

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/2019</u></p> <p>COBAM: <u>P.N. Dixit C.V.C. A2</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Just: <u>Joshi (Chairman)</u></p> <p>Hon'ble Sit: <u>A.D. Karanjkar (Member) A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>S.P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>MA is allowed</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Mr. D.K.</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.95 o 2017 in O.A. No.176 of 2017</u></p> <p>B.N. Wakchaure ..Applicant</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for condoning the delay in filing the OA.</p> <p>3. For the reasons stated therein, MA is allowed and the delay is condoned.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.D. Karanjkar) (P.N. Dixit)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">14.6.2019 14.6.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others
..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 14/6/19</p> <p>CORAM: P.N. Dixit (V/C) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri B.D. Patil (M.J)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. S.B. Talekar with Ms. Madhvi Ayappan Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.F.O.P.O. for the Respondents 1, 2 & 3</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u> Shri B.D. Desai ADV for re Res. no. 4. Shri K.R. Jagdale ADV for re Res. no. 24, 75, 82 & 97 on a note disposed of accordingly.</p>	<p>14.06.2019</p> <p><u>M.A 300/2019 in O.A No 445/2019</u></p> <p>Shri Madan T. Metake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar with Ms Madhvi Ayappan, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1, 2 and 3, Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4 and Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for Res. Nos 24, 75, 82 & 97.</p> <p>2. Misc Application is to serve the notice on the Respondents by email.</p> <p>3. M.P.S.C has forwarded the necessary email address of the Respondents. Learned advocate for the applicants is directed to make service by email.</p> <p>4. M.A stands disposed of accordingly.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.D Karanjakar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders 14.06.2019
<p>DATE: <u>14/6/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: P.N. Dixit (V/C) Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Shri A.D. Karanjakar (M.J.)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri S.B. Talekar with Ms Madhvi Ayappan for the Respondents</p> <p>Shri S.P. Manchekar C.P.O. for the Respondents 1, 2 & 3</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>28.6.19.</u> Shri A.A. Desai Adv for Res. No. 4 Shri K.R. Jagdale Adv for Res. Nos. 24, 75, 82 & 97</p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A No 445/2019</u></p> <p>Shri Madan T. Metake ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.B Talekar with Ms Madhvi Ayappan, learned advocate for the applicants, Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1, 2 and 3, Shri A.A Desai, learned advocate for Respondent no. 4 and Shri K.R Jagdale. learned advocate for Res. Nos 24, 75, 82 & 97.</p> <p>2. S.O to 28.6.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (A.D Karanjakar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman(A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

T N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.897 of 2015</p> <p>S.M. SagvekarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents No.1 &2 and Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.5. 2. Today the matter is fixed for final hearing. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant for quite sometime. During the course of hearing, it transpired that the Applicant is in fact seeking promotion to the post of Senior Clerk on the ground that his junior Shri Dilip C. Waghmare (Respondent No.3) was given promotion on the post of Senior Clerk. Thus, basically substantial relief claimed is of the promotion though the prayer is of directions to assign deemed date of promotion. 3. In fact the Applicant is not at all promoted to the post of Senior Clerk and therefore question of deemed date of promotion strictly speaking does not survive. It is thus quite clear from the pleadings and on hearing that the Applicant is seeking promotion to the post of Senior Clerk. 4. In view of above, matter being of promotion pertains to Division Bench as per office order of allotment of subjects. 5. Registrar is directed to examine the matter and to place the O.A. before appropriate Bench. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

T N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.184 of 2019 with O.A.No.195 of 2019</p> <p>S.A. Satam (O.A.184/2019) V.G. Vichare (O.A.195/2019)Applicants.</p> <p>Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.184/2019 and learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.195/2019, Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.195/2019 and learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.184/2019, Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar has pointed out that in O.A.No.239 of 2019 which is arising from the similar situation about posting on promotion the reference was made by this Tribunal for seeking appropriate directions as to whether the matter pertains to Single Bench or Division Bench. He has pointed out that on the office note submitted by the Registrar, the Hon'ble Chairman has been pleased to place the matter i.e. O.A.No.239 of 2019 before the Division Bench.</p> <p>3. In view of the order dated 10.06.2019 passed by the Hon'ble Chairman for placing the matter before the Hon'ble Division Bench, Registrar is directed to examine these two Original Applications i.e. O.A.No.184 of 2019 with O.A.No.195 of 2019 and to seek appropriate directions.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 24.06.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2019</p> <p align="center">M.A.No.164 of 2019 in O.A.No.184 of 2019 with O.A.No.195 of 2019</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Applicants (Org. Respd.)</p> <p>Versus S.A. Satam (O.A.184/2019) V.G. Vichare (O.A.195/2019) ...Respondents (Applis)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Org. Respondents) and Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Respondent (Org. Applicant) in O.A.No.184 /2019. Shri M.D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant in O.A.No.195/2019 and learned Advocate for the Respondent No.3 in O.A.No.184/2019.</p> <p>2. This M.A. was filed for extension of time to implement the interim relief passed by this Tribunal on 05.03.2019. However, today learned P.O. fairly stated that the interim relief order dated 05.03.2019 has been already implemented and she is not pressing any orders on this M.A.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, M.A.No.164 of 2019 is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2019</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.426 of 2019</p> <p>P.D. MuleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.V. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate Shri M.B. Kadam has pointed out that the though Tribunal has granted interim relief against the recovery from pension by order dated 26.04.2019, still deductions are continued from the pension of the Applicant. He has shown the extract of pass-book of saving account to show the deductions. 3. Prima facie, there is dis-obedience of the order passed by this Tribunal and Respondent No.5 is liable for contempt of the order passed by the Tribunal. 4. Today, the matter is for filing of reply but learned P.O. sought time to file reply. He also sought time to take instructions from Respondent No.5 about the continuous deductions from the pension. 5. Learned P.O. is directed to file reply and also to appraise the Tribunal as to how the deductions are again continued despite the interim relief granted by the Tribunal. 6. S.O. to 19.06.2019. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2019</p> <p>O.A.No.843 of 2017</p> <p>A.R. AmbekarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar for the Applicant seeks permission to annex one of the impugned order dated 07.07.2017 which remained to be placed on record inadvertently. There is specific prayer to set aside order dated 07.07.2017, ^{All} on that he wants to place order dated 07.07.2017 on record.</p> <p>3. Allowed to annex the order dated 07.07.2017. It be annexed at page No.19(A). Matter is adjourned to 05.07.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

A.O. No. ... of 20

...
... of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	
objections and Registrar's orders	

O.A. No.890 of 2018

B.N. Nagre ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent repeatedly.
- Place the above matter for dismissal on 18.6.2019.

DATE : 14/6/2019
SIGNED : P.N. Dixit (V-Chairman)
Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

REMARKS:
Applicant as well as
advocate for the applicant are
absent repeatedly.

(sgl)

...
... for the Respondents
... 18/6/2019 for
...

Handwritten initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

O.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Interim application No. _____ of 20____

EXHIBIT CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	
objections and Registrar's orders	

O.A. No.741 of 2018

M.L. Bramhane ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Id. CPO seeks adjournment till 18.6.2019 for filing reply.
3. S.O. to 18.6.2019.

DATE: 14/6/2019
 FOR AM: Shri P.N. Dixit (V/CA)
 Vice-Chairman (A)

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman (A)
 14.6.2019

FOR AM: M.B. Kadam Ld. Adv.
 Learned Advocate for Shri J.N. Kamble
S.P. Manchekar
 Learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents
 18/6/2019

(sg)

[Handwritten signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Writ Petition No. _____ of 20____

IN

Writ Petition No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.	Tribunal's orders
Assurance, Tribunal's orders or	
Directions and Registrar's orders	

MA,29/19 in MA.28/19 in MA.626/18 in OA.989/18

R.Y. Kadam ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant is present in person. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA is for condoning the delay in filing MA for restoration.

3. For the reasons stated therein MA is allowed and delay is condoned.

DATE : 14/6/2019

FORAM : Smt. P.N. Dixit (V/C-A)
 (Hr. Justice Smt. A. H. Bhat (Chairman))

Sd/- _____
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman (A)
 14.6.2019

APPEARANCE:

Applicant is present in person.

(sgl)

for the Applicant

for the Respondent/s
S.P. Manchekar

MA is allowed.

[Handwritten signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Writ Petition (D.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.989 of 2018

R.Y. Kadam ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant is present in person. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed within a week.
- S.O. to 20.6.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

DATE : 14/6/2019

FORAM : Shri P. N. Dixit C.K. Ad
Chairman Justice Shri A. S. Patil (Chairman)

(sg)

APPEARANCE

BY : Applicant's presence
PERSON

BY : S.P. Manchekar
for the Respondents

DATE : 20/6/2019

Handwritten signature

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MA/STANDIA No. _____ of 20

IN

Continuation Application No _____ of 20

VERAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.	
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or objections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders

MA.31/19 in MA.30/19 in MA.627/18 in OA.990/18

V.S. Kadam ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant is present in person. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. This MA is for condoning the delay in filing MA for restoration.

3. For the reasons stated therein MA is allowed and delay is condoned.

DATE: 14.6.2019
CORAM: P.N. Dixit (V/C-A) |
Himanshu Shrivastava (Chairman)

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

APPEARANCE:
Applicant: Present in person (sg)

Presented by: S.P. Manchekar
for the Respondents

ADVICE: MA is allowed.

[Signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Writ Petition No. _____ of 20

Continuation application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Attendance, Tribunal's orders or objections and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.990 of 2018

V.S. Kadam ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant is present in person. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

- Ld. CPO states that reply will be filed within a week.
- S.O. to 20.6.2019.

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman (A)
 14.6.2019

DATE: 14/6/2019
 FORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)
 Mr. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
Applicant is present in person.

Signature of the Applicant:
S.P. Manchekar
 Signature for the Respondents:
20/6/2019

(sg)

[Handwritten signature]

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____

of 20 _____

DISTRICT _____

..... Applicant/s

Addressed to

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.324 of 2018

D.A. Dalvi ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 31.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

DATE: 14/6/2019
FORAM: Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)
Hon'ble Justice Shri A. B. Joshi (Chairman)

(sgj)

APPEARANCE:
Shri K.R. Jagdale

For the Applicant

Ms. S.P. Manchekar
For the Respondent/s

ADJUDICATED ON: 31/7/2019.

[Signature]

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Memorandum C.A. No. _____ of 20

Original Application No _____ of 20

PARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.	Tribunal's orders
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or	
Directors and Registrar's orders	

O.A. No.389 of 2019

S.A. Jaykar ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant is absent.
 Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer
 for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO seeks four weeks time to file reply.
3. S.O. to 12.7.2019.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman (A)
 14.6.2019

DATE: 14/6/2019
 SIGNATURE: P.N. Dixit (V/C-A)
 (Shri A. H. Jaisankar (Chairman))

(sgj)

APPEARANCE:
 Applicant and Advocate
 Applicant is absent

S.P. Manchekar
 for the Respondents

DATE: 12/7/2019

Handwritten initials

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Case No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.385 of 2019

S.S. Kadam & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri A.A. Gharte, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 to 5 and the same is taken on record.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to circulate.

DATE : 14/6/2019

FORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (VLC-A) |
Chief Justice Shri A. H. Jeehi (Chairman)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: A.A. Gharte

(sgj)

Appearance for the Applicant:

For Respondent: S.P. Manchekar

Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents

Admitted to: Admit

To come up for hearing in due course with liberty to circulate.

sgj

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MEMORANDA No. _____ of 20

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.1058 of 2018

J.R. Ingavale ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri D.D. Rananaware, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 and the same is taken on record.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-
 (P.N. Dixit)
 Vice-Chairman (A)
 14.6.2019

(sgj)

DATE : 14/6/2019
 CORAM Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C-A) |
 Shri A. N. Jadhav (Chairman)

FOR THE APPLICANT:
 Shri D. Rananaware
 FOR THE RESPONDENTS:
 Ms. S.P. Manchekar
 ORDER:
 Admit To come up
 for final hearing in due course
 with liberty to file rejoinder, if
 any.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./M.A./O.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. 945 of 2018

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.945 of 2018

S.R. Nikam ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.1 to 4 and Shri S.Yadav, learned Advocate for Respondent No.5.

2. Ld. CPO produces a copy of GR dated 5.3.2019. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Relevant portion of the same reads as under:

“(ii) दिनांक ०९.०९.२००६ ते या शासन निर्णयाच्या दिनांकापर्यंत दरम्यानच्या कालावधीमध्ये सेवानिवृत्त होऊन २ वर्षांपेक्षा कमी कालावधी झालेल्या ग्रंथपालांना पुन्हा सेवेत सामावून घ्यावे. त्याअनुषंगाने त्यांना देय असणारे सर्व आवश्यक लाभ देण्यात यावेत.”

3. Ld. CPO states that within two weeks she will make a statement regarding implementation of the same and provide the time frame in which the compliance will be made.

4. Ld. Advocate for the applicant states that salary after reinstatement has not been paid to the applicant. Respondents No.2 & 3 are directed to remain present or depute a responsible officer or make positive statement on the next date.

5. S.O. to 28.6.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

(sgj)

DATE : 14/6/2019

FORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (Vice-Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

For Applicant: S.L. Bhapkar

Advocate for the Applicant:

Shri S.P. Manchekar

Advocate for the Respondents:

Shri S.Y. Yadav Ld. Adv. for

Respondent No. 5.

DATE : 28/6/2019. Steno

copy and hamdast is

granted.

(Handwritten signature)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

MAHARASHTRA No. _____ of 20

Final application No. 944 of 2018

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
appearance, Tribunal's orders or
objections and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

O.A. No.944 of 2018

V.V. Gholap ..Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri S.L. Bhapkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO produces a copy of GR dated 5.3.2019. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Relevant portion of the same reads as under:

“(ii) दिनांक 09.09.2006 ते या शासन निर्णयाच्या दिनांकापर्यंत दरम्यानच्या कालावधीमध्ये सेवानिदृत्त होऊन २ वर्षांपेक्षा कमी कालावधी झालेल्या ग्रंथपालांना पुन्हा सेवेत सामावून घ्यावे. त्या अनुषंगाने त्यांना देय असणारे सर्व सेवाविषयक लाभ देण्यात यावेत.”

DATE : 14/6/2019
CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C-A)
Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
S.L. Manchekar

for the Applicant

S.P. Manchekar
for the Respondents

12/6/2019.

Steno copy and hamdast is
provided.

B.E.

3. Ld. CPO states that within two weeks she will make a statement regarding implementation of the same and provide the time frame in which the compliance will be made.

4. S.O. to 28.6.2019. Steno copy and hamdast is granted. Ld. CPO is directed to communicate this order to the respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

(sgj)

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Tribunal Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

Applicant/s

Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer

Office Note, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
O.A. No.128 of 2019

S.L. Shete

..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

..Respondents

Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. CPO files reply on behalf of respondents no.1 and 2 and the same is taken on record.

3. Admit. To come up for final hearing in due course with liberty to circulate.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

DATE : 14/6/2019

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (V/C-A)
*in the presence of Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)

(sgl)

AFFIDAVIT:

By: C.T. Chandratre

For the Applicant

By: S.P. Manchekar

For the Respondent

By: Admin

To come up for final hearing
in due course with liberty to
circulate

STL

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.06.2019</p> <p>M.A.No.299 of 2019 in O.A.No.550 of 2019</p> <p>S.D. Patil & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. V.L. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.</p> <p>3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common and concurrent, application for leave to sue jointly is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Miscellaneous Application is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p>

Date : 14.06.2019

O.A.No.550 of 2019

S.D. Patil & Ors.Applicants.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. V.L. Gaikwad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer holding for Shri A.J. Chougue, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. Learned Advocate for the Applicant has pointed out that the Applicants were transferred to Kolhapur and Sangli by order dated 08.06.2018, but they were not relieved though the period of one year is over and as such the Applicants are seeking relief of implementation of transfer order dated 08.06.2018.
9. Learned P.O. is directed to explain and appraise the Tribunal as to why for the period of one year the Applicants are not been relieved so as to join the place where they are transferred.
10. S.O. to 09.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurnekar)
Member(J)

prk

Date : 14.06.2019

O.A.No.438 of 2019

S.B. BoradeApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.07.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 09.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurnekar)
Member(J)

prk

Date : 14.06.2019

O.A.No.544 of 2019

N.K. GaikwadApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S.M. Savagave, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.07.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report or affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 09.07.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurnekar)
Member(J)

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>14/6/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (V/CA) Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>HEARD : M.B. Kadam Ld. Adv. holding for Shri J.N. Kamble Ld.</p> <p>S.P. Manchekar CPO for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>18/6/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>RTI</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.741 of 2018</u></p> <p>M.L. Bramhane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.B. Kadam, learned Advocate holding for Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. CPO seeks adjournment till 18.6.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.6.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 14.6.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.890 of 2018

B.N. Nagre ..Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent repeatedly.
3. Place the above matter for dismissal on 18.6.2019.

DATE : 14/6/2019

CORAM : Shri P.N. Dixit (V/Ch)
 For the Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent repeatedly.

Send me
C.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to 18/6/2019 for dismissal.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
14.6.2019

(sgl)