

**MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**

**O.A.No.633 of 2015 with M.A.No.318 of 2018 with O.A.No.467 of 2015 with O.A.No.638 of 2015 with O.A.No.585 of 2015 with O.A.No.648 of 2015 with O.A.No.667 of 2015 with O.A.No.791 of 2015 with O.A.No.822 of 2015 with O.A.No.524 of 2015 with O.A.No.589 of 2015 with O.A.No.606 of 2015 with O.A.No.636 of 2015 with O.A.No.632 of 2015 with M.A.No.420 of 2015 with O.A.No.742 of 2015 with O.A.No.766 of 2015 with O.A.No.767 of 2015 with O.A.No.768 of 2015 with O.A.No.786 of 2015 with O.A.No.808 of 2015 with O.A.No.809 of 2015 with O.A.No.960 of 2015 with O.A.No.904 of 2015 with O.A.No.1054 of 2015 with O.A.No.738 of 2016**

**D.G. Pore & Ors.**

**) ....Applicants**

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

**) .....Respondents.**

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.633 of 2015, 585 of 2015.

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant No.5 in O.A.No.822 of 2015, for Applicant No.16 in O.A.No.960 Of 2015 and for Applicant No.18 in O.A.No.1054 of 2015.

Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.791 of 2015, 766 of 2015, 809 of 2015 and O.A.738 Of 2016.

Ms. R. Todankar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.Nos.467 of 2015, 822 of 2015 (for Applicant No.3), 767 of 2015, 960 of 2015, O.A.No.1054 of 2015 for Applicant Nos.1,2,3,4,7,8,14,9,11,12,13,15,17,16 and in O.A.No.738 of 2016 for the Applicant Nos.1,2,4,5 & 6.

Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.Nos.648 of 2015 (for Applicant No.3), in O.A.No.632 of 2015 with M.A.No.420 Of 2015 for Applicant Nos.2,3 & 4.

Shri S.K. Nair, the learned Special Counsel with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

**CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 16.02.2019**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.633 of 2015, 585 of 2015, Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate

*Handwritten signature*

for the Applicant No.5 in O.A.No.822 of 2015, for Applicant No.16 in O.A.No.960 Of 2015 and for Applicant No.18 in O.A.No.1054 of 2015. Shri C.T. Chandratre, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.791 of 2015, 766 of 2015, 809 of 2015 and O.A.738 Of 2016. Ms. R. Todankar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.Nos.467 of 2015, 822 of 2015 (for Applicant No.3), 767 of 2015, 960 of 2015, O.A.No.1054 of 2015 for Applicant Nos.1,2,3,4,7,8,14,9,11,12,13,15,17,16 and in O.A.No.738 of 2016 for the Applicant Nos.1,2,4,5 & 6. Shri D.B. Khaire, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.Nos.648 of 2015 (for Applicant No.3), in O.A.No.632 of 2015 with M.A.No.420 Of 2015 for Applicant Nos.2,3 & 4 and Shri S.K. Nair, the learned Special Counsel with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned P.O. for the Respondents.

2. Today, the matter is fixed for final hearing.

3. At the very outset, Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Advocate appearing in some of the O.As submitted that after the remand of the matters by the Hon'ble High Court in pursuance of the order dated 23.04.2018, the Government has passed the resolution on 08.05.2018 to consider the issue of absorption of 326 Craft Instructors who are presently working on contract basis throughout the Maharashtra. Accordingly, the Committee of the Hon'ble Ministers have been constituted to look in the matter and submit the proposal.

4. Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate submitted that as per his instructions, the said Committee has already prepared the report and yesterday only the last Member of the Committee has signed the report and submitted it to the Principal Secretary, Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai. Thus, according to him it will be soon placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minister and if the issue is resolved then these O.As will not survive.

5. Whereas, the learned Special Counsel for the State Government submitted that though the Government by G.R. dated 08.05.2018 constituted a Committee, he has no instructions from the department about the report of the Committee as argued by Shri D. B. Khaire, learned Advocate, today.
6. These O.As were allowed by this Tribunal. Being aggrieved by it, the State Government preferred the Writ Petitions before the Hon'ble High Court and the same were decided by the order dated 23.04.2018 whereby the matters are remanded back to the Tribunal to decide it expeditiously. As such, in view of the directions of the Hon'ble High Court these O.As need to be decided expeditiously.
7. However, subsequent material development is about the constitution of the Committee in terms of G.R. dated 08.05.2018. Therefore, it is imperative to know about further progress of the decision, if any, taken by the Government in pursuance of the report to be submitted by the said Committee. Shri D.B.Khaire, learned Advocate empathetically stated that the report is prepared and signed yesterday and the same is placed before the Principal Secretary, Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai. Whereas, the learned Special Counsel for the State Government submitted that he has no instruction from the department about any such development.
8. It is very peculiar that the Government at their own level thought it appropriate to constitute the Committee to resolve the issue of 326 contract employees who are working through out Maharashtra but no updated instructions have been given to its Special Counsel.
9. It is necessary to know whether the Government has taken any such decision to absorb these applicants in service.

10. In view of above, the Principal Secretary, Skill Development and Entrepreneurship Dept., Mantralaya, Mumbai is directed to depute the responsible officer from his department to remain present on the court along with Affidavit of concerned Deputy Secretary about present status of matter in terms of G.R. dated 08.05.2018.

11. Though, the learned Special Counsel for the State is insisting for final hearing and to dispose of the matter on merit, in view of the aforesaid circumstances, it would be appropriate to wait for some time to know the decision of the Government on the said issue.

12. The matter is adjourned to 28.02.2019.

Sd/-

**(A.P. KURHEKAR)**  
**MEMBER (J)**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">M. A. No.75 of 2019 in O.A.No.51 of 2019</p> <p>P. R. Parit .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A. is filed for restoration of O.A. No.51 of 2019 which has been dismissed in default for non-compliance of condition order i.e. for non-compliance of Affidavit of Service three days before returnable date in terms of order dated 16.01.2019 passed in the O.A. The Applicant was required to file Service Affidavit three days before returnable date. The returnable date was 13.02.2019. As the compliance was not made, the O.A. was dismissed in default.</p> <p>3. As the notices were already served and learned P.O. is appearing for the Respondents, it is appropriate to restore the O.A. for decision on merit.</p> <p>4. For the reasons stated above, the M.A. is allowed and the Original Application is restored to file. As the learned P.O. is appearing for the Respondents, the matter is adjourned for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>5. M.A. is disposed of accordingly.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.51 of 2019</p> <p>P. R. Parit ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In view of the order passed in M.A. No.75 of 2019, the Original Application No.51 of 2019 is restored to file.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned to 01.03.2019 for filing Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date : 15.02.2019. Tribunal's orders O. A. No. 01 of 2019
	<p>M. V. Ghadage .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As observed by this Tribunal in order dated 08.02.2019, the facts as disclosed are shocking as the Applicant has been kept under suspension for ten years. Now, after ten years, the Disciplinary Authority wake up and come with excuses that they will take review of the suspension in view of G.R. dated 14.10.2011; which action they ought to have taken much earlier. As such, the total inaction and disregard to the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in (2015) 7 SCC 291 (Ajay Kumar Choudhary V/s. Union of India &amp; Ors.), is obvious.</p> <p>3. Today, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the matter will be processed soon for review and this O.A. be disposed of with suitable directions.</p> <p>4. In view of prolong continuous suspension, I do not think that only directions for taking review of the matter would suffice. There is blatant disregard of law on the part of concerned authority and, therefore, this O.A. deserves to be decided on merit. The Applicant has been deprived of his full pay and allowances for near about ten years. Therefore, it will be appropriate to decide the O.A. on merit so that the legality of such prolong suspension can be decided vis-a vis right of the Applicant to which he has been deprived of due to total inaction on the part of the Respondents.</p> <p>5. The Respondents, if desire can file their reply within two weeks from today otherwise the matter will be heard finally on the next date.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.750 of 2018</p> <p>P. U. Bisen ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present Original Application, the relief pertains to interest on delayed payment of retiral benefits.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered some communication dated 11.02.2019 which is taken on record and marked as letter 'X' for identification to show that the department has sanctioned Rs.1,37,944/- towards the interest and the same are deposited in the bank account of the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant seeks time to verify whether the amount of Rs.1,37,944/- deposited in the account of the Applicant is as per his claim made in this application and in accordance to law.</p> <p>5. As such, he needs to verify the exact quantum of the amount claimed in the application to know whether the claim is satisfied.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 01.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.02.2019.

O.A.No.957 of 2018

S. S. Sawant

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra

...Respondent

1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Heard Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. for the Respondent has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.
3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.
4. S.O. to 08.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1073 of 2018</p> <p>R.K. Jadhav .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri R.K. Jadhav, the Applicant is person is also present in the court.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that the Applicant wants to make representation before the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 as well as before the S.D.O. and, therefore, he does not want to continue with the proceeding.</p> <p>4. The Applicant who is present in person also stated that he wants to make representation before Respondent Nos.2 and 3 as well as before the S.D.O. and does not want to continue with this O.A.</p> <p>5. As the Applicant does not want to continue the proceeding, this O.A. is disposed of being withdrawn.</p> <p>6. If the Applicant is aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further remedy, in accordance to law.</p> <p>6. No order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>M. A. No.36 of 2019 in O.A.No.1112 of 2018</b></p> <p>A. M. Mall .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Kher holding for Shri Sachin Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Shri Siddharth Jadhav holding for Shri Dushyant Pagare, learned Advocate for Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. This M.A. has been filed for restoration of O.A. No.1112/2018, which has been dismissed in default for non-compliance of notice dated 21.12.2018. The Applicant failed to collect the notices within three days from the date of order and, therefore, the O.A. has been dismissed in default.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submitted that inadvertently notices could not be collected, therefore, prayed to restore the O.A.</p> <p>4. For the reasons stated above, the M. A. is allowed and the O.A.No.1112/2018 is restored to the file subject to cost of Rs.500/-. On payment of cost of Rs.500/-, the O.A. No.1112/2018 be restored and listed on the board under the caption of 'Admission'.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 20.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">n .e Sd/- <b>(A.P. Kurhekar)</b> Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.406 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>M. S. Fale</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. 5. Bhavake, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms 5. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 3.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the Respondent No.4 is Private Respondent who has been served with the notices but remain absent.</p> <p>3. On previous dates, the arguments of learned Advocate for the Applicant was heard and today, the matter was adjourned for argument of learned C.P.O.</p> <p>4. Today, Shri Amit Sale, learned Advocate appeared and stated that he is filing his Vakalatnama on behalf of the Respondent No.4 and seeks time to file reply and to argue the matter on behalf of Respondent No.4.</p> <p>5. In fact, the Respondent No.4 being duly served as seen from the record ought to have appeared much earlier. However, he chooses not to remain present and now appearing through the Counsel when the matter is partly heard.</p> <p>6. The matter pertains to the appointment of Police Patil. Though, the Respondent No.4 earlier chooses to remain absent, now he <del>being</del> appeared at his own. He needs to be heard on merit.</p> <p>7. In view of above, three days time is granted to Respondent No.4 to file reply.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 18.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(I)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">O. A. No. 770 of 2018</p> <p>S. Y. Shaikh <span style="float: right;">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float: right;">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3 and Shri S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted but no reply is filed by the Respondent Nos.2 &amp; 3. Respondent No.1 has already filed reply. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter pertains to appointment on compassionate ground and, therefore, needs to be decided expeditiously.</p> <p>4. Matter be kept for final hearing under the caption "At the Stage of Admission for Final Hearing".</p> <p>5. S.O. to 26.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p align="left">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.840 of 2018</p> <p>R. B. Lokhande ....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri H. P. Damania, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri A. U. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 and the same reply is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.1 also. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The Respondent No.3 has already filed his reply.</p> <p>4. As the pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 13.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.935 of 2018</p> <p>V. M. Omase .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In this Original Application the Applicant had sought directions for inter-district transfer from Ratnagiri District to Sangli District.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered the Government order dated 18.12.2018 whereby the order has been passed to transfer the Applicant from Ratnagiri District to Sangli District. Besides, the learned P.O. has tendered another letter dated 28.12.2018 issued by the Government to show that in terms of order passed by the Government on 18.12.2018, the appropriate directions have been given to concerned Collector i.e. Collector, Ratnagiri as well as the Collector, Sangli. As such, now the Applicant will be relieved from Ratnagiri District so as to join his new place of posting at Sangli District.</p> <p>4. The Collector, Ratnagiri to ensure that the Applicant is relieved within two weeks so that he can join his new place of posting in Sangli District.</p> <p>5. In view of above, interim stay granted by this Tribunal by order dated 26.10.2018 stands vacated.</p> <p>6. The Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.908 of 2018</p> <p>A. J. Sayyad .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S. K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K. holding for Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. Interim relief be continued till next date.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 01.03.219.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>




**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Corem, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.985 of 2018</p> <p>V. G. Tambe <span style="float:right">....Applicant</span></p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. <span style="float:right">...Respondents.</span></p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Advocate are absent. Heard Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. As per the order passed by this Tribunal on 18.01.2019, the matter was adjourned to facilitate the Respondents to take remedial measures and to pass appropriate order in accordance to law.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the file is under process and placed before the Hon'ble Chief Minister for approval. He, therefore, seeks two weeks time.</p> <p>4. As already enough time is granted, I am inclined to grant one week.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 22.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p align="left">vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT  
..... Applicant/s


(Advocate.....)

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1057 of 2018</p> <p>B.A. Patil .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P. S. Bhavake , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed Affidavit-in-Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents, the matter is adjourned for hearing for final decision at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">   Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_  
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer .....

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.02.2019.</b></p> <p align="center"><b>O.A.No.970 of 2016</b></p> <p><b>B. L. Kandekar</b> .....Applicant</p> <p><b>Versus</b></p> <p><b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K. holding for Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Earlier this O.A. has been disposed of by this Tribunal on 05.10.2018 whereby the O.A. was allowed and the order of appointment of Respondent No.4 on the post of Police Patil was quashed. Being aggrieved by the said order the Respondent No.4 has preferred W.P. No.12577/2018. The Hon'ble High Court by order dated 22.01.2019 remanded back the matter to the Tribunal for decision afresh within three months on the ground that there was no proper service of notice on Respondent No.4.</p> <p>3. After the order was passed by the Hon'ble High Court, today, the matter is listed before the Tribunal for first time. In terms of order passed by the Hon'ble High Court now notices is required to be issued to Respondent No.4 who was not properly served earlier.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearances, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

-2-

4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 05.03.2019.
5. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
10. S.O. to 05.03.2019.

n  
Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

vsm

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_ DISTRICT \_\_\_\_\_ Applicant/s

(Advocate .....

*versus*

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

**Date : 15.02.2019.**

**O.A.No.139 of 2019**

**P. S. Patil**

....Applicant

**Versus**

**The State of Maharashtra & Ors.**

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present O.A., the challenge is to the impugned transfer order dated 13.02.2019 whereby the Applicant has been transferred from Palghar to Ratnagiri. The said transfer order seems to have been issued on the basis of directions from the Election Commission of India. The Applicant's home town is Palghar as declared in Service Book and, therefore, he has been shifted to Ratnagiri in view of ensuing general election.
3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant pointed out that the Applicant has already filed representation much before the impugned order, stating that he owns no property at Palghar neither have any relatives in Palghar and, therefore, Palghar cannot be treated as his home town. According to learned Advocate so long as his application is not considered, the applicant may be retained at Palghar and, therefore, prayed for interim relief.
4. Per contra, Shri A. J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the impugned transfer order dated 13.02.2019 has been passed after the date of representation of the Applicant and, therefore impliedly it has been rejected.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

- 2 -

5. Perusal of the record produced by the learned P.O. reveals that the Applicant's home town as declared in Service record is Palghar.

6. In view of above, I am not inclined to grant interim relief. Learned P.O. is directed to take instructions about the decision on representation made by the Applicant and inform this Tribunal on 20.02.2019.

7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.02.2019.

8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

12. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

13. S.O. to 20.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/2/2019</p> <p><del>Member</del></p> <p><del>Member</del> P.N. Dixit (Member)</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale</p> <p>Advocate</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O.</p> <p>Adj. To: 29/2/2019</p>	<p>O.A. No.744 of 2018</p> <p>A.S. Karkar ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO mentions that unaffirmed copy of reply would be provided to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.2.2019.</p> <p>(sgj)</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Member (A)</p> <p>15.2.2019</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri. <u>A.S. Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>ISSUE notice returnable</u> <u>on 20/3/2019.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.N. Dixit</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.131 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.A. Pedgaonkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.S. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issuc notice returnable on 20.3.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Sms. MISS S.P. MANCHEKAR Ld. C.P.O. for the Applicant (Org. Resps) Shri/Sms. NONE for the Resp. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondents (Org. App.)</p> <p>Adj. To..... MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">MTE BTE</p>	<p><u>MA No.658/2018 in RA No.23/2018 in OA No.113/ 2018</u></p> <p>The Commissioner of Police ..Applicant Vs. Shri S.P. Balekundri ..Ori.Applicant And The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent No.1. None for Respondent-original applicant.</p> <p>2. For the reasons stated in MA, delay of one month and 13 days in filing RA is condoned. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit Hon'ble Shri V. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar Ld.C.Po. Advocate for the Applicant Comg. Resp. No.1 Shri/Smt. None for the Resp. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent's (Comg. Appl.)</p> <p><b>Ad. To:</b> Issue notice returnable on 14/3/2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">BTE</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>RA No.23/2018 in OA No.113/ 2018</u></p> <p>The Commissioner of Police ..Applicant Vs. Shri S.P. Balekundri ..Ori.Applicant And The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Applicant-original Respondent No.1. None for Respondent-original applicant.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 14.3.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of R.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, RA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or Directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri Applicant and advocate for the Applicant are absent. Advocate for Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./ F.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 8/3/2019 for filing reply.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.578 of 2018 with O.A. No.584 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.A. Gaikwad A.M. Sapkal ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 8.3.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. S. R. Ghanavat Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. Admit.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">B.L.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.105 of 2017</u></p> <p>S.Y. Patil ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply of respondents is already filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b></p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b></p> <p>Shri/Smt. : Applicant and advocate to the applicant give absent.</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O./ F.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To... 28/2/2019 at the request of Ld. cfo.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">P.N. Dixit</p>	<p><u>O.A. No.790 of 2018 with O.A. No.482 of 2018</u></p> <p>V.R. Thok R.J. Shelke ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for Applicant are absent. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 28.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.(Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>1st 2nd 2019</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri <u>P. N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri <u>MS. Anushri Kurup</u> holding <u>Adv. D.V. Sutar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri <u>MS. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>2nd 2019 by way of Last chance.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.805 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.L. Salunkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Anushri Kurup, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.V. Sutar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO requests for adjournment till next week for taking instructions from the respondents.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 25.2.2019 by way of last chance.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N! Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/2/2019</p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : Applicants and Advocate for the applicants are absent. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 25/2/2019 at the request of Ld. CPO.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p><u>O.A. No.1001 of 2018 with O.A. No.923 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.M. Koli S.M. Nilkanth ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 25.2.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> <u>15/2/2019</u></p> <p><b>CORAM:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. : <u>Applicants and Advocate for the applicants are absent.</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Ms. Savita Suryawanshi</u> Ld. C.A.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>25/2/2019 at the request of Ld. P.O.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>B.N.E</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1023 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.A. Bagwan &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicants and Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Miss Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 25.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p>



# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/12/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri _____ Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent.</p> <p>Advocate _____</p> <p>Shri _____ S.P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the respondents</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Admit</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>14/12</i> <i>P.N. Dixit</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.645 of 2018</u></p> <p>P.S. Kadam ..Applicant</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for Applicant are absent. Heard Miss S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 &amp; 2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 15.2.2019</p>