

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.586 of 2019</p> <p>D. R. ShetakeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 04.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2019.

M. A. No.304 of 2019 in O.A.No.186 of 2019

C. S. Kashid

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P. S. Pathak, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. O. A. No.186/2019 is filed for directions to release retiral benefits contending that retiral benefits were withheld for long time without any reason.
3. M.A. No.304/2019 is filed to condone the delay of five years in filing the Original Application.
4. Today, learned P.O. has tendered the order dated 16.09.2019 passed by the Disciplinary Authority in D.E. initiated against the Applicant whereby the punishment for deduction of 7% pension for one year has been imposed. The order is taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification.
5. Thus, it appears that the retiral benefits of the Applicant were withheld due to punishment of D.E. which is now completed in terms of final order dated 16.09.2019.
6. In view of above, grievance of the Applicant stands redressed and now the Respondents will have to release the retiral benefits in terms of punishment order.
7. Misc. Application as well as Original Application are disposed of with direction to the Respondent No.2 to release retiral benefits of the Applicant as per his entitlement in the light of decision in D.E. within four weeks from today.
8. In view of above, Misc. Application and Original Application are disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.912 of 2019

Shri Yashwant T. Daunde

)..... Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

)...Respondents

Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Counsel for the Applicant
Ms S. P. Manchekar, Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 17.09.2019.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged the impugned transfer order dated 11.09.2019. He was working as Assistant Commissioner (Food), Zone No.10, Thane and by impugned transfer order he was transferred to Kolhapur -II. The Applicant assailed the impugned transfer order contending that it does not fulfill the requirement of Section 4(4)(ii) and 4(5) of Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (hereinafter referred to as 'Act 2005) and prayed for stay.
3. Whereas, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents opposes the interim relief contending that the transfer has been approved by the Hon'ble Chief Minister as required under Section 4(5) of 'Act 2005'. Learned C.P.O. has also tendered the file for perusal.
4. No doubt that the Applicant was due for transfer having completed three years in May, 2019 but he is transferred mid-term by order dated 11.09.2019.

Indu

Perusal of file reveals that Civil Services Board had recommended to transfer the Applicant at Brihanmumbai but at the level of Hon'ble Minister, the posting was changed to Kolhapur-II.

5. True, the recommendations of Civil Services Board are not binding upon the executive and final decision rest with the Government. However, this being mid-term transfer, it must comply the requirement of Section 4(5) of 'Act 2005' which *inter-alia* states that special reasons are required to be noted to make out a special case or exceptional case or in public interest. However, perusal of file reveals that no such reasons are recorded. The Applicant is shown transferred under caption 'administrative reason'. What is the administrative reason for such mid-term transfer is not at all mentioned in the note even for namesake. It appears that the requests of other employees were considered on their family difficulties and postings were given to them and while doing so, the Applicant was also transferred.

6. The Applicant had also submitted options but he did not ask for Kolhapur. He has given options for Thane Zone-3, Thane Zone-5, Brihanmumbai Zone-6 and Raigad. Out of it, Thane Zone-5 and Brihanmumbai Zone-6 are still vacant but the Applicant was not given posting on these places.

7. As such, prima-facie, the impugned transfer order qua applicant is not in consonance to Section 4(5) of 'Act 2005'. I am, therefore, inclined to grant interim relief.

8. In view of above, interim relief in terms of Prayer Clause 10(a) is granted.

9. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2019.

10. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

11. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

12. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
13. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
14. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
15. S.O. to 15.10.2019.
16. In the meantime, the Applicant is at liberty to make representation afresh for posting as per options given by him which may be considered by the Respondents in appropriate manner.
17. Hamdast and steno copy is granted.

Sd/-

(A. P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>17.09.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1018/2018</u></p> <p>Shri T.B Metakari & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O has filed G.R dated 28.6.2019. Learned P.O is directed to supply the said G.R to the applicant.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O seeks three weeks' time to file reply. Learned advocate for the applicants submits that around 20 candidates are left out and if Respondents are ready to conduct examination for those candidates, he should be granted liberty to circulate the matter. The liberty is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.10.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 17.09.2019</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.834 of 2015</p> <p>K.A. Gani Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents submits that learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. who appears in this case is absent due to health problem.</p> <p>3. In view of the foregoing, adjourned to 19.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ✓ (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate))

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.627 of 2017</p> <p>S.B. Kare & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submits that she requires three weeks to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>3. As pointed by learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, the matter is lingering for so many days and every time learned P.O. is taking time only.</p> <p>4. In view of this learned P.O. is directed to file Government say on next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.09.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders Date : 17.09.2019</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.250 of 2019</p> <p>G.G. Kamble & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has not filed reply till today.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte for the Applicants submits that there are four Applicants and they are likely to be retiring shortly, one of them is retiring next month only. In view of this learned P.O. is directed to file reply within one week.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 24.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./P.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 513/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.V Rane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents no 1 to 3 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O has filed reply on behalf of Respondents no. 1 & 3 and the copy has been supplied to the other counsels.</p> <p>3. S.O to 1.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>17.09.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 445/2017 with O.A 543/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.P Rana [O.A 445/2017] Shri P.A Patil [O.A 543/2017] ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for applicant in O.A 445/2017, Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for applicant in O.A 543/2017 and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states that affidavit of reply on behalf of VJIT has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Reply of Respondents no 1 & 2 in O.A 542/2017 are awaited. Learned P.O is directed to file reply within two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O to 1.10.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	Date : 17.09.2019
	O.A.No.317 of 2017 with O.A.No.318 of 2017 with O.A.No.319 of 2017 with O.A.No.320 of 2017 with O.A.No.321 of 2017
	V.P. Rajput (O.A.317/2017) A.S. Shirsat (O.A.318/2017) A.B. Sonawane (O.A.319/2017) S.Z. Rite (O.A.320/2017) H.M. Hendre (O.A.321/2017) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents
	1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
	2. As per order dated 20.06.2019, it is already explained that the matter be listed before the regular board.
	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) prk </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman </div> </div>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

17.09.2019

O.A 544/2018

Shri V.B Shende ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for Respondents no 1 & 2, Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 and Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for Respondent no.4.

2. The main dispute of the applicant is that while short listing candidates for interview for the post how MPSC has calculated the duration of experience of the applicant as mentioned in Recruitment Rules on page 26, para (B)(ii) on left side of the page of Gazette Notification dated 2.8.2011, (Exh.A, page-21) and subsequently mentioned in advt. no. 15/2018 dated 6.3.2018 (Exh. B, page 28) and relevant experience criteria mentioned at page 29 para 4.6. This needs to be examined to remove the dispute.

3. MPSC in its reply in para 197 in para 36(ii) it has given a chart that total 41 applications were received and prima facie, only 11 candidates were found eligible and 30 candidates were not found eligible for the interview. MPSC in para 37 had given a chart giving details of experience required and taken into consideration by MPSC regarding the applicant on page no. 98.

4. In order to clear above dispute, learned CPO is directed to get from MPSC experience chart calculated by them for all 41 candidates and file it on affidavit before this Tribunal.

5. Learned CPO is also directed to collect above documents from the MPSC and supply to the learned advocates for the applicant as well as for other Respondents.

6. Learned advocate for Respondent no. 3 has filed written submission of his argument and the same is taken on record.

7. S.O to 1.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/-

(Shree Bhagwan)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.779 of 2019</p> <p>M.S. Gajbiye Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri K.B. Bhise for the Respondent No.3 waives service for notice.</p> <p>3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 17.10.2019.</p> <p>4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>8. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 17.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 17.09.2019
	<p align="center">O.A.No.716 of 2018</p> <p>N.K. Kravale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents has filed only one set of affidavit-in-reply. He has not supplied the copy of reply to learned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte. Today the reply is supplied to learned Advocate.</p> <p>3. Leaned Advocate Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte desires to file rejoinder to the reply since in reply some criminal case has been mentioned. Learned Advocate desires two weeks time for the same.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.10.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 17.09.2019
	<p>O.A.No.1192 of 2016 with O.A.No.237 of 2017</p> <p>K.B. Binner & Ors. (O.A.1192/2016) A.D. Shirname & Ors. (O.A.237/2017) Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The O.A.No.1192/2016 and O.A.No.237/2017 be tagged along with O.A.No.316/2019 as the Applicants are same and their grievances are also same.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 18.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p>(Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.316 of 2019</p> <p>K.D. Binner & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. Gunratan Sadavarte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter pertains to Ambulance Drivers working in Government Hospital under NRHS.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents submits that she will take instructions and file reply of the Government by tomorrow.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 18.09.2019. To be tagged along with O.A.No.1192/2016 and O.A.No.237/2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Shree Bhagwan) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.762 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. TekamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, leanrede Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date : 17.09.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.761 of 2019</p> <p>G. Y. GavliApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.10.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2019.

M. A. No.444 of 2019 in O. A. No.811 of 2019

D. S. Mane

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders ar
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2019.

M. A. No.443 of 2019 in O. A. No.810 of 2019

K. R. Kadam

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2019.

M. A. No.442 of 2019 In O. A. No.809 of 2019

A. G. Salvi

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V. V. Joshi, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of M.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2019.

O. A. No.910 of 2019

S. P. Dhavan

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 17.09.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">D. A. No.711 of 2019</p> <p>M. N. GalkwadApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 15.10.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 15.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 17.09.2019.

O.A.No.521 of 2019

G. K. Gaikwad

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.

3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, four weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm