

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A.)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Sr. <u>M.B. Kadam</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sr. <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>OA is disposed.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.N. Dixit</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.834 of 2017 (Subject : Termination)</p> <p>R.T. KaleApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states on instructions as below :-</p> <p>(a) In the background that applicant's claim in the O.A. is for protection of his employment, that his services are terminated on account of failure to produce the validity certificate.</p> <p>(b) Now if the applicant furnishes the required documents the same would be forwarded to the Committee, sacrosanct.</p> <p>3. In view of the statement of the learned P.O., O.A. is disposed by keeping all issues open, in the event applicant stands in chance to claim reinstatement.</p> <p>4. Applicant hopes and we have not lost hope, in the event applicant succeeds legal consequences would fall.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>prk</i></p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 16.02.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.765 of 2016 with O.A.No.766 of 2016 with O.A.No.995 of 2016 with O.A.No.996 of 2016</p> <p>Y.N. Patkar (O.A.No.765/2016) S.N. Tapre (O.A.No.766/2016) U.M. Kadu (O.A.No.995/2016) S.R. Sangle (O.A.No.996/2016) Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.M. Kadu Applicant in person in O.A.No.995/2016 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.D. Khatl, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in all Original Applications are absent. Other Applicants are also absent.</p> <p>3. Admittedly Applicants' claim has been invalidated. Despite the fact the claim is invalidated, applicants claim for protection.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the applicants was not available yesterday and hence adjourned to today. Even today learned Advocate for Applicants is not available.</p> <p>5. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has placed reliance on the judgment rendered by Hon'ble Supreme Court in case of <u>Chairman and Managing Director FCI and Ors. Versus Jagdish Balaram Bahira and Ors., Civil Appeal No.8928 of 2015 etc., decided on 06.07.2017.</u></p> <p>6. In view of the judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court aforesaid Applicants have no right whatsoever to continue with the employment, hence, Original Applications are dismissed.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>16.02.2018</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A. No.101/2018</u></p> <p>Shri S.D DeshmukhApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>Shri P.S Pathak, learned Advocate for the Applicant.</p> <p>Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri P.S Pathak, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Leave advocate for applicant prays for leave to amend for brining on recorder copy of letter received from MPSC. 3. Leave to amend O.A as prayed for is granted. 4. Copy of Amended O.A be served on respondents on or before 21.2.2018. 5. S.O to 27.2.2018, for filing reply. <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi Jy) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 16.02.2018. Tribunal's orders.

O.A.No.39 of 2018

D.G. Khawale & Ors.

....Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.03.2018.

3. Special attention be given to the averments contained in paragraph nos. 6.15, 6.16, 6.17, 6.18, 6.20, 6.23, 6.25 and 6.28 of the O.A. while filing reply.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

9. S.O. to 27.03.2018.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

DATE : 16/2/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Jui. P.N. Dixit (Member) A.

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 17/3/18.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office : Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>S.S. Dere</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. S.O. to <u>order passed in the Tribunal's column.</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.02.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.84 of 2018</p> <p>D.D. Misal & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. As per conditional order, O.A. automatically stands dismissed for want of compliance. 3. Registrar should verify as to due to whose negligence O.A. is not treated as dismissed. <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.J.) Chairman</p> <p>ms</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M.A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>11/4/2017</u></p> <p><i>pte</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.02.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.498 of 2017</p> <p>S.Y. LahigydeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 11.04.2017</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.126 of 2018

Shri D.S. Gavade ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant requests for time to produce relevant documents.

3. S.O. to 5.3.2018.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
16.2.2018

DATE : 16/2/2018**CORAM :**

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)

APPEARANCE:Shri/Smt. : C. T. Chandratre

(sgj)

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 5/3/2018

Handwritten signature/initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/2/2018 for final hearing, as part heard.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.H. <u>[Signature]</u></p>	<p>Date : 16.02.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1084 of 2017</p> <p>Y.J. MedhekarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri .D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has tendered the affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to 5. The said affidavit-in-reply is affirmed by Shri Vijay E. Kumbhar, Deputy Commissioner of State Tax, Office of Special Commissioner of State Tax, Mumbai. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Interim relief to continue till next date only.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 26.02.2018 for final hearing, as part heard.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>M. D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K. B. Bhise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>26/2/2018</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.02.2018.</p> <p>O.A.No.94 of 2018</p> <p>A.S. JakkanawarApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to substitute paragraph 7.1 by incorporating and reframe suitable grounds.</p> <p>3. Leave as prayed is granted. Amended copy be served upon the Respondents forthwith.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 26.02.2018.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p><i>prk</i></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 16.02.2018.

O.A.No.938 of 2016

D.E. HirdeApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Original Application is listed for Final hearing on 26.02.2018.

DATE : 16/2/2018

CORAM :
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Honble Shri P.H. Dixit (M/A)

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. : D.B. Khaire S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
A dv. C.T. Chandrabre for R.No. 4.

Adj./S.O. to 26/2/2018 for

Final hearing.

with
08614/14

F.H.
BT

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>MA is dismissed</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>prk</u></p>	<p>Date : 16.02.2018.</p> <p>M.A.No.79 of 2018 in O.A.No.938 of 2016</p> <p>D.E. HirdeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. We are going to fix the O.A.No.938 of 2016 for hearing. Hence, M.A.No.79 of 2018 is dismissed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

**MISC APPLICATION NO. 91 OF 2018
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 109¹ OF 2015**

DISTRICT : MUMBAI

Dr Kshitij D. Lohite & Ors

...Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)

DATE : ¹⁶
14.02.2018

Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the Applicants.

Shri S.K Nair learned Special Counsel with Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

ORDER

1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.K Nair, learned Special Counsel with Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has prayed for leave to treat present O.A as a representative case, because there are large number of claimants/candidates who are selected and appointed/wait listed. All of them may be affected if present group of O.As succeeds on some or other count.

3. On the ground that large number of persons/candidates are involved it would be difficult to serve them with notice of this Tribunal. Moreover, all appointees have been informed that their appointment shall





be subject to outcome of present O.A. In this view of the matter, all selected candidates and all wait listed candidates need to be noticed by public notice and individual notice on email about pendency of present O.A and about it being heard as representative case.

4. In view of foregoing following order is passed:-

- (a) Leave to add 5 among affected person as respondents is granted.
- (b) MPSC is directed to furnish email of the selected candidates to the learned advocate for the applicant by email.
- (c) M.A is allowed in terms of prayer (i) & (ii). Applicant shall serve notice on all candidates whoever had applied, whether selected or not notice be sent through email to all the applicants
- (d) In view that for leave to treat this O.A as representative case is allowed, the applicant is allowed to cause the notice of this O.A to be published in News paper having circulation in Maharashtra within one week from today, and in addition serve copy of notice and paper book of O.A on candidates who are appointed and who are wait listed and who are likely to be affected if present group of O.A succeeds.
- (e) In so far as aspect of interim relief is concerned, it is kept open and it could be considered in the event if hearing of O.A is not completed before 22.3.2018.

5. Let M.A limited for I.R, if need arises, as well the O.A come up for final hearing on 28.2.2018.


(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)


(A.H. Joshi (J.))
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 16.02.2018
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 16.02.2018. Tribunal's orders

O.A.No.75 of 2018

P.G. KolapteApplicant.

Versus

Resident Commissioner and Anr.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the end of hearing, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has tendered a purshis. The text of paragraphs 3 & 4 reads as follows :-

"3. The applicant restricts her prayers only for change of Enquiry Officer and Change of Venue of Enquiry i.e. prayer (k) and (n), (m) of para 10 of the Original Application.

4. Hence the applicant submits that he does not desire to press the reliefs in clause (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j), (l), (o) and (p) as claimed in present Original Application."

(Quoted paragraph 3 & 4 from purshis.)

3. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is directed to take instructions on the point as to whether and what stand and decision Government wants to take on applicant's stance in paragraph no.3 quoted above.

4. In so far as interim relief is concerned, let the enquiry proceed and examination in Chief of witnesses be recorded and hearing be adjourned beyond two weeks, for cross examination of witnesses and further stages in the enquiry.

5. For response of the Government, S.O. to 06.03.2018.

6. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O.. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 16/2/18

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Sr. P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. D.B. Khaire

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents

Ad/S.O. to 6/3/18

steno copy/Hamdast is allowed.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>D. B. Khaire</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K. B. Blise</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>M.A. is allowed</u></p> <p><i>(Signature)</i></p>	<p>Date : 16.02.2018.</p> <p>M.A.No.88 of 2018 in O.A.No.259 of 2016</p> <p>Udyog Sanchalaya Kshetra Sahyak Sanghatna & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>Principal Secretary, Food and Supply and Consumer Protection Department, Mantralaya & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this M.A., Applicants wish and pray for impleadment as co-applicants. The prayer being innocuous is granted subject to payment of court fees, as per rules. Amendment be carried out within two weeks and amended O.A. be served on Respondents.</p> <p>3. M.A. is allowed.</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p><i>(Signature)</i> (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p>

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.435 of 2016
<p>DATE: <u>16/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Amruta Devkat holding</u> <u>Vikas Kolekar</u> for Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>OA is allowed to be</u> <u>withdrawn with liberty to</u> <u>file fresh O.A.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Shri P.N. Mali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Amruta Devkat, Ld. Advocate holding for Shri Vikas Kolekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to withdraw the above OA with liberty to fresh OA.</p> <p>3. OA is allowed to be withdrawn with liberty to file fresh OA.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 16.2.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.507 of 2017 in O.A. No.4 of 2017</u>
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Manoj Harit</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ag/S.O. to <u>MA is allowed</u> <u>accordingly.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>14/2</i></p>	<p>Smt. M.T. Kasve ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Harit, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has satisfactorily explained the delay caused in filing the OA. Hence, delay caused in filing OA, is condoned. MA is allowed accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sgj</i> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 16.2.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders O.A. No.4 of 2017
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>Manoj Harit</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>A. J. Chougule</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to : <u>10/4/2018</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MTE</i></p>	<p>Smt. M.T. Kasve ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Manoj Harit, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. S.O. to 10.4.2018.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.N. Dixit</i> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 16.2.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ District _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/18</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>V. B. Dhage</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>ADJ.SD. to <u>MA disposed off</u> <u>accordingly.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MTE</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.533 of 2017 in O.A. No.1138 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.S. Khalate & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>sg</i> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 16.2.2018</p> <p>(sgi)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>M.A. No.430 of 2017 in O.A. No.921 of 2017</u>
<p>DATE : <u>16/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>V.B. Dhage</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O/P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>MA disposed off accordingly.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>MT</i></p>	<p>Shri S.P. Kakade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. For the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.N. Dixit</i> (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 16.2.2018</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>10/2/2018</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (M/A)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>V. B. Dhage</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O.F.C. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>OAs disposed off accordingly.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>HT</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.921 of 2017 with O.A. No.1138 of 2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.P. Kakade & Ors. Shri S.S. Khalate & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.B. Dhage, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants has produced a letter dated 17.1.2018 directing the Commissioner, Agriculture to look into all the applications filed before the Hon'ble High Court and make a consolidated report about the action to be taken and submit report to the competent authority. In view of the same no intervention is required by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. In view of the same, the matter has already been taken care of by the said letter and therefore the applicants are at liberty to come before this Tribunal at any time if they feel aggrieved after the exercise is completed. OAs disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 16.2.2018 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>