

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 247 OF 2017
(Dr. Mohammed F. Haq V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Asif Ali, learned Advocate holding for Smt. A.N. Ansari, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer is called to secure instructions and make a statement as to time frame within which the proposal sent by the Dy. Director of Health Services to the Commissioner of Health Services, Mumbai on 08.10.2018 would be decided.

3. S.O. to 20.12.2018.

4. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both parties.

CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 853 OF 2018
(Shri Pramod C. Bute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 01.02.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 01-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 862 OF 2018
(Shri Kiran S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 01.02.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 01-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 867 OF 2018
(Shri Yogesh K. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.12.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 20-12-2018.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 455/2018 in O.A. St. No. 1809/2018
(Shri Krishna B. Joshi V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 01.02.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

//2//

M.A. 455/2018 in
O.A. St. 1809/2018

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 01-02-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 67/2018
(Dr. Mohd. F. Iqbal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. S.O. to 06.12.2018. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 739/2018 with M.A. No. 445/2018
(Shri Sanjaykumar L. Bhosale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the impugned order has been cancelled by the Government. He states that O.A. be disposed of as purpose thereof has outlived. He prayed that direction be given for deciding period between date of transfer and the date of cancellation and rejoining. Needless to observe that the applicant shall make suitable application and the competent authority shall take decision in accordance with Rules.

3. Accordingly, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

4. In view of disposal of O.A., nothing survives in M.A. No. 445/2018 and the same is also disposed of.

CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**O.A. No. 735/2018 with M.A. No. 410/2018
(Shri Santosh D. Chatupale (Zalte) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN.

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the impugned order has been cancelled by the Government. He states that O.A. be disposed of as purpose thereof has outlived. He prayed that direction be given for deciding period between date of transfer and the date of cancellation and rejoining. Needless to observe that the applicant shall make suitable application and the competent authority shall take decision in accordance with Rules.

3. Accordingly, O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

4. In view of disposal of O.A., nothing survives in M.A. No. 410/2018 and the same is also disposed of.

CHAIRMAN

KPB ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
C.P.No.60/2018 IN O.A.St.No.678/2014
(Dr. Suvarna Manmath Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri J.S.Deshmukh learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S.Deokar learned Presenting Officer for the respondents is present.
2. It is seen that Contemnor No.1 to 5 have failed to reply notice of proposed action for contempt dated 15-02-2018 as well to this Tribunal's notice ordered on 10-08-2018.
3. Issue notice to all these Contemnors to show cause as to why each amongst them should not be personally saddled with costs of Rs.10,000/- towards failure/neglect to attend to the show cause notice issued by Advocate.
4. Notice shall be returnable on 20-12-2018.
5. S.O. to 20-12-2018.
6. Steno copy and hamdust is allowed to both the parties.
7. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Contemnors.

CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018 AHJ F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.128/2018
(Hausaji Laxman Marakwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri A.S.Deshpande learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. This O.A. is heard by consent of the parties.
3. It is evident that the order passed in O.A.No.264/2018 covers case of the present applicant. Hence, for the reasons contained in order dated 24-10-2018 passed in O.A.No.264/2018 by the Principal Seat of Tribunal at Mumbai, present O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer clause (B), which reads as follows:

“12(B) The respondents may kindly be directed to promote the applicant as Police Sub-Inspector without any further delay and to direct them to grant a deemed date of promotion to the applicant that of Shri Vijay Linguram Pantoji, with all consequential benefits flowing from such a deemed date.”

(Quoted from page 5 of the O.A.)

4. There shall be no order as to costs.

CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
T.A.No.10/2018 (W.P.No.11439/2018)
(Nirmala Trimbak Rakh & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. At the outset learned Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend for placing on record copy of merit list to demonstrate that the present applicants are qualified in the written test for the last phase of selection of physical test and viva-voce.
2. Leave to amend for placing record of merit list and consequential amendment in the O.A. is granted.
3. Heard Shri R.D.Khadap learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S.Thombre learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
4. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 21-12-2018.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

10. Learned Advocate for the applicant has argued on the point of interim relief.

In addition to the merit of the case he has placed reliance on the order passed in Writ Petition No.13299/2017 along with Writ Petition No.13302/2017 in which the Hon'ble High Court (Bench at Aurangabad) has granted relief by order dated 13.12.2017, with following observations contained in paragraph 3 thereof, which reads as follows :-

“3. We find considerable merit in the submission of learned counsel that if no interim orders are passed, the purpose of the petitioners to approach this Court would be frustrated. There is also some

merit in the submission of learned counsel that the stand taken by the Public Service Commission was not approved of by the Tribunal in view of the judgments of this Court. Considering this aspect, we direct the Maharashtra Public Service Commission to permit the petitioners to appear for the interview scheduled on 15.12.2017. Needless to state that, the final selection of these petitioners would be subject to the decision of this petition."

(quoted from page No. 72 of paper book)

11. On perusal of O.A. it is seen that facts of present O.A. and points involved therein are replica of points involved in Writ Petition No. 13299/2017.

12. In view thereof interest of justice would be protected if applicants are granted interim relief by way of direction to the Maharashtra Public Service Commission (MPSC) to conduct physical test as well as viva-voce and keep one post each vacant from the category of the applicants.

13. S.O. to 21-12-2018.

14. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.No.454/2018 IN O.A.St.No.1906/2018
(Kiran Santosh Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri S.D.Dhongde learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 15-01-2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 15-01-2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018 AHJ F

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.871/2018
(Dr. Chandrakant Shivkumar Shete V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H.JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

1. Heard Shri K.M.Nagarkar learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.
2. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 20-12-2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance

in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Heard on the point of interim relief.

9. Applicant who is an ad-hoc government servant is serving as Assistant Professor Dentistry (दंतव्यंगोपचारशास्त्र). His conditions of appointment stipulate that he shall continue to serve until a duly selected candidate from Maharashtra Public Service Commission (MPSC) is available.

10. Admittedly, the applicant is neither selected from MPSC nor a duly selected candidate from MPSC is available. Now the respondents have issued fresh advertisement for ad-hoc appointments.

11. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed reliance on the averments in paragraph 15 summarized in paragraph 16 of the O.A., which read as follows:

“16. The petitioner submits that, ad-hoc employee cannot be replaced by appointing another ad-hoc employee and this principle is settled up to the Supreme Court of India and therefore, stills the regular.”

(Quoted from paper book page 11)

12. It is well settled principle that one ad-hoc employee should not be replaced by another ad-hoc employee. Therefore, the applicant has made out a case for grant of interim relief. This Tribunal, therefore, directs by way of ad-interim ex-parte order as follows:

(a) Selection process may be carried out and completed as per the advertisement Exhibit A-14, page 38 of paper book of O.A., but no appointments be made to substitute the applicant.

(b) The applicant should not be removed from his post until further orders and his services be continued as ad-hoc employee on same terms, and until candidates in terms of order appointing him till duly selected candidate is received to occupy the post held

by the applicant, or until vacancy exists,
whichever is former.

13. S.O. to 20-12-2018.

14. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both
parties.

CHAIRMAN

YUK ORAL ORDER 20-11-2018 AHJ F

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 19/2017 IN O.A. 522/2000
C.P. 20/2017 IN O.A. 718/1998
C.P. 21/2017 IN O.A. 1203/1999
C.P. 22/2017 IN O.A. 717/1998
C.P. 23/2017 IN O.A. 492/2002
C.P. 24/2017 IN O.A. 493/2002
C.P. 25/2017 IN O.A. 525/2002
C.P. 26/2017 IN O.A. 705/1996
C.P. 27/2017 IN O.A. 682/1996
C.P. 28/2017 IN O.A. 718/1996**

(Shri Valmik N. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard S/shri M.H. Patil & Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocates for the applicants in all these matters and Shri M.S. Mahajan & Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Chief Presenting Officer & Presenting Officer for respondents in respective matters.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer states that 2 months' time is needed for total compliance and prays to adjourn the hearing till 1.2.2019.
3. Time as prayed for is granted.
4. S.O. to 1.2.2019.

CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 46/2018 IN O.A. 207/2015
(Shri Bhika D. Tawshikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Sk. Mazhar A. Jahagirdar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 20.12.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. Issue notice of show cause to Contemnors calling to show cause as to why they should not be personally saddled with costs of Rs. 10,000/- each to be paid personally towards failure to reply / respond to the Advocate's notice dated 24.2.2017.

9. Reply to the show cause notice be filed on or before 20.12.2018. Contemnors are put to notice that no adjournment would be granted for filing reply to this show cause notice.

10. S.O. to 20.12.2018.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

12. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the concerned respondents.

CHAIRMAN

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 433/2018 IN O.A. 614/2018
(Dr. Minakshi B. Pathak V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The present M.A. has been filed by the applicant for leave to amend the O.A. as per the proposed amendment annexed to the M.A.

3. For the reasons stated in the M.A., it is allowed and disposed of and the applicant is permitted to amend the O.A. as per the draft amendment. The O.A. be amended within the period of two weeks. There shall be no order as to costs.

CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

C.P. 36/2018 IN O.A. 846/2011

C.P. 37/2018 IN O.A. 843/2011

C.P. 38/2018 IN O.A. 842/2011

C.P. 39/2018 IN O.A. 278/2012

C.P. 40/2018 IN O.A. 634/2011

C.P. 41/2018 IN O.A. 277/2012

(Vilas S. Patil & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the
applicants in all these matters (**leave note**). S/shri
N.U. Yadav, M.P. Gude, B.S. Deokar and Smt.
Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officers for
respondents in all these matters, are present.

2. Learned P.Os. state that it is decided to
implement / comply with the order of the Tribunal in
respective O.As., subject to outcome of the proposed
W.P. to be filed and states that for compliance, for the
present, the hearing be adjourned to 1.2.2019.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 1.2.2019.

CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 47/2018 IN O.A. 138/2016
(Dr. Shaikh Faiz Mohammad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri I.D. Maniyar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. 50/2018 IN O.A. 421/2012
(Shri Anant M. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. By the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. ST. 1961/2017 IN O.A. 57/2016
(Shri Ravindra D. Thakur V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Kalyan Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Barlinge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**C.P. ST. 1066/2018 IN O.A. 380/2017
(Dr. Prasad V. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Present incumbent holding the post of Secretary, Medical Education and Drugs Department should hear the applicant instead of Shri Sanjay Deshmukh pursuant to the order passed by this Tribunal on 9.10.2018.

3. For reporting compliance, S.O. to 20.12.2018.

4. Steno copy and Hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

M.A. 388/2018 IN O.A. 792/2015

**(Dr. Chetan K. Shingane V/s. Dr. Prasad V. Kulkarni & Ors. and the
State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single
Bench due to non-availability of Division
Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants in the present M.A., Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 1 to 7 in the present M.A. / applicants in O.A. and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent nos. 8 & 9 in the present M.A. / respondent nos. 1 & 2 in O.A.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 20.12.2018.

CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 20-11-2018

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 403/2018 IN C.P. 51/2018 IN O.A. 478/2014
(Dr. Vijay Satbir Singh, Secretary, Public Health Department &
Ors. Vs. Dr. Sudha V. Kulkarni)**

OFFICE ORDER

TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS

CORAM : JUSTICE A.H. JOSHI, CHAIRMAN

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

DATE : 20.11.2018

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for applicants in the present M.A. / respondents in O.A. and Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the respondent in the present M.A. / applicant in O.A.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 30.11.2018.

CHAIRMAN

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 20-11-2018