

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 21.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.298 of 2021 to O.A. No.303 of 2021</p> <p>B.P. Patade P.I. Gurav V.P. Khot N.P. Shinde A.Y. Date S.V. SatardekarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents In O.A. No.298/21, 299/21 & 300/21 and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents in O.A. No.301/21, 302/21 & 303/21.</p> <p>2. The Applicant in O.A. No.298/2021 is a Talathi and working at Village Sonage, Taluka Kagal, Dist. Kolhapur and he his transferred by order dated 08.04.2021 to Village Kasarputale, Radhanagari, Dist. Kolhapur in place of Asha Date who is Applicant in O.A. No. 302/2021 and Asha Date was transferred by the same order at Village Sonage, Taluka Kagal, Dist. Kolhapur. The Applicant was relieved on 15.04.2021 and he joined on 16.04.2021 and started functioning. The order was issued by Respondent No.2 – Sub-Divisional Officer. Thereafter order dated 12.05.2021 was issued by Respondent No.3 - Residential Dy. Collector, Kolhapur.</p> <p>3. Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that this is a mutual transfer and the Applicant has completed three years and though they being Class-III employees, their tenure is two terms i.e six years. However after completion of one term they can seek transfer where they want to go. The learned Counsel further submits that the Applicant has joined accordingly at the place they were transferred by order 08.04.2021. However, the Applicant B.P. Patade is pressurized by the higher authority and therefore he</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>had to leave the charge of Village Sonage, Taluka Kagal, Dist. Kolhapur, but he has come back. However, Smt. Asha Date is serving at Kolhapur, and therefore, two person are working at same post.</p> <p>4. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Counsel submits that the Applicant Smt. Asha Date has completed only two years and eleven months. C.S.B. was not established and Respondent No.2 – S.D.O. without constitution of C.S.B. had transferred both the Applicant.</p> <p>5. Shri A.J. Chougule further submits that when Respondent No.3 came across this illegalities in the earlier transfer order he cancelled the earlier order by order dated 12.05.2021.</p> <p>6. Shri R.M. Kolge, relies on the order of completion of the earlier transfer order and submits that there were eleven illegalities in the order were proper procedure were not followed. The transfer was made without following of C.S.B., no preference was called after. Ten preferences are also not called from the Applicants.</p> <p>7. After going through the order it is <i>ex-facie</i> that earlier order on 08.04.2021 was passed without taking into consideration the compliances under the ROTA. Hence the earlier order appear <i>prima-facie</i> illegal. <i>Prima-facie</i> it cannot be continued.</p> <p>8. No interim relief is granted at this stage.</p> <p>9. However, detail Affidavit of Respondent No.2 – Ram Hari Bhosle as to why he has passed earlier order and why he did not follow the necessary procedure under ROTA, is required to be filed on next date.</p> <p>10. S.O. to 09.06.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>NMN</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">Date : 21.05.2021</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">O.A.No.277 of 2021 with O.A.No.279 of 2021 with O.A.No.280 of 2021 with O.A.No.281 of 2021 with O.A.No.282 of 2021 with O.A.No.283 of 2021 with O.A.No.304 of 2021 with O.A.No.306 of 2021 with O.A.No.307 of 2021</p> <p style="margin-right: 20px;">O.A.277/2021 (A.M. Sapkal) O.A.279/2021 (A.D. Ghuge) O.A.280/2021 (A.A. Gaikwad) O.A.281/2021 (M.G. Mundhe) O.A.282/2021 (A.S. Auti) O.A.283/2021 (T.B. Suryawanshi) O.A.304/2021 (G.N. Kamble) O.A.306/2021 (P.J. Pawar) O.A.307/2021 (B.A. Shelke)</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center; margin-right: 20px;">Vs.</p> <p style="margin-right: 20px;">The State of Maharashtra & Ors</p> <p style="text-align: right; margin-right: 20px;">... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Sangvikar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.Nos.277, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 306 & 307, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.304/2021 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri Sachin Mathane, Secretary of Vidarbha Powerlifting Association submits that Shri Pramod Wagh Mandre is the President of Vidarbha Powerlifting Association. Mr. Mathane discloses that he has been reported about the proceedings of these Original Applications, by Applicant in O.A.277 of 2021, Shri Ashok Sapkal, who stays at Pune and working at Mira - bhayandar.</p> <p>3. Today, Mr. Sachin Mathane has filed a set of documents of 8 papers on the application dated 21.05.2021. He is given the application and has mentioned that the Tribunal should not point out the faults in the working of the Vidarbha Powerlifting Association and they follow all the rules and regulations in respect of conducting the games on the basis of P.T.O.</p>	

Office Memorandum of Coram.
Appellate Tribunal's orders on
Directors and Registrars' orders

Tribunal's orders

record, therefore Tribunal not to comment adversely against the association. In the application it is also mentioned that one of the Members of the Tribunal has expressed assertively that this Vidarbha Powerlifting Association has issued bogus certificates and they are underground and the office bearers of these are underground. It is taken on record.

4. The affidavit-cum-statement of this effect of Mr. Mathane is taken on record. In this statement, he says, this application was prepared by Advocate Shri Sangvikar and he signed on it. Advocate Shri Sangvikar expressed his profound apology for the same (Exhibi-2). The set of the documents is marked Exhibit-1. May that as it be, we do not want to stretch the issue further. Application is marked as Exhibit-2 and the Statement is marked as Exhibit-3.

5. The learned C.P.O. submits that there are many facts and many details in respect of the enquiry conducted by the Deputy Director, Sports, Pune, though only now the reference numbers are mentioned in the main order, in order to avoid the repetition she submits that she would like to submit the affidavit before hearing on interim prayer. She seeks time till 02.06.2021 to file short affidavit for interim. The copy of the said affidavit is to be furnished on email to all the Counsel in this matter till 01.06.2021 by 12.00 noon.

6. Affidavit of service filed by learned Advocate Shri Sangvikar is taken on record.

7. Adjourned to 02.06.2021 for hearing on interim on 02.06.2021 at 11.00 a.m.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 291/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.L Kanase ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, Talathi who has faced departmental enquiry for the offences punishable under Prevention of Corruption Act and other irregularities challenges the order dated 11.12.2019, passed by the Respondent no. 3, S.D.O, Kadegaon, Dist-Sangli and also challenged the order dated 20.1.2021, passed by Respondent no. 2, the Collector, Sangli.</p> <p>3. Issue notice returnable on 2.7.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O to 2.7.2021.</p>
	<p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N)Dixit Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 292/2021</u></p> <p>Shri V.V Dhumal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Sub-Overseer in the office of Assistant Director, Department of Archaeology, Nasik, prays that Respondent no. 2, the Director, Archaeology and Museum be directed to consider the applicant for promotion to the post of Conservation Assistant. Learned counsel for the applicant points out that by order dated 3.3.2021, one Mr S.S. Mote, as per the claim of the applicant, who was junior to him was promoted. However, unfortunately, Mr S.S Mote, is no more and therefore he is not made party Respondent.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that after 3.3.2021, the applicant has submitted representation dated 8.3.2021 claiming his promotion to the post of Conservation Assistant. Hence, this O.A is premature.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel Mr Bhosle further submits that the applicant is from reserved category. However, he has not taken benefit of the reservation in his promotion till today, i.e. from the date of joining in the year 1994. In support of his submission he relies on the judgment of Division Bench of Nagpur Bench this Tribunal dated 13.2.2020 in O.A 953/2019, Shri Bhagwan P. Kamble Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>5. In view of the submissions made by both the counsel and facts placed before this Tribunal and the developments which are taken interregnum, the matter can be disposed of with following directions.</p> <p>(a) The Respondents are directed to decide the representation dated 8.3.2021 of the applicant within three months, i.e. by 31.8.2021.</p> <p>(b) While deciding the said representation, the judgment of the Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal dated 13.2.2021 in O.A 953/2019 which is relied on by the applicant is also to be considered by the Respondents, if found applicable.</p> <p>6. With the above directions, O.A stands disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 297/2021</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Kemkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant working as Librarian, Class-III in Govt. Dental College, prays that the policy decision taken by the Respondents in respect of Deans and Lecturers in Government Medical Colleges, Ayurvedic Colleges and Government Dental Colleges for grant of extension of age is also to be made applicable to the Librarian working in Government Dental College. Learned counsel Mr Bandiwadekar points out that the prayer of the applicant is to be considered on the same lines in view of the judgment and order dated 20.7.2015 in O.A 117/2013 and 1108/2013 and further the order dated 22.2.2021 regarding the retirement date of the applicant is to be quashed and set aside. Learned counsel further submits that the applicant is going to retire on 31.5.2021.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O seeks time to go through certain rulings passed by the Tribunal and also by Hon. High Court.</p> <p>4. Issue notice returnable on 25.5.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. S.O to 25.5.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p style="text-align: left;">/kn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 270/2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Gaikwad ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar , learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant, Police Constable, who aspires to be a Police Sub Inspector, challenges the selection list dated 5.3.2018 and also makes other prayers. He also relies on the revised merit list dated 25.4.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel submits that the said revised merit list of 25.4.2019 is to be implemented and the merit list dated 5.3.2018 is to be revised and implemented.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O points out that in the prayer clause no such relief on the basis of merit list dated 25.4.2019 is claimed. Otherwise the Respondents have objection on the point of limitation.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant wants to amend the prayer clause on the basis of revised merit list dated 25.4.2019. Amendment allowed. Amendment to be carried out before 9.6.2021 and copy of the said amendment to be served on the Respondents afresh within one week.</p> <p>6. Issue notice returnable on 30.6.2021.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week before returnable date or on the same date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. S.O to 30.6.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 35/2017 in R.A 07/2016 in O.A 1235/2009</u></p> <p>Shri S.T Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle holding for Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that as the matter is still pending before the Hon. Supreme Court and due to rise in Covid-Pandemic, it is not possible to take up the matter by the Hon. Supreme Court. Hence learned C.P.O requests for time.</p> <p>3. S.O to 15.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 63/2019 in O.A 1087/2014</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Nalawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O submits that the matter has appeared on 24th and 25th Feb 2021 before the Hon'ble High Court and it could not reach and now again due to Covid Pandemic only urgent matters are taken by Hon'ble High Court and hence this matter can be taken in the month of June.</p> <p>3. S.O to 24.6.2021.</p> <p align="right">n9. //</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 254/2020</u></p> <p>Shri R.S Kadam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O points out that the reply of the Respondents was filed on 8.3.2021 and copy given to the learned counsel to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant seeks two weeks' time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O to 8.6.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- /</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) / (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman (A) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 700/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.A Deokar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 4 and Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondents no 11 to 14.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O points that reply has been filed by the Respondents. Learned C.P.O on instruction mentions that she does not propose to file reply on behalf of Respondents no 1 and 2.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for Respondents 11 to 14 is filing his reply. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. O.A is admitted with liberty to file rejoinder if any.</p> <p>5. Place for final hearing on 31.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 363/2020</u> <u>THROUGH VIDEO CONFERENCE</u></p> <p>Shri V.S Chaudhary & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O seeks one weeks' time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 10.6.2021.</p> <p align="right">n? . / .</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 101/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.B Sabale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel Mr Kolge, seeks two weeks to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.6.2021.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1115/2019</u></p> <p>Smt S.B More ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O mentions that in view of the Covid Pandemic, she y weeks four weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 22.6.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 39/2020 in O.A 50/2020</u></p> <p>Shri B.G Kshirsagar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri U.V Bhosle states that he is filing Vakalatnama in this case. He submits that learned counsel for the applicant Mr Sugdare is at Aurangabad and he will obtain his withdrawal from the matter and NOC through email. Learned counsel Mr Bhosle to get the email from learned counsel Mr Sugdare before the next date.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O files affidavit in reply and same is taken on record. Learned P.O states that the order passed by the Tribunal is complied with and nothing remains in the C.A and he also submits apology for delay in complying the order of the Tribunal.</p> <p>4. Learned counsel seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>5. S.O to 15.6.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>21.05.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 36/2020 in O.A 1086/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Sumbhe ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files sur rejoinder. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel seeks time to go through the pleadings.</p> <p>4. S.O to 10.6.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 21.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.708 of 2020</p> <p>S.M. Bhagwat ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant and learned Advocate for the Applicant are neither present physically nor through video conferencing.</p> <p>3. Admit. Adjourned to 23.06.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

prk

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.05.2021</p> <p>M.A.No.155 of 2021 in O.A.No.907 of 2018</p> <p>S.N. Wasekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.05.2021.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. The learned Advocate Dere submits that Aurangabad Bench and so also the Hon'ble High Court has passed in the interim order in favour of the candidates who are similarly situated like the present 23 applicants in the present Original Application.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 25.05.2021.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 21.05.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.722 of 2019 With O.A.No.1084 of 2019 with M.A.No.149 of 2020 in M.A.No.506 of 2019 With O.A.No.733 of 2019 with M.A.No.410 of 2019</p> <p>G.B. Bansode & Ors. (O.A.722/2019) K.D. Salunke (O.A.1084/2019 with M.A.149/2020 in M.A.506/2019) M.R. Rathod (O.A.733/2019 with M.A.410/2019) ... Applicants</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.722/2019, Shri Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5 & 6 in O.A.No.722/2019. Shri Yogesh Admane holding for Shri Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.7 and 9 in O.A.1084/2019 in M.A.149/2020 in M.A.506/2019. Shri Yogesh Admane holding for Shri Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.733/2019, Shri M.S. Bhandari, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.410/2019 for the intervention. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It is the Supreme Court Time Bound matter, learned Counsel Mr. Deshpande for the applicant in O.A.722/2019 (Aurangabad Bench) submits that he will serve all 638 Respondents by Monday i.e. 24.05.2021. However, the address of Respondents at Sr. No.638, Mr. Bikaji Ramnath Ugale and Sr. No.35, Mr. Pisalkar Umesh Arvindrao ^{es} ^{are} is not furnished by the State.</p> <p>3. The learned C.P.O. to verify and if possible it is to be furnished by Tuesday i.e. 25.05.2021.</p>

Tribunal's orders

4. The learned Counsel Deshpande is directed to submit the affidavit of service of remaining respondents on 25.05.2021, except two respondents i.e. Sr. No.638, Mr. Bikaji Ramnath Ugale and Sr. No.35 Pisalkar Umesh Arvindrao.
5. On perusal of O.A.722 of 2019 before the Tribunal it is pointed out to Mr. Deshpande, learned Advocate that amendment in respect of addition of respondents as party respondents is not carried out till today. The learned Counsel to take the necessary steps. The amendment of making all the concerns, as party respondent as directed by Hon'ble Supreme Court is to be done on or before 28.05.2021 and file the affidavit of service. Last chance given to the learned Counsel to carry out amendment and file affidavit of service.
6. In O.A.1084/2019 with M.A.149/2020 in M.A.506/2019 Shri Dere, learned Advocate for Respondent No.7 and 9 submits that this O.A. is not maintainable as the applicant has crossed the age limit of 35 years. Mr. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Applicant to make submissions on this point.
7. At the request of learned Advocate Shri Yogesh Admane adjourned to 02.06.2021.
8. It is necessary to serve all the Respondents as per the rules of service i.e. physical copies also to be served.
9. Adjourned to 02.06.2021.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

prk

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson