

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.27 of 2020</p> <p>T.S. Shirguppe .....Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has challenged the impugned order dated 13.12.2019 issued by Respondent No.2 –Superintending Engineer, PWD, Kolhapur thereby sending the Applicant on compulsory leave.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that impugned order is <i>ex-facie</i> illegal as there is no provision under rules to sent the Government servant on compulsory leave. He has further pointed out that the said issue has been already dealt with by this Tribunal in <i>O.A.No.197/2016 Rajaram Patil V/s Government of Maharashtra &amp; Ors</i>, wherein at the time of considering interim relief, the Tribunal has passed reasoned order staying the order of sending employee on compulsory leave.</p> <p>4. When specific quarry was made to learned P.O. as to under what rule, the Government servant can be sent on compulsory leave, she fairly concedes that there is no provision in rules. However, she submits that the department will take remedial measures to rectify the mistake.</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>5. Perusal of impugned order reveals that Applicant was sent on compulsory leave on allegation of negligence in discharge of duties. Even assuming for a moment that Applicant was prima-facie negligent in discharging duty, the order of sending him on compulsory leave is dehors the law and rules. Suffice to say, impugned order is <i>ex-facie</i> unsustainable in law and deserves to be stayed.</p> <p>6. For the reasons above, interim relief is granted in terms of prayer clause 15(b) of O.A..</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.02.2020.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 11.02.2020.</p> <p>14. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.01.2020</b></p> <p><b>C.A.No.61 of 2019 in O.A.No.975 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>B.S. Lambhate</b> ...Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Contempt Application is filed for non compliance of direction issued by this Tribunal in O.A.No.975/2018 decided on 16.04.2019 which has been confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court by judgment in Writ Petition No.7753/2019, decided on 01.08.2019.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that the Department has filed S.L.P. before the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 07.01.2020 and the same is sub judice.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate on instructions submits that the claim of leave encashment only remains to be paid and for this purpose only the Contempt Proceedings are initiated.</p> <p>5. The Tribunal in O.A.No.975/2018 issued direction for the compliance of the same within the period of two months, and now more than 08 months is over but the compliance is not made. In so far as, leave encashment is concerned, there is no stay by the Hon'ble Supreme Court to the order passed by this Tribunal. As such, despite the decision in favour of the applicant he is deprived of getting leave encashment.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>6. Learned P.O. for the Respondents on instructions from Shri Rajesh Jadhav, Naib Tahsildar, Collector Office, Satara, submits that if the applicant is ready to give undertaking then Respondent No.1 is ready and willing to pay leave encashment amount.</p> <p>7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is ready to furnish undertaking that in case decision goes against him, he will refund the amount.</p> <p>8. In view of the above, applicant shall attend the office of District Collector, Satara on 22.01.2020 and shall submit undertaking that he will refund amount if the decision of S.L.P. goes against him. On submission of undertaking Respondent No.1 shall release amount within a period of three days thereafter.</p> <p>9. S.O. to 29.01.2020.</p> <p>10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.960 OF 2019

A.E. Kerure

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents

M/S. Talekar & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant,

Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 & 2,

Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.

Ms. Sunita Vishwakarma, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.G. Mishra, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

**CORAM : SHRI P.N. DIXIT, VICE-CHAIRMAN  
SHRI A.P. KURHEKAR, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 15.01.2020**

**PER : SHRI P.N. DIXIT, VICE-CHAIRMAN**

**ORDER**

1. Heard M/S. Talekar & Asso., learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 & 2, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4 and Ms. Sunita Vishwakarma, learned Advocate holding for Shri D.G. Mishra, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. Applicant has approached this Tribunal against the impugned order issued on 27.09.2018, whereby the Departmental Enquiry has been initiated against him. He has been also placed under suspension.

3. The Applicant was working at Beed. His headquarters was transferred to Mumbai. Departmental Enquiry has been initiated against him for following charges :-

"अत्र सुरक्षा व मानदे कायद्यांतर्गत केंद्रीय परवाना आस्थापनेचा परवाना रद्द करण्याचे अधिकार हे केंद्रीय परवाना प्राधिकार्यांना असल्यामुळे, सहायक आयुक्त ( अत्र ) तथा पदावधित अधिकारी, अत्र व औषध प्रशासन, बीड यांनी दि. १९.०३.२०१८ रोजी कारखान्याचा परवाना १० दिवसांसाठी निलंबित करण्याबाबतचे पारित केलेले आदेश बेकायदेशीर असल्याने तसेच याबाबत सदर आस्थापनेस बाजू मांडण्याची कोणतीही संधी देण्यात आलेली नाही, अशा आशयाची तक्रार सदर आस्थापनेने आयुक्त, अत्र व औषध प्रशासन यांचेकडे दि. २३.०३.२०१८ रोजी केली."

(Quoted from page 37 & 38)

१५०

4. Respondent No.3, Food Safety and Standards Authority of India has filed affidavit which reads as under :-

*"That it is respectfully submitted that all actions by the Applicant, being the Assistant Commissioner of the Food and Drug Authority (who was working as DO at that time), were done in accordance with the powers delegated to him under the FSS Act, 2006 read with the Rules and Regulations. That Applicant has not gone beyond the powers delegated under the letters issued by the Respondent No.3 as also mentioned above. The Applicant was fully authorized to act in accordance with the powers delegated under the FSS Act."*

*(Quoted from page 189)*

5. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also referred to his pleadings particularly, in paragraphs 12, 13 and 15, which reads as under :-

*"12. The applicant submits that "Designated Officer" is defined under Section 3(h) whereas Food Safety Officer is defined under Section 3(t) and the term license is defined under Section 3(za) of the Act of 2006. Similarly, the expression "State Government" is defined under Section 3(zv). The Designated Officer means the Officer appointed under Section 36 whereas the Food Safety Officer means an officer appointed under Section 37. The license means "license granted under Section 31. The Designated Officer appointed under Section 36 is for the purpose of issuing licence and also for the purpose of taking actions such as suspension of licence or cancellation of licence for violation of the provisions of the Act, Rules and Regulations. The Central Government appoints Designated Officer for the purpose of issuing license whereas the State Government appoints designated officer for all purpose including issuance of license, suspension and cancellation of license.*

*13. The Designated Officer appointed by the Commissioner of Food Safety along has the power to either suspend or cancel the license. The power to implement or enforce the provisions of the Act vests in the Designated Officer appointed by the Commissioner of Food Safety under Section 36 of the Act.*

*15. The Designated Officer appointed by the Food Safety and Standards of India has a limited role i.e. to issue license. However, the Designated Authority appointed by the State Government has much wider powers i.e. to issue license to other food related businesses as well as to take action against such food related businesses for violation of rules and regulations. Section 36 of the Act of 2006 confers several powers on the Commissioner of Food Safety including the power to appoint the designated officer. The Commissioner of Food Safety is always on the establishment of the State and has to work under the control of the State Government. The Commissioner of Food Safety appoints the Designated Officer to be in-charge of Food Safety administration in such areas as may be specified by the Regulations and declares the local areas of a district under the jurisdiction of the Designated Officer. Thus, there is an independent Designated Officer for every district appointed by the Commissioner of Food Safety under Section 36(1) of the Act, 2006 which is evident from the Notification dated 09.01.2012, copy of which is annexed herewith and marked as Exhibit "L".*

*(Quoted from pages 6 to 8)*

*S. S. S.*

6. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has referred to the provisions in the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006, Section 36 of which reads as under :-

**"36. Designated Officer.** – *The Commissioner of Food Safety shall, by order, appoint the Designated Officer, who shall not be below the rank of the Sub Divisional Officer, to be in-charge of food safety administration in such area as may be specified by regulations.*

(2) *There shall be a Designated Officer for each District.*

(3) *The functions to be performed by the Designated Office shall be as follows, namely :-*

(a) *to issue or cancel license of food business operators ;*

7. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has therefore prayed suspension should be revoked and Departmental enquiry against him should be quashed.

8. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents mentions that necessary Departmental Enquiry is in progress and Applicant is free to make these submissions before Enquiry Officer.

9. In light of submissions referred above, provisions under the Food Safety and Standards Act, 2006 and the affidavit filed by Respondent No.3, Respondents are directed to review and inform this Tribunal what remains in the charges against the Applicant and what is the legal impediment in conceding the prayer by the Applicant ? Respondents are directed to file affidavit accordingly within three weeks. The matter is adjourned to 04.02.2019.

10. S.O. to 04.02.2019. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed.


Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)  
Vice-Chairman

prk





**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.01.2020</b></p> <p align="center"><b>M.A.No.605 of 2019 In O.A.No.935 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>D.L. Mate &amp; Ors. (M.A.605/2019)</b>  <b>H.Y. Shewale &amp; Ors. (O.A.935/2019) ...Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.L. Gawas, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants in M.A.No.605/2019, Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants in O.A.No.935/2019 and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A.No.605 of 2019 is filed by learned Advocate Shri P.L. Gawas as Intervenor in O.A.No.935 of 2019.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicants in O.A.No.935/2019 submits that he has no objection to the same. Amendment be carried out within one week.</p> <p>4. In view of the above, M.A. is allowed.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.935 of 2019</p> <p>H.Y. Shewale &amp; Ors.                      ...Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.        ...Respondents</p> <p>1.     Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants, Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. for the Respondents and Shri P.L. Gawas, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.L. Gawas, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.B. Bharaswadkar, learned Advocate for Intervenor.</p> <p>2.     Learned Advocate for the Applicants Shri P.L. Gawas has filed reply. The same is taken on record.</p> <p>3.     Adjourned to 22.01.2020.</p> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">Sd/-</span> <span>Sd/-</span> </p> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">(A.P. Kurhekar)</span> <span>(P.N. Dixit)</span> </p> <p align="center"> <span style="margin-right: 100px;">Member(J)</span> <span>Vice-Chairman</span> </p> <p>prk</p>

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><b>Date : 15.01.2020</b></p> <p><b>O.A.No.119 of 2019 with M.A.No.14 of 2020</b></p> <p><b>A.T. Sakore (O.A.119/2019)</b>  <b>S.P. Sabale (M.A.14/2020) ...Applicants</b>  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</b></p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.119/2019, Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in M.A.No.14/2020 and Respondent No.4 in O.A.No.119/2019 and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents No.1 to 3 &amp; 5.</p> <p>2. Respondent No.1 is directed to depute responsible officer to remain present before this Tribunal on 16.01.2020 along with relevant documents based on which N.C.L. has been issued.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1036 of 2018</p> <p>S.V. Sawant ...Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned C.P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admitted and kept for final hearing on 05.02.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1083 of 2019 with 1086/19, 1087/19, 1092/19, 1093/19 with M.A.621/19 with 1094/19 with M.A.622/19 with 1088/19 with 1095/19 with O.A.1112/19 with O.A.1212/2019 with O.A.1200/2019 with 1203/2019 with 36/2020</p> <p>S. A. Waghmare &amp; Ors. ....Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam, Shri U. V. Bhosale and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsels for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Learned P.O. submits that in some matters, Government has already taken decision to allow the Applicants to retain and continue the service quarter subject to payment of necessary charges.</li><li>3. Adjourned for two weeks with direction to file necessary documents about the order passed by the Government and also to file reply, if necessary.</li><li>4. Interim relief to continue till next date.</li><li>5. S.O. to 29.01.2020.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p style="text-align: right;">[PTO.]</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.22 of 2020</u></p> <p>P.P. Chendvankar &amp; Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 23.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N./Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

I N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.3 of 2019 in O.A. No.705 of 2016</u></p> <p>M.B. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that the order has been complied. However, the affidavit clarifying about the delay is yet to be filed. She seeks one week's time for the same.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.1.2020 by way of last chance.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar)</p> <p>Member (J)</p> <p>15.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit)</p> <p>Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>15.1.2020</p> </div> </div>











Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.N. Mane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Abhay A. Jadhavar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.K. Hande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 26.3.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.38 of 2020</u></p> <p>Dr. S.J. Jogdand ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri D.B. Khaire, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 21.1.2020. The respondents are directed to file short affidavit particularly regarding point of discrimination mentioned in paras 7.2, 7.3 &amp; 7.4. Tag with similar matters which are listed on 21.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.20 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.S. Daud ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 23.1.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.21 of 2019 in O.A. No.848 of 2014</u></p> <p>R.B. Wadile ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that he has not read the order issued by this Tribunal on 11.12.2019. He further states that he would comply with the directions.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 26.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.51 of 2019 in O.A. No.301 of 2017</u></p> <p>J.K. Bhosle ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 21.1.2020 to confirm whether Ms. Leena Patil. Ld. Advocate who appeared before the Hon'ble High Court had submitted that applicant will not press for contempt before this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.1.2020.</p> <table style="width: 100%;"><tr><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020 (sgl)</td><td style="text-align: center;">Sd/- → (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020</td></tr></table>	Sd/- v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020 (sgl)	Sd/- → (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020
Sd/- v~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020 (sgl)	Sd/- → (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020		



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A.59 of 2019 in O.As.1041, 1042 &amp; 1046 of 2017</u></p> <p>L.B. Kadam &amp; Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri V.V.Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant has filed leave note.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 4.2.2020.</p> <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: top;"><p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p><p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020</p></div> <div style="display: inline-block; vertical-align: top;"><p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p><p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020</p></div> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders				
	<u>O.A. No.33 of 2020</u>				
	K.M. Bhosale & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents				
	Heard Shri S.B. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.				
	2. Issue notice returnable on 23.1.2020.				
	3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.				
	4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.				
	5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.				
	6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.				
	7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.				
	<table style="width: 100%; border: none;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020</td> </tr> </table>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020
Sd/-	Sd/-				
(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 15.1.2020	(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 15.1.2020				
	(sgj)				