

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.123/2021
(Gaurishankar Swami Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri K.G.Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U.Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Heard learned Advocate for the applicant as well as the learned P.O. substantially.
3. Case be treated as **part heard**.
4. S.O. to 22-06-2021.

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.13/2021
(Ajaykumar Chavan Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 16.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Ku. Anagha Pandit learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B.Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R.Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos.1 to 3. Shri Thoke Patil learned Advocate for respondent no.4 is **absent**.

2. Affidavit in reply filed on behalf of respondent nos.1 to 3 is taken on record. Nobody is present on behalf of respondent no.4.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing affidavit in rejoinder, if necessary.

4. S.O. to 15-07-2021.

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 156/2021 in O.A. St. No. 604/2021
(Kusum M. Bhise & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this Misc. Application, both the applicants are seeking permission to sue the respondents jointly.

3. In the Original Application, the applicants have challenged the impugned final seniority list dated 10.07.2020 issued by the respondent No. 3 placing the applicant Nos. 1 and 2 at Sr. Nos. 175 and 143 respectively. It is contention of the applicants in the application that in the provisional seniority list, they were at Sr. Nos. 176 and 144 respectively. However, while preparing the final seniority list, the respondents have wrongly taken in to consideration the Circular dated 17.11.2017 and more particularly clause No. 2 and Clause No. 5 of seniority list forwarding letter dated 10.07.2020.

//2//

M.A. 155/21 in
O.A. St. 602/21

4. In view of the above, the applicants contended that both them are seeking similar relief and are governed by the same rules.

5. In view of the above, in order to avoid multiplicity of proceedings, permission is granted to the applicants to sue the respondents jointly, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

6. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 604 OF 2021
(Kusum M. Bhise & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that there is typographical mistake in respect of period of maternity leave availed by the applicant Nos. 1 and 2 respectively. Hence, the correction is required to be made in paragraph No. 6 (VI) and 6(VII).

3. Permission to correct the dates is granted. The applicant shall carry out necessary amendment in O.A. forthwith.

4. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16.07.2021.

5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

//2// O.A. St. 604/2021

6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

8. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

9. S.O. to 16.07.2021.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A. No. 155/2021 in O.A. St. No. 602/2021
(Laxman H. Garud & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By this Misc. Application, both the applicants are seeking permission to sue the respondents jointly.

3. In the Original Application, the applicants have challenged the impugned final seniority list dated 10.07.2020 issued by the respondent No. 3 placing the applicant Nos. 1 and 2 at Sr. Nos. 87 and 100 respectively. It is contention of the applicants in the application that in the provisional seniority list, they were at Sr. Nos. 51 and 67 respectively. However, while preparing the final seniority list, the respondents have wrongly taken in to consideration the Circular dated 17.11.2017 and more particularly clause No. 2 and Clause No. 5 of seniority list forwarding letter dated 10.07.2020.

//2//

M.A. 155/21 in
O.A. St. 602/21

4. In view of the above, the applicants contended that both them are seeking similar relief and are governed by the same rules.

5. In view of the above, in order to avoid multiplicity of proceedings, permission is granted to the applicants to sue the respondents jointly, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

6. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 602 OF 2021
(Laxman H. Garud & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Jiwan J. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 16.07.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal

//2// O.A. St. 602/2021

(Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 16.07.2021.

8. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 164 OF 2020
(Hemant M. Chhajed Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.M. Hajare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 22.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. No. 10/2020 in O.A. No. 913/2017
(Dilipkumar R. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.V. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that he has received para wise remarks, but affidavit in reply is to be prepared.

3. In view of the same, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply.

4. S.O. to 01.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 14 OF 2021
(Jaykumar R. Koli Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

T.A. No. 01/2021 (W.P. No. 4908/2021)
(Shivaji T. Shinde & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Chief Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for himself for respondent Nos. 6 to 8 and holding for Shri Ujjwal S. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 3 to 5.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 16.06.2021, adopting the copy of affidavit in reply filed by the respondent No. 1 in O.A. Nos. 236 & 237 both of 2021 pending before the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai and also adopting the affidavit in reply filed by the respondent No. 1 in T.A. No. 2/2021 (W.P. No. 2612/2021).

3. Learned C.P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Time granted.

4. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 6 to 8, S.O. to 19.07.2021 for filing affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

T.A. No. 02/2021 (W.P. No. 2612/2021)
(Samiksha R. Chandrakar & Anr. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Presenting Chief Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 4, Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Shri Ujjwal S. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 to 10.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent No. 1.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 16.06.2021, adopting the copy of affidavit in reply filed by the respondent No. 1 in O.A. Nos. 236 & 237 both of 2021 pending before the Principal Seat of this Tribunal at Mumbai.

4. Learned C.P.O. seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 to 4. Time granted.

5. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 5 to 10, S.O. to 19.07.2021 for filing affidavit in reply. The interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

C.P. No. 50/2019 in O.A. No. 242/2017
(Mohan R. Chaudhari Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent No. 2 in the present C.P.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. Learned P.O. submits that W.P. No. 10402/2019 is preferred against the order dated 30.10.2018 commonly passed by this Tribunal in O.A. Nos. 878/2016, 242/2017 & 648/2017. He submits that only urgent matters are taken in the Hon'ble High Court and therefore, no order of stay is still obtained.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that already the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay Bench at Aurangabad has decided similar

//2//

C.P. 50/19 in
O.A. 242/17

types of W.Ps., which are resulted in dismissal and no stay is granted in those matters.

6. In view of the circumstances as above, S.O. to 26.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 17.06.2021

C.P. No. 51/2019 in O.A. No. 648/2017
(Bhaskar S. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent No. 1 in the present C.P.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. Learned P.O. submits that W.P. No. 648/2020 is preferred against the order dated 30.10.2018 commonly passed by this Tribunal in O.A. Nos. 878/2016, 242/2017 & 648/2017. He submits that only urgent matters are taken in the Hon'ble High Court and therefore, no order of stay is still obtained.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that already the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay Bench at Aurangabad has decided similar

//2//

C.P. 51/19 in
O.A. 648/17

types of W.Ps., which are resulted in dismissal and no stay is granted in those matters.

6. In view of the circumstances as above, S.O. to 26.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 17.06.2021

C.P. No. 52/2019 in O.A. No. 878/2016
(Nitinkumar T. Adhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent No. 1 in the present C.P.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not wish to file rejoinder affidavit.

4. Learned P.O. submits that W.P. No. 642/2020 is preferred against the order dated 30.10.2018 commonly passed by this Tribunal in O.A. Nos. 878/2016, 242/2017 & 648/2017. He submits that only urgent matters are taken in the Hon'ble High Court and therefore, no order of stay is still obtained.

5. On the other hand, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that already the Hon'ble High Court of Bombay Bench at Aurangabad has decided similar

//2//

C.P. 52/19 in
O.A. 878/16

types of W.Ps., which are resulted in dismissal and no stay is granted in those matters.

6. In view of the circumstances as above, S.O. to 26.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

KPB ORAL ORDERS 17.06.2021

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 148 OF 2021
(Dattaram U. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 15.07.2021 to enable her to file affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

M.A.NO. 60/2021 IN O.A.NO. 85/2021
(Dr. Balaji M. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Presenting Officer for the respondents seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Record shows that by an order dated 8.3.2021 the respondents were directed to file affidavit in reply. In the circumstances, time is granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply.

3. S.O. to 15.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 17.6.2021-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 849 OF 2018
(Dr. Vinod A. Kakade & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri Santosh G. Chapalgaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Devkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that proceedings are complete.
3. In view of above, the present case is fixed for final hearing on 02.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

T.A.NO. 1/2018 (W.P. NO. 15249/2017)
(Chanda R. Hingole Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 is filed long back. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he received the copy of the said affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 today itself. He seeks time to file rejoinder if necessary. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 06.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 17.6.2021-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 804 OF 2017
(Prakash D. Patil Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)

DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on record on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 4.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that in prayer clause in the Original Application the date of impugned order is wrongly mentioned as "7.12.2016" instead of "7.12.2015". Hence, the necessary correction is required. He further submits that the present O.A. was filed on the basis of deemed rejection of his administrative appeal being not decided within the stipulated period of six months. He pointed out that at page No. 148 of the paper book there is communication dated 18.11.2017; whereby administrative appeal / revision was dismissed. He submits that he wants to incorporate in prayer clause the said communication dated 18.11.2017 praying for its quashing and setting aside.

:: - 2 - :: O.A. NO. 804 OF 2017

4. Considering the nature of proceedings his request for making necessary correction and carrying out amendment in prayer clause is allowed. The applicant is directed to carry out the said amendment within a period of one week and serve the amended copy of the O.A. on the respondents.

5. Liberty is granted to the respondents to file affidavit in reply, if any and also liberty is granted to the applicant to file rejoinder, if any.

6. S.O. to 19.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 384 OF 2019
(Vinayak B. Kapse & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)
AND
Hon'ble Shri Bijay Kumar, Member (A)
DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri M.B. Kolpe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Though M.A. No. 316/2020 is shown for hearing the record shows that by an order dated 15.3.2021 the said M.A. No. 316/2020 is disposed of with the directions that promotion, if any, ordered furtherance to ensuing DPC shall be subject to outcome of the present O.A. No. 384/2019.

3. Record of this O.A. shows that affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2 is already placed on record. Record of this O.A. further shows that written notes of arguments of the applicant, as well as, respondent No. 2 are placed on record.

4. In view of the above, this O.A. is fixed for final hearing on 16.07.2021.

MEMBER (A)

MEMBER (J)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 605 OF 2021
(Saraswati M. Gudmewad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.A. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, S.O. to 16.07.2021 to enable him to file affidavit in reply.

MEMBER (J)

ORAL ORDERS 17.6.2021-HDD

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 640 OF 2019
(Pradeep M. Kaushike Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that the pleadings up to rejoinder are complete.

3. Considering the nature of the proceeding, the present case is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission itself. S.O. to 07.07.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 447 OF 2020
(Vaishali V. Hinge Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.6.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer for the respondents submits that due to heavy rain the concerned officer is unable to come along with the original record, today.

3. In view of the above, by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 28.06.2021.

MEMBER (J)

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 264 OF 2020
(Sunilkumar R. Rathi Vs. State of Maha. & Ors.)**

CORAM : Hon'ble Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)

DATE : 17.06.2021

ORAL ORDER :

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri V.M. Vibhute, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 i.e. private respondent.

2. Record shows that detailed arguments were heard in this matter on the last occasion i.e. on 14.06.2021. However, on 14.06.2021 the learned Presenting Officer was requested to seek instructions as regards whether more documents are available pertaining to the impugned transfer order of the applicant, and as well as, transfer order of the respondent No. 4. In the circumstances, to that learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 14.06.2021 received by the office of learned Chief Presenting Officer from the Agriculture Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai and a copy of undated application of the respondent No. 4 seeking transfer and giving places of choices.

3. In view of the above, the present case is closed for orders.

MEMBER (J)

Date : 17.06.2021
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.275/2021
(Parmeshwar Pawar V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Dayanand Bhange Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan Id. CPO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 28.07.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

Date : 17.06.2021
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.274/2021
(Rajesh Rathod V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Dayanand Bhange Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan Id. CPO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 28.07.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

Date : 17.06.2021
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.276/2021
(Akshay Thorat V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri Dayanand Bhange Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan Id. CPO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 28.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 28.07.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

Date : 17.06.2021

M.A.NO.302/2020 IN O.A.ST.NO.968/2020

(Raosaheb Kshirsagar V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri N.J.Patil Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan Id. CPO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A.No.302/2020, returnable on 27.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 27.07.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR

Date : 17.06.2021
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.279/2021
(Pratap Garje V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,
M.A.T., Mumbai**

1. Shri S.B.Solanke Id. Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S.Mahajan Id. CPO for respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 29.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 29.07.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

REGISTRAR