

Date : 21.08.2019.

O.A.No.337 of 2018

S. G. Jagade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms Apurva Pawar holding for Shri Y. R. Shah, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This O.A. has been filed on 11.04.2018 but placed before the Tribunal first time on today's board.

3. True, the office seems to have raised certain objections but that could not be the ground for not listing the matter before the Tribunal for such a long period. It seems that O.A. was pending with the office for more than 16 months without any valid reasons.

4. The Registrar is, therefore, directed to make enquiry and to submit the report as to why O.A. was kept pending for 18 months despite earlier directions issued by the Tribunal that in all the matters, objections should be removed within two weeks and immediately thereafter matter will be placed before the Tribunal. Report should be submitted within seven days fixing responsibility and the proposed action.

5. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.09.2019.

[P.T.O.]

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

11. S.O. to 18.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.547 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. V. V. WaghmareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3. 2. Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.3 has pointed out that record of O.A. is incomplete as Exb. 'K' though referred in O.A. is not annexed. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant admits that inadvertently Exb. 'K' is not annexed. 4. Allowed to annex Exb. 'K'. It be done today. Copy be supplied to the Respondents. 5. Two weeks time is granted for filing reply. 6. S.O. to 05.09.2019. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Date : 21.08.2019.

O.A.No.424 of 2019

Or. G. K. SanapApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter, the Applicant has challenged the suspension order dated 05.10.2017 and for declaration that the period of suspension till reinstatement be declared as duty period.
3. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant was kept under suspension by order dated 05.10.2017 in contemplation of D.E. invoking the Rule 4(1)(a) of Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979. Later, he was reinstated in service by order dated 06.07.2018 and he resumed the duties on 22.07.2018. However, thereafter no steps have been taken to initiate the D.E. and therefore, the Applicant has approached this Tribunal.
4. It is really surprising that though the period of near about two years is over from the date of suspension, no charge sheet has been issued to the Applicant.
5. True, he has been reinstated in service by order dated 06.07.2018 but thereafter no step is taken for initiating D.E.
6. Till date enough chances are granted to file reply but he same is not filed.
7. In view of above, the Respondent is directed to file Affidavit and to explain as to why D.E. was not initiated till date and the reasons justifying the same. The Respondents are at liberty to file reply as a last chance on next date.
8. Two weeks time is granted for filing Affidavit as directed above and for filing reply
9. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.
10. S.O. to 04.09.2019. Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Admittedly, the matter was not placed before Civil Services Board neither the same has been approved by the next preceding Competent Authority as required under Section 6 and Table there under of 'Act 2005'.</p> <p>6. As such, prima-facie, the impugned order is not in consonance with Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of the 'Act 2005' and liable to be stayed.</p> <p>7. For the aforesaid reasons, interim relief is granted in terms of prayer clause 15(b).</p> <p>8. Issue notice to the Respondents as to why interim relief granted today should not be made absolute.</p> <p>9. The matter will be taken up for hearing at the stage of admission itself on next date.</p> <p>10. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.09.2019.</p> <p>11. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>12. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>13. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>14. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>15. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>16. S.O. to 11.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2019.

R. A. No.14 of 2019 in O. A. No.103 of 2019

B. L. PatilApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri B. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 18.09.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 18.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.522 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.09.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.544 of 2019</p> <p>N. K. GaikwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. M. Savagave, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondent has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.668 of 2019</p> <p>D. K. PajalApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>21.08.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 830/2016</u></p> <p>Shri C.R Rajput ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In pursuance of the directions given by this Tribunal in O.A no 629/2015 dated 1.12.2015, the applicant has been promoted to the rank of Tahsildar vide order dated 14.6.2017. He has also been provided necessary seniority as per letter available at Exh. 'F', page 45 of the O.A.</p> <p>3. Today the applicant is before this Tribunal claiming deemed date of promotion. Learned advocate for the applicant produced communication dated 19.3.2019 by Respondent (Revenue and Forest Department), directing the Divisional Commissioner to submit a proposal for grant of deemed date to the applicant.</p> <p>4. Learned Presenting Officer submits that action will be taken within four weeks.</p> <p>5. In view of the same, Respondents are directed to take necessary action within a period of six weeks from today.</p> <p>6. Original Application stands disposed of. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="left">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.610 of 2019 with O.A.No.611 of 2019</p> <p>P.R. Borse (O.A.610/2019) S.R. Gharat (O.A.611/2019) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard M/S. S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents seeks adjournment for 15 days.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.581 of 2019 with M.A.No.324 of 2019</p> <p>Y.D. Kale & Ors. Applicants Versus : The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicants adjourned to 05.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.984 of 2017 with O.A.No.132 of 2018 (Subject : Promotion / Reversion)</p> <p>R.N. Fulzele & Ors. (O.A.984/2017) V.S. Zarekar & Ors. (O.A.132/2018) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, during final hearing learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents produces communication dated 20.08.2019. The said communicate is taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification. The relevant portion of the same is as under :-</p> <p>“२. मा. न्यायाधिकरणाच्या उपरोक्त आदेशाच्या अनुषंगाने कळविण्यात येते की, निरीक्षक, वैध मापन शास्त्र हे पद राजपत्रित गट ब झाल्याने तया पदाचे सेवाप्रवेश नियम शासन अधिसूचना दि.११ जून, २०१९ अन्वये सुधारित केले आहेत. त्यानुसार श्री. फुलझेले व क्षेत्रसहायक संवर्गातील इतर पात्र कर्मचा-यांना निरीक्षक, वैध मापन शास्त्र या पदावर पदोन्नती देण्याबाबत विभागीय पदोन्नती समितीने शिफारस केली आहे. विभागीय पदोन्नती समितीने केलेल्या शिफारशीनुसार निवडसूचीस सामान्य प्रशासन विभागाची मान्यता मिळालेली असून पदोन्नती व पदस्थापनेचा प्रस्ताव अंतिम मान्यतेसाठी मा. मंत्री महोदयांकडे सादर केलेला आहे (दि. १२/०७/२०१८).”</p> <p>B. In view thereof the Respondents are directed to ensure that the necessary decision is taken within the period of three weeks and communicate the decision to the concerned accordingly.</p> <p>4. Both Original Applications are, therefore, disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>R.A 10/2019 in O.A 704/2017</u></p> <p>Dr Nita V. Godbole ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Nita V. Godbole, applicant in person and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has made the following prayer:- I am pleading the review of application on my own. So it is kindly requested to keep this R.A on Board on 16.8.2019 or any other suitable date for the court. Also if the R.A is not decided on this day, then it is requested to transfer the R.A to Hon. Nagpur M.A.T as it is nearer to me and I am transferred to Nagpur and it will be convenient for me to attend. I am submitting the review application for complying the objections conveyed."</p> <p>3. In view of the above, the matter be placed before the Hon'ble Acting Chairman for decision to transfer the matter to M.A.T, Nagpur bench.</p> <p>4. Registrar is accordingly directed to place the matter before the Hon. Acting Chairman for necessary orders and after order is passed communicate the same to the applicant.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.08.2019

O.A.No.710 of 2019

D.B. Dharankar Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that as per instructions from Respondent (M.P.S.C.) the reply would be filed in three weeks.
3. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant submits that the process of selection would be subjected to the result of this O.A.
4. Learned C.P.O. has no objection of the same.
5. In view of the foregoing the Respondent (M.P.S.C.) is directed that the candidates appearing for the posts may be informed that the appointments will be subject to result of this O.A.
6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.
7. S.O. to 18.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

ork

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="753 389 948 418">Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p data-bbox="971 443 1187 472">O.A.No.709 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="753 504 1349 600">N.J. Khillare Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol data-bbox="753 638 1406 1615" style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that as per instructions from Respondent (M.P.S.C.) the reply would be filed in three weeks.3. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant submits that the process of selection would be subjected to the result of this O.A.4. Learned C.P.O. has no objection of the same.5. In view of the foregoing the Respondent (M.P.S.C.) is directed that the candidates appearing for the posts may be informed that the appointments will be subject to result of this O.A.6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.7. S.O. to 18.09.2019. <p data-bbox="870 1682 930 1711">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="824 1749 992 1809">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="1227 1693 1287 1722">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1154 1749 1312 1809">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p data-bbox="743 1809 773 1832">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.764 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. Nayakwadi & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that the progress regarding how many candidates were called, how many were eligible and how many did not remain present from Sports Category would be intimated on next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 28.08.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.545 of 2019</p> <p>W.H. Suryawanshi Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant seeks permission to include appointment order. Permitted.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents adjourned to 18.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.535 of 2019</p> <p>G.M. Sawant Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents adjourned to 18.09.2019 as last chance.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.M. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.338 of 2019</p> <p>C.S. Bharude Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Ms. N.G. Gohad for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.2. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri J.N. Kamble for the Applicant seeks adjourned ^{adjourned} for one week for filing his rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 29.08.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.08.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 364/2019 in O.A 672/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.G Desale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Misc Application is filed by the applicants to sue jointly. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that the applicants are similarly situated and the cause is same.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 50px;">Akr</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.08.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 672/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.G Desale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that he will remove the objections during the course of the day. 3. Issue notice returnable on 19.9.2019. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O to 19.9.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

21.08.2019

O.A 702/2019

Shri D.B Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O submits a communication from Respondent no. 1 regarding the direction given by this Tribunal for conducting enquiry against the concerned.
3. Learned P.O states that he wants to file reply and request for two weeks' time.
4. In view of the same, matter is adjourned to 3.9.2019.

Sd/-

**(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)**

Akn

Sd/-

**(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.08.2019

O.A.No.546 of 2019

A.J. Sawant Applicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents seeks adjournment for three weeks.
3. Adjourned to 18.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.567 of 2019</p> <p>M.P. Lad & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned for four weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 24.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.578 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Kamble & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents seeks adjournment for three weeks.</p> <p>3. Respondent (M.P.S.C.) is directed to expedite their reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.09.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

21.08.2019

M.A 440/2019 in O.A 1005/2018

Shri S.L Kumbhalwar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N.P Dalvi, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Misc Application is filed by the applicant for restoration of the Original Application.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant states that he could not attend the Court as he was preoccupied and requests for restoration of the O.A.

4. For the reasons stated in the Misc Application, Misc Application is allowed and O.A 1005/2018 is restored on the file of this Tribunal.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

21.08.2019

O.A 596/2018

Dr S.M Gaikwad & Others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O, S.O to 19.9.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.495 of 2019</p> <p>T.K. Tayade Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that the response from the Respondents would be filed before next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.08.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.08.2019

O.A.No.1077 of 2018 with O.A.No.1109 of 2018

M.M. Bansode & Ors. (O.A.1077/2018)

S.D. Sapkale & Ors. (O.A.1109/2018) Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri S. Patil with Shri A. Pawar, learned Advocates for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents and Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.

2. By consent adjourned to 11.09.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

prk

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.08.2019

O.A.No.62 of 2019

H.B. Shinde Applicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. for the Respondent No.2 and Shri A. Khandekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.
2. Learned Advocate Shri M.R. Patil for the Applicants seeks adjourned of two weeks for filing rejoinder.
3. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondent seeks ~~adjourned~~ ^{adjourned} of two weeks for filing sur-rejoinder.
4. Adjourned to 11.09.2019.

Sd/-
 (A.P. Kurhekar)
 Member(J)

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.848 of 2012 (Subject : Denial of actual posting / promotion)</p> <p>B.P. Nikhar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to Seniority and consequent Promotion on the post of Assistant Controller.</p> <p>3. However, today learned P.O. for the Respondents filed Government order dated 28.08.2018 which shows that the Government has published Final Seniority List on 15.05.2014 for the post of Inspector (Selection Grade), but the name of the Applicant does not figure in the list. As such, as per the order dated 28.08.2018 as the name of the Applicant does not figure in the Seniority List of Inspector (Selection Grade), he is not entitled for promotion to the post of Assistant Controller.</p> <p>4. Thus, in view of the subsequent development of publication of Seniority List dated 15.05.2014, the O.A. has become infructuous.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, Original Application is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Ww (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- T (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

21.08.2019

M.A 436/2019 in M.A 330/2019 in O.A 306/2015

Shri Raju D. Kamble ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard H.P Ghadigaonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This Misc Application is filed for restoration of M.A 330/2019 along with O.A 306/2015.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant states that he was not able to attend the Court as he was preoccupied.

4. For the reasons stated in the Misc Application, M.A is allowed.

5. M.A 330/2019 and O.A 306/2015 stands restored on file.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

21.08.2019

O.A 306/2015

Shri Raju D. Kamble ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard H.P Ghadigaonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. O.A is admitted. Kept for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.258 of 2019</p> <p>V.R. Joshi Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant adjourned to 06.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.08.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.563 of 2019</p> <p>M.H.H. Kacchi Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents adjourned to 16.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>