiring the MBBS degree.*

*निकष क. २*

1. *MBBS degree of a statutory University or any other qualification specified in the First Schedule or Second Schedule to the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956; and*

2. *Post-graduate Diploma in Public Health (DPH) or a qualification accepted as equivalent by the Medical Council of India,*

*AND THEREAFTER*

3. *Experience of Health administration, Medical relief or Family planning, Malaria/Leprosy under Government, Zilla Parishad or a local Body for not less than **17 years 05 months 24 days** in the case of those holding post-graduate diploma, gained after acquiring the MBBS degree.”*

(Quoted from pg.41 of OA.35/2020)

10. According to the Ld. Advocate for the applicants in OA No.35 of 2020, applicants no.1 & 2 have completed Diploma in Public Health. Applicant no.1 possesses experience of 14 years 9 months and 17 days and Applicant no.2 possesses experience of 12 years 9 months and 2 days. Applicant no.3 is Post-graduate and possesses experience of 7 years 6 months and 3 days.

11. According to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant in OA No.62 of 2020 the applicant is having Post-graduate Diploma in Public Health and possesses experience of 14 years 3 months and 23 days.

12. The criteria mentioned in Exhibit G page 41 by MPSC however, stipulates that a person with Post-graduate Degree should have experience of 11 years 7 months and 2 days and in case of Post-graduate Diploma the experience should be 17 years 5 months and 24 days.

13. Rule 9 of The Maharashtra Public Service Commission Rules of Procedure, 2014 stipulates as under:

*“9. Direct Recruitment.- (i) The number of candidates to be shortlisted for the interview shall be as follows:-*

<i>No. of posts advertised</i>	<i>No. of candidates to be called for interview</i>
<i>1</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>2</i>	<i>8</i>
<i>3 and more</i>	<i>3 times</i>

*However, in cases of isolated posts at the State level i.e. Directors of field department etc. which are equivalent to the grade of Joint Secretary in Mantralaya or above, the Commission may decide from time to time, the number of candidates to be called for the interview. The number of*

*candidates to be shortlisted for interview shall not exceed 10 times the number of such vacancies, in any case.*

*(ii) If the number of candidates found eligible after scrutiny are less than the proportion mentioned hereinabove in clause (i) all such eligible candidates shall be called for the interview.*

*(iii) If some of the candidates having called, either do not turn-up for the interviews or are found ineligible on verification of their original documents or fail to produce relevant documents and hence do not qualify for the interview, it shall not prevent the Commission from conducting the interviews of only the eligible candidates from amongst those called for the interview.”*

(Quoted from Exh.F page 38 of OA)

14. Reading of the above rules makes it clear that in the present case number of posts which have been advertised are 30 (Exh.A page 17) and thus three times more candidates need to be called for interview as per rule quoted above. The rule further clarifies that in case any person does not qualify for the interview, it shall not prevent the Commission from conducting the interviews of only eligible candidates from amongst those called for interview.

15. Careful reading of the above rules reveals that the process involves two steps viz. (i) verification of documents of persons who are called for interview and (ii) interview of all the eligible candidates.

16. Rule 9 mentioned above makes it clear that when the number of posts advertised are 3 and more, the number of candidates to be called for interview needs to be 3 times of the same. Thus, in the present case the number of candidates to be selected is 30 and it is expected that 90 candidates shall be interviewed.

17. Rule 9(iii) mentions that in case the candidates who are called for are found ineligible during verification, it shall not prevent the Commission from conducting the interviews of only the eligible candidates from amongst those called for the interview. The important word in both the Rules is 'candidates called for interview' and not for 'verification'. It is thus clear that the persons to be called for verification may be larger than the number of candidates who are actually interviewed since there is a possibility that candidates who have filled in online application form might have furnished wrong information and they are found ineligible.

18. The Ld. Advocate for applicants in OA No.35 of 2020 mentions that according to his information, 4 candidates are not eligible to be called for interview.

19. The Ld. Advocate for applicant in OA No.62 of 2020 mentions that according to his information, 5 candidates are not eligible to be called for interview.

20. Ld. CPO has no details of the exact number of persons who are declared ineligible as the process of verification will continue up to 24<sup>th</sup> January, 2020.

21. In light of the above, prima facie it appears that several candidates who have applied for the post of District Health Officer to be interviewed might be found ineligible during verification, and if only these are interviewed, the criteria fixed by the MPSC is likely to be reduced to ensure the ratio of 1:3 candidates for interview.

22. At this stage since list of candidates who are declared as ineligible is not in the descending order and it is not clear where the applicants in the

present OAs stand, it would be difficult to stipulate whether they would be found fit in the criteria or otherwise. The process of verification is separate and the process of interview is separate and it is necessary to ensure that adequate number of candidates are interviewed.

23. In our considered opinion therefore we direct the respondent no.2-MPSC that 90 candidates be interviewed as stipulated in rule 9 quoted above. Therefore every effort should be made by MPSC that 90 eligible candidates are interviewed, subject to availability of candidates and reservation, after eliminating those who are found ineligible at the time of verification.

24. The interviews are to be over on 24.1.2020 and therefore MPSC is further directed to ensure that the exercise stipulated above is completed before 24.1.2020 and all candidates are interviewed who are eligible as per criteria mentioned above.

25. To ensure that the stipulated numbers of candidates are interviewed, the MPSC may conduct the interviews beyond 24.1.2020, if required. The process thus completed should be published on the official website of MPSC. S.O. to 6.2.2020. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

**(A.P. Kurhekar)**  
**Member (J)**  
**22.1.2020**

Sd/-

**(P.N. Dixit)**  
**Vice-Chairman (A)**  
**22.1.2020**

Dictation taken by: S.G. Jawalkar.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>C.A.No.66 of 2019 In O.A.No.1062 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. B.T. Karavekar ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the reply would be filed before 06.02.2020.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 06.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>C.A.No.64 of 2019 in O.A.No.333 of 2018</p> <p>B.B. Chavan .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Drs. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents produces communication that the file has been submitted to the office of Treasury on 13.01.2020 and payment would be made before the end of January 2020.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions and report progress on next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 30.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>C.A.No.62 of 2019 in O.A.No.464 of 2019</p> <p>B.W. Wankhede .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents produces communication stating that the decision regarding posting of the applicant has been put up before the Hon'ble Chief Minister for approval.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.975 of 2019 with O.A.No.976 of 2019 with O.A.No.977 of 2019</p> <p>G.S. Bhajbhujje (O.A.975/2019) B.A. Karale (O.A.976/2019) K.G. Dhanvijay &amp; Ors. (O.A.977/2019) ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files reply in all Original Applications. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate adjourned to 05.02.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>C.A.No.43 of 2019 In O.A.No.315 of 2017</p> <p>5.N. Salunke ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits file after processing case list before the respective Hon'ble Minister for orders.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>M.A.No.346 of 2018 in O.A.No.554 of 2018</p> <p>R.R. Tarkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.P. Kanade, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks adjournment for producing the original record of the pay drawn by him on 01.01.1996.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondent seeks adjournment for filing reply in the O.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M.A.No.56 of 2020 in C.A.No.51 of 2019 in D.A.No.307 of 2017</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants(Org. Respd.)</p> <p>Versus J.K. Bhosale .....Respondents.(Org. Appli.)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Applicants (Org. Respd.). None for the Respondents (org. Applicants)</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.02.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within six days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 27.02.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.915 of 2019</p> <p>N.V. Bhadvle &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 and 2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 27.02.2020 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registror's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.418 of 2019</p> <p>N.S. Gaikwad .....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents mentions that the reply would be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 28.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.769 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. C.A. Borase &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant as well as Applicant are absent.</li><li>3. Today during hearing, (i) Shri Vijaykumar Adhyage, Advocate, Law Officer, P.H.D., Mantralaya (Respondent No.1) (ii) Shri Ravi Rathod, Programme Asst. Office of Commissioner of Health Services (Respondent No.3) &amp; (iii) Dr. Anant Pawar, Resident Health Officer, District Hospital, Nashik (Respondent No.5) from the office of respective Respondents are present.</li><li>4. On instructions learned P.O. for the Respondents mentions that the copy of the O.A. is not received by the office of the Respondents.</li><li>5. Applicant is directed to ensure that the copy of O.A. is supplied (service is made) to the Respondents immediately.</li><li>6. Adjourned to 30.01.2020.</li></ol> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>C.A.No.38 of 2019 In O.A.No.634 of 2017</p> <p>S.D. Sutar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents mentions that the next date for hearing in Hon'ble High Court is scheduled on 25.02.2020.</p> <p>3. In vlew of the foregoing, adjourned to 05.03.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>C.A.No.44 of 2019 in O.A.No.1134 of 2016</p> <p>B.R. Nalawade &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files affidavit from the office of Additional Chief Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, stating the matters is before the Hon'ble Supreme Court for hearing on 24.01.2020. Learned P.O. also files affidavit of private Respondents. Both are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p>O.A.No.488 of 2019 with O.A.No.491 of 2019 with O.A.No.492 of 2019 with O.A.No.493 of 2019</p> <p>G.R. Khandagale (O.A.488/2019) V.V. Alange (O.A.491/2019) D.L. Melge (O.A.492/2019) S.K. Vhanmane (O.A.493/2019)         ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.         .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Amruta Chalke, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.H. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In all the above Original Applications, challenge is to the appointment order dated 30.08.2014. The office has raised the objection on the point of limitation. All these Original Applications were filed on 30.05.2019 and since then these are pending for removal of office objection. However, till date none of these objections are removed. Apparently, all these Original Applications are not within limitation but no M.A. is filed.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, applicants are directed to comply with the office objection within a week, else all these Original Applications would stand disposed of for want of compliance of office objection without reference to the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. If office objection is removed the matter shall be listed before the Tribunal on 04.02.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurthekar) Member(J)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> </div> </div> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.955 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.S. Rajderkar .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sharma, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.D. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The controversy in the present O.A. is about appointment on the post of HOD at Government medical College, Miraj, District Sangli. Applicant being senior most Professor claims to be entitled for appointment to the post of HOD.</p> <p>3. Today learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has invited our attention to the reply filed by Respondent No.2 particularly, paragraph No.4.1 and 5 which is as follows, page 200, which reads as follows :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"4.1. However at present this administration is accepting letters from Community Medicine (PSM) department signed by Applicant as Head of Department and this administration has no issues right now to accept applicant as Head of Department &amp; also to issue fresh order reinstating applicant an HOD post if Hon- Tribunal Permits.</i></p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>5. With reference of contents of Paragraph Na.3(C), it is submitted that the certificate dated 29.10.2018 was issued by the then DEAN GMS MIRAJ is regarding of teaching experience of the candidate. The period from 3/5/2012 to 22/12/2015 is not mentioned in this certificate as applicant was appointed as pro vice-chancellor MUHS NASHIK, hence it is not true to say that the then Dean has suppressed material details and / or relevant service details of the applicant. However this administration at present has no problem to issue fresh experience certificate as required by applicant."</i></p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. thus submits that the Dean has no issue about the entitlement of the applicant to the post of HOD.</p> <p>5. Besides, learned C.P.O. has also tendered letter dated 21.01.2020 issued by the Government which is taken on record and marked by letter 'X', wherein it is stated that Dean is competent to issue the order of HOD.</p> <p>6. In view of above, Respondent No.2 is directed to issue necessary order of HOD within a week and copy of the order be tendered before the Tribunal so that the O.A. could be disposed of as nothing would survive.</p> <p>7. Adjourned to 04.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="743 519 932 546">Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p data-bbox="954 568 1156 595">O.A.No.935 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="743 627 1347 721">H.Y. Shewale &amp; Ors. ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="743 748 1367 958">1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri M.B. Bharaswadekar / Shri P.L. Gawas learned Advocate for Intervenor/ Respondents No.4 to 7.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1003 1367 1169">2. While the matter was heard and is being taken up for hearing on the point of interim relief, it was informed that similar issue is pending before Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal in O.A.No.878/2019.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1214 1367 1335">3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant fairly concedes that the issue in O.A.No.878/2019 filed at Nagpur Bench and O.A.No.935/2019 are exactly similar.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1379 1367 1456">4. In O.A.No.878/2019 filed at Nagpur Bench, the Tribunal has issued notices' and no interim relief is granted.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1500 1367 1621">5. In view of the above, it is desirable that both these Original Applications are heard together so that there should not be occasion of conflicting orders or views.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1666 1367 1742">6. Registrar is therefore directed to place the matter before the Hon'ble Acting Chairman for appropriate orders.</p> <p data-bbox="743 1787 1071 1814">7. Adjourned to 05.02.2020.</p> <p data-bbox="867 1850 932 1886">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="812 1912 971 1975">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="1175 1850 1240 1886">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1127 1912 1279 1975">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="737 2007 766 2029">prk</p>

(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

(Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1130 of 2019</p> <p>K. R. Landge .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020</p> <p>M. A. No.38 of 2020 in O.A.No.1045 of 2019</p> <p>D. A. Parmar .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. K. Zende, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Misc. Application No.38/2020 is filed for restoration of O.A.No.1045/2019 which was dismissed in default for non compliance of condition order.</p> <p>3. Misc. Application is allowed subject to cost of Rs.1000/- as condition <sup>preceding</sup> <del>preceding</del>, payable within seven days from today.</p> <p>4. If cost is deposited within seven days, O.A.No.1045/2019 be listed before this Tribunal on 31.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 22.01.2020</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.66 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>Dr. A. S. Mane</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>....Applicant</b></span></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> <span style="float: right;"><b>...Respondents.</b></span></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.02.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. S.O. to 18.02.2020.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">/sm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.575 of 2017</p> <p>A. R. Purve .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Ranjana Todankar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed additional Affidavit along with information secured under RTI. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for final hearing to 27.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



(G.C.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.246 of 2019</p> <p>P. K. Bodake .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder cum Sur-Sur-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.02.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(G.O.P.) J 2735 (50,000—4-2019)

[Spl.- MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.59 of 2020</p> <p>K. B. Jagtap .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This matter was taken up yesterday for admission but adjourned for want of record.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has brought the record.</p> <p>4. However, learned Counsel for the Applicant requested to keep the matter for hearing on 23.01.2020.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 23.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 22.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.71 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>M.K. Rupanwar</b> .....Applicant Versus <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.01.2020.</li> <li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li> <li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondent is put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li> <li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li> <li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li> <li>7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li> <li>8. Learned P.O. is directed to inform M.P.S.C. and seek instructions particularly for paragraph 6.6. to 6.8 while preparing reply. Reply should be filed before next date.</li> <li>9. S.O. to 27.01.2020. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>