

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>21/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. B.P. Patil (M/J)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Resp.)</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>None for Rsp.</u> C.P.O./C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>MA is disposed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M. A. No.65 of 2018</b> In <b>O.A.No.609 of 2014</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b> <b>(Ori. Respondents)</b></p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>S. T. Taksale .....Respondent</b> <b>(Ori. Applicant)</b></p> <p>1. Heard Ms S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents). None for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the applicants (Ori. Respondents) on instructions states that the applicants (Ori. Respondents) do not want to proceed with the matter and, therefore, she prays to dispose of the M.A.</p> <p>3. Since the applicants (Ori. Respondents) do not want to proceed with the matter, the M.A. is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i> <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>21/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>2/3/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>12/2/18</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.02.2018.</p> <p><b>O.A.No.413 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>S. B. Shinde</b> ....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K. B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the respondents has submitted that the Government is going to take corrective measures in the matter, therefore, he sought two weeks time to collect the information from the Government in that regard.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.03.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b>  <b>MEMBER (J)</b> </p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.02.2018.

**M.A. No. 474 of 2017 in O.A.No.144 of 2017**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Applicants  
(Ori. Respondents)**

**Versus  
M. N. Jagdale & Ors. ....Respondents  
(Ori. Applicants)**

1. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri M. D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondents (Ori. Applicants).

2. Learned P.O. for the applicants (Ori. Respondents) submits that the applicants do not want to proceed with the M.A. as they have complied major portion of the directions given by this Tribunal while allowing the earlier O.A. filed by the respondents (Ori. Applicants) and submits that the present applicants are going to comply remaining part of the order in near future and, therefore, he prayed to dispose of the M.A.

3. Since the applicants (Ori. Respondents) do not want to proceed with the M.A., the M.A. is accordingly disposed of.

**DATE :** 21/2/2018

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon. Shri B. P. Patil (M.J.)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. : A. J. Chougule

Advocate for the Applicant (Orig. Resp.)

Shri/Smt. : M. D. Lonkar Ld. Adv.  
G.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s (Orig. Appl.)

Adj./S.O. to M.A. is accordingly  
disposed of.

*Handwritten initials*

**B. P. Patil**  
**(B.P. PATIL)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.02.2018.

O. A. No.80 of 2018

S. S. Karanje

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. R. Nagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of the order passed in M.A. No.99/2018 in O.A.No.80/2018, the order of dismissal of the O.A. is restored to its original number.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.03.2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

9. S.O. to 20.03.2018.

DATE : 21/2/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon. Shri B. P. Patil (M/J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : C. R. Nagare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A. J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 20/3/18

B.P.

(B.P. PATIL)  
MEMBER (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.02.2018.

**M.A. No.99 of 2018 In O. A. No.80 of 2018**

**S. S. Karanje**

....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri C. R. Nagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the O.A. No.80/2018 filed by the applicant issuing direction to the respondents has been dismissed in default as the applicant has failed to collect the notices in time. It is contention of the applicant that due to inadvertence, the clerk of the advocate could not able to collect the notice in time. Therefore, he prayed to restore the matter to its original number by setting aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.80/2018.
3. Learned P.O. for the respondents has strongly objected to restore the matter to its original number.
4. This tribunal issued notice to the respondents in the O.A.80/2018 on 25.01.2018. The applicant could not collect the notice in time and, therefore, it came to be dismissed. The applicant has mentioned the reasons for not collecting the notices in time in para 3 in the application. The reasons tendered by the applicant seems to be just proper to allow the M.A. and to set aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.80/2018. Therefore, in the interest of justice for the reasons mentioned in the application, the M.A is allowed and order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.80/2018 is set aside and O.A. No.80/2018 be restored to its original number. On restoration of O.A.No.80/2018 fresh notices to the respondents be issued.

**DATE :** 21/2/18

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon. Shri B. P. Patil (M/J)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. : C. R. Nagare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : A. J. Chougule

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to M.A is allowed

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*  
**(B.P. PATIL)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

Date: 21.03.2018.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's ordersTribunal's orders  
O. A. No.81 of 2018

P. R. Daingade .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. R. Nagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of the order passed in M.A. No.100/2018 in O.A. No.81/2018, the order of dismissal of the O.A. is restored to its original number.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.03.2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 20.03.2018.

DATE: 21/2/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)Hon'ble Shri P. B. MALIK (Member)  
Hon'ble Shri B. P. Patil (M/J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: C.R. Nagare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: 20/3/2018

B.P. Patil  
(B.P. PATIL)  
MEMBER (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>21/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Honble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>Hon. Shri B. P. Patil (M/J)</u></p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri <u>C. R. Nagare</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>Archana B. K.</u> C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>M.A. is allowed</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.02.2018.</p> <p><b>M.A. No.100 of 2018 In O. A. No.81 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>P. R. Daingade</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C. R. Nagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the O.A. No.81/2018 filed by the applicant issuing direction to the respondents has been dismissed in default as the applicant has failed to collect the notices in time. It is contention of the applicant that due to inadvertence, the clerk of the advocate could not able to collect the notice in time. Therefore, he prayed to restore the matter to its original number by setting aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.81/2018.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the respondents has strongly objected to restore the matter to its original number.</p> <p>4. This tribunal issued notice to the respondents in the O.A.81/2018 on 25.01.2018. The applicant could not collect the notice in time and, therefore, it came to be dismissed. The applicant has mentioned the reasons for not collecting the notices in time in para 3 in the application. The reasons tendered by the applicant seems to be just proper to allow the M.A. and to set aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.81/2018. Therefore, in the interest of justice for the reasons mentioned in the application, the M.A. is allowed and order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.81/2018 is set aside and O.A. No.81/2018 be restored to its original number. On restoration of O.A.No.81/2018 fresh notices to the respondents be issued.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i> <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 21.02.2018 Tribunal's orders

O. A. No.44.of 2018

V. A. Karkhele .....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. R. Nagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of the order passed in M.A. No.98/2018 in O.A.No.44/2018, the order of dismissal of the O.A. is restored to its original number.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 21.03.2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

9. S.O. to 20.03.2018.

DATE : 21/2/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon. Shri ~~B.P. Patil~~ B.P. Patil (CMB)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. C.R. Nagare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 20/3/18

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*

(B.P. PATIL)  
MEMBER (J)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 21.02.2018 Tribunal's orders

**M.A. No.98 of 2018 In O. A. No.44 of 2018**

**V. A. Karkhele** .....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.** .....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. R. Nagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the O.A. No.44/2018 filed by the applicant issuing direction to the respondents has been dismissed in default as the applicant has failed to collect the notices in time. It is contention of the applicant that due to inadvertence, the clerk of the advocate could not able to collect the notice in time. Therefore, he prayed to restore the matter to its original number by setting aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.44/2018.

3. Learned P.O. for the respondents has strongly objected to restore the matter to its original number.

4. This tribunal issued notice to the respondents in the O.A.44/2018 on 25.01.2018. The applicant could not collect the notice in time and, therefore, it came to be dismissed. The applicant has mentioned the reasons for not collecting the notices in time in para 3 in the application. The reasons tendered by the applicant seems to be just proper to allow the M.A. and to set aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.44/2018. Therefore, in the interest of justice for the reasons mentioned in the application, the M.A. is allowed and order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.44/2018 is set aside and O.A. No.44/2018 be restored to its original number. On restoration of O.A.No.44/2018 fresh notices to the respondents be issued.

**DATE :** 21/2/18

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon. Shri B. P. Patil (M/J)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt. : C. R. Nagare

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi  
C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.

*YF*

*B. P. Patil*  
(B.P. PATIL)  
MEMBER (J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.02.2018.

M.A. No.97 of 2018 In O. A. No.45 of 2018

S. V. Khade

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri C. R. Nagare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the O.A. No.45/2018 filed by the applicant issuing direction to the respondents has been dismissed in default as the applicant has failed to collect the notices in time. It is contention of the applicant that due to inadvertence, the clerk of the advocate could not able to collect the notice in time. Therefore, he prayed to restore the matter to its original number by setting aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.45/2018.
3. Learned P.O. for the respondents has strongly objected to restore the matter to its original number.
4. This tribunal issued notice to the respondents in the O.A.45/2018 on 25.01.2018. The applicant could not collect the notice in time and, therefore, it came to be dismissed. The applicant has mentioned the reasons for not collecting the notices in time in para 3 in the application. The reasons tendered by the applicant seems to be just & proper to allow the M.A. and to set aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.45/2018. Therefore, in the interest of justice for the reasons mentioned in the application, the M.A. is allowed and order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.45/2018 is set aside and O.A. No.45/2018 be restored to its original number. On restoration of O.A.No.45/2018 fresh notices to the respondents be issued.

DATE: 21/2/18

BY:

Shri. RAHV AGARWAL  
(Vice-Chairman)

Shri. R. B. MALIK (Member)

APPEARANCE: B.P. Patil

Shri. C. R. Nagare

Advocate for the Applicant

Smt. K. S. Gaikwad

Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Adj. To: M.A. is allowed

B.P. Patil  
(B.P. PATIL)  
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>21/2/2018</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) H.M. Shri B. P. Patil (M/J)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Smt. Archana B.K. for the appl. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Applicant for the Respondent/s</p> <p>A.P.S.O. no <u>26/2/18</u></p>	<p>Date : 21.02.2018.</p> <p><b>O. A. No.194 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>I. M. Pathan &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. has produced a communication dated 03.02.2017 issued by the Respondent No.4 and communication dated 22.1.2017 issued by the Desk Officer, Agriculture Department to Regional Joint Director, Agriculture informing that they are going to extend the benefits of second ACPS to the concerned employees subject to the decision of the Apex Court in the matter pending before it is in process to grant benefits is under progress. The said communications are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Since the applicant and his Advocate are absent. The matter is adjourned to 26.03.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P. Patil</i> <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>21/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. Shri B. P. Patil (M/J)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>28/2/18.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A. No.05 of 2018 In O. A. No.09 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>V. G. Lakade &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li> <li>2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to amend the M.A. in O.A. suitably. Therefore, he prays for one week's time.</li> <li>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</li> <li>4. S.O. to 28.02.2018.</li> </ol> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i> <b>(B.P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>21/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. Shri B.P. Patil (CMLJ)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt : <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt : <u>A.J. Chougale</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>MA is allowed</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>M.A. No.04 of 2018 In O. A. No.09 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>V. G. Lakade &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</b> <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents.</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougale, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA has been filed to sue jointly. As all the Applicants are seeking similar relief, the MA to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P. Patil</i> <b>(B.P. PATIL) MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p>VSM</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.02.2018.

**M.A. No.102 of 2018 In O. A. No.72 of 2018**

**A.M. Patil**

....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Heard Shri M. G. Bagkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the O.A. No.72/2018 filed by the applicant issuing direction to the respondents has been dismissed in default as the applicant has failed to collect the notices in time. It is contention of the applicant that due to inadvertence, the clerk of the advocate could not able to collect the notice in time. Therefore, he prayed to restore the matter to its original number by setting aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No. 72/2018.
3. Learned C.P.O. for the respondents has strongly objected to restore the matter to its original number.
4. This tribunal issued notice to the respondents in the O.A.72/2018 on 25.01.2018. The applicant could not collect the notice in time and, therefore, it came to be dismissed. The applicant has mentioned the reasons for not collecting the notices in time in para 3 in the application. The reasons tendered by the applicant seems to be just proper to allow the M.A. and to set aside the order of dismissal passed in O.A. No.72/2018. Therefore, in the interest of justice for the reasons mentioned in the application, the M.A. is allowed and order of dismissal passed in O.A. No. 72/2018 is set aside and O.A. No. 72/2018 be restored to its original number. On restoration of O.A.No.72/2018 fresh notices to the respondents be issued.

**DATE :** 21/2/18

**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon. Shri B.P. Patil (C.M.J.)

**APPEARANCE:**

Shri/Smt : M. G. Bagkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt : Archana B.K.

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to MA is allowed

*MTE*

*B.P. Patil*  
**(B.P. PATIL)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 21.02.2018.

O. A. No.72 of 2018

A.M. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. G. Bagkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Archana B. K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of the order passed in M.A. No.102/2018 in O.A. No.72/2018, the order of dismissal of the O.A. is restored to its original number.

3. Issue fresh notice before admission returnable on 21.03.2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 21.03.2018.

DATE : 21/2/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon. Shri B. P. Patil (M/J)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt : M. G. Bagkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt : Archana B.K.

C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 21/3/18.

*[Signature]*

(B.P. PATIL)  
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 21.02.2018.

**M.A. No. 81 of 2018  
In  
O. A. No.1053 of 2017**

**A. D. Gangurde (Patil) .....Applicant  
Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.**

1. Heard Ms Avanti Inamdar holding for Shri R. Gite, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file separate application for condonation of delay.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. S.O. to 28.02.2018.

**DATE :** 21/2/18

**CORAM :**  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
Hon. Shri B.P. Patil (M/S)

**APPEARANCE:**  
Shri. Ms. Avanti Inamdar  
holding for adv. Shri R. Gite  
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. K.S. Gaikwad  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 28/2/18

*[Handwritten signature]*

*[Handwritten signature]*  
**(B.P. PATIL)  
MEMBER (J)**

VSM



(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**21.02.2018**

**O.A No 130/2018**

Shri R.B Bhandare & Ors ... Applicant  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O has tendered copy of order dated 17.2.2016.

3. It is seen that the communication which is impugned in present O.A is withdrawn.

4. The purpose of present O.A is accomplished. Hence O.A is disposed.

**DATE :** 21/2/18

**CORAM :**  
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

**APPEARANCE:**


Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : Swati Manchekar  
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Hence, O.A is  
disposed.

*A.H.*

  
(A.H. Joshi, J)  
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>21/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. Shri B. P. Patil (CMLJ)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O.P.D. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>29/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.P.</i></p>	<p>Date : 21.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.108 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>N. M. Nawale</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. There is change in the position of the applicant in place of Respondent no.4 in view of the impugned order dated 02.12.2016. The said change has been made for temporary period but no specific period has been mentioned therein. The reasons for such change has not been mentioned in the impugned order.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the respondents prays for time to take instructions from the Joint Director, Vocational Education &amp; Training, Regional Office, Pune and make submission.</p> <p>4. In view of the submission made by the learned P.O. for the respondents one week's time is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Sd/-</i> <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>L.O.</u></p> <p><b>DATE :</b> <u>21/2/18</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. Shri B.P. Patil (C.M.J.)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>28/2/2018.</u></p>	<p>Date : 21.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>O. A. No.108 of 2017</b></p> <p><b>N. M. Nawale</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b><u>L. O.</u></b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On perusal of the original record made available by the learned P.O. for the respondents as regards the change in the posting of the applicant, it reveals that the respondent has passed the impugned order against the officer note and recommendation. Therefore, in these circumstances it is just to give one chance to respondent to take corrective measures. In case the respondent no.1 takes corrective measures then there is no need to file any affidavit in reply explaining the reasons for the transfer.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Sd/-</i> <b>(B.P. PATIL)</b> <b>MEMBER (J)</b></p> <p style="text-align: left;">VSM</p>