

**M.A. No. 277/2020 in O.A. No. 26/2020**  
(Sanjay D. Salunke Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Yogesh H. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri D.A. Madke, learned Advocate for respondent No. 19. None appeared for respondent Nos. 4 to 18.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
3. Considering the facts and circumstances, time is granted.
4. S.O. to 07.07.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. No. 37/2019 in O.A. No. 230/2015**  
(Dr. Dilip R. Tandale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed only on behalf of respondent No. 1.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
4. In the facts and circumstances, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.
5. S.O. to 15.07.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. No. 36/2019 in O.A. No. 229/2015**  
(Dr. Bhaskar S. Borgaonkar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**  
(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri P.R. Tandale, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed only on behalf of respondent No. 1.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
4. In the facts and circumstances, time is granted for filing affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.
5. S.O. to 15.07.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**C.P. No. 02/2016 in O.A. No. 344/2014**

**(The Association of Technical Assistant Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request and by consent of both the parties, S.O. to 22.07.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 501 OF 2020**  
**(Dr. Prashant B. Shamkuwar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.G. Pingle, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed only on behalf of respondent No. 1.
3. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 2.
4. Record shows that since long the matter is pending for filing affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2.
5. In the facts and circumstances, time is granted as a last chance for filing affidavit in reply of respondent No. 2.
6. S.O. to 15.07.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 1057 OF 2019**  
(Jivan B. Thosar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Swapnil Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Record shows that affidavit in reply is filed on behalf of respondent Nos. 2 & 3.
3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that he does not want to file rejoinder affidavit.
4. Considering the fact and circumstances, the matter is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission on 19.07.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 488 OF 2013**  
**(Dr. Anil R. Joshi & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

(This matter is placed before the Single Bench  
due to non-availability of Division Bench.)

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Milind Patil, learned Advocate for the applicants and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicants, S.O. to 07.07.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**M.A. No. 84/2021 in O.A. St. No. 263/2021**

**(Raju P. Korde Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**Per :- Standing directions of Hon'ble Chairperson,  
M.A.T., Mumbai-**

1. Shri M.D. Gitte, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents, are present.
2. Circulation is granted. Issue notices to the respondents in M.A., returnable on 20.07.2021. The case be listed for admission hearing on 20.07.2021.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and a separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation / notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation / notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988 and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with Affidavit of compliance in the Registry as far as possible before the returnable date fixed as above. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

**I/c REGISTRAR**



**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.264 OF 2020  
(Sunilkumar R. RathiVs. State of Maha.&Ors.)**

**CORAM :Hon'bleShri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard arguments advanced by ShriA.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant,Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2, Shri V.M. Vibhute, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3 and also heard arguments advanced by Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4 substantially.

2. At this stage, learned Presenting Officer submits that he will seek instructions as regards whether more documents are available pertaining to the impugned transfer order of the applicant, and as well as, transfer order of the respondent No. 4.

3. In view of the same, S.O. to 17.06.2021. The present case be treated as part heard.

**MEMBER (J)**

ORAL ORDERS 14.6.2021-HDD

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.20 OF 2021**  
**(Ramraje S. Chandane Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Ms. Preeti R. Wankhade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents placed on record the copy of order dated 11.05.2021 passed by the Respondent No.2. This order is in continuation of order dated 28.04.2021 in respect of revocation of impugned suspension order and reinstatement. The said order is taken on record and marked as document 'X-1' for the purpose of identification.

3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that in view of this order nothing remains in the matter.

4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that revocation of suspension order does not amount to quashment and therefore, the Applicant wants to pursue the matter.

5. In view of above, the case is fixed for hearing at the stage of admission.

6. S.O. to 30.06.2021.

**MEMBER (J)**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.197 OF 2021**  
**(Vidyulata R. Gaikwad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

**CORAM : Shri V.D. Dongre, Member (J)**

**DATE : 14.06.2021**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the Applicant has already made representation dated 09.06.2021 to the Respondent No.1. i.e. the District Collector, Ahmednagar for cancellation of deputation order and reposting at Ahmednagar on the medical ground of her husband. Learned Advocate for the Applicant placed on record the said representation. The same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. The said presentation is made during the pendency of this Original Application.

4. The Respondents to consider the said representation at the earliest.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks time for filing affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondents. Time granted.

//2//

O.A.197/2021

6. At the request made by leaned P.O. for the Respondents, S.O. to 12.07.2021 for filing affidavit-in-reply.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDERS 14.06.2021