







FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.326 of 2020 with M.A.No.182 of 2020</p> <p>A.S. RautApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Mr. P.D. Pise, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. Suhan Deokar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.2 to 9.</p> <p>2. Pronounced the order on maintainability. Matter is fixed on 30.09.2021.</p> <p> (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>



FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.30 of 2021 in O.A. No.970 of 2014</u></p> <p>Dr. V.D. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>02.09.2021</u></p> <p><u>M.A 182/2020 in O.A 326/2020</u></p> <p>A.S Raut ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri P.D Pise, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri Suhan Deokar, learned counsel with Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondent no. 1.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the Respondent Mr Deokar, has produced the Office Memorandum dated 2.8.2016 issued by DoPT in respect of Section 18(1) of delegating the Appellate Powers.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O is directed to take instructions from the concerned department, may be G.A.D whether this Office Memorandum dated 2.8.2016 is adopted by the State of Maharashtra.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O submits that by order dated 23.2.2021, Mr J.B Patil, Deputy Commissioner, State Transport (Administration) has issued the order of admonition against the Respondent no. 1. Same is taken on record and marked as Exh. 'A'. Another letter dated 3.3.2021 issued by Dr T.S Alwaris, Regional Transport Officer, actually admonishing Respondent is taken on record and marked as Exh. 'B'.</p> <p>5. Learned counsel for the applicant takes objection for taking this affidavit in reply on record. The affidavit in reply is taken on record with a view to give maximum opportunity to the Respondent-State to put its say.</p> <p> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Alkn</p>



FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.114 of 2021 in O.A. No.982 of 2019</u></p> <p>R.K. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO files affidavit dated 13.9.2021 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 5.10.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.09.2021</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 421/2021</u></p> <p>Shri A.B Kotwal ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Asawari Ghate, holding for Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that the applicant has been acquitted by the Sessions Court by order dated 1.9.2021 in Session Case No. 353/2021. She states that as the applicant has been acquitted there is no legal impediment for the applicant being sent on training.</p> <p>3. Learned counsel for the applicant further states that the applicant has filed representation dated 7.9.2021.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O prays for time to take decision on the representation dated 7.9.2021 filed by the applicant.</p> <p>5. S.O to 28.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.562 of 2021</u></p> <p>J.N. Shaikh ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted on 20.8.2021 to continue till 21.9.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.653 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.K. Shelar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Asawari Ghate holding for Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Interim relief granted on 2.9.2021 to continue till 21.9.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.9.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

S.M. Jamadar

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The applicant has retired on 31.3.2014 and seeking benefits of Time Bound Promotion. However, there is delay of 7 years and 4 months in filing the above OA. The above MA is filed for condoning the delay.


3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.


4. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 12.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. with MA. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.



7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
14.9.2021


(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
14.9.2021

(sgj)

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.33 of 2021 in O.A. No.148 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.D. Mane @ Jankar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Y.B. Lengare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO states that the order dated 5.3.2021 passed in the above OA is partly complied with in so far as treating the period of suspension is concerned by treating the same as suspension period as ordered in para 11 of the order. SDO has passed order dated 4.5.2021 (Exh.N pg.49) in view of Rule 72(1)(b) and (6) of the MCS (Joining Time, Foreign Service and Payments during Suspension, Dismissal and Removal) Rules, 1981,</p> <p>3. However, as far as para 10 of the order is concerned, we find that provisional pension is paid till November, 2020 and proposal for releasing the provisional pension from 1.12.2020 to 31.5.2021 has been sent on 9.9.2021.</p> <p>4. Ld. PO to explain this inordinate delay by filing affidavit in reply.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 28.9.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021 (sgj) </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021 </div> </div>

R.M. Sawant & 48 Ors.

..Applicants


Vs.


The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Vivek B. Rane holding for Shri B.S. Shinde, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
14.9.2021


(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
14.9.2021

(sgi)

A.P. Kamalkar

..Applicant


Vs.


The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri Anant Vadgaonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.



2. This MA is filed for condoning delay of 478 days in filing OA.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission in MA returnable on 20.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. with MA. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
14.9.2021


(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
14.9.2021

(sgj)


FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.5 of 2021 in T.A. No.1 of 2020</u></p> <p>K.C. Pawar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The respondents are directed to file detailed affidavit in reply as to why action is not taken against the contemnor and also to explain the meaning of word "नेमणूक" in English.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 21.9.2021:</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>



R.D. AvhadApplicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. Akash K. Kotecha, learned Advocate i/b. Mr. C.R. Nagare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Today called out and taken on board though adjourned earlier.
3. The learned Counsel submits that notices are required to be issued to newly added Respondents No.4, 5 and 6.
4. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court fees to be paid, if not already paid.
5. Issue notice before admission returnable on Respondents No.4, 5 and 6 on 23.11.2021.
6. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondent intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case may be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
7. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
8. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
9. Matter is already adjourned to 23.11.2021.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.09.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.136 of 2012</p> <p>P.D. DorkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Surbhi Agrawal, learned Advocate holding for Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.6 & 7 and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The learned Advocate Ms. Surbhi Agrawal appearing for Mr. A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the applicant submits Mr. Desai is appearing before the Hon'ble High Court and hence he is not available and therefore matter be adjourned by a week.</p> <p>3. We make it clear that it is the High Court remanded matter where the challenge is given to the advertisement of 2011. 35 Respondents are appointed on various dates from 2012 to 2013 onwards. They are holding the degree in Engineering and are already working with the Respondent No.1, since 2012. Under such circumstances, the applicant is directed to give application on record for adjournment.</p> <p>4. In view of above, matter is adjourned to 24.09.2021 at 12.00 noon.</p> <p> (Medha Gadgil) Member(A)</p> <p> (Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p> <p>[P.T.O.]</p>

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.09.2021

O.A.No.912 of 2015

P.D. DorkarApplicant
Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. Asawari A. Ghate, learned Advocate holding for Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.38.

2. Mr. M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Respondents No.19, 44, 27 & 31 is absent.

3. The learned Advocate Ms. Asawari A. Ghate submits that the applicant wants to engage Senior Counsel Mr. Sakhare in view that he has appeared in other matters, however, Mr. Sakhare is not available today.

4. Adjourned to 21.09.2021.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.09.2021

O.A.No.919 of 2015

S.T. Khade

....Applicant


Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Mr. M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents and Mr. Bhushan A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3.

2. By consent adjourned to 07.10.2021.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member(A)


(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

prk



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 499/2020</u></p> <p>Shri S.M Pawar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> None present for the applicant. Heard Mrs Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. Affidavit in reply has already been filed. Original Application is admitted. Place for final hearing on 26.10.2021. <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>14.09.2021</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 107/2021</u></p> <p>R.G Palande ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O states that during the course of the day affidavit in reply will be filed. Copy of the affidavit in reply is served to the learned counsel for the applicant.</p> <p>3. At the request, learned counsel for the applicant, adjourned to 28.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson </p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.09.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 317/2021</u></p> <p>V.D Rajigare ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosle, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Affidavit in reply has already been filed.</p> <p>3. Admit. Place for final hearing on 12.10.2021 with liberty to file affidavit in rejoinder.</p> <p> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p> (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>14.09.2021</u></p> <p><u>O.A 264/2016</u></p> <p>C.B Sawant ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned counsel for the applicant states that he has received copy of affidavit in reply and prays for time to file affidavit in rejoinder. Time granted.</p> <p>3. S.O to 20.10.2021</p> <p> (Medha Gadgil) Member (A)</p> <p> (Mridula R. Bhatkar J.) Chairperson</p> <p>Akn</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

C.A. No.41 of 2021 in O.A. No.1052 of 2016

Vaibhav S. Patil & Anr. ..Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.


2. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.

3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 20.10.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.


4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.


(Medha Gadgil)
Member (A)
14.9.2021

(sgj)


(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
14.9.2021

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>CA No.40/2021 in OA No.1033/2014 (OA.438/14-Nagpur)</p> <p>V.P. Ingole @ V.V. Shelke ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal with Shri A.R. Kori, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. There is partial compliance of the order as the applicant has been reinstated in service.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 21.9.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021 </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021 </p> <p>(sgl)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders


Tribunal's orders


CA No.39/2021 in OA No.1032/2014 (OA.681/13-Nagpur)

J.G. Rohankar ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents



Heard Shri R.G. Panchal with Shri A.R. Kori, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.



2. There is partial compliance of the order as the applicant has been reinstated in service.
3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.
4. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 21.9.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.




(Medhal Gadgil)
Member (A)
14.9.2021


(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson
14.9.2021

(sgj)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA No.38/2021 in OA No.1031/2014 (OA.680/13-Nagpur)</u></p> <p>S.S. Kinhekar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal with Shri A.R. Kori, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. There is partial compliance of the order as the applicant has been reinstated in service.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 21.9.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021 </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA No.37/2021 in OA No.1030/2014 (OA.679/13-Nagpur)</u></p> <p>M.D. Sundarkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal with Shri A.R. Kori, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. There is partial compliance of the order as the applicant has been reinstated in service.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 21.9.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021 (sgj) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021 </p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>CA No.36/2021 in OA No.1029/2014 (OA.678/13-Nagpur)</u></p> <p>R.S. Bidkar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.G. Panchal with Shri A.R. Kori, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. There is partial compliance of the order as the applicant has been reinstated in service.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fees to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission in CA returnable on 21.9.2021. The respondents are directed to file reply.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of C.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Meha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021 (sgj) </p> <p style="text-align: center;">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021 </p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.24 of 2021 in O.A. No.734 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.H. Gaikwad ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.V. Bhosle, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 28.9.2021.</p> <p align="center">  (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 14.9.2021 </p> <p align="center">  (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 14.9.2021 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>