

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

| Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders | Tribunal's orders |
|---|--|
| | <p>Date : 19.12.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1169 of 2019</p> <p>U.P. Nikam Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the matter pertains to selection and hence there is urgency. Respondents were directed to file short affidavit regarding paragraph 6.10 and 6.11 in the O.A.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.12.2019.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman </p> <p>prk</p> |