

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 136 OF 2018

DISTRICT : THANE

Shri K.V Patil

)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others

)...**Respondents**

Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no.1.

Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2.


CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)

DATE : **18.4.2018**

PER : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant, Shri K.B Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent no.1 and Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2.
2. The case was taken up for final hearing and applicant's submission have been concluded.
3. It would be convenient to record the applicant's plea in view of amendment to the Maharashtra Police Act effected from 1.2.2014 and 16.2.2014. Now the powers to transfer and even post within the unit, i.e.



Commissionerate or other establishment have been vested with the Government. Since posting means transfer, may be within the same unit or location, is not within the power of the Unit Head (Commissioner, S.P etc).

4. It is also applicant's plea that the posting within the Commissionerate or Unit wherever the SDPO/ACP is posted are transfers and not within the powers of the Unit Head (Commissioner, S.P or unit head under some other designation).

5. After the learned Presenting Officer's submission commenced, it was noticed that this Original Application is defended by the Respondent no. 1, by filing his affidavit in reply. However, an affidavit has not been filed by the Respondent no. 3.

6. Learned Presenting Officer was called to state the reasons due to which Respondent no. 3 has not filed affidavit in reply.

7. Learned Presenting Officer states on instructions from Shri Pramod Jadhav, Law Officer from the office of Respondent no. 1, who is present that:-

(a) Para wise remarks were submitted in the office of the Addl. Chief Secretary, Home Department. Any communication or authorization to file the affidavit in reply is not received. Affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 3 is not received, nor instructions to pray for adjournment are received so far despite written communication.

(b) Now, if Tribunal directs the affidavit of the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department would be once again sought.

8. We were curious to know as to whether the Government wants to be fait accompli to the affidavit filed by the Commissioner of Police or has some other stance, because we are unable to appreciate the abstinence of A.C.S from filing the affidavit in reply.

9. We, therefore, direct the Additional Chief Secretary, Home Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai as follows:-

- (a) Call for the case papers of present O.A including affidavit filed by the Additional Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai, the rejoinder and affidavit of the private Respondents and applicant's written arguments.
- (b) Study the challenge and the stance of Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai.
- (c) Examine the provisions of Maharashtra Police Act relied in the O.A, rival pleadings and case law relied on by learned Advocate for applicant and by Commissioner of Police, Navi Mumbai.
- (d) Call for opinion of the appropriate Law Officer if he deems it to be apt, and take a decision to file affidavit answering the question identified by this Tribunal in foregoing para no. 3 with reference to the provisions of law relied upon by Applicant on one hand and submission of the A.C.P, Navi Mumbai on the other hand and judgment of Hon'ble High Court rendered in W.P no. 202 of 2018 dated 7.3.2018.
- (e) Is the failure to file affidavit based on a conscious decision to abstain from filing an affidavit in present O.A.

10. For the compliance of direction contained in foregoing para nos 7 and 8, we grant a week's time and list the case on 26.4.2018.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 18.04.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.04.2018

O.A.No.346 of 2018

D.R. Gaikwad ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.Y. Deherkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission made returnable on 03.05.2018.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 03.05.2018.

9. This O.A. shall be heard with O.A.No.296 & 297 of 2018.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J. y) --- hs
Chairman

DATE: 18/4/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. D.Y. Deherkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

G.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 3/5/18

with

OA 296/18

OA 297/18.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS 356, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373 &
377 OF 2018**

DIST : MUMBAI/PUNE/SATARA/THANE

1. Shri V.G Pawar [O.A 356/2018]
2. Smt K.M Bhosale [O.A 368/2018]
2. Shri V.G Shelar [O.A 369/2018]
3. Shri A.G Vichare [O.A 370/2018]
4. Smt V.A Lokhande [O.A 371/2018]
5. Shri A.P Upadhye [O.A 372/2108]
6. Shri D.S Patil [O.A 373/2018]
7. Miss M.M. Malvankar [O.A 377/2018]...**Applicants**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Applicant in person in O.A 356/2018 & O.A 377/2018

Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the Applicants in O.A nos 368, 369, 371, 372 & 373/2018.

Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 370/2018.

Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents with Ms Archana B.K, Shri N.K Rajpurohit, Shri A.J Chougule, and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)

DATE : **18.04.2018**

PER : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**



ORDER

1. Heard Shri V.G Pawar, applicant in person in O.A 356/2018, Ms Manisha M. Malvankar, applicant in person in O.A 377/2018, Shri S.B Gaikwad, learned advocate for the Applicants in O.A nos 368, 369, 370, 372 and 373/2018, Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 371/2018 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents with Ms Archana B.K, Shri N.K Rajpurohit, Shri A.J Chougule, and Smt K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 6th June, 2018.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand Delivery / Speed Post / Courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case, notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 7 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Heard on Interim Relief.

9. Considering the fact that though the Rules provide that the examinations shall be held twice in a year, the instructions received by the learned C.P.O. go to show that the said schedule is not followed and even for 2 to 3 years the examinations have not been held.
10. In the circumstances, pending the decision on merit regarding the validity of the Circulars/Orders challenged in the present O.A, the Respondents No.3-MPSC is directed to permit the present Applicants to appear for the examination being held from 24th April, 2018 onwards as mentioned in Para 10(a) is hereby granted.
11. S.O. to 6th June, 2018. Act on Steno-copy. Hamdast.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 18.04.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

18.04.2018

Tribunal's orders

O.A No 323/2018

Shri P.B Bhalekar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 4.6.2018

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O to 4.6.2018.

DATE: 18/4/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. D.B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise

C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 4/6/18

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>18/4/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>A.v. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>4/5/2018</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>18.04.2018</u></p> <p>O.A.No.376 of 2018</p> <p>P.K. Supekar & Ors. ... Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents makes a statement that interviews are not likely to be held before 04.05.2018.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 04.05.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.04.2018

M.A.No.227 of 2018 in O.A.No.376 of 2018

P.K. Supekar & Ors.

... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

DATE: 18/4/18

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to M.A. is allowed.

12/2/18

(G.C.P.) J 2280(B) (50,000--2-2015)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E)

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.04.2018

O.A No 110/2018

Mrs Meena B. Sonawane ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri R Sorankar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer states that the case be taken up for hearing for vacation of interim relief.

3. Learned advocate for the applicant states that this Tribunal while passing order of notice on 5.2.2018 specifically directed in para 8 as follows:-

"8. In these circumstances in my opinion, it is just to direct the Respondent no. 1 to keep the result of the oral interview in a sealed envelope and not to declare it until filing of the reply by the Respondents."

4. Learned Presenting Officer prays for grant of time for filing affidavit in reply.

5. By consent adjourned to 26.4.2018 for filing affidavit in reply. Copy be served in advance. Till next date I.R shall continue to operate. In case affidavit in reply is not filed, I.R shall automatically continue.

6. If affidavit is filed, O.A would be heard for admission-hearing and for grant of I.R.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)
Chairman

DATE : 19/4/2018

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : R. Sorankar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. Suryavanshi

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 26/4/2018

RJT

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

18.04.2018

O.A No 298/2018

Smt V.C Murudkar (Maku) ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for applicant wants to argue on interim relief on the ground that applicant apprehends reversion.

3. Issue notice returnable on 4.7.2018.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

9. Learned advocate for applicant wants to argue for interim relief. For the present, we direct that in case order of reversion is passed, it shall remain in abeyance for four weeks.

10. S.O to 4.7.2018.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N'Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)
Chairman

DATE: 18/4/2018

CORAM:

Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Honble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: A.v. Bandiwadkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: S.P. Manohkar

C.I. P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./ S.O. to 4/7/2018

12/5
de

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/4/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S. B. Suryawanshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>O.A. is disposed of</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.04.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.288 of 2018 (Subject : Subsistence allowances and backwages consequential benefits)</p> <p>G.K. SanapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the midst of hearing learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri S.B. Suryawanshi prays for permission to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to file representation before the competent authority and in the event decision is adverse approach the Tribunal.</p> <p>3. With liberty as sought for, O.A. is disposed of.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) (A.H. Joshi, J.) Member(A) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 18/4/18</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A. V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S. Suryawanshi</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj/S.O. to <u>23/4/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.04.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.354 of 2018 with O.A.No.139 of 2018</p> <p>R.B. JaidApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to amend for adding State Government as Party-Respondent and also for placing on record the copy of representation which applicant intends to file.</p> <p>3. Leave to amend as well as add copy of representation as prayed is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 23.04.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/4/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Ajinkya Kadar</u> holding for <u>N. Patil</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj/S.O. to</u> _____ <u>order passed in the</u> <u>Tribunal's column.</u> <u>S.O. to 20/6/18.</u> <u>Liberty to circulate</u> <u>after substitution.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.04.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.314 of 2018</p> <p>D.R. RathodApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Ajinkya Kadar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A. Patil / Shri A. Rajput, the learned Advocates for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri Ajinkya Kadar prays for leave to amend. 3. Though only leave to amend is prayed, leave to substitute the memo is granted, if the lawyer chooses to do so. 4. Liberty to circulate after substitution. 5. If no steps are taken let the O.A. come up for hearing on 20.06.2018. <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/4/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>M. S. Suryawanshi</u></p> <p>C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>7/6/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 18.04.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.292 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. G.A. KambleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar adjourned to 07.06.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, V) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/4/18</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A P.N. DIXIT</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadakar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 23/4/18.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>18.04.2018</u></p> <p><u>C.A.No.82/2015 in O.A.No.10/2009</u> with <u>M.A.No.520/2015 in C.A.No.82/2015 in O.A.No.10 of 2009</u></p> <p>A.K. Pusegaonkar & Ors. ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, adjourned to 23.04.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (P.N Dixit) (A.H Joshi, J.) Member (A) Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/4/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.B. Bhise</u> C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>25/4/18.</u></p>	<p>18.04.2018</p> <p><u>O.A No 366/2018</u></p> <p>Shri A.S Borse ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of advocate for applicant adjourned to 25.4.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) (A.H Joshi, J.) Member (A) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 18/4/18</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashekhar (Member) A P.N. DIXIT</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./T.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 22/6/18.</p>	<p><u>18.04.2018</u></p> <p>O.A.No.889 of 2017</p> <p>V.H. Solanke ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time for filing reply answering each and every paragraph and averment contained in O.A.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.06.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Sba</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>18/4/18</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Member (A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Bhise</u></p> <p>C.F./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./ S.O. to <u>4/7/18</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>18.04.2018</u></p> <p><u>O.A No 321/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Sonawane ... Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri K.B Bhise, learned C.P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 4.7.2018</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 4.7.2018.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Alm</p>