

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.11.2019.

O.A.No.913 of 2019

R. L. Patil & Ors.

....Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants; Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.4 to 6 and Shri N. V. Bhutekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.7.
2. On 07.11.2019, when the matter was taken up for admission, it was pointed out by learned Counsel for the Respondents that the Applicants reside within the jurisdiction of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal Bench Aurangabad and therefore, O.A. is liable to be dismissed on the point of jurisdiction.
3. In view of above, Registrar was directed to look into the matter and submit the report as to why objection is not raised by the office during scrutiny. Accordingly, Register has submitted the report stating that Smt. A. A. Masal, Research Officer who scrutinized the O.A. has accepted her mistake and assures to be careful in future. Smt. Masal has also submitted her explanation for her mistake.
4. Registrar is, therefore, directed to issue circular for careful scrutiny of O.A. on all the points including jurisdiction. Point of jurisdiction be also included in the scrutiny form.
5. Learned Counsel for the Applicants fairly submits that Original Application ought to have been filed before M.A.T Bench Aurangabad. He, therefore, seeks permission to withdraw the Original Application with liberty to file fresh O.A. at M.A.T. Bench Aurangabad.
6. Liberty is granted.
7. In view of above, Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs. Registrar to ensure compliance of directions.

Sd/-

W.M. /

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.626 of 2019 in O.A.No.1013 of 2019</p> <p>S. B. MetkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. notice was issued on 14.10.2019 but the applicant failed to file affidavit of service before three days of returnable date, and therefore, O.A. was dismissed in default.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that notices were already issued but affidavit of service ^{could} should not be filed inadvertently. He undertakes to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>4. For the aforesaid reason, M.A. is allowed and O.A.No.1013/2019 is restored to file.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. for filing reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>6. M.A. is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.627 of 2019 in D.A.No.1015 of 2019</p> <p>S. D. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. notice was issued on 14.10.2019 but the applicant failed to file affidavit of service before three days of returnable date, and therefore, O.A. was dismissed in default.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that notices were already issued but affidavit of service ^{could} should not be filed inadvertently. He undertakes to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>4. For the aforesaid reason, M.A. is allowed and O.A.No.1015/2019 is restored to the file.</p> <p>5. Two weeks time is granted to learned P.O. for filing reply on behalf of the Respondents.</p> <p>6. M.A. is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.600 of 2019 in O.A.No.580 of 2019</p> <p>D. S. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for amendment to O.A. to elaborate the pleadings about payment of penal rent as well as to explain about the allotment of quarter and payment of HRA.</p> <p>3. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. Indeed, it is essential for proper adjudication.</p> <p>4. Hence M.A. is allowed. Amendment be carried out within a week.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.629 of 2019 in O.A.No.896 of 2019 .</p> <p>S. B. MetkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V. S. Undre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. O.A.No.896/2019 was dismissed in default for none filing of service affidavit as per the condition order passed on 15.10.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel submits that notices were served but affidavit of service could not be filed before three days of returnable date. He undertakes to file affidavit of service.</p> <p>4. For the aforesaid reasons, M.A.629/2019 is allowed and O.A.896/2019 is restored to file.</p> <p>5. Matter is adjourned for filing reply of Respondents.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 03.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1099/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Rupwate & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 19.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 19.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;"><small>Akn</small></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><small>[P.T.O.]</small></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.72 of 2018</p> <p>A. M. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. G. Bagkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 16.11.2019, the Applicant has carried out the amendment and Respondent No.4 is newly added. It is, therefore, necessary to issue notice to newly added Respondent No.4. 3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.12.2019 to Respondent No.4. 4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 9. S.O. to 20.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.528 of 2019</p> <p>Abhilasha A. ChileApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is taken on board for Speaking to Minutes for correction of typographical error in order dated 22.08.2019. Original Application was disposed of with direction to consider the representation of Applicant dated 25.05.2019 afresh. O.A. No.528/2019 is disposed of with following directions.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ORDER</p> <p>(A) The O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p>(B)The Respondent No.2 is directed to consider the representation of the Applicant dated 25.05.2019 afresh in proper perspective and shall pass appropriate order in terms of instructions issued in Government Resolution dated 09.04.2018 within two months from today and the same shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>(C)If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the said decision, she will be at liberty to take recourse of law.</p> <p>(D) No order as to costs."</p> <p>3. Today, it is pointed out by the learned Counsel for the Applicant that date of representation mentioned as 25.05.2019 is incorrect. It should be 25.04.2019. It being typographical mistake, the same is corrected. Date 25.05.2019 be corrected as 25.04.2019. Necessary correction be carried out.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1095 OF 2019

Shri Suyash S. Mahadeshwar & Ors.)...**Applicants**

Versus

1. The Commissioner of Police,)
 Mumbai & 4 Ors.)...**Respondents**

Mr. G.A. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicants.

Mr. A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORDER

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In this O.A, yesterday, an interim relief was granted in favour of Applicant Nos.1 to 4, in view of threat of dispossession. In so far as Applicant Nos. 5 and 6 are concerned, there was no record to show that any such application was made for continuation of quarter, and therefore, no interim relief was granted to them.

3. Today, the matter is taken up on Board for grant of urgent relief in respect of Respondents 5 & 6 also.
4. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicants has tendered a copy of application dated 07.11.2019 made by Applicant No.6 to Deputy Police Commissioner for continuation of quarter. The said letter is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification.
5. It appears that, after the death of father, the Applicant No.5 is appointed on the post of Police Constable on compassionate ground and presently he is under training at Latur. Therefore, his mother had made an application on 07.11.2019 to continue the possession of quarter which was allotted to her husband while in service. Her husband died on 01.01.2018.
6. By order dated 15.11.2019, the Senior Police Inspector, Worli issued notice to Applicant No.6 to vacate the quarter on or before 19.11.2019 without passing any order on the application made by her on 07.11.2019.
7. As such, in respect of Applicant Nos.5 & 6 also, there is eminent threat of dispossession.
8. I am, therefore, inclined to grant interim protection against dispossession in respect of quarter occupied by the Applicant Nos.5 & 6 till filing of reply.
8. S.O. to 25.11.2019. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

W
(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.1093 & 1094 OF 2019

1. Smt. Sudhamati S. Vedpathak & Anr.)
2. Smt. Sheela S. Pawar & Anr.)...**Applicants**

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & 2 Ors.)...**Respondents**

Mr. M.B. Kadam, Advocate for Applicants.

Mr. A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

CORAM : A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER-J

DATE : 19.11.2019

ORDER

1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In both the O.As, after the death of deceased employees, their son has been appointed on the post of Police Constable on compassionate ground and they are under training at Latur. The quarter was allotted to the deceased employees during their life time.

3. Now, the Respondents have issued notice to the Applicants to vacate the same. It appears that the Applicants have also applied for continuation of quarter in view of their appointment on the post of Police Constable.

4. In view of above, I am inclined to grant interim relief in favour of Applicants against dispossession till filing of reply.
5. Issue notice made returnable on 25th November, 2019.
6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
8. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
9. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
10. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
11. S.O. to 25th November, 2019. Hamdast granted.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
Member-J

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.973 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Shelar & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents requests for two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.971 of 2019</p> <p>R.P. Gaikwad & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents requests for two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.972 of 2019</p> <p>A.L. Suryawanshi & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents requests for two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 03.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.698 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.J. Mane Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 08.01.2020 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.699 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. A.R. Jadhav Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 08.01.2020 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N/ Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1022 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. Sonawane & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that two weeks time may be granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Issue of interim relief is kept open on next date. •</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1019 of 2019</p> <p>G.S. Kumawat Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that two weeks time may be granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Time granted. Issue of interim relief is kept open on next date.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 03.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 593/2019 in O.A 1054/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S. Mahajan & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application filed by the applicants to sue jointly as the issue involved is the same.</p> <p>3. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.969 of 2019</p> <p>R.D. Avhad Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 03.12.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1052/2019</u></p> <p>Nilima M Kapase & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 12.2.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 12.2.2020. To be heard along with O.A 690/2018 & Ors.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.T.O.</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 591/2019 in O.A 1052/2019</u></p> <p>Nilima M Kapase & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application filed by the applicants to sue jointly as the issue involved is the same.</p> <p>3. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (1) (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.11.2019.

O. A. No.1100 of 2019

R. M. Shirwadkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 16.12.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. 5.O. to 16.12.2019.

Sd/-

▼
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.847, 848 & 849 & 828 of 2019</p> <p>D. P. Bhujbal & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply in O.A.828/2019 is already filed.</p> <p>3. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply in all Original Application Nos.847, 848 & 849/2019. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 06.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.937 of 2019</p> <p>S. A. DhamaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 02.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.875 of 2018</p> <p>L. B. SaroleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.2 to 4.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 2 to 4 along with Vakalatnama. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. One week's time is granted to file reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 5, if any.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1008 of 2019</p> <p>N. Y. BhosaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. It is taken on record. 3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 4. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 06.12.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions end Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.945 of 2019</p> <p>D. T. SangatApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2.</p> <p>2. Today, Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2 has filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant has also filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 10.12.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1019 of 2015</p> <p>J. V. Patil & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan with Shri N. A. Agashe, learned Counsels for the Applicants, Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3. Ms Bhagyashree Upadhyay, learned Counsel for the Respondent Nos.5, 6 and 7. 2. On last date during the course of hearing, learned Counsel for the Applicants has submitted one chart showing vacancy positions. It is marked as letter 'X' for identification. 3. Today, learned P.O. has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3 to explain the positions of vacancy shown in the chart 'X' to contend that there was no such vacancies at the relevant time. Affidavit is taken on record. 4. Matter is adjourned for hearing as Part-Heard matter. 5. S.O. to 22.11.2019. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.337 of 2018</p> <p>S. G. JagadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Y. R. Shah, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. None for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to ³08.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.764 of 2019</p> <p>A.S. Nayakwadi & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits additional affidavit. It is taken on record. He has provided the copy of the same to the Respondents as well.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks time for filing,reply.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. should ensure that the process regarding verification of the documents of the mentioned candidates are also mentioned in the affidavit.</p> <p>5. On instructions learned C.P.O. submits that the process of verification of documents is still on.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 04.12.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.991 of 2019</p> <p>B.N. Bastewad & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents requests for one weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents should ensure that reply particularly regarding prayer clause 9(b) is filed in the affidavit.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 28.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 54/2019 in O.A 768/2019</u></p> <p>Shri K.R Nannaware ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 10.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 10.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>M.A 326/2019 in O.A 434/2014</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicant (Ori Respondents)</p> <p align="center">Vs.</p> <p>Smt Manisha C Gosavi ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the applicant (Ori Respondents) and Shri A.D Joshi, learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for Respondent (Ori Applicant) has submitted his reply.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O prays for one week' time.</p> <p>4. S.O to 3.12.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 325/2019 in O.A 434/2014</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicant (Ori Respondents)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>Smt Manisha C Gosavi ... Respondent (Ori Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the applicant (Ori Respondents) and Shri A.D Joshi, learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. M.A is filed for condonation of delay of 3 months. Learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant) submits that he has oral objection for the same.</p> <p>3. The delay is condoned and the M.A is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.579 of 2019 in O.A.No.781 of 2019</p> <p>B.L. Bansode Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents two weeks time is given for filing reply in both M.A. and O.A. by way of final chance. Already Respondents were directed to file their affidavit before 13.11.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents is directed to bring this order to the notice of the Respondents that no further extensions will be given.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.12.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.678 of 2019 with M.A.No.368 of 2019</p> <p>K.B. Sapkale & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submits that he proposes to withdraw both O.A. and M.A. and he may be given liberty to file fresh O.A.</p> <p>3. O.A. and M.A. are allowed to be withdrawn with liberty as sought.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.820 of 2019</p> <p>A.J. Thorat Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 10.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.939 of 2019</p> <p>G.S. Sable Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By consent adjourned to 28.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1054/2019</u></p> <p>Dr S. Mahajan & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.2. Issue notice returnable on 12.2.2020.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.8. S.O to 12.2.2020. To be heard along with O.A 690/2018 & Ors. <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.597 of 2018</p> <p>V.B. Panari & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.J. Gavhane, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3 and Shri Deven Jogdeo, learned Advocate for Respondents No.5 to 25.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere submits that he will confirm whether substituted O.A. has been served officially to the Respondents. He would do it within a day.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that the reply would be filed thereafter.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Respondents No.5 to 25 Shri Deven Jogdeo submits his reply. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 07.01.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.555 of 2019 in O.A.No.999 of 2019</p> <p>N.V. Thokar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Utkarsh Desai, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.S. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 28.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 19.11.2019

O.A.No.1101 of 2019

J.S. Badekar Applicant
 Versus
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 08.01.2020.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 08.01.2020.

Sd/-
 (A.P. Kurhekar)
 Member(J)

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.11.2019

O.A.No.1007 of 2019

D.S. Patil

..... Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..... Respondents

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.01.2020.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 07.01.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(I)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 592/2019 in O.A 1053/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Shewale & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application filed by the applicants to sue jointly as the issue involved is the same.</p> <p>3. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1053/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Shewale & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Kranti L.C, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 12.2.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 12.2.2020. To be heard along with O.A 690/2018 & Ors. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 628/2019 in O.A 1099 /2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Rupwate & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application filed by the applicants to sue jointly as the issue involved is the same.</p> <p>3. Misc Application to sue jointly is allowed, subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N/Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 48/2019 in O.A 885/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.G Medhekar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.G Medhekar, applicant in person, and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This contempt petition is filed for not complying with the order passed by this Tribunal dated 5.2.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O submits that a conscious decision has been taken by the Respondents on 4.4.2019 and accordingly the order of this Tribunal has been complied with.</p> <p>4. In view of the same there is no contempt apparent on the face. Hence contempt petition is dismissed.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 53/2019 in O.A 430/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.D Surve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The advocate for the applicant has filed this Contempt Application 53/2019. Following the directions given by this Tribunal on 30.8.2019, the advocate for the applicant submits copy of communication received by him on 30.9.2019 asking him to make an application through E-awas.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has not applied accordingly.</p> <p>4. There is no contempt of the order of this Tribunal. Hence contempt is dismissed.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 55/2019 in O.A 805 with 806 & 807/2016</u></p> <p>Shri R.V Dube & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 9.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 9.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 399 & 400/2019</u></p> <p>Dr D.V Vedpathak Dr C.D Chapte ... Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri J.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 & 2 and Shri</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O prays for time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 18.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 774/2019</u></p> <p>Shri T.J Mashale ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.R Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O on instructions from Respondent no. 2 (Home Department), submits that the MPSC has sent a communication to the Home Department on 11.11.2019. Accordingly the proposal would be submitted to G.A.D and Finance Department, immediately.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to produce the proposal sent to both the Departments along with progress on 25.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1082/2018</u></p> <p>Shri P.D Salunkhe & Ors ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri S.D Dole, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 5.12.2019 for filing rejoinder.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p data-bbox="779 364 941 387">19.10.2019</p> <p data-bbox="860 398 1429 431"><u>O.A 821, 822, 823, 824, 825 & 826/2019</u></p> <p data-bbox="779 475 1429 508">Dr A.G Dighe & Ors ... Applicants</p> <p data-bbox="958 508 1006 541">Vs.</p> <p data-bbox="779 541 1461 575">The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="779 597 1526 696">1. Heard Shri J.S Deshmukh, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. <li data-bbox="779 718 1526 851">2. Learned advocate for the applicants submit draft copy of the amended paragraphs. Copy of the same is furnished to learned C.P.O. Learned advocate also tenders copy of representation dated 24.10.2019. <li data-bbox="779 873 1331 917">3. Issue notice returnable on 8.1.2020. <li data-bbox="779 940 1526 1028">4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. <li data-bbox="779 1050 1526 1249">5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. <li data-bbox="779 1271 1526 1404">6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. <li data-bbox="779 1426 1526 1603">7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. <li data-bbox="779 1625 1526 1780">8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. <li data-bbox="779 1802 1071 1847">9. S.O to 8.1.2020. <p data-bbox="1347 1869 1412 1902" style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p data-bbox="1218 1935 1477 2012" style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p data-bbox="763 2001 803 2035">Akn</p> <p data-bbox="1445 2012 1526 2046" style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

19.11.2019

O.A 1080/2019

Shri R.V Rathod ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 11.12.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O to 11.12.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

[P.T.O.]

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>19.11.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1079/2019</u></p> <p>Ms M.S Chougule ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable 11.12.2019 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 11.12.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.623 of 2019</p> <p>V.B. Rajput Applicant Versus Respondents The State of Maharashtra & Ors.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 28.11.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1017 of 2019</p> <p>S.M. Jawale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.D. Gugale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 28.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.521 of 2019 in O.A.No.962 of 2016</p> <p>M.P. Khadilkar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files reply in M.A. opposing condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 05.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 19.11.2019</p> <p>R.A.No.6 of 2019 in O.A.No.822 of 2016</p> <p>V.S. Chaudhari Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Tanvi Sangle, learned Advocate holding for Shri U. Warujikar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. Tanvi Sangle submits that Shri U. Warunjikar learned Advocate is not available today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>