

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 454/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1906/2019  
(Shri Kiran S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 03.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 230/2019 in O.A. St. No. 903/2019  
(Shri Sadashiv M. Sawai V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents in M.A. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 11.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 136/2019 in O.A. St. No. 346/2019  
(Shri Yayati T. Ghorband V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 895 OF 2018  
(Shri Murlidhar E. Sakhare and Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 3.

2. Learned Advocate Shri A.D. Gadekar, has filed VAKALATNAMA along with affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 4. Same are taken on record and copy of the affidavit in reply has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 3. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side. He has submitted that presently the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 is not necessary.

4. S.O. to 02.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 704 OF 2019  
(Shri Vishwanath T. Yeslote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 02.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1031 OF 2019  
(Shri Baswaraj M. Swami & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 respectively. Same are taken on record and copies thereof have been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 02.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1048 OF 2019  
(Shri Ramchandra L. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 02.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 51 OF 2018  
(Shri David S. Ganthur and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.M. Nagarkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that presently the respondents do not want to file affidavit in reply to the amended O.A.

3. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 02.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 950 OF 2019  
(Smt. Jayshri T. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.A. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 138 OF 2019  
(Shri Dnyanoba K. Ovhal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 27 OF 2019  
(Shri Raosaheb D. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing rejoinder affidavit. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 04.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 954 OF 2019  
(Shri Rameshwar R. Chandak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 4. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 26.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 963, 964 & 965 ALL OF 2019  
(Shri Vitthal G. Mhashal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Narke, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.J. Godbole, learned Advocate for the applicants in all these O.As. and S/shri N.U. Yadav, D.R. Patil and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officers for the respective respondents in respective O.As.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.As. are admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 04.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1011 OF 2019  
(Shri Vitthal S. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 26.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 37 OF 2019  
(Shri Chandrasen K. Bahure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 20.01.2020 and seeks time. Time granted as a last chance.
3. S.O. to 26.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1070 OF 2019  
(Shri Suryabhan B. Nagre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 02.03.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained



and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 02.03.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1082 OF 2019  
(Shri Naresh W. Sakpal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri L.V. Sangit, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 26.02.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 26.02.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 34 OF 2020  
(Shri Punjabrao J. Rathod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deeapali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri V.B. Wagh, is appeared on behalf of respondent No. 5 and submits that on the next date he will file **VAKALATNAMA** on his behalf.

3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 11.02.2020.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 11.02.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 35 OF 2020  
(Shri Deepak K. Nagarale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.S. Bali, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 25.02.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 25.02.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 42 OF 2020  
(Shri Balasaheb T. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 23.01.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 43 OF 2020  
(Shri Baliram M. Malhare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri G.N. Kulkarni (Mardikar), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27.02.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.02.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 567/2019 in O.A. St. No. 2091/2019  
(Shri Baburao K. Kamble V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shakil U. Shaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 35/2020 In O.A. St. No. 80/2020  
(Shri Balaji M. Nagadarwad and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 80 OF 2020  
(Shri Balaji M. Nagadarwad and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27.02.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.02.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1105 OF 2019  
(Shri Shrikant D. Mitkari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.M. Murkute, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to 03.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 264 OF 2019  
(Shri Supadu V. Bhalerao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Pleadings are complete. Hence, the present O.A. is admitted and it be kept for final hearing on 05.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 836 OF 2019  
(Sajidbanu G. Shahpure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 07.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 892 OF 2019  
(Shri Nagnath M. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.R. Bangar, learned Advocate holding for Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 11.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 911 OF 2019  
(Shri Raosaheb B. Nikam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V. Bodkhe, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.V. Gore, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.K. Muley, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 has filed affidavit-in-reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2. Time granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 28.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 932 OF 2019  
(Dr. Vijaykumar R. Sul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.R. Doke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 11.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 948 OF 2019  
(Shri Monohar K. Mulmule and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**).

Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and 4 respectively. Same are taken on record.
3. S.O. to 27.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1081 OF 2019  
(Shri Mandar Devidas Bhadane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri L.S. Mahajan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is seeking relief to direct the respondent No. 3 to take decision on the representation made by him on 02.08.2018 and the proposal dated 01.07.2019 forwarded by the respondent No. 4 to the respondent No. 3. He has submitted that by giving the above directions to the respondent No. 3, the present O.A. may be disposed of.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that reasonable time may be given to the respondent No. 3 to take decision on the said proposal and the representation of the applicant and the O.A. may be disposed of.

4. In view of the aforesaid submissions advanced by the learned Advocate for the applicant, the O.A. is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No. 3 to take decision on the representation dated 02.08.2018

//2//

O.A. No. 1081/2019

made by the applicant and the proposal dated 01.07.2019 forwarded by the respondent No. 4 to the respondent No. 3, on merit as per rules within a period of three months from the date of this order and communicate the decision thereon to the applicant in writing. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.981 OF 2019  
(Shri Ravindra K. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ganesh V. Mohekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the O.A. and to correct the address of the Respondent No.1.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted.

4. The Applicant shall amend the O.A. forthwith.

5. On amending the O.A., issue fresh notice to the Respondent No.1 returnable on 25.02.2020.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to file service affidavit in respect of Respondent No.5. Time granted.

12. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 to 4. Time granted.

13. S.O. to 25.02.2020.

14. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.195 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.674 OF 2019  
(Shri Suresh G. Tandale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.R. Kedar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Arguments of both the sides are heard at length.  
The case is reserved for order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.900 OF 2018  
(Shri Bhika M. Pathare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 4.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.907 OF 2018  
(Shri Prabhakar A. Satdive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.R. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Jayant S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 4.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.41 OF 2020 IN O.A.NO.928 OF 2019  
(Shri Chandmiyan B. Munde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present misc. application, returnable on 27.02.2020

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

//2//

**M.A.41/2020 IN**  
**O.A.928/2019**

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.02.2020.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.455 OF 2019  
(Dr. Sangita D. Puri (Shinde) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Jayshri P. Reddy, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 22.01.2020 as a most last chance.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.39 OF 2020  
(Shri Anil B. Nikam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in the present original application, returnable on 25.02.2020

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 25.02.2020.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.820 OF 2018  
(Shri Rohit S. Mhaske & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has produced the copy of communication dated 20.01.2020 along with the seniority list. The same are taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicants, S.O. to 25.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.617 OF 2019  
(Shri Rohit S. Mhaske & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the Respondent No.1 has deposited the costs of Rs.10,000/- in pursuance of the order dated 13.12.2019 on 10.01.2020. She has submitted that since the Respondent No.1 has deposited the costs, the Respondent No.1 may be permitted to file affidavit-in-reply today.

3. In view of the submission made by learned P.O. for the Respondents, Respondent No.1 is permitted to file affidavit-in-reply. The affidavit-in-reply filed by the learned P.O. is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

4. S.O. to 25.02.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.245 OF 2019  
(Smt. Subhadra J. Mahuwale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri H.V. Tungar, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. As none appeared for the Applicant, S.O. to 24.02.2020 for passing dismissal order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.625 OF 2019  
(Shri Dattatrya N. Shastri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.D. Narwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.843 OF 2019  
(Shri Kailas V. Agahv V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri I.G. Durrani, learned Advocate for the Applicant  
**(Absent).**

Heard Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for  
the Respondents.

2. None appeared for the Applicant. The Applicant has  
not take steps to serve the notices to the Respondent Nos.4  
to 7. It seems that the Applicant is not interested in  
prosecuting the matter. Hence, the Original Application  
stands dismissed in default.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**Later On:-**

Heard Shri I.G. Durrani, learned Advocate for the  
Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer  
for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted  
that he was busy in another court when the order of  
dismissal is passed by this Tribunal and therefore, he  
prayed to recall the order of dismissal passed in O.A. and to  
restore the Original Application.

//2//

O.A.843/19

3. In view of the submission made by learned Advocate for the Applicant, the order of dismissal passed in O.A.No.843/2019 is set aside and the Original Application is restored to its original number.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time for taking necessary steps regarding service of notices upon Respondent Nos.4 to 7. Time granted.

5. S.O. to 03.03.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.525 OF 2019 In O.A.NO.694 OF 2018  
(Shri Sunil T. Suryawanshi & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.S. Sonawane, learned Advocate for the Applicants in M.A., Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4 in M.A. and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1 in M.A./applicant in O.A.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants on instruction received from the Applicants states that the Applicants do not want to proceed with the M.A. and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the same.

3. In view of the submission made by learned Advocate for the Applicants, the Misc. Application is disposed of. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.694 OF 2018  
(Shri Somnath S. Reddy V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri M.S. Sonawane, learned Advocate for the Intervenors.

2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has produced the copy of G.R. dated 30.12.2019 and 13.01.2020 publishing the seniority list in the cadre of Research Officer/Statistical Officer, Group-'B' (Gazetted) as on 01.01.2012 and 01.01.2013. The same are taken on record.

3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the Respondents have given deemed date of promotion to the Statistical Officers who were promoted on ad-hoc basis as per the said seniority list. The Respondents have not promoted any of the Statistical Officer junior to the Applicant and therefore, prayed to modify the order dated 17.6.2019 and permit the Respondents to promote the eligible officers employees on the post of Deputy Director (Statistics) subject to the decision in the O.A.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant has been appointed in the year 2013 and the seniority list of statistical Officers for the year 2013 has not yet been published and finalized and therefore, the interim relief passed by this Tribunal on 17.06.2019 may be continued till the final disposal of the Original Application as it will cause prejudice to the rights of the Applicant in case the junior officer to the Applicant will be promoted.

5. On Perusal of record it reveals that interim order was passed on 17.06.2019 on the basis of submission made by learned C.P.O. and directions were given to the Respondents not to promote anybody on the post of Deputy Director till further order. Now, the Respondents have produced the documents showing that they have published the seniority list of the Statistical Officer upto the year 2012.

6. Learned C.P.O. has made statement on behalf of the Respondents that the Respondents are not going to promote anybody who are junior to the present Applicant. Therefore, he has prayed to grant permission to the Respondents to promote eligible officers subject to final decision in the Original Application.

7. In these circumstances, in my view, it is not proper to hold on the process of promotion on the post of Deputy Director. On the basis of statement made

by learned C.P.O., order passed by this Tribunal on 17.06.2019 stands modified. The Respondents are permitted to promote the eligible officers on the post of Deputy Director (Statistics) subject to outcome of this Original Application.

8. S.O. to 27.02.2020.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 21.01.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 192/2016  
(Shri Maroti S. Koli V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Syed Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 23.3.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 27/2018 IN O.A. 515/2013**

**(Dr. Balaji G. Phalke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**C.P. 28/2018 IN O.A. 516/2013**

**(Dr. Appasaheb S. Dhus V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**C.P. 29/2018 IN O.A. 511/2013**

**(Dr. Ramesh J. Dhapte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**WITH**

**C.P. 30/2018 IN O.A. 510/2013**

**(Dr. Satish D. Londhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in all the cases and S/shri S.K. Shirse, V.R. Bhumkar, N.U. Yadav & Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officers for the respondents in respective cases.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record copy of communication dtd. 21.1.2020 received to him from the concerned respondent by which the respondents are seeking some time for taking appropriate steps and filing affidavit in replies in the present cases. The said communication is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 5.3.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12/2018  
(Shri Khandu T. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 28.2.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 404/2018  
(Shri Udhav G. Gangawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 5. Shri R.M. Jade, learned Advocate for respondent no. 6 (**absent**).

2. In view of absence of respondent no. 6, S.O. to 17.3.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 421/2018  
(Shri Manoj S. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Syed Zahed Ali, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 23.3.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 422/2018  
(Dr. Raghuvir V. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 27.1.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 709/2017  
(Shri Ramesh M. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As per the noting of the Registry dtd. 15.1.2020, the learned Advocate for the applicant has amended the O.A. as per the order dtd. 8.1.2020.

3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply of the concerned respondents to the amended O.A. Time granted.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 23.3.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 666/2018  
(Smt. Laxmi S. Gadge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vivek Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply of the Respondents in the present O.A. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 20.2.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 732/2018  
(Shri Akhtarkhan Shahajadekhan Pathan & Ors. V/s. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time.  
Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.2.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 814/2018  
(Shri Chandrasen V. Gaysamudre V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri R.K. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absense of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 25.2.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 13/2019  
(Shri Tufansing L. Shele V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 11.3.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 120/2019  
(Shri Yogesh S. Shingnare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.3.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 247/2019  
(Shri Jija R. Tarte V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Prafulla Bodade, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.3.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 397/2019  
(Smt. Shilpa J. Ingle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing sur-rejoinder, if any, of the concerned respondents to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 28.2.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 651/2019  
(Shri Chandulal D. Ghule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Kakade, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.N. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of respondent no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 14.2.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 668/2019  
(Smt. Sonal D. Agrawal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Dhanuka, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no. 2. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.2.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 715/2019  
(Smt. Sushma E. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 26.2.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 848/2019  
(Shri Jitendra B. Bagal & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed two separate affidavit in replies on behalf of respondent nos. 2 & 3 respectively. The same are taken on record and copies thereof have been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 30.3.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 174/2017 IN O.A. ST. 588/2017  
(Shri Aasaram S. Chormare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 4 in the present M.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 12.3.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 53/2019 IN O.A. ST. 185/2019  
(Shri Ashok B. Amrutkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of respondent nos. 1 to 4 in the present M.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.2.2020 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 138/2019 IN O.A. 805/2017  
(Dr. Vanita N. Puri V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4, are present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and her learned Advocate, S.O. to 2.3.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 262/2019 IN O.A. 349/2019  
(Shri Dadasaheb M. Kewat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single  
Bench due to non-availability of Division  
Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 & 5 and Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. Learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 seeks time to file affidavit in reply, if any, in the present M.A. So also learned Advocate for the applicant also seeks time to file rejoinder to the affidavit to the reply of respondent nos. 1 & 2 in the present M.A. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 20.2.2020.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 380/2019 IN O.A. 290/2019  
(Shri Rajesh L. Tangade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent no. 1. Shri A.D. Aghav, learned Advocate for respondent no. 2 (**absent**).

2. In view of absence of learned Advocate for respondent no. 2, S.O. to 13.3.2020 for filing affidavit in reply in the present M.A.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 624/2013  
(Shri Yogesh P. Ghuge & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.C. Ghode, learned Advocate for the applicants (**absent**). Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since the learned Advocate for the applicants is absent and since the Division Bench is also not available, S.O. to 12.3.2020 for final hearing.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 185/2018  
(Shri Vasant S. Bagde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

[This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.]

**DATE : 21.1.2020**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sunil B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Since the Division Bench is not available, S.O. to 12.3.2020 for final hearing.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 21.1.2020

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.58 OF 2020 In O.A.NO.1103 OF 2019  
(Shri Dyaneshwar S. Tidake V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant's candidature has been rejected on account of fitness test i.e. measurement of chest. He has submitted that the interviews of the eligible candidates are scheduled on 27.1.2020 to 29.1.2020 and therefore, he prayed to direct the Respondents to re-measure the chest of the Applicant so as to resolve the controversy. Therefore, he prayed to allow the Misc. Application.

3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that this Tribunal has issued notices to the Respondents in the Original Application and rejected the prayer for interim relief. He has submitted that the chest of the Applicant has been measured on 17.12.2019 and thereafter it has been again measured on 19.12.2019, on the basis of objection raised by the Applicant. He has submitted that at both the times,

//2//

M.A.58/20 IN O.A.1103/19

the Applicant failed to fulfill the physical fitness test i.e. measurement of chest and therefore, the candidature of the Applicant has been rejected and he has not been called for interview. He has submitted that since the proper opportunity has been given to the Applicant, there is no need to give another opportunity by granting interim relief.

4. On perusal of record it reveals that as per the advertisement, the candidate appearing for the examination for the post of Police Sub-Inspector has to satisfy the physical criteria mentioned therein. The applicant's chest should be at least 79 cm deflated condition and after expanding there shall be minimum difference of 5 cm between deflated and inflated measurement. When the Applicant's chest measurement was taken initially on 17.12.2019, it was measured 80.5 cm and 85 cm in deflated and inflated condition. Thereafter, the Applicant raised objection in that regard before the Appellate Committee. The Appellate Committee allowed the objection and directed to re-measure the chest of the Applicant. Therefore, the chest has been measured again on 19.12.2019 and at that time chest has measured 84.5 cm in deflated condition and 87 cm when it was inflated. The Applicant has not fulfilled the criteria of 5 cm expansion in between deflated and inflated chest. Therefore, the candidature of the applicant has been rejected and he has not been called for interview.

//3//

M.A.58/20 IN O.A.1103/19

5. In view of the above, prima facie, it seems that the recruitment committee has followed the due procedure while proceeding with the recruitment process. The chest of the Applicant has been measured twice i.e. on 17.12.2019 and 19.12.2019 and at both the times, he failed to satisfy the physical criteria i.e. measurement of chest. Therefore, the Applicant's candidature has been rightly rejected.

6. In these circumstances, in my view, there is no need to grant another chance to the Applicant for appearing for physical test i.e. for measurement of chest. In case of granting one more chance to the Applicant, it will cause hurdle in the recruitment process. Therefore, I find no merit in the Misc. Application. It is not a fit case to grant interim relief as claimed. Hence, the M.A. for interim relief deserves to be rejected.

7. In view of above, the Misc. Application stands rejected. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.59 OF 2020 IN O.A.NO.1104 OF 2019  
(Shri Akshay J. Murme V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of the Division Bench)

**DATE : 21.01.2020.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Munde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the Applicant's candidature has been rejected on account of fitness test i.e. measurement of chest. He has submitted that the interviews of the eligible candidates are scheduled on 27.1.2020 to 29.1.2020 and therefore, he prayed to direct the Respondents to re-measure the chest of the Applicant so as to resolve the controversy. Therefore, he prayed to allow the Misc. Application.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that this Tribunal has issued notices to the Respondents in the Original Application and rejected the prayer for interim relief. He has submitted that the chest of the Applicant has been measured on 17.12.2019 and thereafter it has been again measured on 19.12.2019, on the basis of objection raised by the Applicant. He has submitted that at both the times,



//2//

M.A.59/20 IN O.A.1104/19

the Applicant failed to fulfill the physical fitness test i.e. measurement of chest and therefore, the candidature of the Applicant has been rejected and he has not been called for interview. He has submitted that since the proper opportunity has been given to the Applicant, there is no need to give another opportunity by granting interim relief.

4. On perusal of record it reveals that as per the advertisement, the candidate appearing for the examination for the post of Police Sub-Inspector has to satisfy the physical criteria mentioned therein. The applicant's chest should be at least 79 cm deflated condition and after expanding there shall be minimum difference of 5 cm between deflated and inflated measurement. When the Applicant's chest measurement was taken initially on 17.12.2019, it was measured 94 cm and 97 cm in deflated and inflated condition. Thereafter, the Applicant raised objection in that regard before the Appellate Committee. The Appellate Committee allowed the objection and directed to re-measure the chest of the Applicant. Therefore, the chest has been measured again on 19.12.2019 and at that time chest has measured 97 cm in deflated condition and 100.5 cm when it was inflated. The Applicant has not fulfilled the criteria of 5 cm expansion in between deflated and inflated chest. Therefore, the candidature of the applicant has been rejected and he has not been called for interview.

//3//

M.A.59/20 IN O.A.1104/19

5. In view of the above, prima facie, it seems that the recruitment committee has followed the due procedure while proceeding with the recruitment process. The chest of the Applicant has been measured twice i.e. on 17.12.2019 and 19.12.2019 and at both the times, he failed to satisfy the physical criteria i.e. measurement of chest. Therefore, the Applicant's candidature has been rightly rejected.

6. In these circumstances, in my view, there is no need to grant another chance to the Applicant for appearing for physical test i.e. for measurement of chest. In case of granting one more chance to the Applicant, it will cause hurdle in the recruitment process. Therefore, I find no merit in the Misc. Application. It is not a fit case to grant interim relief as claimed. Hence, the M.A. for interim relief deserves to be rejected.

7. In view of above, the Misc. Application stands rejected. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**