

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 16/3/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchikumar (Member)</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. Miss Vrushali Maindad Adv. holding for Shri A.A. Desai Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... Hence, M.A. is rejected. O.A. paper book be returned to the Applicant, if he wants to present the same at Aurangabad.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.03.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.135 of 2019 in O.A.No.297 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. K.S. UbaleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Miss Vrushali Maindad, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., Applicant has applied for leave to file this O.A. at Principal Seat at Mumbai, on the ground that office of Respondents No.1, 2 and 5 are situated at Mumbai and Respondents No.3 and 4 at Latur and Ambajogai respectively, within jurisdiction of Bench of this Tribunal at Aurangabad.</p> <p>3. In the background that applicant is resident of Beed on the point of proprietary O.A. ought to be filed at Aurangabad.</p> <p>4. If after filing, all parties make a request for transfer at Principal Seat it would be a different matter. However, initially making a request for filing at Mumbai cannot be acceded on the ground of proprietary.</p> <p>5. Hence, M.A. is rejected.</p> <p>6. O.A. paper book be returned to the Applicant, if he wants to present the same at Aurangabad.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi), Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>16/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member)-A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Adv. Shri/ Smt. <u>Miss Vrushali Maindad</u> Adv. holding for Shri A.A. Desai Advocate for the Applicant Shri / Smt. <u>MS. S.P. Manchekar</u> Adv. C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Hence, M.A. is rejected.</u> <u>O.A. paper book be returned</u> <u>to the Applicant, if he wants</u> <u>to present the same at Aurangabad.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.03.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.134 of 2019 in O.A.No.296 of 2018</p> <p>Dr. K.S. UbaleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Miss Vrushali Maindad, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., Applicant has applied for leave to file this O.A. at Principal Seat at Mumbai, on the ground that office of Respondents No.1, 2 and 3 are situated at Mumbai, within jurisdiction of Principal seat of this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In the background that applicant is resident of Beed on the point of proprietary O.A. ought to be filed at Aurangabad.</p> <p>4. If after filing, all parties make a request for transfer at Principal Seat it would be a different matter. However, initially making a request for filing at Mumbai cannot be acceded on the ground of proprietary.</p> <p>5. Hence, M.A. is rejected.</p> <p>6. O.A. paper book be returned to the Applicant, if he wants to present the same at Aurangabad.</p> <p>Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> A.H. Joshi, J. Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 16.03.2019.

M.A.No.73 of 2019 in O.A.No.136 of 2019

V.M. ShaikhApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Miss Vrushali Maindad, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. By this M.A., Applicant has applied for leave to file this O.A. at Principal Seat at Mumbai, on the ground that office of Respondents No.1 and 2 are situated at Mumbai and Respondent No.6 at Lonawala, within jurisdiction of Principal seat of this Tribunal.

3. In the background that applicant is resident of Nanded on the point of proprietary O.A. ought to be filed at Aurangabad.

4. If after filing, all parties make a request for transfer at Principal Seat it would be a different matter. However, initially making a request for filing at Mumbai cannot be acceded on the ground of proprietary.

5. Hence, M.A. is rejected.

6. O.A. paper book be returned to the Applicant, if he wants to present the same at Aurangabad.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 16/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandran (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. Miss Vrushali Maindad

the Ld. Adv. holding for Shri A.A.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

Ld. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Hence, M.A. is
rejected.

O.A. paper book be
returned to the Applicant,
if he wants to present the
same at Aurangabad.

prk