

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 19.01.2018.

M.A.No.28 of 2018 in O.A.No.61 of 2018

J.R. Pingale & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

DATE : 19/1/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.



Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.61 OF 2018**

DISTRICT: MUMBAI

J.R. Pingle & Ors.**....Applicants.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 19.01.2018

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 01.03.2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. While filing reply, Respondent No.2 must focus the averment contained in paragraph Nos.6.34 to 6.36, 6.41, 6.47, 6.55, 6.56, 6.62, 6.63, 6.67, 6.68, 6.69, 6.71, 6.72 and 6.76.
9. Heard on the point of interim relief.
10. It is seen that :-
- All Applicants' except Applicants No.4, 7, 9 and 10.
 - They are appointed after following due selection process and continued on fixed tenure.
 - Order of Status quo is passed in relation to candidates who belong to same class / category, as in case Writ Petition No.14371 of 2017, S.A. Deshmane & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors., decided on 19.12.2017 by Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad (copy whereof is at Exhibit 'AA', page 217 of paper book of O.A.).
11. Services to Applicants No.4 and 7 were terminated before few days only.
12. Hence Applicants' services, shall be continued until further orders, for all applicants' except Applicants No.9 and 10.
13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.
14. S.O. to 01.03.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.983 OF 2016

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Mr. Keshav B. Tashi.

)...Applicant

Versus

1. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)...Respondents

Mr. C.T. Chandratre, Advocate for Applicant.

Mrs. K.S. Gaikwad, Presenting Officer for Respondents.

PER : M.T. JOSHI (VICE-CHAIRMAN)

DATE : 19.01.2018

ORDER

1. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the State of Maharashtra has not implemented its own Government Resolution dated 5th March, 2011 (Annexure 'A-3', Page 12) in case of the present Applicant, who was going to be superannuated on 31st May, 2016 upon completion of 60 years of age in view of the service condition earlier to the

promulgation of this G.R.

2. Annexure 'A-3' would show that the proposal regarding extension of age of superannuation as per the G.R. at Exh. 'A-3' was sent to the concerned Respondent. It appears that the concerned Respondent did not take any decision on the same for extension of the age of superannuation w.e.f. 1st June, 2016.

3. Thereafter, vide G.R. dated 12th July, 2016 annexed at Exhibit 'A-6 (Page 21), the State of Maharashtra has discontinued the earlier G.R. On the strength of this next G.R, the proposal regarding the age of superannuation regarding the present Applicant was rejected on 3rd August, 2016 vide communication at Exh. 'A-1' (Page 10).

4. It, therefore, appears that the State of Maharashtra is giving retrospective effect to the G.R. dated 12th July, 2016 (Annexure 'A-6', Page 21).

5. Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that, had the age of superannuation is extended to 62 years, then the Applicant would ^{have been} ~~be~~ due for superannuation on 31st May, 2018.

6. In the circumstances, the Respondents are directed to take into consideration all the above facts and take a fresh

decision in the matter on or before 7th February, 2018 and to file the same in the present O.A.

7. S.O. to 7th February, 2018 for compliance. Hamdast.

Sd/- 

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
19.01.2018

Mumbai

Date : 19.01.2018

Dictation taken by :

S.K. Wamanse.

D:\SANJAY WAMANSE\JUDGMENTS\2018\1 January, 2018\O.A.983.16.w.1.2018.doc

**SHTRA ADMIN STRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Tribunal's orders

No. 111 of 2017

DISTRICT

Dr. A.S. Padvi
Mr. J.S. Deshmukh

..... Applicant/s

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

DATE : 19/11/17

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : None for the appl.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 to 3.

Mrs. Ashwini Selurkar holding for

Adj./S.O. to

Adv. A. Malhotra for R 4.

Adj. to 19/11/2018

RE

DATE : 19/11/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. : None appears for applicant.~~

~~Advocate for the Applicant~~

~~Shri/Smt. : S.T. Suryawanshi~~

~~C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s no. 1 to 3.~~

~~Mrs. Ashwini Selurkar holding for~~

~~Adj./S.O. to~~

~~for A. Malhotra for R no. 4.~~

Order passed in the Tribunal's column.

O.A. is Dismissed for want of prosecution.

RE

None appears for applicant's
dismissed for want of
prosecution.

Sd/-

19/11/2018

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

19.01.2018

C.A 3/2018 in O.A No 969/2015

Shri S.N Katakdhond ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.U Sherkhane, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer states that Government has decided that subject to outcome of Writ Petition being filed/filed by the State, the order of the Tribunal be implemented and consequential orders are issued by the Director General of Police.

3. Awaiting remaining compliance, S.O to 1.3.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

Akn

DATE : 19/1/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.U. Sherkhane

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.T. Suryavanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 1/3/18

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**M.A. No. 516 of 2017 in
O.A.No.1051 of 2016 with O.A.No.1051 of 2016**

Shri P. G. Kadam ... Applicant

Vs.

State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M. A. Parab holding for Shri P. S. Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Shri Shinde, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

2. Misc. Application is allowed.

3. The Original Application is restored. Upon restoration of the O.A. notice is to be issued to Respondent No.5.

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.02.2018.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record

10. S.O. to 05.02.2018.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
19.01.2018

vsm

DATE: 19/1/18

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri. D. K. ...
(Member)

Shri M.A. Parab, holding
for P.S. Pathak,
Advocate for Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C/O/P.O. for the Respondents. No. 1+03
Shri. Shinde for R.No.4.

Adj. To.....
M.A. is allowed.
O.A. is restored, issued
to R.No.5.

O.A. Adj. to 5/2/18.

[Handwritten signature]

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

19.01.2018

O.A No 614/2017

Shri Pramod H. Sawakhande ... Applicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. It is seen that the case was dragged on Board and was pursued without Tribunal's notice to the Respondent No. 2.

3. Issue notice returnable on 5.2.2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O to 5.2.2018.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

[P.T.O.]

DATE : 19/1/2018

CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 5/2/2018.

He

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>19/1/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>M.T. Joshi (V/c)</u> Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri <u>M.R. Patil</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.F.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>15/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.623/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.G. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.R. Patil, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that the proposal is moved to the Finance Department and some time would be required to take a decision.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15th February, 2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 19.01.2018</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A.29/2018 in O.A.710/2016

Shri A.C. Sonwane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. The leaned Advocate for the Applicant submits that due to the subsequent development that has taken place after filing of the O.A, the amendment become necessary.
3. In the circumstances, the application for amendment is hereby allowed with no order as to costs.
4. Amendment be carried within two weeks from today.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
19.01.2018

DATE: 19/1/18

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
~~Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL~~
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri B. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. V.P. Potbhare~~

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad~~

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. No. M.A. is allowed.~~

(skw)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.970/2017

Shri K.S. Nasiruddin ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G.L. Deshpande, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned Advocate for the Applicant submits an application for substitution. Office to accept the same.

3. Issue notice to added Respondent returnable on 6th February, 2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. Be placed for hearing on 6th February, 2018. Hamdast and Steno-copy allowed.

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
19.01.2018

(skw)

DATE : 19/1/2018

CORAM : M.T. Joshi (Vice-Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. G.L. Deshpande

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 1/2/2018

[Handwritten initials]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>19/1/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: <u>Justice M.T. Joshi</u> Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. R. MALIK (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE FOR: Name for the Applicant: Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>S.O. to 23/1/18.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.45 of 2018</u></p> <p>Ms. S. V. Khade ... Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the Applicant though called twice. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 23.01.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 19.01.2018</p> <p style="text-align: right;">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.44 of 2018

Ms. V. A. Karkhele ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. None for the Applicant though called twice. Heard Ms S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. S.O. to 23.01.2018.

Sd/-

**(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
19.01.2018**

vsm

DATE: 19/1/18

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. R.H.V. AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri B. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri/Smt. None for the Applicant.~~
~~Advocate for the Applicant~~

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

~~Adj. To~~ S.O. to 23/1/18.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A.No.420 of 2016

Smt. R.K. Malshikhare ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents

1. None for the Applicant. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. submits that in fact the grievance of the applicant is redressed and the decision regarding second time bound promotion is already filed on record. The same is marked as 'X'.

3. In view of the absence of the applicant and his Counsel, dismissed in default without any order as to costs.

Sd/-

**(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
19.01.2018**

vsm

DATE: 19/1/18

CORAM: Justice M.T. Joshi
Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL
(Vice - Chairman)

~~Hon'ble Shri P. B. MALIK (Member)~~

APPEARANCE:

~~Shri.~~ None for the applicant
Advocate for the Applicant

~~Shri/Smt.~~ K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To: O.A. is dismissed in default.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 19/1/18</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Justice M.T. Joshi Hon'ble Shri. RAJIV AGARWAL (Vice - Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. P. B. MALIK (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p><u>Adj. To</u> S.O. to 7/2/18</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.357/2017</u></p> <p>Shri M.S. Shinde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned P.O. submits that despite repeated persuasion, there is no response from the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>3. In the circumstances, last chance is granted with a caveat that in case no Affidavit-in-reply is filed by the Respondent No.1, heavy cost will be imposed on the concerned Officer.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 7th February, 2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 19.01.2018</p> <p><u>L.O. :</u></p> <p>The learned P.O. submitted that the responsible Officer is present but the Affidavit-in-reply is not ready.</p> <p>S.O. to 7th February, 2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 19.01.2018</p> <p>(skw)</p>

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>19/1/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>M. T. Joshi (V/C)</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Heard Appl. in person</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>5/2/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>ATE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A.953/2016</u></p> <p>Shri S.S. Kamble ... Applicant Vs. The State of Mah. & ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant in person seeks time to file an application for seeking documents and also for amendments in the O.A.</p> <p>3. At his request, S.O. to 5th February, 2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (M.T. Joshi) Vice-Chairman 19.01.2018</p> <p>(skw)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A.980/2017

Shri S.S. Yadav

... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Mah. & ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The learned P.O. seeks time till Monday i.e. 22nd January, 2018. On that day, the Respondents shall make a statement regarding the decision taken on the verification of the Certificate.

3. S.O. to 22nd January, 2018.

DATE : 19/1/2018

CORAM : M.T. Joshi & V/
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 22/1/2018

Sd/-

(M.T. Joshi)
Vice-Chairman
19.01.2018

(skw)

M.T. Joshi