

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI BENCH**

**CONTEMPT APPLICATION NO. 01 OF 2019  
IN  
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 178 OF 2017**

**DISTRICT : PUNE**

Shri Satish Chintaman Kamble, )  
Occ : Retd, Residing at Ahilya Housing )  
Society, Golf Club Road, Room no. 1, )  
Pune 411 036. )...**Applicant**

**Versus**

Shri S.K Bagade, )  
Secretary, Industry & Energy Dept, )  
Mantralaya, )  
Mumabi 400 032. )...**Respondents**

Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

**CORAM : Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)  
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)**

**DATE : 15.01.2019**

**PER : Sri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

**ORDER**

1. Heard Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the Applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant has approached this Tribunal for action for contempt.

3. This Tribunal had passed order on 7.8.2018 and ordered as follows:-

“6. Learned advocate for the Applicant refers to notification of particular provision of relaxation as under:-



“Provided that the requirement of qualification prescribed in sub-clause (b) of clause (B) of this rule, may be relaxed by Government at any one time in respect of not more than four persons holding any of the posts mentioned in the schedule whose record of service is excellent, so, however, that the number of non-diploma holders in this cadre shall not exceed four at any one time; or”

(Quoted from page no. 20 of O.A)

7. In view of the foregoing, the Respondent no. 1 is directed to consider his prayer if there is no any legal impediment and take suitable decision on merits within three months from the date of this order.

8. Original Application is disposed of without costs.”

(Quoted from page 5 of O.A)

4. During hearing, learned P.O has tendered copy of letter dated 31.12.2018 informing that decision applicant's case has been taken.

5. Learned advocate for the applicant states that order passed by this Tribunal is not complied with in its true letter and spirit.

6. We see that there is no willful disobedience of order as complained.

7. Applicant is free to challenge the order dated 31.12.2018, if he is not satisfied by said order.

8. Hence it is not necessary to take cognizance.

9. Contempt Application is disposed of.

Sd/-  
(P.N Dixit)  
Member (A)

Sd/-  
(A.H. Joshi, J.)  
Chairman

**Place : Mumbai**  
**Date : 15.01.2019**  
**Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.**

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

**15.01.2019**

**M.A 07/2019 in O.A 21/2019**

Shri R.R Bhongade & Others ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.

3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.

4. In this view of the matter, the present M.A is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.

5. M.A is allowed.

DATE : 15/1/2019

CORAM :  
Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Honble Shri. P.N. Dixit, M(A)

APPEARANCE:  
A.A. Desai

Advocate for the Applicant

~~Ms. Swati Manchekar~~  
C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 12/ M.A. is allowed.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><b>DATE:</b> 15/01/2019</p> <p><b>CO:</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri. P.N. Dixit (A)</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE:</b> Shri/Smt. R. M. Kolge Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. Neelima Gohad G.P.O.R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt./S.O. to 12/3/2019</p> <p><b>DATE:</b> 30/01/2019</p>	<p><b>15.01.2019</b></p> <p><b><u>O.A 1141/2018 with M.A 670/2018</u></b></p> <p>Shri G.V.Naik ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R.M Kolge, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 12.3.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 12.3.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(P.N Dixit)</b> Member (A)</p> <p>Sd/- <b>(A.H Joshi, J)</b> Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 15.01.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1131 of 2017 (Subject : Transfer)</b></p> <p><b>A.U. Chavan</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. challenge is to the transfer order dated 25.11.2017 whereby he was transferred from the post of Police Inspector, Wadala to Police Inspector, Local Arms, Mumbai.</p> <p>3. In the affidavit-in-reply Respondent No.1 has specifically mentioned that as per transfer order Applicant had joined at Local Arms, Mumbai on 28.11.2017 and later on, on 20.06.2018 Applicant was promoted to the rank of Senior Police Inspector. The copy of promotion order is also annexed to the affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents therefore submitted that the impugned transfer order has become infructuous in view of the promotion of the applicant.</p> <p>5. In view of the above, applicant seems not interested to proceed with the matter. This matter was taken up in the morning session and kept in the 2<sup>nd</sup> session as none appeared in the morning session. In the 2<sup>nd</sup> session also none is present on behalf of the Applicant and therefore application is dismissed in default.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 15.01.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.24 of 2019</b></p> <p><b>S.M. Bansode</b> .....Applicant  <b>Versus</b>  <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> .....Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The challenge is to suspension order dated 16.12.2013 on the ground that no disciplinary action has been initiated for <sup>though</sup> the period of more than 5 years is over. Taking note of these aspects on 08.01.2019 this Tribunal has specifically directed to inform this Tribunal about the status of D.E. and also to file reply as the applicant is under prolonged suspension.</p> <p>3. Today Ms. N.G. Gohad submitted that she has received instructions to file affidavit and requires two weeks time to file reply. Shri V.B. Pawar, P.A. to Commandant General, Home Guards, is present on behalf of the Department and he is unable to give any specific answer to the queries raised by this Tribunal about <sup>prolong</sup> <del>wrong</del> suspension and not initiation of D.E.</p> <p>4. In view of the above matter needs to be heard, expediently, as prima facie the suspension is not unwarranted in view of the Ajaykumar Choudhari's judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court. At the request of learned P.O. time to file affidavit-in-reply is granted till 21.01.2019. Matter will be heard finally on next date.</p> <p>5. S.D. to 21.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar)  Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registror's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.727 of 2018</p> <p>A.P. Ahire .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ....Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant has filed affidavit-in-rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.01.2019.

O.A.No.47 of 2019

S.R. Tapkir .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.01.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 29.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)



Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.01.2019.

O.A.No.45 of 2019

M.A. Sawant .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 29.01.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 29.01.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2019.</p> <p>O.A.1043 of 2018 with O.A.No.1044 of 2018 with O.A.No.1045 of 2015 with O.A.No.1046 of 2018</p> <p>A.D. Kulkarni (O.A.1043/2018) V.L. Raut (O.A.1044//2018) A.T. Landge (O.A.1045/2018 T. Kharat (O.A.1046/2015) ....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K, learned Presenting Officer holding for Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. has filed affidavit-in-reply. It is taken on record. At the request of learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant two week's time is granted.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned to 01.02.2019 for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**I N**

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.491 of 2018</p> <p>S.B. Gone .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. Applicant and his Advocate are absent.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Ms. N.G. Gohad submitted that affidavit-in-reply would be filed during the course of the date. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete, matter is adjourned for final hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 06.02.2019,</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,  
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or  
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 15.01.2019.

O.A.No.35 of 2019

Y.L. Dharavane .....Applicant  
Versus  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ....Respondents.

1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.02.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry, within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 12.02.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)  
Member(J)

<b>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</b>	<b>Date : 15.01.2019. Tribunal's orders</b>
	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A.No.1121 of 2018</b></p> <p><b>V.V. Tamhankar</b> .....Applicant <b>Versus</b> <b>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors.</b> ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</li><li>2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.02.2019.</li><li>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</li><li>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</li><li>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</li><li>6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</li><li>7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</li><li>8. S.O. to 12.02.2019.</li></ol> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 15.01.2019. Tribunal's orders O.A.No.44 of 2019</p>
	<p>D.K. Ambhore .....Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The grievance raised by the applicant in this O.A. pertains to punishment order dated 01.03.2018 whereby pension has been deducted at the rate of 25% per month and non releasing of regular pension and gratuity. It appears that D.E. was initiated against the applicant and it stands completed wherein punishment of 25% deduction of pension has been imposed. However that cannot be ground to withhold the gratuity and regular pension as per his entitlement.</p> <p>3. As the applicant is retired in 2013 his pensionary benefits as per the entitlement needs to be released. Shri Suhas Sukpal, Assistant Section Officer, Higher and Technical Education is present on behalf of department along with learned P.O.. He made the statement that within 3 months retirement benefits as per his entitlement will be processed and released.</p> <p>4. Respondents are directed to complete the process of releasing retirement benefits of the applicant as per the entitlement in law and monetary benefits be extended within two months from today.</p> <p>5. As the order of suspension is also under challenge in this O.A., matter needs to be decided on merit to that extent. Four week's time is granted to file affidavit-in-reply.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 05.02.2019. Hamdast.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. . . . . of 20

I N

Original Application No. . . . . of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2019.</p> <p>O.A.1075 of 2018 with O.A.No.1076 of 2018 with O.A.No.1085 of 2018</p> <p>S.B. Khalekar (O.A.1075/2018) R.G. Shendge (O.A.1076/2018) R.B. Wagh (O.A.1085/2018) ....Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of Respondent No.2 in O.A.No.1075/2018. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders <b>15.01.2019</b>
<p><b>DATE :</b> <u>15/1/2019</u></p> <p><b>CORAM :</b> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit, MCA</p> <p><b>APPEARANCE :</b> Shri/Smt. <u>A. A. Desai</u> Advocate for the applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Ms. Swati Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>12/3/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><b>O.A 21/2019</b></p> <p>Shri R.R Bhongade &amp; Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.A Desai , learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 12.3.2019</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. We further direct MPSC to prepare and produce along with affidavit in reply to O.A, common notional merit list showing marks secured in screening test and viva voce and aggregate arranging according to merit of aggregate marks consisting of all candidates.</p> <p>9. Last three candidates in open merit be put to open notice that their appointment shall be subject to outcome of this O.A</p> <p>10. S.O to 12.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- <span style="float: right;">Sd/-</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Member (A) <span style="float: right;">(A.H Joshi), Chairman</span></p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.01.2016

O.A 31/2016

The Scientific Staff Association ... Applicants  
Vs.  
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the end of hearing learned advocate for applicants states that the Association finds better prospects in representing before the Government instead of pursuing this O.A. on the basis of action so far taken by the Government.

3. He further states that some of the applicants are already considered by DPC to be fit for promotion.

4. For enabling the applicants to pursue the cause before the Government, O.A is disposed of as withdrawn.

DATE : 15/1/2016

CORAM :

Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Honble Shri P. N. Dixit, M(A)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K. R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Neelima Gohad

CPO/PO. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to O.A is disposed of as withdrawn.

*(Handwritten mark)*

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, Jc)  
Chairman

*m*

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.01.2019

O.A 578 & 584/2018

**DATE:** 15/1/2019  
**CORAM:**  
 Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)  
 Honble Shri P.N. Dixit, M(A)  
**APPEARANCE:**  
 Ms. Madhavi Ayyapan  
 Associate for the Applicant  
 Ms. Swati Manchekar  
 Associate for the Respondent's  
 15/02/2019

Shri A.A Gaikwad ... Applicant  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Ms Madhavi Ayyapan, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O adj to 15.2.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N<sup>l</sup> Dixit)  
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J)  
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL  
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

I-N

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 15.01.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.429 of 2018 in O.A.No.883 of 2017</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra &amp; Ors. .....Applicants(Org. Respd)</p> <p>Versus P.D. Nagpurkar ...Respondents.(Org. Appl)</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule submitted that affidavit-in-reply to the O.A. will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission in both O.A. and M.A.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.01.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- vv (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

# IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

IN

Original Application No. \_\_\_\_\_ of 20 \_\_\_\_\_

## FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

15.01.2019

C.A 1/2019 in O.A 178/2017

Shri S.C Kamble ... Applicant  
 Vs.  
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri V.V Joshi, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. List the C.A before D.B for hearing today.



Sd/-  
**(A.H Joshi, J.)**  
**Chairman**

Akn

15/1/19

Coram - Hon'ble Justice A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

Shri V.V. Joshi Adv for the applicant -  
 Miss. Savita Suryavanshi P.O. for the respondents  
 CA before DB for hearing today.

+