

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

**CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO 42 OF 2017
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 970 OF 2014**

DISTRICT : SOLAPUR

Dr Vaibhav Dattatraya Shinde)...**Applicant**

Versus

Dr Pradeep Kumar Vyas, Secretary)
Public Health Department, Mantralaya,)
Mumbai 400 032 & two others)...**Respondents**

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.
Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)

DATE : 19.3.2018


ORDER


1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has tendered affidavit of Secretary, Public Health Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai.



3. Reason assigned towards delay in calling D.P.C is that the A.C.Rs of the officers coming in the zone of consideration have to be called and it is taking time.
4. Let the ACRs be called if necessary with special messengers and without delay.
5. The date of DPC be advanced and it be held as expeditiously as possible and preferably in 1st week of April, 2018 following the current Assembly Session.
6. In case for any reasons beyond control, the meeting of D.P.C cannot be held, reasons due to which it cannot be held urgently by advancing the date, those be placed on record of this Tribunal by the Secretary, Public Health Department, by filing his own affidavit.
7. In case date for D.P.C is rescheduled and proposed to be held before 18.4.2018, it shall suffice to place on record of this Tribunal information in that regard through a letter, through the office of C.P.O.
8. For reporting the compliance or action as may be taken, S.O to 26.3.2018.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O for communication.


Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, 
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 19.03.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE <u>19/3/18</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri <u>M.B. Kadam, holding</u> <u>for Dr. G. Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./A.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>order passed in the</u> <u>Adj. To Tribunal's order.</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p><u>19.03.2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">²¹³ <u>O.A No 231 & 214/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.A Walvekar & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Dr Gunaratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Returnable date is extended to 4.5.2018 and time to collect notice is enlarged by seven more days.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------

O.A. No.233 of 2018

Shri M.R. Bhate ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

None for the Applicant. Heard S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 11.6.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

DATE: 19/3/18

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. M. Joshi (Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A~~

P.N. Dixit

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. None for the~~
~~applicant~~

~~Advocate for the Applicant~~

~~Shri/Smt. Ms S.P. Manchekar~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s~~

Adj. To. 11/6/18



Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
 Member (A)
 19.3.2018

(sgl)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>19/3/18</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A P.N. DIXIT</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>23/4/18.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.189 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri P.B. Bagul ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue fresh notice returnable on 23.4.2018.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 19.3.2018</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders M.A. No.160 of 2018 in O.A. No.189 of 2017
<p>DATE: <u>19/3/18</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Kamathkar (Member) A <u>P.N. DIXIT</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE</p> <p>Shri <u>R.M. Kolge</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ady. To <u>M.A. is disposed of.</u> <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Shri P.B. Bagul ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for restoration of OA.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated in para 4 of MA, OA is restored to file and MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 19.3.2018</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.239 of 2018
<p>DATE: <u>19/3/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar, (Member) A <u>P.N. DIXIT</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>P.D. Patil</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 2/4/18.</u> </p>	<p>Shri R.K. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri P.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant is praying for interim relief in respect of payment of salary as well as challenging the impugned order of suspension.</p> <p>3. Ld. CPO, on instructions, submits that the applicant has not been completing the diary and, therefore, the respondents are not in a position to make necessary orders regarding payment of salary.</p> <p>4. Ld. CPO requests for time for taking instructions and filing reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 2.4.2018.</p> <p>6. The issue of interim relief is kept open.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 19.3.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.215 of 2018
<p>DATE: <u>19/3/18</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A <u>P.N. DIXIT</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>C.T. Chandratre</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Ad. To O.A. is disposed off.</u> <i>@raj</i></p>	<p>Shri M.M. Rathod ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO on instructions from Smt. Vrinda Subhash Mahabale, Assistant Commissioner of State Tax, M.S., Mumbai, states that competent authority proposes to relieve the applicant before 15.4.2018.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that he has no objection and the OA may be disposed off.</p> <p>4. In view of the statement made by the Ld. PO and the Ld. Advocate for the applicant, OA is disposed off.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 19.3.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or decisions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.833 of 2017
<p>DATE: <u>19/3/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshwar (Member) A <u>P.N. Dixit</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Leave note of</u> <u>Sri. R.B. Jadhav</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s <u>Reply Filed by R. No. 2</u> Adj. To..... <u>S.O. to 26/3/18</u> <u>@cej</u></p>	<p>Shri E.B. Chavan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant has filed his leave note.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>4. If the Ld. Advocate for the applicant remains absent on the next date, the matter will be decided.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.3.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>[Signature]</u> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 19.3.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s



(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>19/3/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna Kumar (Member) A <u>P.N. DIXIT</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.F.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>S.O. to 9/4/18</u></p> <p align="right"></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.40 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri T.P. RathodApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend the OA in respect of delay in initiating the DE.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment to be carried out within two weeks and amended copy be served on all the respondents.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 9.4.2018.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 19.3.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>