

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1062 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. B. T. KaravekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is classic example to show laxity and negligence on the part of concerned Government officials who failed to comply the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.628/2010 wherein by order dated 22.11.2010 directions were issued to complete the D.E. within two months. The Applicant stands retired on 31.5.2018 and still pensionary benefits are not released because of pendency of D.E.</p> <p>3. Indeed, in terms of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.628/2010 enquiry should have been completed within period of two months and final decision also should have been taken within one month thereafter. Enquiry Officer has already submitted the report by his letter dated 19.03.2018 addressed to Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. There is also acknowledgment of the clerk on the receipt of the same. Despite this position, Shri Abhay D. Homkar, Under Secretary, Mantralaya, Mumbai present before the Tribunal and states that enquiry report is not received and now they are searching the report. Indeed, this shows gross negligence on the part of concerned.</p>

4. Besides, on 07.11.2019, the Tribunal has passed specific order to grant provisional pension but this is also not complied with.

5. Respondent No.1 was also directed to file reply to explain the delay in completion of D.E.. However, no affidavit is filed. This again shows that the Respondent No.1 has no regard to the order passed by the Tribunal.

6. It is shocking and painful to note that the directions given by this Tribunal in O.A.No.628/2010 by order dated 22.11.2010 to pass final order in D.E. within three months is not complied with though the period of 8 years is now over. This is nothing short of maladministration and obvious contempt of the order of the Tribunal.

7. In view of above, issue notice to Respondent No.1 as to why contempt proceeding should not be initiated against him for flouting the orders passed by this Tribunal on 07.11.2019 as well as the order passed in O.A.No.628/2010 on 22.11.2010 returnable on 28.11.2019.

8. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1062 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. B. T. KaravekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is classic example to show laxity and negligence on the part of concerned Government officials who failed to comply the order passed by the Tribunal in O.A. No.628/2010 wherein by order dated 22.11.2010 directions were issued to complete the D.E. within two months. The Applicant stands retired on 31.5.2018 and still pensionary benefits are not released because of pendency of D.E.</p> <p>3. Indeed, in terms of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.628/2010 enquiry should have been completed within period of two months and final decision also should have been taken within one month thereafter. Enquiry Officer has already submitted the report by his letter dated 19.03.2018 addressed to Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. There is also acknowledgment of the clerk on the receipt of the same. Despite this position, Shri Abhay D. Homkar, Under Secretary, Mantralaya, Mumbai present before the Tribunal and states that enquiry report is not received and now they are searching the report. Indeed, this shows gross negligence on the part of concerned.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

4. Besides, on 07.11.2019, the Tribunal has passed specific order to grant provisional pension but this is also not complied with.

5. Respondent No.1 was also directed to file reply to explain the delay in completion of D.E.. However, no affidavit is filed. This again shows that the Respondent No.1 has no regard to the order passed by the Tribunal.

6. It is shocking and painful to note that the directions given by this Tribunal in O.A.No.628/2010 by order dated 22.11.2010 to pass final order in D.E. within three months is not complied with though the period of 8 years is now over. This is nothing short of maladministration and obvious contempt of the order of the Tribunal.

7. In view of above, issue notice to Respondent No.1 as to why contempt proceeding should not be initiated against him for flouting the orders passed by this Tribunal on 07.11.2019 as well as the order passed in O.A.No.628/2010 on 22.11.2010 returnable on 28.11.2019.


8. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 45/2019 in O.A 651/2018</u></p> <p>Ms R.V Somane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant. Heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 28.11.2019</p> <p>Sd/-  Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 21.11.2019
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A 41/2019 in O.A 76/2018</u></p> <p>Shri N.P Waghmare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that on 5.7.2019, the Respondents have decided the representation of the applicant and copy of the same was sent to the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view thereof, the C.A is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>(P.N'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate)

..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

(Presenting Officer.....)

..... Respondent/s

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

21.11.2019

C.A 38/2019 in O.A 634/2017

Shri S.D Sutar Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.G Ambetkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 2, (MPSC). The same is taken on record.

3. Adjourned to 26.11.2019 for reporting progress.

Sd/-

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders21.11.2019

Tribunal's orders

O.A 1036/2019

Shri S.M Mirkale ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Sakolkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri Ms Swati Manchekar , learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 10.12.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.
8. Respondents are directed to file short affidavit regarding para 5(h), page 9 of the O.A.
9. S.O to 10.12.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 21.11.2019
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A 489/2019 in O.A 873/2019</u></p> <p>K.V Yadav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri P.L Satankar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. This is M.A for condoning the delay of 15 years and 11 months. 3. Issue notice returnable on 19.12.2019. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O to 19.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 969/2018</u></p> <p>Shri T.A Diwan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks three weeks' time to take instructions regarding proposal submitted to Home Department on 15.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.12.2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 119/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.T Sakore ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents 1, 2, 3 & 5 and Shri B. A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks for filing rejoinder. At his request, adjourned to 5.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 462/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.P Gurav & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted with liberty to file reply on behalf of Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>3. Place for final hearing on 8.1.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="803 836 966 867"><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="860 924 1437 955"><u>M.A 501 with 502/2019 in O.A 836/2018</u></p> <p data-bbox="803 1002 1469 1033">Shri U.K Survade & Others ... Applicants</p> <p data-bbox="990 1035 1031 1066">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="803 1068 1502 1099">The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p data-bbox="803 1178 1502 1342">1. Heard Shri Hassan Khan holding for Shri O.M Kulkarni, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="803 1393 1502 1468">2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 29.11.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="1291 1570 1364 1601">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1177 1632 1429 1698">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p data-bbox="803 1709 836 1731">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders 21.11.2019</p>
	<p><u>M.A 630/2019 in O.A 302/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.R.S Munir ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sheetal Chhabria , holding for Ms Vidya Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. This M.A is for restoration of the O.A. For the reasons stated therein, the M.A is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 21.11.2019
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 302/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.R.S Munir ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Sheetal Chhabria , holding for Ms Vidya Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A is admitted and to be heard in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 542/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Gulekar & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 16.12.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 596/2018</u></p> <p>Dr S.M Gaikwad & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 27.11.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 565/2019 in O.A 1024/2019</u></p> <p>Shri A.V Kisave ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle holding for Shri G.S Shukla, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 11.12.2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 382/2019 in C.A 29/2019 in O.A 715/2016</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Thombare & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.M Savagave, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is M.A for condoning delay of one year and six months in filing the C.A. The Contempt Application is in respect of the order passed by this Tribunal on 18.1.2017</p> <p>3. Learned P.O on instructions refers to the affidavit in reply filed by the Respondents in the O.A. It shows that action has been taken and the same has been communicated to the applicants as well as advocate for the applicant, annexed at Exh. R-7C and R-8C of the paper book.</p> <p>4. In view of the same, there is no contempt. Hence both the M.A as well as C.A is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.266 of 2018 with O.A.No.166 of 2019</p> <p>K.S. Kulkarni (O.A.266/2018) N.W. Dandekar & Ors. (O.A.166/2019) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent, adjourned to 02.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1134 of 2017</p> <p>M.L. Pendum Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to ensure that reply from Respondent No.2 is filed before next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 13.12.2019. Hamdast and steno copy.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.447 of 2019</p> <p>B.M. Thakur Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter be placed before the Division Bench.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.341 of 2019 in O.A.No.603 of 2019</p> <p>R.S. Kadam & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents. None for the Applicants.</p> <p>2. Applicants and learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicants are absent.</p> <p>3. Removed from Board.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1034 of 2019</p> <p>P.N. Bandgar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he may be given one month for filing his M.A.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 20.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 978/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.B Jadhav & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.S Bhavake, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 2.12.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alkn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1027/2019</u></p> <p>Shri L.D Surnar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.H Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 9.12.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 911/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Gadade & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.V Dharurkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 2.12.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 709 & 710/2019</u></p> <p>Shri N.J Khillare & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant adjourned to 28.11.2019 for filing rejoinder in O.A 710/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

21.11.2019

M.A 289/2019 in O.A 512/2019

Shri G.T Garud ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.C Gaikwad, holding for Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 11.12.2019 by way of last chance to file affidavit in reply.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akrn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 574/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.P Nagamwar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, adjourned to 27.11.2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N¹ Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 578/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Kamble & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Sere, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Respondent no. 4 is directed to file reply positively before 2.12.2019. Failure to do so would be treated that Respondent no. 4 is proposing not to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 2.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

.... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">1028 O.A.No.1208 of 2019</p> <p>R. S. Deshmukh Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted to verify whether separate reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 to 4 is necessary.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.2 to 4, if found necessary.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.953 of 2019</p> <p>P. L. Palsamkar & Ors.Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.2. On last date, the matter was adjourned on the request of learned C.P.O. to consider whether separate reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 is necessary.3. Today, no reply is filed on behalf of Respondent No.1.4. Respondent Nos.5 and 6 as well as Respondent Nos.2 to 4 have already filed their reply.5. No rejoinder is filed.6. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is adjourned for hearing and be kept before appropriate bench. <p style="text-align: right;">M an Sd/- ▼ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.912 of 2019</p> <p>Y. T. DaundeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. it is taken on record.3. Reply of Respondent No.2 is already on record.4. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.5. S.O. to 10.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">A. P. Kurhekar Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.936 of 2019</p> <p>A. B. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel is going to file reply on behalf of the Applicant today. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. No reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 though served. There seem to be no service of O.A. to Respondent No.4.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant to take necessary step.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- Ww (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.938 of 2019</p> <p>P. P. SuryawanshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record. 3. Reply of Respondent No.2 is already on record. 4. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 10.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>