

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.376/2019**  
**(Manik Takalkar & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P.Bhumkar learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicants has filed affidavit in rejoinder. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Pleadings are complete. Case is admitted. It may be kept for final hearing on the next date.

4. S.O. to 11-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.413/2019**  
**(Naserkhan Pathan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.B.Jadhav learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed **leave note**. Smt. Sanjivani Ghate learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 07-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.487/2019**  
**(Jayant Salunke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has placed reliance on the document i.e. Proforma A filled up by the Executive Engineer while sending proposal of transfer (paper book page 58) while respondent has placed reliance on the another copy of Profor ma filed at paper book page 86.

3. There is variance in the information supplied in the said document/s. Therefore, the applicant is directed to file affidavit stating the source of the document/s (paper book page 58) and who has permitted him to take photocopy of the document/s.

4. The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Amalner is also directed to file affidavit

regarding authenticity of the documents at paper book page 58 and 86 and he shall also explain as to whether he has permitted to the applicant to take photocopy of paper book page 58.

5. Applicant as well as the respondent The Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Amalner shall file affidavit on the above lines on or before the next date.

6. S.O. to 23-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.488/2019**  
**(Shaikh Ajmal Shaikh Abdulla V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Kunal A. Kale learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S.Patni learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent no.4. It is taken on record. Copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents. Time is granted as a last chance.

4. S.O. to 22-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.574/2019**  
**(Dharmadeo Mainkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents. Time is granted.

3. S.O. to 03-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.357/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.835/2019  
(Sunil Pawara V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri C.V.Bhadane learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 21-10-2019 for filing service proof of serving notice on respondent no.4.

3. Learned P.O. seeks time to file affidavit in reply on behalf of other respondents. Time is granted.

4. S.O. to 21-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.383/2019 WITH M.A.NO.409/2018 IN  
O.A.ST.NO.1584/2019  
(Keshav Soundarmal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed **leave note**. Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.921/2016**  
**(Sanjay Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D.Sugdare learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R.Bhumkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. prays for 3 months' time on the ground that the concerned department has forwarded seniority list of Awwal Karkoon to higher authorities and the matter is pending with the Mantralaya for approval. Therefore, he has prayed to grant time for the same.

3. In view of the submission of learned P.O. 2 months' time is granted.

4. S.O. to 27-11-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.774/2017  
(Faroqui Saheb Latif V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mohsin Khan learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R.Patil learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Arguments of both sides are heard at length.

Case is reserved for order.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.812/2018**  
**(Bhagwan Kapale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Kakasaheb B. Jadhav learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed **leave note**. Shri I.S.Thorat learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24-10-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.817/2019  
(Kamlakar Randive V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D.Joshi learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P.Gude learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 18-09-2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.814/2019**  
**(Bhikamsing D. Rajput V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B.Jadhav learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya Bharaswadkar learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 13-09-2019 by which he has been transferred from the post of Deputy Commissioner, Animal Husbandry, Aurangabad to the post of Deputy Commissioner, Animal Husbandry, Chandrapur.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant states that the impugned order is issued on 13-09-2019 and it is a mid-term and mid-tenure transfer order. He has submitted that the applicant has not completed normal tenure on the present post and he has been transferred without following provisions of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of

Official Duties Act, 2005 ("Transfer Act" for short). The applicant has submitted that he is still working on the post at Aurangabad. Therefore, he has prayed to stay the impugned order by way of interim relief.

4. Learned P.O. has submitted that the applicant is facing about 8 departmental enquiries. Some of the enquiries are complete and he has been held guilty in those enquiries. Therefore, the Pashuchikitsa Vyavsayi Sanghatana, Maharashtra, Dist. Aurangabad has made representation with the Government against the applicant. Therefore, the Commissioner, Live Stock Development Department, Pune has recommended transfer of the applicant on administrative ground by communication dated 10-12-2018. Considering the complaints of serious nature received against the applicant, the competent authority has decided to transfer the applicant in view of S.4(4) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act. Learned P.O. has further submitted that there is no illegality in the impugned order. She has submitted that the applicant has been relieved on 16-09-2019 before noon and one

Dr. Prashant Dhanraj Choudhary, Assistant Commissioner, Live Stock Development, Office of Deputy Commissioner, District Live Stock Development, Aurangabad took charge of the post today itself. She has submitted that the applicant has already been relieved. Therefore, no question of granting interim relief in favour of the applicant arises. Therefore, she has prayed to reject the interim relief.

5. On perusal of documents on record prima facie it reveals that the applicant has been transferred mid-term and mid-tenure before completion of the tenure in view of the S.4(4) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act. Prima facie, it reveals that the complaints of serious nature are received against the applicant. Many departmental enquiries are pending against the applicant. The Pashuchikitsa Vyavsayi Sanghatana, Maharashtra, Dist. Aurangabad has filed complaint of serious nature and raised grievance against the applicant before the Commissioner of Live Stock Development Department, Pune. Therefore, the Commissioner had made recommendation to the concerned authorities for passing necessary order.

Prima facie, it seems that the competent authority took decision to transfer the applicant in view of provisions of S.4(4) and 4(5) of the Transfer Act on the basis of recommendations of the Civil Services Board. Prima facie, there is no illegality in the impugned order and therefore no question of granting stay to the impugned order arises. On perusal of documents on record it reveals that the applicant has been relieved from the post today i.e. on 16-09-2019 before noon. The applicant is present before the Tribunal. Applicant submits that he is still holding the charge of the post at Aurangabad. It seems that the applicant is making statement against the record. He has not obtained prior permission or leave from the superiors. It amounts misconduct on the part of the applicant. Therefore, on that ground also interim relief cannot be granted in his favour. In these circumstances in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief in favour of the applicant. In view of the above fact request of the applicant to grant interim relief is rejected.



6. Issue notice to the respondents, returnable on 15.10.2019.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

12. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

13. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both the parties.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

YUK ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 20 OF 2019  
(Smt. Sahedabegam Shaikh Younus Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sanjay Kolhare, learned Advocate for the applicant.

2. Vide order dated 13.08.2019 the Registrar of this Tribunal was pleased to refuse the registration under Rule 5 (4) of Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedural) Rules, 1988. On 08.07.2019 the office has raised the following office objections:-

- 1) Respondent Nos. 1, 2 & 3 not mentioned properly.
- 2) Annexures are not attested as True Copy.

3. Vide office note dated 09.08.2019 Registrar of this Tribunal at Aurangabad Bench noted that nobody appeared for the applicant and office objections not removed.

4. The learned Advocate for the applicant appeared today and undertakes to remove the office objections within a reasonable period.

5. Technically, the Registrar was right in refusing the registration since nobody appeared for the applicant in view of the objection in spite of repeated chances. The fact that the O.A. is filed seeking

**CHAMBER APPEAL NO. 20/2019**

compassionate appointment on the post of Sweeper, and in order to give an opportunity to the applicant to prove his claim on merits, it will be in the interest of justice to allow the Chamber Appeal as the applicant shall not suffer for the negligence of his Advocate. Hence, the following order:

**ORDER**

- (i) The Chamber Appeal No. 20/2019 is allowed as the applicant undertakes to remove the office objections within a reasonable period.
- (ii) Registrar of this Tribunal Bench at Aurangabad is directed to register the O.A. after removing the office objections by the learned Advocate for the applicant and place it before the appropriate Bench for hearing.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019-kpb

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 691 OF 2019  
(Shri Rajendra B. Potdar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.P. Dhoble, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.N. Gaddime, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
2. Learned Presenting Office has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 6. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
3. S.O. to 23.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 663 OF 2019  
(Shri Veerbhadrapa M. Hanamsagar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri M.C. Swami, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. Learned Chief Presenting Office seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
  
3. S.O. to 05.10.2019. Interim relief granted earlier to continue till then.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 431 OF 2019  
(Smt. Ashabai M. Suradkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**).

Heard Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 5.

2. Learned Advocate Shri P.B. Paithankar, has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 6. Same is taken on record. He seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 6. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 317 OF 2019  
(Shri Mangala D. Lasurkar (Died) Lrs. Purushottam E. Joshi (Husband) V/s.  
State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri D.K. Dagadkhair, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Office has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 3 to 5. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.10.2019 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 716 OF 2019  
(Shri Bhaskar S. Rengade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. Learned Advocate Shri A.D. Aghav, has filed **VAKALATNAMA** on behalf of respondent No. 2. Same is taken on record. He seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2. Time granted.
  
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
  
4. S.O. to 21.10.2019. Status-quo granted earlier to continue till next date.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 688 OF 2019  
(Shri Sujit E. Gorde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri A.B. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. Learned Chief Presenting Office seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
  
3. S.O. to 23.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 879 OF 2018  
(Shri Balasaheb M. Kaldate V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri Shamsunder B. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed rejoinder affidavit. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
  
3. S.O. to 23.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 437/2019 in M.A. 27/2019 in O.A. 575/2017  
(Shri Mahadu S. Bangale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 01.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 365 OF 2019  
(Shri Nilesh W. Mahajan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1 and Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.
2. Learned Presenting Office has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1 would be filed.
3. Learned Advocate for respondent No. 2 has filed affidavit in reply. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.
4. S.O. to 24.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 20 OF 2019  
(Smt. Nita B. Magare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. M.N. Dhendule, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 21 OF 2019  
(Smt. Nandini S. Holkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Smt. M.N. Dhendule, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.R. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 10.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 334 OF 2019  
(Shri Goroba R. Aaradwad and Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri B.B. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.
  
3. S.O. to 01.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501 OF 2018  
(Shri Bhimrao W. More V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri M.R. Khutwad, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 756 OF 2019  
(Shri Manohar T. Sardar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri P.V. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has produced on record copies of communications. Same are taken on record. He has submitted that the applicant has made several representations with the respondents claiming pensionary benefits, but the respondents had not taken decision on it. There is continuous cause of action for filing the present O.A. Therefore, I found substance in the submission advanced by the learned Advocate for the applicant in that regard.
3. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 24.10.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that

the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 24.10.2019.

10. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 812 OF 2019  
(Dr. Smita H. Ingle V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri G.K. Muneshwar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23.10.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained

and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.10.2019.

9. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 436/2019 in M.A. St. 1663/2019 in O.A. St. 1664/2019  
(Smt. Mahadabai R. Bandarwad & Anr. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.B. Wadje, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 19.09.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST. NO. 1785 OF 2019  
(Shri Vivekanand V. Gujar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time for filing application for condonation of delay. Time granted.
  
3. Hence, the present O.A. is removed from the board.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 460 OF 2017  
(Smt. Suvarna B. Ghodke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.
  
2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 17.10.2019.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

kpb ORAL ORDERS 16.09.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 806 OF 2019  
(Shri Suresh Vasant Thorat V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B.P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

1. Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. The applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 07.09.2019, by which he has been transferred from the post of Tahsildar (Election), Collector Office, Jalgaon to the post of Assistant District Supply Officer, Nandurbar, by filing the present O.A.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant is working on the post of Tahsildar (Election), Collector Office, Jalgaon since May 2016. He has submitted that the applicant has completed three years' tenure on the said post. He has submitted that though the applicant has been appointed as Tahsildar (Election), Collector Office, Jalgaon, he is not directly connected with the Election work and therefore, he cannot be transferred in view of the directions given by the Election Commission of India by the communications dated 16.01.2019 and 11.07.019. He has submitted that the respondents without following the directions of the Election Commission issued the impugned order of transfer. He

has submitted that due to the impugned transfer order, inconvenience is causing to the applicant, as his children are taking education at Savkheda Bk. Dist. Jalgaon and therefore, he has prayed to grant interim relief. He has submitted that the impugned order of transfer has not been issued in view of the guidelines given by the Election Commission of India in view of the decision given by this Tribunal in O.A. No. 222 of 2004 decided on 26.06.2004. Therefore, he has prayed to grant stay to the operation and execution of the impugned order of transfer.

4. Learned Presenting Officer has placed on record relevant record. Same is taken on record. She has submitted that the applicant is working as Tahsildar (Election), Collector Office, Jalgaon since more than three years. She has submitted that as per the directions given by the Election Commission of India, the concerned officer cannot be posted in her/his home district. Moreover, if the concerned officer has completed tenure of three years in the district on or before 31.10.2019, he should be transferred from the district. She has submitted that the applicant has completed his tenure of three years on the present post and therefore, he has been transferred in view of the directions given by the Election Commission of India by the communication dated 11.07.2019. She has submitted that the applicant is directly connected with the Election work, as he was posted on the post of Tahsildar (Election), Collector Office, Jalgaon and

therefore, he has been transferred. She has submitted that the proposal regarding transfer of the applicant has been placed before the Civil Services Board and the Civil Services Board recommended the transfer of the applicant, as he has completed three years' tenure in the election branch. The said recommendation was accepted by the competent authority. Thereafter, the next higher competent transferring authority i.e. the Hon'ble Chief Minister approved the said proposal and thereafter, the applicant has been transferred. She has submitted that prima-facie there is no illegality in the impugned order of transfer. She has submitted that the applicant has been relieved in view of the impugned order of transfer dated 07.09.2019 and therefore, she has prayed to reject the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant.

5. On perusal of the record produced by the respondents, prima-facie, it reveals that the applicant has completed three years' tenure on the post of Tahsildar (Election), Collector Office, Jalgaon. As per the directions given by the Election Commission of India by the communication dated 11.07.2019, he cannot be retained on the said post in the same district. The applicant was directly connected with the Election work and therefore, the department placed the proposal regarding his transfer along with other officer before the Civil Services Board. The Civil Services Board considered the said aspect and recommended the transfer of the applicant from the post of Tahsildar

(Election), Collector Office, Jalgaon. The said proposal was accepted by the competent authority and thereafter, next higher competent transferring authority i.e. the Hon'ble Chief Minister approved the proposal and thereafter, the impugned transfer order has been issued. Prima-facie, there is no violation of the provisions of the Maharashtra Government Servants Regulation of Transfers and Prevention of Delay in Discharge of Official Duties Act, 2005 (in short "the Transfer Act 2005"). Prima-facie, there is no illegality in the impugned order of transfer. Not only this, but the applicant has been relieved from the said post by the impugned order itself. In view of this, in my view, it is not a fit case to grant interim relief. Hence, the interim relief as prayed for by the applicant is hereby rejected.

6. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 15.10.2019.

7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

10. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

11. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

12. S.O. to 15.10.2019.

13. Steno copy and hamdast allowed for the use of both the sides.

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS. 535 AND 575 BOTH OF 2013  
(Smt. Shubhangi A. Shejul & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.S. Jadhavar, learned Advocate for the applicants in both the cases and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents in both the cases.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer seeks time till 18.9.2019. Time granted as prayed for.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.9.2019 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 785/1998  
(Shri Bhatu H. Sonar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments of both the sides are complete. Hence, O.A. is reserved for orders.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 152/2007  
(Shri Vijaykumar B. Rathi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri M.M. Bhokarikar, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 19.9.2019 for passing appropriate order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 159/2010  
(Dr. Prakash A. Sawant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri K.J. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 19.9.2019 for passing appropriate order.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. ST. 511/2019 IN O.A. NO. 300/2015  
(Shri Santosh P. Namdas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.**

**AND**

**P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikas Tanwade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Present Misc. Application has been filed by the applicant seeking leave of the Tribunal to produce on record the additional documents, which are material for adjudication of the O.A. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has no objection for taking said additional documents on the record of the O.A.

3. In the circumstances, leave as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is granted. The additional documents be taken on record and be kept in the O.A.

4. Accordingly, the Misc. Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**O.A. NO. 300/2015  
(Shri Santosh P. Namdas Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vikas Tanwade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 19.9.2019 for final hearing.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 562/2012  
(Shri Namdeo D. Kharat Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Abhay R. Rathod, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the benefit of second time bound promotion scheme has been extended to the deceased employee and amount has been disbursed to his legal representatives on 26.8.2019. He has produced on record documents in that regard and the same are taken on record and marked as document 'X' collectively and copies thereof have been supplied to the learned Advocate for the applicant. In view of the said fact, the learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the Original Application may be disposed of.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has also submitted that benefit of second time bound promotion scheme has been extended to the deceased applicant and amount has been received by his legal representatives and they have no dispute in that regard. In view of the same, he has submitted that the Original Application may be disposed.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned Presenting Officer, the Original Application stands disposed of with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 424/2015 WITH O.A. NO. 628/2015  
(Shri Vijay W. Chahakar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Arguments of both the sides are complete. Hence, M.A. and O.A. are reserved for orders.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 948/2016  
(Shri Hemant J. Bichkewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Anand Deshpande, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Shri Deshpande, learned Advocate seeks short adjournment to take instructions from his senior and advance the submissions in the present case. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.9.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 713/2017  
(Shri Venkat D. Mundhe Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.G. Salunke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer is directed to produce the concerned original record for perusal of the Tribunal. Time granted.
3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 18.9.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 736/2012  
(Shri Devidas R. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, ACTING CHAIRMAN.  
AND  
P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Dr. Kalpalata Patil-Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Sudhir Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he would file rejoinder, if any, to the affidavit in reply filed by the res. nos. 1 to 3 to the amended O.A.

3. The matter is removed from the board for time being and it be placed for final hearing before the next sitting of Division Bench.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**ACTING CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 392/2019 IN O.A. ST. 1257/2019  
(Shri Ramdas G. Gangadhare Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Heard Shri V.V. Gujar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Gujar, learned Advocate holding for Shri Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 18.9.019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P.70/2018 IN O.A. 740/2015  
(Shri Subhash M. Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Shri Shrikishan S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant and applicant himself are absent. Learned Presenting Officer, on instructions from Shri Avinash Joshi, Deputy Executive Engineer, Aurangabad Irrigation Division, Aurangabad, who is present before the Tribunal, furnishes the communication dtd. 16.9.2019 along with annexure. The said documents tendered mentions that the terminal benefits are ready for being handed over to the applicant as the cheque of that amount is ready.

3. However, the res. Nos. 2 and 3 are hereby directed to file an affidavit clarifying the delay of more than three years caused in complying with the judgment and order dtd. 29.4.2016 delivered by the Tribunal in the Original Application. The affidavit should be submitted within a period of two weeks.

4. S.O. to 3.10.019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**C.P.17/2019 IN O.A. 735/2017  
(Smt. Rita P. Metrewar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Heard Shri A.B. Jagtap, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, the present case may be kept in *sine die* list as the case of the applicant is under consideration before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Applicant is at liberty to circulate the present case, if decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court comes earlier.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 108/2019  
Shri Krushna R. Rathod Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**leave note**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 3.9.019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 312/2019  
(Dr. Ashok P. Misale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Heard Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 24.9.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 445/2019  
(Smt. Mangal P. Musande & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2, is present. Shri Sachin Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 3 & 4 (**absent**).

2. It appears from the record that the applicant and his learned Advocate are absent on 3.7.2019 and 2.8.2019. In the circumstance, the present case be kept for dismissal on 19.9.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 446/2019  
(Smt. Shridevi G. Dama & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Shri Vishnu Dhoble, learned Advocate for the applicant (**absent**). Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1, 2 & 3, is present. Shri Sachin Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4 & 5 (**absent**).

2. It appears from the record that the applicant and his learned Advocate are absent on 3.7.2019 and 2.8.2019. In the circumstance, the present case be kept for dismissal on 19.9.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 443/2019 IN O.A. 335/2019  
(Shri Hanumant V. Patil & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Heard Shri R.N. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the applicants in the present M.A. / respondent nos. 4 & 5 in O.A, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 to 3 in the present M.A. and Shri N.K. Vane, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.R. Dhorde, learned Advocate for respondent no. 4 in the present M.A. / applicant in O.A.

2. Learned C.P.O. files affidavit in reply on behalf of res. nos. 1 & 2 in the O.A. It is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to other side.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for res. no. 4 in the present M.A. / applicant in O.A., S.O. to 18.9.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 659/2019  
(Shri Vijay M. Suralkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Heard Shri C.V. Bhadane, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 19.9.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of the respondents in the present Original Application.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 90/2019  
(Shri Khushwant H. Borase Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Heard Shri Chetan V. Bhadane, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he proposes to move the Judicial Magistrate First Class at Dhule for expediting the decision regarding approving B-summary case so far as the present applicant is concerned. He, therefore, on instructions from the applicant, seeks leave of the Tribunal to withdraw the Original Application today itself.

3. Accordingly, leave as prayed for by the learned Advocate for the applicant is granted.

4. In the circumstances, the original application stands disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 224/2019 IN O.A. 236/2019  
(Shri Sanjay B. Udar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.09.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. Matter):**

Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**leave note**). Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of leave note of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.9.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDER 16.09.2019

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.37 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.155 OF 2019**  
**(Dr. Jaya P. Dighe V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Adhip Ghosh, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.B. Talekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant shri Adhip Ghosh, the matter is adjourned to 18.09.2019. No further extension would be given thereafter.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.370 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1525 OF 2019**  
**(Sandip B. Chitte & Ors. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Anant D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicants, S.O. to 18.09.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.770 2019**  
**(Shaikh Jafar Shaikh Kadar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :(D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amit S. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks adjournment for amending the prayer and for removing the prayer regarding challenge to the G.R.

3. S.O. to 19.09.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.769 2019**  
**(Shaikh Imran Shaikh Saleem V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :(D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amit S. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks adjournment for amending the prayer and for removing the prayer regarding challenge to the G.R.

3. S.O. to 19.09.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.771 2019  
(Shaikh Jameer Shaikh Kadar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :(D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amit S. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks adjournment for amending the prayer and for removing the prayer regarding challenge to the G.R.

3. S.O. to 19.09.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.772 2019  
(Nazemabegam Shaikh Nadu V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Suresh D. Dhongde, learned Advocate holding for Shri Amit S. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks adjournment for amending the prayer and for removing the prayer regarding challenge to the G.R.

3. S.O. to 19.09.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.565 OF 2019  
(Chandu R. Jaybhye V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Ashok R. Tapse, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 1.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.630 OF 2019  
(Bhaskar E. Dhere V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :(D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri R.P. Khadap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 1.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.631 OF 2019  
(Dilip V. Kadam V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :(D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 1.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

***FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET***  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.660 OF 2019**  
**(Rajratan S. Jaybhye V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Ashok R. Tapse, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 1.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.661 OF 2019  
(Satish N. Bhandwalkar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri Ashok R. Tapse, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri I.S. Thorat, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 1.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.677 OF 2019  
(Trimbak S. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.S. Thombre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 1.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.714 OF 2019  
(Prakash R. Arsul V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri R.D. Khadap, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By consent adjourned to 1.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**  
**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.377 TO 382 ALL OF 2019**  
**(Somnath A. Nande & Anrs. V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTERS)**

Shri C.A. Shingare, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed **leave note** in all these O.As. Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents in all these O.A.s, present.

2. In view of the leave note filed by the Advocate for the Applicants Shri Chandrasen A. Shingare, the matters are adjourned to 1.10.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET**  
**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,**  
**AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.260 OF 2019**  
**(Mukund B. Jagtap V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Ms. Tulja S. Bondarde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is present today and she requests for time for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder. She is unable to clarify what she did in last two months. Therefore, request for filing affidavit-in-rejoinder is rejected.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.738 OF 2019  
(Vikash V. Salve V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER (D.B.MATTER)**

Heard Shri P.B. Rakhunde, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states that the Hon'ble High Court is seized with the matter and next date is fixed on 25.09.2019.

3. In view of the submission of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, the matter would be taken after 25.09.2019.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is at liberty to circulate the matter.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.434 OF 2019 IN O.A.ST.NO.1793 OF 2019  
(Dr. Santosh N. Makale V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : P.N. DIXIT, VICE CHAIRMAN**

**DATE : 16.9.2019**

**ORAL ORDER : (D.B. MATTER)**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned Advocate for the Applicant, S.O. to 18.09.2019.

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

SAS ORAL ORDER 16.9.2019 PND