

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.216 of 2019

DISTRICT: THANE

Shri Dayandeo Madhav Gavhane ,)
R/at.503/B, Kanta Smruti Apartment,)
Raj Nagar, Subhash Road, Dombivali (W),)
Dist. Thane.)...**Applicant**

Versus

1. The Commissioner, Women & Child Devlp.)
M.S., Pune-1, O/at. 28, Ranicha Bag, Near)
Old Circuit House, Pune -1.)
2. The Additional Treasury Officer (Pension),)
District Treasury Office, Thane, O/at Compound)
Of Thane Municipal Corporation, Court Naka,)
Thane -1,)...**Respondents**

Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, Advocate for Applicant.
Shri A.J. Chougule, Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 14.03.2019

JUDGMENT

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent

2. In the present O.A., the Applicant has challenged impugned order dated 19.01.2019 whereby the provisional pension of the Applicant has been stopped by the Respondent No.1.

3. The Applicant is retired as a Government servant. He stands retired on 29.2.2012. In the year 2011, he was suspended in contemplation of D.E. which could not be completed till date of his retirement. As such on the date of his retirement, the D.E. was pending. Thereafter, the D.E. was completed and the Enquiry officer has submitted the report on 25.07.2012 holding the Applicant guilty and the charges framed against him. After retirement, the Applicant was getting

Wadhwa

regular pension though in fact he was entitled to provisional pension only in view of the pendency of D.E. vis-a-vis Rule 130 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules, 1982. It seems that all the retiral regular benefits were also released to the Applicant without taking note of pending D.E. Though the inquiry officer submitted the report on 25.07.2012, no final decision has been taken by the Disciplinary Authority. Abruptly by impugned order dated 19.01.2019, the Respondents stopped the pension of the Applicant. It is on this background, the Applicant has filed the present O.A. The Applicant sought relief for direction to the Respondent No.1 to continue the payment of pension regularly.

4. On presentation of this O.A. notice was issued with specific stipulation that O.A. will be taken up for final disposal and the Respondents were directed to file reply.

5. The learned P.O. fairly stated that she has not received parawise comments or instructions from the Respondent No.1 despite her communication, e-mail and phone calls to the Respondent No.1.

6. In view of the facts of the present O.A. as disclosed above, the present matter can be disposed of with suitable direction.

7. At the very outset, it is pertinent to note that though enquiry officer has submitted its report on 25.07.2012, till date the period of more than 7 years are over but no final decision is taken by the Disciplinary Authority i.e. Respondent No.1. As such, inaction and lethargy on the part of the Respondents is obvious. The Applicant stands retired in 2012 and, therefore, the D.E. was required to be completed within six months or latest within one year in terms of various G.R.s issued by the Government from time to time. Regret to note that there is neither the compliance of the G.R. nor principles of law.

8. In the present matter, the Applicant has sought simple relief of direction to release the pension regularly. In fact, in view of pendency of

D.E., he was not entitled to receive regular pension. As per section 130 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Pension) Rules only provision pension was payable. However, due to carelessness on the part of the office of the Respondent No.1, all the retiral benefits seem to have been released as if there is no D.E. This action shows negligence in discharge of official duties.

9. The Respondent No.1 is, therefore, expected to take note of it and take suitable measures so that it should not happen again.

10. Be that as it may, as the enquiry officer has already submitted his report, now the Disciplinary Authority was required to pass final order in D.E. which has been delayed by 7 years. As per Rule 9 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules 1979, the Disciplinary Authority was required to pass final order, which he failed to take till date.

11. In view of above, the present O.A. deserved to be allowed partly with suitable direction. Hence the following order.

ORDER

- (A) The Original Application is allowed partly.
- (B) The impugned order dated 19.01.2019 is set aside.
- (C) The Respondent is directed to pass final order in D.E. as contemplated under Rule 9 of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline & Appeal) Rules, 1979 within six weeks from today by following due procedure of law. The order as the case may be, be communicated to the Applicant within a week thereafter.
- (D) The Respondent is further directed to continue the payment of provisional pension till passing of final order in D.E.
- (E) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.113 of 2019</p> <p>N. N. JagtapApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Gharate, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Though the relief claimed in the present O.A. is limited to the regularization of suspension period, on going through the O.A. it reveals that the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E. by order dated 20.10.2006 invoking the Rule 4(1) of the Maharashtra Civil Services (Discipline and Appeal) Rules, 1979. In the meantime, the D.E. was completed and the Enquiry Officer has submitted the report on 27.07.2010 exonerating the Applicant from all charges. Beside, the Applicant has been also acquitted in the Criminal Case and the judgment of acquittal has been confirmed by the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. Despite this position, the gratuity of the Applicant is withheld. It is not clear whether the provisional pension has been granted to the Applicant who stands retired on 30.05.2013.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

-2-

4. Shocking to know that the Enquiry Officer has submitted the report in 2010 but the Disciplinary Authority i.e. the Respondent No.3 failed to pass final order in D.E. though the period of 9 years is over. This is nothing but mal-administration and the Applicant has been deprived of his legitimate retiral dues only because of negligence, lethargy and inaction on part of the Respondents. This needs to be explained by the Respondent No.3

5. Till date, enough time is granted to the Respondents for filing reply but reply is not filed. Today also the learned P.D. for the Respondents sought time to file reply and to take instructions from the Respondent No.3.

6. In the present scenario, I do not think there is any need of filing reply and the matter can be disposed of quickly.

7. In view of above, the Respondent No.3 is directed to depute the responsible officer from his office to remain present in the Tribunal and to explain as to why the final order in D.E. is not passed till date.

8. Learned P.O. is directed to communicate the order to the Respondent No.3 to ensure the compliance.

9. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.

10. S.O. to 18.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.169 of 2019</p> <p>DR. S. J. SawantApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The issue in the present matter about implementation of order passed by the Government on 31.05.2018. The Applicant was not relieved as per direction in the order dated 31.05.2018. Therefore, he has filed the present O.A.</p> <p>3. On 28.02.2019, the Tribunal has passed the order giving two weeks time to Respondent Nos.4 and 5 to implement the order dated 31.05.2018 passed by the Government and to relieve the Applicant.</p> <p>4. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the Respondent Nos.4 has issued the relieving order on 08.03.2019 and, therefore, the present O.A. needs to be disposed of.</p> <p>5. In view of above, no cause of action survives and the Original Application is disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.561 of 2018</p> <p>D. P. AhirraoApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Anil Jaiswar holding for Shri R. G. Panchal, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the challenge is to the suspension order dated 10.05.2018.</p> <p>3. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has tendered the order dated 22.02.2019 which shows that suspension has been revoked and the Applicant has been reinstated in service.</p> <p>4. Learned Advocate for the Applicant also fairly concedes that the Applicant has been reinstated in service and requested to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>5. In view of above, allowed to withdraw the Original Application.</p> <p>6. Accordingly, the Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram.
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2019.

O. A. No.224 of 2019

S. D. Patil

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.

...Respondents.

1. Heard M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.04.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 11.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2019.

O. A. No.238 of 2019

S. P. GujarApplicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue of grant of interim relief kept open.
3. Issue notice before admission returnable on 28.03.2019.
4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
7. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
8. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
9. S.O. to 28.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2019.

O. A. No.231 of 2019

S. R. TaitawaleApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri S. A. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.04.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 11.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1085 of 2017</p> <p>D. T. NawleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned Advocate for the Applicant made a statement that he is filing Affidavit-in-Rejoinder during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. In the meantime, the learned P.O. is at liberty to file Sur-Rejoinder, if necessary.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 04.04.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/3/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kamlesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. : Punam Mahajan Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : A. G. Gohad C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: Application is within time and is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). C.A. Liberty to circulate</p>	<p><u>14.03.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 104/2019 in O.A 245/2018</u></p> <p>Smt Shaikh Suraiya Ahamed & Miss Amiran A. Shaikh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan , learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Neelima Gohad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Application is within time and is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a)</p> <p>Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N Dixit) (A.H Joshi, J) Member (A) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 14/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramchandra Prasad (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Sec: J. H. Kamble Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Sec: A. J. Chougule C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 28/3/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.T.E.</i></p>	<p><u>M.A. No.638 of 2018 in O.A. No.887 of 2018</u></p> <p>J.R. Bankapure ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This MA is for condoning delay of 27 years. 3. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply. 4. S.O. to 28.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 14.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/3/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman), Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt: M. D. Lolkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: K. S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: Issue notice returnable on 26/4/2019</p>	<p align="center"><u>14.03.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 58/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.C. Indulkar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 26.4.2019.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>8. S.O to 26.4.2019.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Member (A)</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Kameshwar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar with</u> <u>Shri K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>8/4/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>Bite</i></p>	<p>14.03.2019</p> <p><u>R.A 24/2018 in O.A 159/2017</u></p> <p>Smt S.S Mane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D Lonkar with Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O prays for time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O to 8.4.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) (A.H Joshi, J.A) Member (A) Chairman</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 14.03.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.239 of 2019</p> <p>A. M. ShendkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present matter, the Applicant is seeking direction for retention in Pune Division in view of exemption contemplated in Revenue Division Allotment for appointment by nomination and promotion to the post Group-A and Group-B of the Government of Maharashtra Rules, 2017 (shortly stated Cadre Allotment Rules, 2017).</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate has pointed that the Applicant belonging to Pune cadre and has given choice for posting at Pune but she was given posting at Nagpur. Presently, she is posted and working at Pune. The learned Advocate also pointed out that as per Rule 7 of the Cadre Allotment Rules, 2015, provisions of Rule 4 and 6 which provides for the Allotment of different divisions and cadre are not applicable to the present Applicant being abandoned woman. He, therefore, seeking interim relief to allow the Applicant to continue to work in the present post at Pune as per prayer clause 15(d) of the application.</p> <p>4. Per contra, learned P.O. sought to contend that as per opinion of G.A.D., the Applicant does not come in the cadre of abandoned woman and, therefore, not entitled to the relief claimed.</p>

5. The Applicant has produced on record the documents pertaining to matrimonial dispute between spouses. It seems that the petition has filed for custody of child as well as for divorce under Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 is sub-judice. The divorce petition has ^{been} filed in the year 2016. Thus, prima-facie the Applicant deserves benefit or exemption contemplated in Rule 7 of the Cadre Allotment Rule, 2015.
6. I am, therefore, inclined to grant interim relief in terms of prayer Clause 15(d).
7. Hamdast and steno copy is allowed.
8. Issue notice before admission returnable on 11.04.2019.
9. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
10. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
11. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
12. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
13. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
14. S.O. to 11.04.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 248 OF 2019

DISTRICT : PUNE

Shri Yadav Iraba Gaikwad)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others)...**Respondents**

Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)

DATE : **14.03.2019**

PER : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

ORDER

1. Heard Smt Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice returnable on 25.4.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.
4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.



5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. It is expected that applicant's claim be examined on the basis of grounds contained in the O.A. If upon examination, those are found to be based on just and legal foundation, the grievance be redressed at the level of respondents. In case action affirmative, and if permissible in favour of applicant is taken, it shall not be necessary for the Respondents to prepare para-wise reply.

9. If it found that applicant's claim is just and reasonable yet is opposed and the officer responsible for unjust denial is identified, would be saddled with cost.

10. S.O to 25.4.2019.

11. Hamdast and steno copy is granted. Learned P.O is directed to communicate this order to the Respondents.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai

Date : 14.03.2019

Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI BENCH**

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO 908 OF 2015

DISTRICT : BULDHANA

Shri G.N Nage

)...**Applicant**

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Others

)...**Respondents**

Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant.

Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 & 2.

Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.

CORAM : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**
Shri P.N Dixit (Member) (A)

DATE : **14.03.2019**

PER : **Shri Justice A.H Joshi (Chairman)**

ORDER

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the Applicant, Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents no 1 & 2 and Shri M.D Lonkar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 3.
2. This Original Application was heard for some time. The question has arisen as to what is the qualification held by the applicant.
3. Applicant has averred in para 6.12 as follows:-



"6.12....."

That, however, as stated above the Respondent no. 3 was totally ineligible to compete for the said post since she lacked the experience qualification of one year required to be possessed by the candidate on or before last day of submission of the application form, i.e. on or before 12.2.2014 as per the advertisement issued by the Respondent no. 1."

(Quoted from pages 9 & 10 of O.A)

4. Respondents has filed affidavit in reply in which the averment contained in para 6.12 is denied with following text.

"17. With reference to para no. 6.12, I say and submit that the contention in this para that the Respondent no. 3 was totally ineligible to compete for the post since she lacked the experience qualification of one year required to be process by the candidate on or before last day of submission of the application form, i.e. on or before 12.2.2014 as per the advertisement issued by the Respondent no. 1 is completely denied. The Respondent no. 3 possess requisite experience qualification of one year required for the post and application of the Respondent no. 3."

(Quoted from pages 53 & 54 of O.A)

5. It is seen that knowingly false denial is approved, resulting into filing of false affidavit.

6. Affidavit in reply is affirmed by Shri Harichandra Deoram Chavan, Administrative Officer, in the office of Joint Director, Technical Education, Nasik.

7. Learned Presenting Officer was directed to call the officer who has approved the affidavit to remain present.

8. Shri Nathe Dnyandeo Pundlikrao, I/C Joint Director, Technical Education, Regional Officer, Nasik, is personally present. He is called to show cause as to why he should not be dealt with abetment of perjury since he has approved the affidavit which is prima facie factually incorrect but contrary to record. Notice is made returnable on 28.3.2019.

9. Shri Nathe D. Pundlikrao, I/C Joint Director, Technical Education, R.O, Nasik is called to state whether he would like to receive formal notice or he would collect notice from the Government Pleader. He states that he would receive the notice from the Government Pleader.

10. In view of the submission of the officer, formal service of notice through office is dispensed with.

11. S.O to 28.3.2019. Part Heard.


Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi/J.)
Chairman

Place : Mumbai
Date : 14.03.2019
Dictation taken by : A.K. Nair.



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 14/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. H. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. G. A. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. A. J. Chougule C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... 18/3/2019 for reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>P.H.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1002 of 2018</u></p> <p>Y.M. Pimple ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 18.3.2019 for filing reply.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 14.3.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 14/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Patil (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: M.R. Patil Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: Anish Khandekar Ld. Adv. C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s No.1.</p> <p>Adj. To: 9/4/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>B.K.</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.62 of 2019</u></p> <p>H.B. Shinde ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri M.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri Anish Khandekar, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for Respondent no.1 seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 9.4.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 14.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

14.03.2019

O.A 1047/2016

Shri P.P Birajdar ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Shri S.S Dere learned advocate for the applicant has filed leave note. Heard Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.

2. S.O to 10.6.2019.

14/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: S.S. Dere

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt: K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 10/6/2019

BT

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Member (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri <u>P.N. Dixit</u> (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Advocate: <u>Aditya Bhagat U. Adv.</u></p> <p>holding for Shri M.V. Thorat</p> <p>Shri/Smt: <u>S. P. Manchekar</u> Ld.</p> <p>C.P.O / AO for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>2-03/2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>BTE</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1062 of 2017</u></p> <p>G.A. Palve & Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Aditya Bhagat, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.V. Thorat, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment for a week for making necessary changes and serve it to the office of Ld. CPO.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 20.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 14.3.2019</p> <p>(sg)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

WA/R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 14.03.2019.

O.A.No.1180 of 2016
(O.A.No.237/2015 Nagpur)

R.M. Janbandhu & Ors.Applicants.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Learned Advocate Shri R.G. Panchal for the Applicants states on instructions that name of Applicant No.21, Shri Shriram Gangadhar Kavitate be deleted from the array of applicants. Hence, name of Applicant No. 21, Shri Shriram Gangadhar Kavitate be deleted from the array of Applicants.

3. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 22.04.2019.

DATE : 14/3/2019

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. : R. G. Panchal

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar

Q/O/P.O. for the Respondent/s

at the request of
learned Advocate for Applicant
adjourned to 22/4/2019.

MI
Da

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J)
Chairman

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 14/3/2019</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Jeehi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. Bhatnagar (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. C. T. Chandratre Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. Neelima Gohad C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To..... OA is already admitted. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks liberty to circulate. <i>[Signature]</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.557 of 2018</u></p> <p>U.S. Rajule ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri C.T. Chandratre, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. OA is already admitted. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks liberty to circulate. Permitted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 14.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 14/3/2019</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A.</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt: Applicant and advocate for the applicant are absent. Advocate for the applicant Shri/Smt: Neelima Gohad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: 27/3/2019</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>SGJ</i></p>	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.417 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.G. Jadhav & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.3.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 14.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

DATE : 14/3/19
CORAM :
 Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:
 Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale
 Advocate for the Applicant
 Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
 C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s
 Adj./S.O. to Disposed as
withdrawn on instructions
with liberty to re-agitate
the question, some legal
right could be
identified and pleaded.

Date : 14.03.2019.

O.A.No.183 of 2019
(Subject : Appointment)

P.Y. SatheApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Disposed as withdrawn on instructions with liberty to re-agitate the question, if some legal right could be identified and pleaded.

Sd/-
 (A.H. Joshi, J.)
 Chairman

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>14/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>A.V. Bandiwadkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>S. Gaikwad</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to : <u>30/4/19</u> <u>order passed by Tribunal 01/4/19</u></p>	<p><u>14.03.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 230/2019</u></p> <p>Shri B.M Thakur ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadkar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 30.4.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 30.4.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Akr</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Date : 14.03.2019.
Tribunal's orders
O.A.No.158 of 2019

S.R. PuttaApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 25.04.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 25.04.2019.

DATE : 14/3/19.

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O.P.O. for the Respondent/s

*Adj./S.O. to 25/4/19

Head
order passed in
Tribunal column

[Signature]

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>14/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>O.V. Bandiwadekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>K.S. Chitambar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u></p> <p><i>order passed in Tribunal Glumh of same disposed accordingly with no order as to costs.</i></p>	<p>14.03.2019</p> <p><u>O.A 209/2019</u></p> <p>Shri R.R Wagh ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt Kranti S. Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. It shall meet ends of justice if Original Application is disposed of with direction that if adverse order is passed against the applicant pursuant to impugned show cause notice, it shall remain in abeyance for 6 weeks from actual date of service on applicant, as hereinafter.</p> <p>3. A date be fixed by the competent authority for passing order on which date and place applicant shall remain present and collect the copy of order unless applicant furnishes email, address and order is sent to the applicant on is email address by email.</p> <p>4. O.A stands disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/3/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Smt. A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.B. Kadam for S.D. Dhorsade</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>Savita Suryavanshi</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>12/4/19</u> <u>leave to amend for substituting R.A.</u></p>	<p><u>14.03.2019</u></p> <p><u>R.A 12/2017 in O.A 808/2016</u></p> <p>Shri Jaysing M. Gurav ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, holding for Shri S.D Dhongade, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Leave to amend for substituting memo of R.A is allowed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>(A.H Joshi, J.)</u> Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: center;">A/cn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate.....)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>14/3/2019</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>L. S. Deshmukh</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A. J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>26/3/2019.</u></p> <p align="right"><i>BT</i></p>	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.669 of 2018</u></p> <p>S.R. Varkhande ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri L.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today Ms. Sangita Hulawal, APO, Dahanu from office of respondent no.2 is present. Ld. PO mentions that reply would be filed within a week.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 26.3.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member (A) 14.3.2019</p> <p>(sgj)</p>