

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 935 OF 2019  
(Shri Toliram Phulaji Rahtod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the charge sheet in the D.E. has been served on the applicant on 02.04.2019 i.e. after his retirement. He has submitted that the applicant retired on 31.05.2017 and therefore, the D.E. which has been initiated after his retirement cannot be proceeded further and therefore, he has prayed to grant stay to the departmental proceeding in view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. In support of his submissions, he has placed reliance on the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in **W.P. No. 2755 of 2002** in case of **the State of Maharashtra and Anr. Vs. Vinayak Balram Ghodke** decided on 22.08.2002.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the charge-sheet was prepared and issued on 28.04.2017. The charge sheet was issued prior to the

retirement of the applicant, but the applicant avoided to accept the same, though it was sent to him. Therefore, the said charge sheet was served on the applicant through Police Commissioner on 02.04.2019. She has submitted that in view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the charge sheet has been issued before retirement of the applicant and therefore, the D.E. can very well be proceeded against the applicant and the decision of the respondents cannot be stayed. Therefore, she has prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant retired on 31.05.2017. The charge-sheet in the D.E. has been prepared and issued to the applicant by the respondents on 28.04.2017. It was not served on the applicant though it was sent for service of it through the authority under whom he was working. It was actually served on the applicant on 02.04.2019. In view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the Departmental proceedings, if any instituted while the Government servant was in service, whether before his retirement or during his re-employment shall not be instituted save with the sanction of the Governments. Sub Rule 6 of Rule 27 of the said Rules provides that the Departmental proceedings shall be deemed to be instituted on the date on which the statement of charge is issued to the Government

servant or pensioner, or if the Government servant has been placed under suspension from an earlier date, on such date. The issue regarding issuance of the charge sheet has been considered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in **W.P. No. 2755 of 2002** in case of **the State of Maharashtra and Anr. Vs. Vinayak Balram Ghodke** decided on 22.08.2002. In that case the Government servant retired on 31.05.2000. The charge sheet was prepared on 31.05.2000, but it was issued on 01.06.2000 and it was actually served on the Government servant on 03.06.2000. Therefore, it has been observed that the D.E. was not instituted before the retirement of the Government servant, as the charge sheet was issued after his retirement. But facts in this case are different. Therefore, the said decision is not much useful to the applicant. In the instant case, the charge sheet in the D.E. has been issued to the applicant before his retirement and accordingly, the D.E. has been proceeded and therefore, in my view, prima-facie there is no just ground to stay the D.E. initiated against the applicant. Hence, the request of the applicant to grant stay is hereby rejected.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 09.12.2019.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. S.O. to 09.12.2019.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 936 OF 2019  
(Shri Toliram Phulaji Rahtod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the charge sheet in the D.E. has been served on the applicant on 02.04.2019 i.e. after his retirement. He has submitted that the applicant retired on 31.05.2017 and therefore, the D.E. which has been initiated after his retirement cannot be proceeded further and therefore, he has prayed to grant stay to the departmental proceeding in view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. In support of his submissions, he has placed reliance on the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in **W.P. No. 2755 of 2002** in case of **the State of Maharashtra and Anr. Vs. Vinayak Balram Ghodke** decided on 22.08.2002.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the charge-sheet was prepared and issued on 28.04.2017. The charge sheet was issued prior to the

retirement of the applicant, but the applicant avoided to accept the same, though it was sent to him. Therefore, the said charge sheet was served on the applicant through Police Commissioner on 02.04.2019. She has submitted that in view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the charge sheet has been issued before retirement of the applicant and therefore, the D.E. can very well be proceeded against the applicant and the decision of the respondents cannot be stayed. Therefore, she has prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant retired on 31.05.2017. The charge-sheet in the D.E. has been prepared and issued to the applicant by the respondents on 28.04.2017. It was not served on the applicant though it was sent for service of it through the authority under whom he was working. It was actually served on the applicant on 02.04.2019. In view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the Departmental proceedings, if any instituted while the Government servant was in service, whether before his retirement or during his re-employment shall not be instituted save with the sanction of the Governments. Sub Rule 6 of Rule 27 of the said Rules provides that the Departmental proceedings shall be deemed to be instituted on the date on which the statement of charge is issued to the Government

servant or pensioner, or if the Government servant has been placed under suspension from an earlier date, on such date. The issue regarding issuance of the charge sheet has been considered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in **W.P. No. 2755 of 2002** in case of **the State of Maharashtra and Anr. Vs. Vinayak Balram Ghodke** decided on 22.08.2002. In that case the Government servant retired on 31.05.2000. The charge sheet was prepared on 31.05.2000, but it was issued on 01.06.2000 and it was actually served on the Government servant on 03.06.2000. Therefore, it has been observed that the D.E. was not instituted before the retirement of the Government servant, as the charge sheet was issued after his retirement. But facts in this case are different. Therefore, the said decision is not much useful to the applicant. In the instant case, the charge sheet in the D.E. has been issued to the applicant before his retirement and accordingly, the D.E. has been proceeded and therefore, in my view, prima-facie there is no just ground to stay the D.E. initiated against the applicant. Hence, the request of the applicant to grant stay is hereby rejected.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 09.12.2019.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. S.O. to 09.12.2019.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 937 OF 2019  
(Shri Toliram Phulaji Rahtod V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the charge sheet in the D.E. has been served on the applicant on 02.04.2019 i.e. after his retirement. He has submitted that the applicant retired on 31.05.2017 and therefore, the D.E. which has been initiated after his retirement cannot be proceeded further and therefore, he has prayed to grant stay to the departmental proceeding in view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. In support of his submissions, he has placed reliance on the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in **W.P. No. 2755 of 2002** in case of **the State of Maharashtra and Anr. Vs. Vinayak Balram Ghodke** decided on 22.08.2002.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the charge-sheet was prepared and issued on 28.04.2017. The charge sheet was issued prior to the

retirement of the applicant, but the applicant avoided to accept the same, though it was sent to him. Therefore, the said charge sheet was served on the applicant through Police Commissioner on 02.04.2019. She has submitted that in view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the charge sheet has been issued before retirement of the applicant and therefore, the D.E. can very well be proceeded against the applicant and the decision of the respondents cannot be stayed. Therefore, she has prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant.

4. On perusal of the record, it reveals that the applicant retired on 31.05.2017. The charge-sheet in the D.E. has been prepared and issued to the applicant by the respondents on 28.04.2017. It was not served on the applicant though it was sent for service of it through the authority under whom he was working. It was actually served on the applicant on 02.04.2019. In view of the provisions of Rule 27(2)(b) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982, the Departmental proceedings, if any instituted while the Government servant was in service, whether before his retirement or during his re-employment shall not be instituted save with the sanction of the Governments. Sub Rule 6 of Rule 27 of the said Rules provides that the Departmental proceedings shall be deemed to be instituted on the date on which the statement of charge is issued to the Government

servant or pensioner, or if the Government servant has been placed under suspension from an earlier date, on such date. The issue regarding issuance of the charge sheet has been considered by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay, Bench at Aurangabad in **W.P. No. 2755 of 2002** in case of **the State of Maharashtra and Anr. Vs. Vinayak Balram Ghodke** decided on 22.08.2002. In that case the Government servant retired on 31.05.2000. The charge sheet was prepared on 31.05.2000, but it was issued on 01.06.2000 and it was actually served on the Government servant on 03.06.2000. Therefore, it has been observed that the D.E. was not instituted before the retirement of the Government servant, as the charge sheet was issued after his retirement. But facts in this case are different. Therefore, the said decision is not much useful to the applicant. In the instant case, the charge sheet in the D.E. has been issued to the applicant before his retirement and accordingly, the D.E. has been proceeded and therefore, in my view, prima-facie there is no just ground to stay the D.E. initiated against the applicant. Hence, the request of the applicant to grant stay is hereby rejected.

5. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 09.12.2019.

6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

9. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

10. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

11. S.O. to 09.12.2019.

12. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 450/2019 in O.A. No. 832/2018**  
**(Shri Shaikh Nasir Sk. Miya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present M.A. for production of document on record is allowed.

3. Accordingly, the M.A. stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832 OF 2018  
(Shri Shaikh Nasir Sk. Miya V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed sur-rejoinder to the rejoinder affidavit filed by the applicant. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 02.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 277 OF 2017**  
**(Shri Shaikh Mehboob Abdul Kareem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.R. Shirsath, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that during pendency of the present O.A., the applicant viz. Shaikh Mehboob Abdul Kareem died on 13.05.2019 and therefore, he wants to bring LRs on record of deceased applicant by filing separate M.A. and therefore, he seeks time. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 05.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 69 OF 2018  
(Shri Ajabrao R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 151 OF 2018  
(Shri Rajendra G. Sonwane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Pawar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record a copy of communication dated 15.10.2019 and seeks time for taking instructions from the respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 21.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 775 OF 2018  
(Smt. Ashwini Pravin Kankute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikas S. Pawar, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant on instructions has submitted that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, as the application for appointment on compassionate ground filed by the applicant has been rejected by the respondents. He has placed on record a copy of the order dated 02.04.2019 passed by the concerned authority in that regard. Same is taken on record. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the present O.A. with liberty to file fresh O.A. challenging the order of rejection of his application for appointment on compassionate ground by the concerned authority.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has prayed to pass necessary order.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, leave

//2//

O.A. NO. 775/2019

as prayed for is granted. The O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to approach this Tribunal by filing fresh O.A. challenging the rejection order passed by the concerned authority in his application for appointment on compassionate ground. There shall be no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. NO. 512/2019 IN O.A. ST. NO. 2031/2019**  
**(Shri Manohar K. Mulmule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 2031 OF 2019  
(Shri Manohar K. Mulmule & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 19.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 276 OF 2019  
(Shri Vinit S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer on instructions from the respondents has submitted that the impugned promotion order has been cancelled on 29.08.2019 and the applicant was informed accordingly on 11.10.2019. She has submitted that since the impugned promotion order has been cancelled, the purpose of filing of the present O.A. is served and therefore, she has prayed to dispose of the same.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that he has not received any instruction from the applicant regarding the said order and therefore, he seeks time to take instruction from the applicant. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 05.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 509 OF 2019  
(Dr. Sunil P. Pokharna V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri U.U. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 4 and Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. After hearing the matter for considerable time, it is closed for orders.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 940 OF 2019  
(Shri Ashok D. Phadnis V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Akshay Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 04.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 99 OF 2019  
(Dr. Vinayak S. Sirsat and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Akshay Joshi, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant S.O. to 14.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 676 OF 2019  
(Shri Bajirao S. Gore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.C. Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.A. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant took voluntary retirement after filing the present O.A. and therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to amend the pleadings and prayer clauses of the O.A. suitably.

3. Leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The applicant shall amend the O.A. within a period of two weeks.

4. In view thereof, the present O.A. is removed from the board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 894 OF 2019  
(Smt. Suman B. Wavdhane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri G.L. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the  
applicant (**Absent**).

Heard Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. As none present for the applicant, S.O. to  
13.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 934 OF 2019  
(Shri Madhukar K. Shingade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 26.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 26.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 355/2019 in O.A. St. No. 1185/2019  
(Shri Amit S. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 27.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1266 OF 2019  
(Shri Vivek D. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Vidwavn, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.G. Deokate, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 13.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 942 OF 2019  
(Shri Shaikh Hameed Shaikh Dadamiyan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for  
the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to  
take instruction from the applicant. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 07.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 510/2019 in O.A. NO. 918/2016  
(Shri Dipak B. Mahale and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 834 OF 2018  
(Smt. Jamila Nasir Shah V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that during pendency of the present O.A., the respondent No. 2 issued the promotion order of the applicant on 17.01.2019 and therefore, purpose of filing of the present O.A. is served. Therefore, he has prayed to dispose of the present O.A. accordingly.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has also prayed to dispose of the present O.A.

4. In view of the submissions advanced by learned Advocate for the applicant, the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

KPB ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.749 OF 2019**  
**(Meena L. Kamarikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant is claiming following relief:-

"(B) By an intervention of this Hon'ble Tribunal, the respondent authorities may kindly be directed to extend the benefits of the compassionate ground by issuing appointment order in her favour suitably.

(c) Respondent authorities also be directed to issue appointment order ignoring and granting relaxation in age for giving appointment under the scheme of compassionate appointment."

3. It is contention of the Applicant that her name has been recorded at Sr.No.121 in the registry for appointment on compassionate ground and now her name has been recorded in the waiting list at Sr.No.37 for compassionate appointment as per the seniority list.

4. It is contention of the Applicant that she has completed age of 45 years on 31.5.2019 and therefore, she has sought direction to the Respondents to extend the upper age limit prescribed in the scheme by filing the present Original Application.

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is unable to produce Circular or Government Resolution in that regard. But he has placed reliance on the judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in case of **Vd. Arati Prabhakar Dubewar Vs. Ayurveda Seva Samiti Sanchalit D.M.M. Ayurved Mahavidyalaya, Yavatmal, through its Principal & Ors., decided on 21.09.2010** and also placed reliance on the scheme for compassionate appointment framed by Government of India in support of his submission.

6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the Applicant has filed the application for extension of upper age limit prescribed in the scheme. He has submitted that there is no provision in the scheme to extend the upper age limit. He has submitted that decision in the above referred case is not applicable in the present case and therefore, he has prayed to reject the Original Application.

7. On perusal of record it reveals that the Applicant is seeking extension in the upper age limit mentioned in the scheme framed by the Government of Maharashtra for appointment on compassionate ground to the Legal heirs of the deceased government servant. There is no provision in the scheme to extend the upper age limit prescribed in the scheme for getting employment. Therefore, the relief claimed by the Applicant cannot be granted.

8. The decision on which the Applicant has placed reliance is not attracted in the present case as the facts in the present case are totally different.

9. Moreover, the scheme made by the Central Government for appointment on compassionate ground is not attracted in the present case as the Government of Maharashtra has framed independent rules and scheme. As there is no provision in the scheme for appointment on compassionate ground framed by the Government of Maharashtra to extend the upper age limit, the O.A. seeking the same relief is not maintainable and the same deserves to be rejected.

10. In view of the discussion in foregoing paragraphs, the Original Application stand rejected. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.856 OF 2019**  
**(Nitinkumar V. Mundik V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Taher Ali Quadri, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the Respondents, returnable on 5.12.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 5.12.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.922 OF 2019**  
**(Shrikant S. Boralkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to produce the documents regarding recovery of the amount in respect of which he has claimed refund. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.930 OF 2019**  
**(Balu V. Sonawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the Respondents, returnable on 22.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 22.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.931 OF 2019**  
**(Smt. Ashwini P. Kankute V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Manish P. Tripathi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. As none appeared for the Applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.933 OF 2019**  
**(Taherali M. Sayyed V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the Respondents, returnable on 19.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.497 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1727 OF 2019**  
**(Smt. Pramilabai B. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 7.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.502 OF 2019 IN M.A.ST.NO.2010 OF 2019  
IN**

**O.A.ST.NO.2011/2019**

**(Maroti R. Sable & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicants, S.O. to 7.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.509 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1803 OF 2019**  
**(Prashant S. Deodhe & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicants has submitted that the Applicants want to file separate Original Application. Therefore, he seeks leave of this Tribunal to withdraw the M.A. and O.A. with liberty to file separate Original Application.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted.

4. Since the Applicants want to file separate Original Application, Misc. Application and Original Application both are disposed of as withdraw with liberty to file separate Original Application. No order as to costs.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.938 OF 2019**  
**(Maheboob Khan Mohd. Yousufkhan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jayant B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the Respondents, returnable on 27.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.939 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Yashwant S. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Jayant B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notices to the Respondents, returnable on 27.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 27.11.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.942 OF 2018**  
**(Dr. Meena R. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent and at the request of the both the parties, S.O. to 6.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.947 OF 2018**  
**(Bhagwat T. Chaudhari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2 & 3.

2. Heard both the sides. The case is reserved for order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.330 OF 2019**  
**(Tukaram S. Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.H. Sukale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2019

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.331 OF 2019**  
**(Laxman S. Bade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri P.H. Sukale, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Shri Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2019

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.372 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Sanjay V. Deshpande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has produced the copy of the communication dated 15.10.2019 received to the office of Chief Presenting Officer. The same is taken on record and marked as 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply in view of the communication received to him.

4. On perusal of record it reveals that already ample opportunities have been given to the Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply but the Respondents have failed to file affidavit-in-reply in time. However, in the interest of justice, time is granted as a most last chance subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/-.

5. The amount of costs be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal on or before next date.

6. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.373 OF 2019**  
**( Gajendra R. Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents.

3. On perusal of record it reveals that already ample opportunities have been given to the Respondents to file affidavit-in-reply but the Respondents have failed to file affidavit-in-reply in time. However, in the interest of justice, time is granted as a most last chance subject to payment of costs of Rs.5,000/-.

4. The amount of costs be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal on or before next date.

5. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.608 OF 2019**  
**(Anil B. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ram Shinde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Suhas P. Urgunde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.793 OF 2019**  
**(Chandrakant N. Desale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 & 4. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 18.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.852 OF 2019**  
**(Milind S. Kulthe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the added Respondent i.e. Respondent No.3, returnable on 15.11.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
8. S.O. to 15.11.2019.
9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.9 OF 2018 IN O.A.NO.929 OF 1999**  
**(Narayan N. Wakchure V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Sanket N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. As none appeared for the Applicant, S.O. to 11.11.2019 for passing dismissal order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.334 OF 2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1291 OF 2018**  
**(Pundilik A. Bodkhe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri C.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant, **absent**. Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents, present.

2. As none appeared for the Applicant, S.O. to 18.11.2019 for passing dismissal order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.368 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.701 OF 2019**  
**(Bapusaheb V. Patare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents in Misc. Application. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 19.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.373 OF 2019 IN O.A.NO.980 OF 2018**  
**(Narayan K. Vyas V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents in Misc. Application. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 27.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.444 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1563 OF 2019**  
**(Ashok S. Birajdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Shamsundar B. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 in Misc. Application. The same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. This Misc. Application has been filed by the Applicant to condone the delay caused for filing the Original Application.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has submitted that the delay has been caused for filing the Original Application as the Applicant has no knowledge about the legal procedure. Therefore, he has prayed to allow the Misc. Application.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the Applicant has not given sufficient explanation to condone the delay. Therefore, she has prayed to reject the Misc. Application.

6. On perusal of record it reveals that the Applicant has not given sufficient explanation for condoning the delay caused for filing the Original Application. However, considering the valuable rights involved in the matter, it is just to condone the delay and allow the Misc. Application by imposing the costs upon the Applicant.

7. In view of the above, the delay of 1 year 7 months and 15 days caused for filing the Original Application is hereby condoned. The Misc. Application is allowed subject to payment of costs of Rs.2,000/- by the Applicant.

8. The Applicant shall deposit the amount of costs in the registry of this Tribunal on or before 5.11.2019.

9. On depositing the amount of costs, the registry is directed to scrutinize the Original Application.

10. On failure to deposit the amount of costs within stipulated time, the Misc. Application shall stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.890 OF 2018**  
**(Shaikh Dadamiya Shaikh Hussain & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 3.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.896 OF 2018**  
**(Madhukar D. Madarase & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-sur-rejoinder on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 6.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.315 OF 2019**  
**(Jeevan G. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5, **absent**.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 to 4. Time granted as a most last chance.

3. S.O. to 22.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.697 OF 2019**  
**(Shobha B. Khade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice to the Respondent No.4, returnable on 9.12.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.
8. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time to file affidavit-in-reply. Time granted.
9. S.O. to 9.12.2019.
10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.158 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Yogesh U. Sathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 5.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.583 OF 2018**  
**(Sahebrao A. Sormare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 4.12.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.10.2019 (BPP)

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 491/2018**  
**(Shri Shivanand T. Taksale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Adhip Ghosh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 and Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent no. 5. Shri V.B. Upadhye, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 (**absent**).

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties,  
S.O. to 22.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 615/2018**  
**(Shri Desai T. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Adip Ghosh, learned Advocate holding  
for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting  
Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file sur-  
rejoinder. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 11.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 78/2019**  
**(Dr. Mamata R. Chincholikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solunke, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record. She undertook to serve copy of reply upon the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.11.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 130/2019**  
**(Shri Kamalakar K. Phad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of res. no. 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 15.11.2019 for filing affidavit in replies, if any, by the rest of the respondents and also for filing rejoinder, if any, to the affidavit in reply of res. no. 3.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 246/2019**  
**(Shri Shriniwas S. Kulkarni & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicants (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicants and their learned Advocate, S.O. to 22.11.2019 for filing rejoinder, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 508/2019**  
**(Shri Rahul G. Khedkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.V. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ganesh Gadhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. None appears for respondent nos. 3 to 5.

2. It reveals from the record that the res. nos. 1 & 2 have already filed affidavit in replies in the present case. On the last date i.e. on 24.9.2019 the res. no. 4 namely Shri Atul Dadasaheb Vasekar appeared before the Tribunal personally and sought time to think over as to whether an Advocate is to be engaged to contest the present case. However, today he is absent.

3. In the circumstances, in view of absence of res. nos. 3 to 5, S.O. to 15.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 651/2019**  
**(Shri Chandulal D. Ghule V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.N. Nagargoje, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 657/2019**  
**(Smt. Ayesha Feroz Khan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.N. Boiwar, learned Advocate holding  
for Shri Milind K. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the  
applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed  
rejoinder. It is taken on record and copy thereof has  
been supplied to the learned Presenting Officer.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 27.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 668/2019  
(Smt. Sonal D. Agrawal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anup S. Dhanuka, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned  
Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file  
affidavit in reply of the respondents. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 659/2019**  
**(Shri Vijay M. Suralkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ram S. Shinde (Boralkar), learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder affidavit. Time granted.
  
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.11.2019. The interim relief granted by the Tribunal vide order dtd. 23.7.2019 to continue till then.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 727/2019**  
**(Shri Madhav V. Birajdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Vidya Taksal, learned Advocate holding for Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply of res. nos. 1 to 3. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 15.11.2019 for filing rejoinder affidavit, if any.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 776/2019**  
**(Shri Gulchand F. Gangawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 792/2019**  
**(Shri Dattaprasad H. Galphade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 800/2019**  
**(Shri Maheshkumar P. Sathe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sandip R. Andhale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file affidavit in reply. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 25.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 295/2019 IN O.A. ST. 993/2019  
(Shri Vaishali S. Sanap @ Smt. Vaishali w/o Ashok Nagargoje V/s. State of  
Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 16.10.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate  
for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande,  
learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to file  
affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present  
M.A. Time granted.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 21.11.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 16.10.2019