

2


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/2017</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Supt.: <u>R. S. Suryawanshi</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Supt.: <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>17.04.2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(B)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>APPLI.</u></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.70 of 2017 in O.A.No.520 of 2016 (Nagpur)</p> <p>S.N. Nagargoje ...Applicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.S. Suryawanshi, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 17.04.2017, with liberty to circulate before due date, if case is mentioned before Division Bench at Nagpur and could not be taken.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>15/2/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>S. R. Ghanavat</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K. S. Gaikwad</u> P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>11/4/2017</u></p> <p align="center">8</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.105 of 2017</p> <p>C.A. JadhavApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.R. Ghanavat, the learned Advocate for the Applicant Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant argues that the 76 posts were to be abolished and the employees holding these posts were to be absorbed. Applicant claims that he is liable to be absorbed against those absorptions.</p> <p>3. Applicant is basing his claim on para no.6 of the G.R. / letter dated 5.06.2016 page 36.</p> <p>4. Prima facie Applicant's submission does not appear to be well founded, since Applicant does not belong to the cadre and sanctioned establishment.</p> <p>5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for four weeks time to examine/study and collect the documents and thereafter take suitable steps.</p> <p>6. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 11.04.2017.</p> <p align="right">  (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman </p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/2/2017</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. S. B. Gaikwad. Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 10/4/2017.....</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(8)</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.10 of 2017 in O.A.No.994 of 2015</p> <p>U.U. HatkarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :- (a) The order is complied with. (b) Detail information in that regard would be furnished to the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Shri S.B. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for time to consider the position.</p> <p>4. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 10.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi (J.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.6 OF 2017****A.G. Dabholkar****....Applicant.****Versus****The State of Maharashtra & Ors.****.....Respondents.**

Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant.

Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. for the Respondents.


CORAM : Justice Shri A.H. Joshi, Chairman

DATE : 15.02.2017

ORDER

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has furnished the affidavit. It is taken on record.
3. It is seen that the affidavit is affirmed by Shri Ravindra K. Ghadge, Assistant Apprenticeship Advisor, Office of Joint Director, Vocational Education & Training, Pune.
4. On perusal of the affidavit it is found that the reply to paragraph 12.3 is given in evasive manner. What was expected from the Respondent is to state whether Applicant's case is reasonable and whether the conscious decision is taken after considering Applicant's claim.
5. Hence, it is necessary to call personal explanation and give show cause to this officer for such evasive reply.
6. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. is directed to furnish the name of the incumbent holding the post of Director, Directorate of Vocational Education & Training (Respondent No.2).

7. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. has furnished the following name :-
Shri S.M. Haste, Director, Directorate of Vocational Education & Training.
8. Shri S.M. Haste, Director, Directorate of Vocational Education & Training (Respondent No.2) is directed to show cause as to why he should not be personally saddled with costs of Rs.25,000/- for filing such an evasive reply.
9. Smt. Archana B.K., the learned P.O. undertakes to communicate this order to the Respondents. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O..
10. Respondent No.2 shall be free to consider applicant's application and pass appropriate orders, if it is within his power and control.
11. S.O. to 02.03.2017.

0
Self-

(A.H. Joshi J)
Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Spl. : <u>G. Sadavarte</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Spl. : <u>N. K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>03/3/2017</u></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.83 of 2017</p> <p>R.B. Lokhande & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Dr. G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate as well as Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. states that Applicant's case is covered by the directions passed in O.A.No.900/2016 and O.A.No.961/2016 dated 17.11.2016.</p> <p>3. The decision required to be taken furtherance to order passed in O.A.No.900/2016 and O.A.No.961/2016 is not reported to have been taken.</p> <p>4. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. states that a week's time may be granted for making statement as to the time frame within which decision would be taken including decision in present case.</p> <p>5. Adjourned for making statement to 03.03.2017.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble S. M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>R.G. Panchal</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>17.04.2017</u></p> <p align="center">①</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.66 of 2017 in O.A.No.658 of 2015 (Nagpur)</p> <p>M.A. PatelApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 17.04.2017, with liberty to circulate before due date, if case is mentioned before Division Bench at Nagpur and could not be taken.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </p> <p>prk</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017. Tribunal's orders O.A.No.117 of 2017</p>
<p>DATE : 15/2/2017 CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. K.B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To 10/3/2017 (8)</p>	<p>R.S. GandhiApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 10.03.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. S.O. to 10.03.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 15.02.2017.

O.A.No.46 of 2017

M.P. MhapankarApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 06.04.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 06.04.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

DATE : 15/2/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri / Smt. : Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To : 06/4/2017

3


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 15/2/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: A. J. Chougule C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 03/03/2017</p> <p>(B)</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.131 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. K.V. JagtapApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents adjourned to 03.03.2017.</p> <p>prk</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </div>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/2/2017</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramashkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>A. V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: 03/3/2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(8)</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.104 of 2017 with M.A.No.59 of 2017</p> <p>S.W. Devrukhkar & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants adjourned to 03.03.2017.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.02.2017

O.A.No.132 of 2017

B.S. VithoreApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant states as follows:-

(a) The representations already submitted are pending, are undecided and Applicant is due for retirement.

(b) Additional submission, if any, made also need to be considered.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to take instructions on following point:-

Whether the Applicant's representation can be decided expeditiously, particularly in the background that the Applicant is due for retirement.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for short time.

5. If it is possible to decide the representations before next date, it shall be highly appreciated.

6. Time as prayed for is granted.

7. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

8. S.O. to 02.03.2017.

DATE :

15/2/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : K.B. Bhise

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To

02/3/2017

(P)

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

sba


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 15/2/2017</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>S.S. Kamble</u> Applicant in Person. Advocate for the Applicant Shri /Smt. : <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O/ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 10/4/2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(3)</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.953 of 2016</p> <p>S.S. KambleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Kamble, Applicant in person and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.S. Kamble, Applicant in person states that he would report for duty.</p> <p>3. Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states on instructions from Shri Pawar A.D., Adim. Officer, Pune. that Applicant would be permitted to join duty.</p> <p>4. O.A. would be heard on 10.04.2017.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </div> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 15/2/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : G. Sadavarte Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : K. B. Bhise C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 16/2/2017</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(B)</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.834 of 2015</p> <p>K.A. GaniApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G. Sadavarte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents prays for time till tomorrow.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.02.2017.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi) Chairman </div> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/2/2017</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : Applicant in Person And. Shri. S. Lavte Adv. for Applicant (M.A. 80/17) Shri/Smt. : M.D. Lonkar, Spl. Counsel C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s with Ms. S. Suryawanshi for Respd.</p> <p>Adj. To: 01/3/2017</p> <p align="center">(9)</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.115 of 2017 with M.A.No.80 of 2017</p> <p>H.R. Jadhav (O.A.No.115/2017) A. Dorje (M.A.No.80/2017)Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Applicant in person in O.A.No.115/2017 and Shri S. Lavte, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.80/2017 who is also Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.115/2017 and Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondent with Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondent prays for time.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 01.03.2017.</p> <p align="right">  (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </p> <p align="center">prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 15.02.2017.

O.A.No.76 of 2017

J.N. Salunkhe

....Applicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

.....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 06.04.2017.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. S.O. to 06.04.2017.

(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

DATE: 15/2/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 06/4/2017

(B)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.02.2017.

O.A.No.632 of 2016


S.D. Malwade & Ors.Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri P.G. Rodge, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Admit. To come up for hearing in due course.


(A.H. Joshi)
Chairman

prk

DATE: 15/2/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Sr. P. G. Rodge.

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Sr. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. Admit.

(B)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**


M.A./B.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 15/2/2017</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshwar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt.: B.A. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: K.S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 22/2/2017</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.7 of 2017 in R.A.No.2 of 2016 in O.A.Nos.956, 957 with 958 of 2014</p> <p>S.T. Tiwari & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents adjourned to 22.02.2017.</p>


(A.H. Joshi J.)
Chairman

prk

(3)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.02.2017

M.A.No.325 of 2016 in O.A.No.853 of 2016

Shri D.G. NanavareApplicant

Versus


The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri V.D. Raut, the learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent No.1 and Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Respondent No.2.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents prays for time to file affidavit/ objection to the maintainability.

3. Time as prayed for is granted.

4. S.O. to 06.03.2017.


(A.H. Joshi,)
Chairman

sba

DATE : 15/2/2017

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramasubramanian (Member) A

APPEARANCE :

Shri/Smt. Y. D. Raut

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Ms. S. Suryawanshi

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 06/3/2017

8

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/2017</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri Punam Mahajan</u> As Counsel for the Applicant <u>C.A. 916/16</u> <u>A.N.M.D. Lonkar</u> As Appl. <u>C.O.A. 1099/16</u> Shri <u>N.K. Rajpurohit</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>2/3/17</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>AHE</i></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.916 of 2016 with O.A.No.1099 of 2016</p> <p>M.B. Patil & Ors. (O.A.No.916/2016) J.S. Phad & Ors. (O.A.No.1099/2016)Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.916/2016, Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.1099/2016, Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Special Counsel for the Respondents No.4 to 6.</p> <p>2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents prays for a week's time, to see, if possible, to revise the schedule of time required for finalization of seniority list.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. In view of the foregoing adjourned to 07.03.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) <i>AHE</i> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.02.2017

O.A.No.685 of 2016 with O.A.No.703 of 2016
H.B. Gawhane (O.A.No.685 of 2016)
C.D. Pawar (O.A.No.703 of 2016)Applicants
Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. Kanojia, the learned Advocate for the Applicants, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Shri Khambayat, the Private Respondent.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents states as follows:-

- (a) Shri Khambayat's case is cleared by Social Justice Department and his eligibility is to be re-examined by sending his case to the referral board/competent higher board for assessment of extent/percentage of disability.
- (b) After receipt of report from the medical board, the decision would be taken.
- (c) Four weeks time may be grated.

3. The steps for reference be taken within one week and report of action taken be furnished on the next date.

4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned P.O. to communicate this order to the Respondents.

5. S.O. to 20.03.2017.

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

DATE: 15/2/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Shri J. Rameshkumar (Member)

ADVOCATE:

Shri R. Kanojia

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Shri Khambayat the pt. Resp.

Adj. To: 20/3/2017

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 15/2/17</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Justice M. Ramasubramanian (Member)-A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri K.B. Bhise Advocate for the Applicant (Org. Appl.) Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar C.P.A. for the Respondent/s (Org. Appl.)</p> <p>Adj. To M.A. is allowed.</p> <p><i>B.A.</i></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>M.A.No.57 of 2017 in O.A.No.1086 of 2016</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Applicants(Org. Respds) Versus S.B. SumbeRespondent. (Org. Appli.)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant)</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) M.A. is not happily drafted and deserves re-drafting.</p> <p>(b) Therefore, permission be to withdraw this M.A. with liberty to file fresh M.A. for the same purpose and or prays for relief.</p> <p>3. M.A. is disposed as withdrawn, with liberty to file fresh M.A. for the same purpose.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 15.02.2017.
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Mr. Justice Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>Present: <u>K.B. Bhise</u></p> <p>Absent: <u>Applicant (Comp. Appl)</u></p> <p>Shri <u>B.A. Bandiwadker</u></p> <p>C.P.A./C.O. for the Respondent's <u>Comp. Appl</u></p> <p>Adj To: <u>MA is disposed of</u></p> <p><i>prk</i></p>	<p>M.A.No.58 of 2017 in O.A.No.1091 of 2016</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applts(Org. Respdts) Versus A.G. PhulsangeRespondent. (Org. Appli.)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant)</p> <p>2. Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) M.A. is not happily drafted and deserves re-drafting.</p> <p>(b) Therefore, permission be to withdraw this M.A. with liberty to file fresh M.A. for the same purpose and or prays for relief.</p> <p>3. M.A. is disposed as withdrawn, with liberty to file fresh M.A. for the same purpose.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H. Joshi)</u> J. J. Joshi Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 15/2/2017</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri/Smt.: Pynam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: N.K. Rajpurohit C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 6/4/2017</p> <p><i>MTE</i></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.1046 of 2016</p> <p>S.P. ChavanApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri N.K. Rajpurohit, the learned C.P.O. for the Respondents on instructions states as follows :- Matter of assigning of deemed date to the Applicant would be decided before 15.03.2017.</p> <p>3. In view of this statement adjourned to 06.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Justice Chri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Justice M. Kameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>None for the app.</p> <p>S. Suryawanshi</p> <p>15/4/2017.</p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.789 of 2015</p> <p>D.M. KasaraleApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The case was called out in the morning. None appeared for the Applicant.</p> <p>3. Even on the second call, none appears for the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 15.04.2017.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>B.A. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>K.B. Bhise</u> S.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>6/4/2017</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.97 of 2016 in O.A.No.469 of 2012</p> <p>Dr. S.M. Mohrut & Ors. ...Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri K.B. Bhise, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri B.A. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) Contempt Petition has been filed without serving personal notice to the Contemnor No.1</p> <p>(b) Applicants would like to serve fresh notice on Respondent No.1.</p> <p>(c) Four weeks time may be granted for service of notice.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 06.04.2017.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <i>[Signature]</i> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>Prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 15.02.2017

O.A.No.1205 of 2016

S.R. GandhiApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. P. Mahajan, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of the learned P.O. for the Respondents, adjourned to 02.03.2017.

DATE: 15/2/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Member: P. Mahajan

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 2/3/2017.

[Signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

sba

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./O.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/17</u></p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. <u>A.V. Bandiwadker</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.F.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>10/4/17</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>C.A.No.131 of 2015 in O.A.No.907 of 2012</p> <p>P.A. VanjeriApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadker, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents states as follows :-</p> <p>(a) The cheque towards the amount payable to the Applicant is kept ready.</p> <p>(b) It is reported that the Applicant did not collect the same because he is not keeping good health.</p> <p>3. Respondents should better deposit the amount in the Applicant's account directly and report compliance on the next date.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.04.2017.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>15/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon. Justice M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri M.D. Lonkar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri K.S. Gaikwad</p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To: <u>20/4/2017</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.422 of 2016</u> <u>(O.A. No.264 of 2015-A'bad)</u></p> <p>Shri S.M. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 20.4.2017.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper-book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman 15.2.2017</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <u>O.A. No.357 of 2016</u>
---	---

Shri C.A. Ghodke ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri K.B. Bhise, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for leave to amend the OA for adding certain averments, annexures and even add parties.
- Learned Advocate undertakes to carry out the amendment within four weeks.
- Leave to amend as prayed for is granted.
- S.O. to 17.3.2017.

DATE: 15/2/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.A. Desai

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri K.B. Bhise

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To. 17/3/2017

[Signature]

(sgj)

[Signature]
Sd/-
(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman
15.2.2017

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.55 of 2016 in O.A. No.930 of 2014

Smt. S.A. Joshi ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Special Counsel with Ms. Savita Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Special Counsel Shri Lonkar has tendered copy of corrigendum thereby modifying applicant's status from Adhoc Associate Professor to Associate Professor.

3. Ld. Advocate for applicant states that applicant is satisfied with the action and expects that representation about grant of deemed date be decided by the State.

4. Ld. Special Counsel states that decision on applicant's representation regarding deemed date would be taken and the decision would be communicated to the applicant within four weeks.

5. S.O. to 6.4.2017.

DATE: 15/2/2017

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Justice Rameshkumar (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri M.D. Lonkar sp. Counsel

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

with S. Suryawanshi, p.o. for Resp.

Adj. To 6/4/2017

[Signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)

Chairman

15.2.2017

(sgj)

(O.C.P.) J 2200015 (10,1001-2-2015)

(Spl. MAT-F-2 E.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>15/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. <u>S.B. Gaikwad</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To.....<u>31/3/2017</u></p> <p><i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.794 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. S.R. Chinchkar ...Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri S.G. Gaikwad, the learned Advocate for the Applicant prays for two weeks time to study the case, examine all facts and come prepared on next date.</p> <p>3. Time as prayed for is granted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 31.03.2017.</p> <p><i>[Signature]</i> Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>15/2/17</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : <u>L.S. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B.K.</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>16/2/17.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>prk</u></p>	<p>Date : 15.02.2017.</p> <p>O.A.No.898 of 2015</p> <p>M.R. GholapApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents adjourned to 16.02.2017.</p> <div style="text-align: right;">  (A.H. Joshi J.) Chairman </div> <p style="text-align: center;">prk</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.432 of 2016

Shri P.P. Lokhande ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the
Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting
Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Shri Kolge, Ld. Advocate for the
Applicant, adjourned to 16.2.2017.

DATE: 15/2/17

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member)-A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. R.M. Kolge

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To 16/2/17.

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman
15.2.2017

(sgj)

[P.T.O.]