

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>21/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>P. N. DIXIT</u> Hon'ble Shri <u>M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>U. V. Bhosale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>Miss N. G. Gohad</u> C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>9/3/2019</u> steno copy and hamdash is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sgj</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.634 of 2018</u></p> <p>U.D. Kharat & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record. She seeks three weeks time to seeks instructions from respondents no.2 to 7 to file reply.</p> <p>3. The respondents should particularly clarify para 6.21(c) page 14A of the amended OA in their reply. The respondent no.1 may also clarify the same, as this particular para has not been replied in the present affidavit.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 8.3.2019.</p> <p>5. Steno copy and hamdash is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 21.2.2019</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.02.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.883 of 2017 with M.A.No.429 of 2018</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicant (Org. Respondent).</p> <p>Versus P.D. Nagpurkar ...Respondents (Org. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicant (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder in the O.A. listed on record.</p> <p>3. M.A.No.429 of 2018 and O.A.No.883 of 2017 be kept together for hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.02.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.876 of 2018</p> <p>B.B. GosaviApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned Presenting Officer Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents files affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.02.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.266 of 2018</p> <p>K.S. KulkarniApplicant.</p> <p>Versus .</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing and during the course of hearing learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents prays for time to take instructions from the Respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 28.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.02.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.608 of 2017</p> <p>P.R. GhorpadeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents submitted that she is will file affidavit during the course of day explaining the period of alleged misconduct in respect of which charge-sheet has been issued to the Applicant after his retirement. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for Final hearing. S.O. to 01.03.2019 (P.H.)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.02.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.524 of 2018</p> <p>S.D. GhumbareApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents has filed short affidavit in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 26.11.2018.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.03.2019 for hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 20.02.2019
	<p align="center">O.A.No.747 of 2018</p> <p>W.J. GodseApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.V. Joshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 and is also adopted on behalf of Respondents No.1, 2 and 4.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 05.03.2019 for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 20.02.2019

O.A.No.954 of 2017

M.A. DesaiApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter applicant has challenged impugned order dated 26.05.2017 whereby she was transferred from Solapur to Kolhapur.
3. Whereas learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has tendered letter issued by the Government dated 29.10.2018 which shows that on the request made by the Applicant by order dated 30.07.2018 applicant has been posted as Deputy Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Ratnagiri and accordingly she had joined on 20.08.2018.
4. As such during the pendency of this application on the request of the applicant she has been posted at Ratnagiri. Therefore the cause for this application may not survive.
5. However, learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar sought time to take instructions from his client.
6. S.O. to 13.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)

Member(J)

prk

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.02.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.69 of 2018 IN O.A.No.821 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. S.S. LahadeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Aniket Mukadam, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In O.A.No.821/2018 challenge is to the suspension order dated 31.08.2018. However, during the pendency of this application applicant has retired on 30.09.2018. As such in view of the retirement applicant sought to amend the O.A. thereby incorporating release of retirement benefits. The proposed amendment is necessitated due to subsequent retirement of the applicant.</p> <p>3. In view of above, M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out within seven days from today. Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply in O.A. on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 08.03.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 20.02.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.526 of 2018</p> <p>S.B. ShindeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D. Mane, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S.B. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today learned Advocate Shri S.B. Patil for Respondent No.2 has filed affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents one week's time is granted for filing affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 27.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>M.T. Joshi (V/C)</u> Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>R.K. Mendadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To <u>25/3/2019</u> steno copy and hamdast is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>M.T.</u> <u>R.K.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.184 of 2018</u></p> <p>T.B. Naik ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.K. Mendadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Upon hearing both the sides it appears that since the appointment order dated 25.6.1998 of the present applicant (Exhibit D-2 page 23) is silent regarding the selection from any Social Category, it would be necessary to go through the service book, relevant roster etc., to find out as to whether the present applicant was appointed from Open Category as claimed by him or otherwise from any Social Category as claimed by the respondents vide show cause notice dated 17.11.2017 (Exhibit A page 13) which indicated that the applicant has not supplied any validity certificate of his caste. Ld. Advocate for the applicant however submits that a caste scrutiny proceeding is going on.</p> <p>3. Applicant can file duplicate service book as well as respondents can file original service book on 25.3.2019.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and hamdast is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) (M.T. Joshi, J.) Member (A) Vice-Chairman 20.2.2019 20.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="711 426 906 455">Date : 20.02.2019</p> <p data-bbox="911 493 1127 522">O.A.No.139 of 2019</p> <p data-bbox="711 553 1273 588">P.S. PatilApplicant.</p> <p data-bbox="711 599 784 628">Versus</p> <p data-bbox="711 648 1292 683">The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="711 714 1325 838">1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p data-bbox="711 887 1325 1342">2. In the present matter applicant has challenged transfer order dated 13.02.2019 whereby he has been transferred from Palghar to Ratnagiri in view of the directions from the Election Commission of India. However his representation dated 02.02.2019, page 25 of the paper book, is pending before the competent authority for consideration. As the representation is pending learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar and learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule has sought four week's time to take decision thereon.</p> <p data-bbox="711 1395 1325 1519">3. In view of the above, the matter is adjourned for four week's. Respondents are at liberty to file affidavit-in-reply on next date.</p> <p data-bbox="711 1568 1325 1692">4. In the meantime Respondents are free to pass order on the representations dated 02.02.2019 and 15.02.2019 made by the Applicant. Hamdast is granted.</p> <p data-bbox="711 1740 980 1769">5. S.O. to 20.03.2019.</p> <p data-bbox="1094 1771 1154 1800">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1029 1842 1192 1902">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p data-bbox="686 1897 719 1920">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/2/2019</p> <p>CORAM: M.T. Joshi (C/IC) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshwar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: OA is disposed off with no order as to costs</p> <p style="text-align: right;">JG</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.832 of 2017</u></p> <p>R.L. Borase & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces communication dated 23.1.2019 giving appointment to applicant no.1. A copy of the same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. She points out that applicant no.2 is already deleted.</p> <p>3. In the circumstances, OA is disposed off with no order as to costs.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman 20.2.2019</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 20/2/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>M. T. Joshi (C)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>M. Ramchandra Kumar</u> (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt: <u>C. T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>12/3/2019 for filing</u> <u>reply to the substituted O.A.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>YKa</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.21 of 2016</u></p> <p>Dr. V.G. Rokade ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Both sides point out that substituted OA is filed, however, reply to the substituted OA is yet to be filed.</p> <p>3. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 12.3.2019 for filing reply to the substituted OA.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman 20.2.2019</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.As. No.271 of 2016 with OA No.534 of 2017

M.R. Deshmukh
S.P. Ingle ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO submitted that in view of the earlier directions of this Tribunal, the committee was constituted by the Commissioner and accordingly affidavit in reply is being filed. She submitted that the report of the committee would show that the report from Forensic Laboratory would be necessary.

3. The issue, therefore, may be referred to Forensic Laboratory and in view of the pendency of OA since long expert may be requested to send the report at the earliest.

4. S.O. to 27.3.2019 for reporting progress.

5. Steno copy is granted.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
20.2.2019

(sgj)

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)
Vice-Chairman
20.2.2019

Date: 20/2/2019

CORAM: M.T. Joshi (V/C)
Chairman

Members: P.N. Dixit (Member) A

Advocate for the Applicant: C.T. Chandratre

Shri/Smt. Presenting Officer: K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents:

Adj. To: 27/3/2019 for reporting progress.
steno copy is granted
y/e

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>M.T. Joshi (V/C)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri <u>M. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble Justice <u>P.N. Dixit (Member) A</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant: Shri <u>J.H. Kamble</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent: Shri / Smt <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>22/2/2019 for filing report regarding the corrective steps, if any.</u></p> <p><i>sgj</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1081 of 2017</u></p> <p>D.B. Ghalpade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that instructions remained to be taken on the lines of the directions issued by this Tribunal vide order dated 4.4.2018 for taking corrective steps, if any. He seeks accommodation for two days in this regard.</p> <p>3. At his request, adjourned to 22.2.2019 for filing report regarding the corrective steps, if any.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019 (sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (M.T. Joshi J.) Vice-Chairman 20.2.2019</p> </div> </div>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.485 of 2018 in O.A. No.984 of 2017
with
M.A. No.486 of 2018 in O.A. No.132 of 2018

R.N. Fulzele & Ors.
V.S. Zarekar & Ors. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.N. Naikwadi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It appears that final decision in the OAs itself would be in the interest of justice. In the circumstances, both MAs are disposed off and OAs are kept for final hearing on 26.3.2019.

3. Both MAs are disposed off without any orders as to cost.

DATE: 20/2/2019
CORAM: M.T. Joshi (CJ/C)
Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice P.N. Dixit (Member) A
AFFIDAVIT:
Shri A.N. Naikwadi
Advocate for the Applicant
Shri A.J. Chougule
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To Both MAs are disposed off without any orders as to costs.

JK

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
20.2.2019

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)
Vice-Chairman
20.2.2019

(sgl)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>M.T. Joshi (V/C)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>B. A. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>In the circumstances, adjourned to 27/3/2018 in the meanwhile applicant can move for amendment</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.848 of 2012</u></p> <p>B.P. Nikam ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment in view of communication dated 28.8.2018. He submits that applicant will have to challenge the communication since his initial appointment itself is disputed.</p> <p>3. In the circumstances, adjourned to 27.3.2018. In the meantime applicant can move for amendment.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019 (sgl)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman 20.2.2019</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.260 of 2015

S.L. Ghorpade ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Read the order dated 26.4.2018. The present OA is dismissed for default with no orders as to cost.

Sd/-

(P.M Dixit)
Member (A)
20.2.2019

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)
Vice-Chairman
20.2.2019

DATE: 20/2/2019

CORAM: M. T. Joshi (V/C)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. M. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: The present OA is dismissed for default with no order as to costs

M.T.

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/2/2015</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri M.T. Joshi (C) (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri U.V. Bhosale L. Adv. holding for Shri G.S. Shukla Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>AQ. To 21/2/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>File</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.995 of 2016</u></p> <p>U.M. Kadu ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.S. Shukla, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the OA is already dismissed on merits and therefore cannot be withdrawn.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment till tomorrow.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman 20.2.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./B.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/2/2019</p> <p>CORAM: M.T. Joshi (V/C) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Soni (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Raneshwar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 15/3/2019 at the request of Ld. Adv. for the appl.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">M.T.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.202 of 2016</u></p> <p>S.S. Ketkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that the applicant is not in contact with him and he would have to find out as to whether the applicant is interested in prosecuting the OA or not.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 15.3.2019.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- (M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman 20.2.2019</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/2/2019</p> <p>CORAM: M.T. Joshi (Vlc) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) P.N. Dixit Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: C.T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: Issue n. Notice returnable on 15/4/2019.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.128 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.L. Shete ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Issue notice returnable on 15.4.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. Issue of interim relief is kept open with liberty to mention early. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi, J.) Vice-Chairman 20.2.2019</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.71 of 2019

S.D. Giri ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Datta Mane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 25.3.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

DATE: 20/2/2019
CORAM: M.T. Joshi (Chairman)
 Mon'ble Shri M. Manohar (Member) A
APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. Datta Mane
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To ISSUE notice
 Returnable on: 23/3/2019

File

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Member (A)
 20.2.2019

Sd/-
 (M.T. Joshi, J.)
 Vice-Chairman
 20.2.2019

(sgj)

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.111 of 2019

M.H. Deshmukh ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to substitute the OA. Leave granted.

3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests that the OA be adjourned for tomorrow for hearing on grant of interim relief.

4. S.O. to 21.2.2019.

DATE: 20/2/2019

CORAM:

M.T. Joshi (V/C)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)
P.N. Dixit
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt.: Sandeep Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt.: S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 21/2/2019

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
20.2.2019

(sgj)

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi, J.)
Vice-Chairman
20.2.2019

H/e

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandrahar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Aaditya Bhagat holding for</u> <u>Shri M.V. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 28/2/2019</u> for <u>reporting progress in the meeting.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1062 of 2017</u></p> <p>G.A. Palve & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Aditya Bhagat, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, leamed Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that she proposes to call in a meeting of the concerned from respondent no.1 and 2 and sort out the issue. She seeks one week's time for reporting progress in the meeting.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 20/2/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : Anil Jaiswar Ld. Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal Ld. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 25/2/2019 for filing short reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.L.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.721 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.S. Bhosale ..Applicants Vs. ..Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that copy of the oral warning to the applicant has been provided to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant. Ld. CPO further mentions that short affidavit would be filed before 25.2.2019.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.2.2019 for filing short reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Sg)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20.000-10-2013)

ISpl. MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P. N. DIXIT</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Sd/- <u>Applicant and Advocate</u> <i>for the Applicant are absent.</i> Sd/- <u>S.P. Manuvelkar</u> C.P.O./P.C. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To <u>11/3/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MT</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.890 of 2018</u></p> <p>B.N. Nagre Vs. ..Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Shri Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 1.3.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

(G.C.P.) J 1726(B) (20,000-10-2013)

Spl. - MAT-F-2 E.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram., Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>20/2/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Applicant and Advocate</u> <u>for the applicant are absent.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>25/2/2019 for filing</u> <u>reply.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>M.T.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.961 of 2018</u></p> <p>U.M. Kadu Vs. ..Applicant The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Shri Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 25.2.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 20.2.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(sgl)</p>