

Date : 15.03.2019.

O.A.No.608 of 2017

P.R. GhorpadeApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking direction to release the retiral benefits, which seems to have been withheld from 2014. It seems that after his retirement, the charge-sheet in D.E. was issued in 2017.
3. On last date, this Tribunal has observed that though the Enquiry Officer has submitted the report on 20.06.2018, no final decision has been taken by the Disciplinary Authority and, therefore, directions were given to learned P.O. to take instructions on final decision in D.E. and apprise the Tribunal so that further order could be passed. Today, learned P.O. has tendered communication dated 01.03.2019 wherein, Shri Tushar Kakade, Divisional Joint Registrar, Kolhapur has stated that as on 01.03.2019, no D.E. is pending or proposed.
4. In fact, it was expected from the Respondent No.3 to clarify the date of final decision in D.E. in view of the order passed by this Tribunal on previous date. However, the Respondent No.3 simply stated that as on 01.03.2019, no D.E. is pending or proposed.
5. Be that as it may, it appears that final decision in D.E. is already taken and, therefore, now retiral benefits as per entitlement of the Applicant needs to be released in accordance to rules.
6. The Respondent No.3 is, therefore, directed to take note of this order and if the Applicant is entitled to the retiral benefits i.e. gratuity, pension etc. the same be released to him within two months from today.
7. Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that till date there is no communication to the Applicant about final order in D.E.
8. The Respondent No.3 is directed to serve the copy of final order in D.E. upon the Applicant within two weeks from today and the Applicant will be at liberty to take recourse of law, if feels aggrieved by the said order.
9. In view of above O.A. is disposed of.
10. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman), Hon'ble Shri M. Ramachandran (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. Hafeez Patanwala i/b Shri Shirin Shaikh, Ld Adv. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad Ld. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s 1 & 3. Shri M.D. Lonkar Ld Adv. For R. 2 Adj. To 26/3/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>15/3</i> <i>A.H.</i></p>	<p>15.03.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 38/2019</u></p> <p>Shri S.R Bagde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Hafeez Patanwala i/b Shri Shirin Shaikh, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents 1 & 3 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 2 absent.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O states on instructions from Shri Prashant P. Badgeri, Deputy Secretary, as follows:</p> <p>(a) That the file as well as reminder has been submitted in the office of Hon. CM.</p> <p>(b) Secretary Shri Arvind Sing shall pursue the matter before the Hon'ble C.M.</p> <p>(c) 3 days' time may be granted to report the outcome.</p> <p>3. Matter is kept for making statement by learned P.O.</p> <p>4. S.O to 26.3.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) (A.H Joshi, J.) Member (A) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.613 of 2018 in O.A.No.1051 of 2018</p> <p>5. T. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for condonation of delay of four years in filing the Original Application.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was appointed on the post of Peon on delay wages and his services were terminated by order dated 30.07.2014 in view of the order passed by the office of Respondent No.3. The Applicant has challenged this termination order by filing the O.A. No.1051/2018 along with application for condonation of delay. The Applicant contends that he could not file O.A. within the period of limitation of one year due to illness and financial incapacity. On this pleading, he prayed to condone the delay of four years in filing O.A.</p> <p>4. The Respondents opposed the application by filing Affidavit-in-Reply denying that the Applicant was prevented by any sufficient cause to file the O.A. within the period of limitation. The Respondents denied that the Applicant was suffering from illness or financial hardship. The Respondents contends that there is total inaction and negligence on the part of the Applicant and the present M.A. deserves to be dismissed.</p>

5. Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri S. S. Dere reiterated contentions made in the application and stated that the Applicant was admitted in Lokmanya Tilak Hospital on 30.10.2015 for angiography and was discharged from the Hospital on 03.11.2015. He further contends that after discharge from Hospital, the Applicant went to his native place and, therefore, could not consult the Advocate.
6. Significantly, the Applicant has not filed medical certificate or any other documents in support of his contention of hospitalization. Apart even assuming that he was hospitalized from 30.10.2015 to 03.11.2015, there is absolutely no explanation much less acceptable to condone the delay of subsequent period. The Applicant's contention that because of financial hardship and non-consultation of Advocate, he could not file the O.A. earlier is nothing but lame excuse.
7. True, while considering the Application for condonation of delay, the Tribunal / Court it required to adopt justice oriented approach and if the delay is explained reasonably, the same can be condoned, so as to decide the matter on merit. However, in present case, save and except bare words which itself does not inspire confidence, there is absolutely no ground to condone the delay of four years.
8. On the contrary, inaction and negligence on the part of Applicant is obvious. He is not vigilant and, therefore, such huge delay of four years cannot be condoned only for asking.
9. Suffice to say, contention for condonation of delay are very casual and it cannot be accepted for condonation of four years. There is huge and extra ordinary delay for which there is absolutely no explanation much less acceptable late alone appealable to judicial mind.
10. In view of above, I have no hesitation to sum-up that Applicant has miserably failed to make out a case that he was prevented by any sufficient cause for filing application within time. The delay is not at all explained properly. The Application is, therefore, deserves to be rejected.
11. Application for condonation of delay is rejected. Resultantly, O.A.No.1051/2018 is disposed of.

Sd/-

(A.F. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.03.2019.

O.A.No.156 of 2019

G. J. Vighne & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K. R Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 19.12.2018 whereby the Applicants are kept under suspension in contemplation of D.E..
3. The matter is at the stage of filing of reply by the Respondents.
4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has pointed out that one another matter i.e. O.A.130/2018 is sub-judice in the Tribunal arising from the same suspension order dated 19.12.2018 and in the said matter directions have been given by the Tribunal to consider the question of revocation of suspension in terms of G.R. dated 14.10.2011.
5. It appears that by suspension order dated 19.12.2018, so many employees were kept under suspension and some of them have filed O.A.130/2018. The said matter is on 28.03.2019 to apprise the Tribunal about the decision of revocation of suspension, if any.
6. On the above background, the learned P.O. submitted that along with review of the Applicants in O.A.130/2018, the review of the present Applicants is also under consideration and, therefore, both the matters be kept together on 28.03.2019.
7. Whereas Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants emphasized that in so far as the Applicants in this O.A.No.156/2019 are concerned, the suspension itself is illegal and he wants to contest the matter on merit.
8. In view of above, the matter is adjourned to 28.03.2019 for filing reply and hearing.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.03.2019.

O.A.No.213 of 2019

P. N. Lohakare & Ors.Applicants
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matter, the Applicant are seeking repatriation at Thane District in terms of order dated 27.06.2018 and 17.07.2017. The Applicants were serving in the office of District Collector, Thane but they were deputed temporarily in Palghar District.
3. In similar situation, in O.A.No.09/2019 this Tribunal has given directions to District Collector, Palghar to relieve the Applicants within eight weeks from the date of order, which was passed on 25.02.2019.
4. Learned Advocate for the Applicants submitted that in view of order passed in O.A.No.09/2019, this O.A. be also disposed of by giving suitable directions to District Collector, Palghar.
5. Whereas, the learned P.O. sought to contend that the Collector, Palghar needs to maintain the ratio in terms of G.R. dated 19.08.2016.
6. One more matter i.e. O.A.No.235/2019 is also filed arising from similar situation and it is fixed on 27.03.2019.
7. In view of above, the District Collector, Palghar may consider the repatriation of the Applicants along with the Applicants in O.A.No.09/2019.
8. Presently this O.A. is adjourned to 27.03.2019 to apprise the Tribunal about the response and steps taken by the Collector, Palghar in the present issue.
9. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.
10. S.O. to 27.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2019.</p> <p>M.A. No.614 of 2018 In O.A.No.1054 of 2018</p> <p>S. B. PhadatareApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard A. S. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri S. A. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply to Misc. Application. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned for hearing on 29.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">^ Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.03.2019.

O.A.No.253 of 2019

Dr. C. Y. MaharnurApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.03.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 29.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.629 of 2018</p> <p>T. B. VhanmaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned P.O., the matter is adjourned for final hearing with specific instructions that no further adjournment will be granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.03.2019.

O.A.No.255 of 2019

V. B. WaghmareApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri J. N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.04.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 12.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1093 of 2018</p> <p>V. K. DoshiApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.06.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.80 of 2019</p> <p>S. K. PawaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Drs. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Lengare Y. B., learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 and Smt. Walimbe, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Arguments are heard partly.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 25.03.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.65 of 2019</p> <p>D. M. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M. R. Mhamane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, the learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondents. It is taken on record. 3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that he is coming from Solapur and, therefore, he be heard today. 4. Whereas, the learned P.O. submitted that the present matter is handled by Smt. Archana B. K., learned P.O. who is not available today and, therefore, the matter be adjourned for hearing. 5. As the learned Advocate is coming from Solapur, his submission can be heard today and the matter be adjourned for hearing of Smt. Archana B.K., learned P.O. to 18.03.2019. 6. Today, accordingly the submission made by the learned Advocate is heard. 7. S.O. to 18.03.2019 as Part-Heard. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Tribunal's orders Date : 15.03.2019.</p>
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1117 of 2018</p> <p>D. S. B. ShinghApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the Applicant has challenged the communication dated 16.02.2013 whereby the increments given to him in the year 1990 and 1991 were cancelled because of non passing of Marathi Language Examination as contemplated under the Rules whereas, the O.A.is filed on 14.12.2018. It is thus quite clear that the O.A. is not filed within limitation. No application for condonation of delay is filed. It is being the position, the present O.A. is liable to be dismissed on the point of limitation.</p> <p>3. At this juncture, the learned Advocate for the Applicant requested to withdraw the O.A. and to file fresh O.A. with application for condonation of delay.</p> <p>4. Liberty is granted to learned Advocate to file fresh O.A. along with application for condonation of delay, which may considered on its merit, if filed.</p> <p>5. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No: _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.899 of 2018</p> <p>D. K. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule holding for Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted.</p> <p>4. Adjourned for final hearing on 12.04.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.64 of 2019</p> <p>S. R. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Shri Vilas Kudake, Sr. Assistant on behalf of the Respondent No.2 is present.3. Present O.A. is filed by the Applicant who is working on the post of Assistant Superintendent for grant of deemed date of promotion to the various posts. He is due to retire in May, 2019. According to the Applicant, he was entitled to the promotion from deemed date but same has been denied to him.4. On the last date, on submission made by the learned P.O., the matter was adjourned as the issue of grant of deemed date of promotion was under consideration before the department.5. Today, the learned P.O. has tendered letter dated 14.03.2019 issued by the office of the Respondent No.2 which shows that departmental committee now approved the deemed date of promotion to the post of Senior Clerk w.e.f. 24.07.1995. It is further stated in the letter that now the matter will be processed for considering further promotions and it the Applicant is found entitled, benefits can be extended to him.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders -2-
	<p>6. Thus, at present deemed date of promotion in the cadre of Sr. Clerk has been granted w.e.f. 24.07.1995. In so far as entitlement of deemed date of further promotions to the post of Assistant Superintendent, Superintendent, Assistant Director and Sr. Assistant Director as claimed in the relief clause are concerned, Shri Vilas Kudake, Sr. Assistant who is present on behalf of the Respondent No.2 stated that the matter will be considered in accordance to rules and eligibility of the Applicant amongst other factors.</p> <p>7. As the Applicant is retiring in May, 2019, the process of deemed date of further promotion to other posts needs to be completed before his retirement.</p> <p>8. In view of above, learned Advocate as well as learned P.O. fairly stated that the matter can be disposed of with suitable directions.</p> <p>9. For the aforesaid reasons, the Original Application is disposed of with following directions:-</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (A) The Respondents are directed to consider the claim of the Applicant for deemed date of further promotions subject to eligibility and other criteria in accordance to rules and if found entitled, it be given to him. (B) This exercise be completed before the retirement of the Applicant so that his pension is fixed appropriately. (C) In so far as the issue of back-wages is concerned, the Respondents needs to decide the same in accordance to law laid down by this Tribunal as well by the Hon'ble High Court and shall pass appropriate order. (D) If the Applicant feels aggrieved by the order passed by the Respondents, he can avail legal remedy in accordance to law. (E) No order as to costs. <p>10. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/3/19</p> <p>CORAM: 4</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Mrs. Neelima Gohad (21-Res)</p> <p>Shri R. Todankar (21-Applicant)</p> <p>C.P. or P.O. for the Respondents</p> <p>AG. JUSTICE BY: heard</p> <p>no is allowed.</p>	<p><u>15.03.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 184/2018 in R.A 1/2017 in O.A 428/2016</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Respondents)</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>Shri R.Y Kamble ... Respondent (Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neelima Gohad, learned advocate for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and Mrs Archana Todankar, learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. Accepting the statement made in the M.A, M.A is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman </p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>15/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <i>Ms. Neelima Gohad</i> Advocate for the Applicant (Ori-Res)</p> <p><i>Smt. P. Todankar</i> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent (Ori-Applicant)</p> <p><i>Adj. S.O. to P. is restored</i> <i>Adj to sine die by consent</i></p>	<p>15.03.2019</p> <p><u>R.A 1/2017 in O.A 428/2016</u></p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Applicants (Respondents) Vs. Shri R.Y Kamble ... Respondent (Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Neelima Gohad, learned advocate for the applicants (Ori Respondents) and Mrs Archana Todankar, learned advocate for the Respondent (Ori Applicant).</p> <p>2. R.A is restored.</p> <p>3. Adjourned sine die by consent.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi/J.) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>15/3/19.</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice S. P. Manjulekar (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCES Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manjulekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u> <u>order passed by</u> <u>Tribunal Column.</u> <u>SO no 27/3/19'</u></p>	<p>15.03.2019</p> <p><u>O.A no. 969/2018</u></p> <p>Shri T.A Diwan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O has tendered copy of order evidencing that now applicant has been appointed w.e.f 15.3.2019 in current year's batch.</p> <p>3. This act of State does not amount to restituting the wrong & damage nor it purges illegality.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O prays for time to reconsider.</p> <p>5. S.O to 27.3.2019.</p> <p>6. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

Akn

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>15/3/19.</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE Smt. <u>B.A. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate</p> <p>Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>29/3/19</u></p> <p><i>order passed in Tribunal Mumbai</i></p>	<p>15.03.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 210/2019</u></p> <p>Shri M.M Gangurde & Ors ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 29.3.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. In view of letter of Special I.G (Estt), Mumbai dated 15.3.2019 to C.P.O, adjourned to 29.3.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>15/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>Tanvi Sangle P.S. Pathak</u> Advocate for Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head.</u></p> <p><i>MA is allowed - O.A. 272/2017 be placed on board on 3/14/19.</i></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>15.03.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 141/2019 in O.A 272/2017</u></p> <p>Dr Vaishali Deshpande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms Tanvi Sangle, holding for Shri P.S Pathak, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Accepting the reasons contained in the Misc Application, M.A is allowed. 3. Papers be called from Aurangabad so as to reach Mumbai on or before 2.4.2019. 4. Original Application 272/2017 be placed on Board on 3.4.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">5</p>

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.03.2019

O.A 880/2018

**O.A 242/2019 (Mumbai) [O.A 578/2019 (Nagpur)]
O.A 243/2019 (Mumbai) [O.A 684/2018 (Nagpur)]**

Shri N.P Shendkar & Ors
Shri G.R Kukde & Ors
Shri P.P Daware & Ors ... Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

DATE : 15/3/19

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri. B.A Bandiwadekar with
Kiran Malokar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri. S.P Manekar
C.P.O for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Admit

*order passed in
Tribunal Coluwn.
50202813119
Fixed for final hearing.*

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar with Shri Kiran Malokar , learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Admit.

3. Affidavit filed be taken on record.

4. Rejoinder, if any be filed within four weeks.

5. Heard on interim relief. Applicants shall be free to appear for examination. However, if they do not appear, fact that they did not appear for examination shall not be used to their detriment till disposal of O.A.

6. Fixed for final hearing on 28.3.2019.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned C.P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.N
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>15/3/19.</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>applicant in person</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p><i>order passed by Tribunal Colony returned for order.</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P</i></p>	<p>15.03.2019</p> <p><u>O.A no. 982/2018</u></p> <p>Shri H.R Jadhav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard applicant in person and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O has tendered affidavit in reply. It is taken on record. 3. Learned P.O further prays for leave to file sur-rejoinder. 4. Time to file sur-rejoinder is granted. It be filed on or before 20.3.2019, subject to foregoing case is reserved for order. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate Applicant</p> <p>Miss <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> Smt. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>416119</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">2</p>	<p>15.03.2019</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A 245/2019</p> <p>Shri R.B Matwandkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 4.6.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. The Respondents are directed to consider and decide applicant's claim of exemption 15 days before next date and in case applicant's claim is decided favourable to applicant, reply need not be filed in present O.A. 9. S.O to 4.6.2019. 10. Steno copy and Hamdast is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>15/3/2019</u> coram - Hon. shri P.N. Dixit (M/A) shri Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate holding for shri kranti L.C.; learned Advocate for the applicant. smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Rep. S.O. to 18/3/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">15/3 S.G.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.888 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.S. Shirsath & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Kaustubh Gidh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kranti L.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO mentions that she proposes to ensure that the officer from the respondents would remain present for affirming the reply shortly.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.3.2019 with a direction to the person concerned to remain present, affirm the reply and report the progress.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>L.S. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Neelima Gohad</u> C.P.O / F.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>The matter is already admitted for final hearing. Liberty to circulate.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.322 of 2016</u></p> <p>Sanjay Nana Navgan ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The matter is already admitted for final hearing. Liberty to circulate.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.321 of 2018

S.R. Sonawane ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.
3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
15.3.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 15/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ranichikumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : Applicant and Advocate
for the Applicant are absent.
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Admit

Adj. To: To come up for final hearing in due course.

M.T.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: N.V. Ugale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Admit.</p> <p>Adj. To: To come up for final hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">M.D. D.K.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.405 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.G. KekanApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.V. Ugale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant produces authenticated copy of the orders dt.17.8.2006 in CA No.1783/2006 in WP No.8452/2004 and dt.18.6.13 in WP No.8452/2004 passed by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Reply is already filed. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any, and circulate.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.741 of 2018

M.L. Bhamhane ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Id. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 22.4.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
15.3.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 15/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit
Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : J.N. Kamble

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O/ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 22/4/2019 to file reply.

Handwritten signature/initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.B. Kadam</u> Ld. Adv. holding for Shri <u>P.V. Patil</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>25/3/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.14 of 2018</u></p> <p>Dr. R.S. Shinge ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. During hearing today Shri Abhay D. Homkar, Under Secretary, Public Health Department on behalf of respondent no.1 is present. He states that reply on behalf of respondent no.2 would be filed within a week. He further states that terminal benefits have already been provided to the legal heirs of the applicant, who has expired on 31.7.2018. Copy of letter dated 15.3.2019 is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. A. A. Desai Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K. S. Gaikwad C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Admit. Adi. To. To come up for final hearing in due course.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.21 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.R. Bhongade & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any, and circulate.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.3.2019 (sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.166 of 2018

S.P. Jedhe ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.
Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 28.3.2019 for filing reply.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
15.3.2019

(sgj)

DATE: 15/3/2019

CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt: Applicant and Advocate for the applicant are absent
Shri/Smt: K.S. Gaikwad
S.P.O / P.O. for Respondent/s

Adj. To 28/3/2019 for filing reply.

P.N.

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. G. A. Bandiwadekar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 22/4/2019 for reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BT</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.764 of 2018</u></p> <p>N.S. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: S.L. Bhapkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adi. To: 12/4/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>S.L.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.As. No.944 & 945 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.V. Gholap S.R. Nikam ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO has tendered a copy of GR dated 5.3.2019 of Higher and Technical Education Department. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. She further mentions that order would be implemented within four weeks.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to ensure that the decision is taken expeditiously and the same is communicated to the applicant as well as to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant forthwith.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.3.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.73 of 2019

V.R. Rathod ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. PO seeks four weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 26.4.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)
15.3.2019

(sgl)

DATE: 15/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Hemesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. U.V. Bhosale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 20/4/2019 to file reply.

BTE

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.03.2019

M.A 140/2019 in O.A 116/2016

Shri B. R Patil ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. M.A allowed in terms of prayer clause 7(a)

DATE: 15/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: M.B. Kadam

Ld. Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.S. Gaikwad Ld.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: MA allowed in terms of prayer clause 7(a).

MP
OK

Sd/-
(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Alen

Sd/-
(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.03.2019

**M.A 119/2019 in O.A 713/2016 with M.A
120/2019 in O.A 714/2016**

Smt V.M Thakur
Shri V.V Thakur ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.S Golegaonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Accepting the statement contained in the Misc Application, M.A is allowed.

DATE: 15/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: A.S. Golegaonkar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: Neelima Gohad

U.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Accepting the statement
contained in the Misc. Application,
M.A. is allowed.

mt

Sd/-
Sd/-

[Signature]
Sd/-
(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.03.2019

O.A 1163/2017

Shri S.Y Rambade ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri Gunratan Sadavarte, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend by way of substitution of entire Paper Book of O.A.

3. Leave as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O to 2.5.2019.

DATE: 15/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.B. Kadam holding
for Shri Gunratan Sadavarte
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
Leave as prayed for is granted

Adj. To. 21st 2019

[Handwritten signature]

Sd/-

(P.N^l Dixit)
Member (A)

Attn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi (J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.03.2019

M.A 107/2019 in O.A 222/2016

Dr M.U Shetsandi ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri N.Y Chavan, learned advocate for
the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O
for the Respondents.

2. For orders on 26.3.2019.

DATE: 15/3/2019

CORAM:
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt: N.Y. Chavan
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.S. Gaikwad
G.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: For orders on 26/3/2019

Sd/-
(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Alen

Sd/-
(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

BT

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 15.03.2019
<p>DATE: 15/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: A.S. Golegaonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: Neelima Gohad Ld. G.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: Issue notice after substitution returnable on 3/6/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.N. Dixit</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 713/2016 with O.A 714/2016</u></p> <p>Smt V.M Thakur Shri V.V Thakur ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.S Golegaonkar, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Learned advocate for the applicant prays for leave to amend by way of substitution of entire Paper Book of O.A. 3. Leave as prayed for is granted. Amendment be carried out within two weeks. 4. Affidavit if any on record filed by the Respondents is set aside. 5. Issue notice after substitution returnable on 3.6.2019. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 7. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 9. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 10. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 11. S.O to 3.6.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">Akn</p>