

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 14.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.511 of 2019</p> <p>J. H. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed counter Affidavit on behalf of the Respondent No.2 in terms of order passed by this Tribunal on 07.10.2019 to throw light to find out whether the Applicant is Group-C employee. Affidavit and documents annexed thereto are taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 18.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

Date : 14.10.2019.

O.A.No.273 of 2019

S. A. PatilApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard the Applicant in person and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged communication dated 16.05.2018 whereby he is reprimanded on the basis of alleged default.

3. Respondent Nos.2, 3 and 4 have filed their reply but Respondent No.1 who had issued impugned communication reprimanding the Applicant has not filed reply.

4. The Applicant in person has pointed out that neither D.E. was initiated nor any show cause notice was issued to him.

5. Learned P.O. also fairly concedes that no D.E. was initiated against the Applicant.

6. It seems that by letter dated 05.01.2018, Respondent No.2 i.e. Director General of Police sent a letter to Additional Chief Secretary, Home stating that while investigating crime no.19/2009 for the offence u/s 302, 307, 120B, 34, 201, 212 of I.P.C., the Applicant deliberately kept lacuna in respect of accused Udaysingh Patil. The DGP, therefore, asked Additional Chief Secretary to initiate D.E. against the Applicant as he was retiring on 31.05.2018.

7. Perusal of impugned order dated 16.05.2018 reveals that there is a reference of letter dated 05.01.2018 in communication. Thus, prima-facie it appears that on the basis of letter dated 05.01.2018, communication of reprimanding the Applicant has been issued by the Government.

8. As stated above, the Government has also not filed Affidavit-in-Reply to justify the impugned order, reprimanding the Applicant.

9. In view of above, Respondent No.1 is directed to file Affidavit and to explain in what circumstances, the impugned communication dated 16.05.2018 has been issued to the Applicant.

10. 5.O. to 22.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.10.2019.

O.A.No.311 of 2019

V. N. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri G. A. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.1 and same is adopted on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.

3. Two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.

4. S.O. to 07.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 14.10.2019.

O. A. No.1015 of 2019

S. D. Jadhav

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 13.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 14.10.2019.

O. A. No.1014 of 2019

D. G. WaghApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 13.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Date : 14.10.2019.

O. A. No.1013 of 2019

S. B. Metkar

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.11.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 13.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(I)

vsm

Date : 14.10.2019.

O.A.No.1009 of 2019

J. P. Biradar & Ors.Applicants

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 22.10.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Date : 14.10.2019.

O.A.No.889 & 890 of 2019

M. G. Kamble

D. R. Gaikwad

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. In the present matters, the Applicants have challenged the transfer order dated 31.05.2019 and the matter is for filing reply.
3. However, today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has pointed out that the Dean, J. J. Hospital, Mumbai by his letter dated 20.07.2019 ^{by letter} ~~passed~~ Respondent No.2 to cancel the transfer orders in view of paucity of pharmacists in the hospital. He has pointed out that the Hon'ble Minister by his letter dated 31.07.2019 has also given directions for passing appropriate orders. Those letters are taken on record and marked as letters "X" and "Y".
4. Thus, it seems that the issue of cancellation of impugned transfer orders is under consideration of the Government.
5. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondent No.2 and apprise the Tribunal so that Original Applications can be disposed of expeditiously or he may file reply on the next date, if he wants to contest the Original Applications on merit.
6. S.O. to 22.10.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.10.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.337 of 2018</p> <p>S. G. JagadeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Shahzad Khajotia holding for Shri Y. R. Shah, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 and 2 and Shri. S. G. Walhrkar, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3 has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of Respondent No.3. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 07.11.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.10.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No.558 of 2019 in O.A.No.1009 of 2019</p> <p>J. P. Biradar & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the case is not required to be decided separately.</p> <p>4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed, subject to Applicants paying requisite Court Fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>5. M.A.No.558/2019 is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.10.2019.

O.A.No.548 of 2019

A. S. Banerjee

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today, learned C.P.O. has filed Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of the Respondent No.2. It is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 07.11.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.905 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.D Sorte ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she has received no instructions and would obtain the same on priority.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.904 of 2019</u></p> <p>C.T. Tikekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she has received no instructions and would obtain the same on priority.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 925/2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.M Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.J Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that he has filed R.T.I for seeking additional details.</p> <p>3. At his request, adjourned to 11.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

I N

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>R.A 20/2019 in O.A 1137/2018</u></p> <p>Shri Deepak J. Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 11.11.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 11.11.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1079/2018</u></p> <p>Shri D.M Atre ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O submits that as per instructions received by her, she proposed to file detailed affidavit in reply and seek three weeks' time.</p> <p>3. At the request of learned P.O adjourned to 18.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Tribunal's orders 14.10.2019</p>
	<p><u>O.A 1134/2017</u></p> <p>Shri Moreshwar L. Pendam ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri J.N Kamble, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned advocate for the applicant, adjourned to 16.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.10.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 781/2016</u></p> <p>Smt M.R Ghate ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant submits that he proposes to file rejoinder to the amended portion of the affidavit in reply filed by the Respondents.</p> <p>3. At his request, adjourned to 11.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>Date : 14/10/19</p> <p>Coram : Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit <VIC-A> Hon'ble Shri A. P. Kulkarni <MJ></p> <p>Apperance : Shri/Smt. K. P. Jagdale Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. P. Dole C.P.O. / P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>Heard order passed in Tribunal column. O.A. is disposed off. no order as to costs</p> <p style="text-align: center;">2</p>	<p>Date : 14.10.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.1056 of 2018 (Subject : Deemed date of promotion)</p> <p>V.L. Hate Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned P.O. for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Applicant has filed his O.A. for granting him deemed date of promotion on the post of Desk Officer. The Applicant, as per affidavit-in-reply filed by Respondent No.1, has been promoted to the post of Desk Officer by the order dated 25.09.2017 and he has been given regular date of 03.09.2014.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that as this order is subsequent development, O.A. may be disposed off with liberty to file fresh against impugned order.</p> <p>4. D.A. is therefore disposed off accordingly with liberty as sought. Delay of three months should not come in the way of filing fresh O.A. No order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.277 of 2019 in O.A. No.465 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.K. Mukherjee ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant is present. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that she would propose to seek instructions for filing sur-rejoinder, if any.</p> <p>4. At her request S.O. to 22.10.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>MAs. No.192 to197 of 2019 in OAs. No.371 to 376 of 2019</u></p> <p>V.T. Sawarkar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs: The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Prachi Hendre, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.V. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. These MAs are for condonation of delay. Ld. PO submits that she would seek instructions regarding filing sur-rejoinder and requests for time.</p> <p>3. By consent S.O. to 22.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.791 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.G. Rajput ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri N.S. Kulkarni, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that objections raised by the office would be removed within two weeks and submits that he is seeking information under RTI and the same is still awaited.</p> <p>3. Ld. PO submits that reply would be filed within two weeks.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.11.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memeranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's ordars	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.515 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.T. Nehete .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she has received para wise remarks and would file reply within one week.</p> <p>3. At her request adjourned to 22.10.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.510 of 2019</u></p> <p>U.S. Waval ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place the above matter for final hearing on 18.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.398 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. R.N. Saapkale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files short affidavit on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 8.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.386 of 2019</u></p> <p>Dr. D.R. Jadhav ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that she has received parawise remarks and ensures that reply would be filed within a week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 22.10.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N.'Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.720 of 2019</u></p> <p>M.s. Waghmare & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Pradeep Rane, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants submits that objections would be removed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 4.11.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.10.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>