

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 379 OF 2017  
(Shri Sanjay N. Nade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Arvind Jadhav, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.O. Awsarmol, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 990 OF 2018  
(Shri Gurishankar P. Swami V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Chief Presenting Officer, S.O. to 15.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 411/2018 in O.A. No. 261/2017  
(Shri Shivram Y. Survase V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.K. Mathpati, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 04.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 28/2019 in O.A. St. No. 3070/2018  
(Shri Shrikant V. Deshmukh V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Gadekar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 16.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 47/2019 in O.A. No. 387/2016  
(Dr. Nomani Muhammed Mufti Taher V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.K. Patil, learned Advocate holding for Smt. Vinaya Muley-Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 16.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 233 OF 2019  
(Shri Tanaji D. Lokhande V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash A. Khande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23.04.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in

the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.04.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 240 OF 2019  
(Shri Pandit M. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.K. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23.04.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in



the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.04.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 907 OF 2017  
(Shri Balaji G. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 23.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 287 OF 2018  
(Dr. Ritabhushan A. Garhwal V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Smt. Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 24.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 626 OF 2018  
(Shri Dilip S. Pawar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.N. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of the respondents.

3. On perusal of the record it reveals that already ample opportunities were given to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply. But they have failed to file affidavit in reply in time. There is no just ground to grant further time. However, in the interest of justice short time is granted to the respondents for filing affidavit in reply subject to payment of costs of Rs. 2,000/- (Rs. Two Thousand Only). The amount of costs shall be deposited in the registry of this Tribunal.

4. S.O. to 04.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 773 OF 2018  
(Shri Shivaji B. Satote V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 23.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 929 OF 2018  
(Shri Syed Muktar Syed Sikandar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that during the course of the day affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 would be filed.

3. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 18.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 3 & 4.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 934 OF 2018  
(Smt. Sulochana K. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri K.B. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 18.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 936 OF 2018  
(Shri Rajaram C. Savalekar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that the respondent No. 3 is going to take corrective steps as directed by this Tribunal vide order dated 15.02.2019 and therefore, she seeks time. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 27.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 70 OF 2019  
(Smt. Mangal S. Ghodke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Y.H. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondents. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 03.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 102 OF 2019  
(Shri Vishvas S. Thore V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri R.P. Bhumkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent No. 1. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 and 3 (**Leave Note**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent No. 1. Time granted.

3. No affidavit in reply is filed by the respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

4. S.O. to 23.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**M.A. No. 165/2018 in O.A. St. NO. 606/2018  
(Smt. Shital S. Banale @ Shital Y. Honrao V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 in M.A. Same is taken on record and copy thereof has been served on the other side.

3. S.O. to 03.04.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 577 OF 2016  
(Dr. Baban L. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri J.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Heard Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 3. Shri H.P. Jadhav, learned Advocate for respondent No. 4, absent.

2. The present O.A. is pretty old and it is fixed for final hearing since long. The record shows that the applicant and his Advocate remained absent on the date of hearing, in spite of specific directions given to them. It seems that the applicant is not interested in prosecuting the present O.A. Hence, the O.A. is dismissed in default with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 766 OF 2016  
(Shri Rambhau T. Jadhav V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for respondent 1 and 4. Shri S.D. Dhongde, learned Advocate for respondent Nos. 2 & 3 (**Leave Note**).

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time for filing affidavit in reply to the amended O.A. Time granted as a last chance.

3. S.O. to 24.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 228 OF 2017  
(Shri Maroti S. Nilewad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri V.U. Jadhav, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Absent**). Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to comply with the order dated 06.04.2018. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 16.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 460 OF 2017  
(Smt. Suvarna B. Ghodke V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.R. Dheple, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents, present.

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 25.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 774 OF 2017**  
(Shri Faroqui Sahed Latif Mohd Mumtajuddin Faroqui V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Mohsin Khan, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to produce on record a copy of Maharashtra State Services (Medical Attendant) Rules, 1961. Time granted.

3. S.O. to 25.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 807 OF 2017  
(Shri Ravinkant R. Hadoltikar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri Suchita A. Dhongde, learned Advocate for the applicant (**Leave Note**). Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 & 3 and Shri G.N. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2, are present

2. In view of leave note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 23.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 384 OF 2018  
(Smt. Panchaphulabai R. Shirsath V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani K. Deshmukh-Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, as a last chance, S.O. to 04.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 499 OF 2018  
(Shri Harishchandra G. Lohkare V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.R. Tapse, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.D. Suryawanshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant intends to challenge the order dated 09.09.2011 before the appropriate forum and therefore, he does not want to proceed with the present O.A. Therefore, he seeks leave of this tribunal to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to approach the appropriate forum.

3. Learned Presenting Officer has submitted that necessary orders maybe passed.

4. Since the applicant does not want to proceed with the O.A. and wants to withdraw the same, leave as prayed for by the applicant is granted. The O.A. is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty to the applicant to challenge the order dated 09.09.2011 before the appropriate forum. There shall be no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 535 OF 2018  
(Shri Kamlakar B. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer seeks time to comply with the directions given by this Tribunal vide order dated 30.01.2019. The ample time was given to the respondents to produce original record, but they have not produced the same record. However, in the interest of justice, last chance is granted to the respondents to produce original record as directed by this Tribunal on 30.01.2019. If the respondents are failed to produce original record on the next date, the heavy costs will be imposed on the respondents.

3. S.O. to 29.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 407 OF 2017  
(Shri Nilesh Jeevan Wakode V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri J.B. Choudhary, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for respondent Nos. 1 to 4 and Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for respondent No. 5.

2. Learned Chief Presenting Officer on instructions from the Joint Director, Technical Education, Aurangabad states that the respondents are going to consider the request of applicant, on merit in the ensuing General Transfers of the year 2019.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that since the respondent No. 3 is going to consider the request of the applicant in the General Transfers of the year 2019, the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and therefore, he prayed to dispose of the same.

4. In view of the submissions made by the learned Advocate for the applicant and learned C.P.O., the O.A. is disposed of with no order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI,  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 921 OF 2016  
(Shri Sajay T. Mali V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019.**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.D. Sugdare, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that this Tribunal by order dated 21.09.2018 directed the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik to comply with the order and to file his affidavit. He has submitted that this Tribunal has also given directions to the Secretary, Revenue Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai regarding the promotions in the cadre of Tahsildars, but the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik has not filed his affidavit and not complied with the directions given by this Tribunal. Instead of affidavit of Divisional Commissioner, Nashik, one Dilip Veerpakshappa Swami, Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), Nashik has filed affidavit in reply on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 & 2, though he was not authorized by the respondent.

3. Learned Chief Presenting Officer has submitted that the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik authorized Shri Dilip Veerpakshappa Swami, Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), Nashik to file affidavit on his

behalf and accordingly, he has filed affidavit. He has submitted that the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik is going to file his personal affidavit also and therefore, he sought short time.

4. On perusal of the order dated 21.09.2018 passed by this Tribunal, it reveals that the directions have been issued to the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik, as well as, the Secretary, Revenue Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai, by this Tribunal and this Tribunal has directed the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik to file his affidavit in that regard. The said order shows that the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik was specifically directed to file his affidavit, but he has not filed his affidavit and flouted the orders of this Tribunal and one Shri Dilip Veerpakshappa Swami, Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), Nashik has filed his affidavit on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2, but the affidavit shows that none of them authorized him to file affidavit. In fact this Tribunal never directed the Deputy Commissioner, Nashik to file his affidavit or directed to Divisional Commissioner, to file affidavit of his subordinate. It shows that the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik has flouted the orders of this Tribunal.

5. Hence, issue notice to the respondent No. 2 i.e. the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik, as well as, Shri Dilip Veerpakshappa Swami, Deputy Commissioner (Revenue), Nashik, who has filed affidavit in reply on

behalf of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to show cause as to why an appropriate action should not be taken against them for flouting the orders of this Tribunal.

6. S.O. to 24.04.2019.

kpb ORAL ORDER 13.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.504/2018 in O.A.NO.665/2018  
( Zubair Ahmed Abdul Gafoor V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Shri S.K. Chavan, learned Advocate for the Applicant is **absent**.

Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.

2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed affidavit-in-reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. The same is taken on record.

3. S.O. to 23.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 13-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO.316/2018 IN O.A.ST.NO.1325/2018  
(Padmakar V. Kulkarni V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Sujeet D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. for the Respondents most last chance is granted with the forewarning to the Respondents that heavy costs will be saddled in case they failed to file affidavit-in-reply on the next date.

3. S.O. to 18.03.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 13-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.243/2019**

**(Chandrakala C. Kakde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Harshal P. Randhir, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. The Applicant has filed the Original Application and prayed for interim relief.

3. On perusal of record it reveals that the Applicant has filed application to grant pension to her on the basis of G.R. dated 27.04.2015. Thereafter, the Respondent No.2 forwarded the entire serve record of the deceased husband of the Applicant to the Respondent No.3 by letter dated 12.12.2017 and 7.12.2018. The proposal regarding the family pension of the Applicant is pending with the Respondent No.3. The Respondent No.3 has not taken any decision on the said proposal. Therefore, it is just to direct the Respondent No.3 to take appropriate decision on the proposal forwarded by the Respondent No.2 regarding family pension of the Applicant as per rule within three months from today.

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has submitted that the matter may be disposed of with the direction to the Respondent No.3 by giving reasonable time to take appropriate decision on the proposal sent by the Respondent No.2.

5. In view of above, the Original Application is disposed of with the direction to the Respondent No.3 to take appropriate decision as per rule and G.R. dated 27.4.2015 on the proposal sent by Respondent No.2 within three months from today and communicate its decision to the Applicant in writing. No order as to costs.

**MEMBER (J)**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 68 OF 2019  
(Dr. Shripati K. Shinde Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 24.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 418 OF 2018  
(Kavita T. Jadhav Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri R.V. Gore, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 02.04.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 730 OF 2018  
(Shri Bholenath K. Bakle Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rahul Garule, learned Advocate holding for Shri C.R. Thorat, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri S.K. Shirse, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. On instructions, the learned Advocate for the applicant submits that the applicant does not want to proceed with the present O.A. and may be allowed to withdraw the same.

3. Permission granted. Withdrawal is allowed. Accordingly, the present Original Application stands disposed of as withdrawn without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 592 OF 2018  
(Shri Nilesh B. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent Nos. 1 & 2 and Shri R.S. Patil, learned Advocate for respondent No. 3.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 08.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 130 OF 2019  
(Shri Kamlakar K. Phad Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Juee Palekar-Parlikar, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.D. Joshi, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 24.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 78 OF 2019  
(Dr. Mamata R. Chincholikar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.B. Solanke, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 9.4.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 474 OF 2018  
(Shri Prashant P. Vaidya Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 15.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply of respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 66 OF 2018  
(Shri Dnyeneshwar P. Kadam Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri Kuldeep S. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Mrs. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that presently the affidavit in reply of respondent No. 1 is not necessary.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 08.04.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 141 OF 2018  
(Smt. Nanda P. Bankar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.B. Wagh, learned Advocate for the applicant, Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent No. 1 and Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for respondent No. 2.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for respondent No. 2, S.O. to 03.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 788 OF 2017  
(Shri Ashok T. Ghobale Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Advocate for the applicant has filed a copy of judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of **K. AJIT BABU AND OTHERS VS. UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS reported in 1997 AIR SCW 3340** and the same is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant submits that rejoinder affidavit is not necessary.

4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 02.04.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 786 OF 2017  
(Shri Kailash A. Pardeshi Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Presenting Officer, S.O. to 08.04.2019 for filing affidavit in reply.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 121/2019 IN O.A.ST.NO. 558/2019  
(Shri Nandu B. Raskar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. This is an application preferred by the applicants seeking leave to sue jointly.

3. For the reasons stated in the application, and since the cause and the prayers are identical and since the applicants have prayed for same relief, and to avoid the multiplicity, leave to sue jointly granted, subject to payment of court fee stamps, if not paid.

4. Accompanying O.A. be registered and numbered, after removal of office objections, if any. The present M.A. stands disposed of accordingly without any order as to costs.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION ST.NO. 558 OF 2019  
(Shri Nandu B. Raskar & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ajay Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Issue notice to respondents, returnable on 22.04.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

**8. By way of ad interim relief it is hereby directed that final select list shall not be prepared unless specific permission is sought from this Tribunal.**

**9. Issue of grant of further interim relief is hereby kept open.**

10. S.O. to 22.04.2019.

11. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A.NO. 500/2018 IN O.A.ST. NO. 2040/2018  
(Shri Valu W. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S Bayas, learned Advocate for the applicants and Mrs. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 09.04.2019.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 330 OF 2017  
(Shri Dinesh S. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. By consent, S.O. to 22.04.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 421 OF 2018  
(Shri Manoj S. Thakur Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.A. Golegaonkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri D.R. Patil, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned Presenting Officer submits that during the course of the day affidavit in reply would be filed. He undertakes to serve the copy of the same on the other side.

3. In the circumstances, S.O. to 22.04.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 513 OF 2017  
(Shri Dinkar R. Bhosrekar Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri H.P. Randhir, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.G. Rodge, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 11.04.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 762 OF 2018  
(Shri Sahebrao D. Deshmukh & Ors. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T. JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Rahul Garule, learned Advocate holding for Shri M.C. Swami, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. At the request of learned Advocate for the applicant, S.O. to 15.04.2019 for filing rejoinder affidavit.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ORAL ORDERS 13.03.2019-HDD



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 105/2017  
(Nagesh D. Mapari V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Avinash S. Deshmukh, learned Advocate holding for Shri G.K. Kshirsagar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri B.S. Deokar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent nos. 1 & 2. Shri S.G. Kawade, learned Advocate for respondent nos. 4, 5 & 10 (**absent**). None appears for respondent nos. 3, 6, 7, 8, 9 & 11 to 15.

2. Upon hearing both the sides, it appears that the res. no. 2 the M.P.S.C. in its affidavit in reply dtd. 8.1.2018 in para 4 has submitted that, though the Commission has prepared final result, the result of one Open (General) post was withheld and the said result is yet to be declared by that time. The applicant has filed Annex. A. 3 page 94, which appears to be the submissions and noting of the office of res. no. 2 the M.P.S.C. He submits that these submissions and noting would show that the res. no. 2 is unnecessarily taking case of Smt. Zankar while taking decision regarding Open (General) category candidate's selection, though she is from O.B.C. (Female) category.

3. In the circumstances, the res. no. 2 is hereby directed to take into consideration these facts and file an additional affidavit in reply explaining the further progress regarding withholding of the result and also make comment on the noting (Annex. A.3) in view of the submissions of the learned Advocate for the applicant as above.
4. In the circumstances, S.O. to 2.4.2019 for filing additional affidavit in reply by the res. no. 2 the MPSC on the above line.
5. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 12/2018  
(Khandu T. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri M.P. Tripathi, learned Advocate holding for Shri P.R. Kadam, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri V.R. Bhumkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Both the sides seek time for filing on record copies of relevant G.Rs. / Circulars etc. concerning the situation where the candidate is acquitted in the offence still his candidature can be disqualified to the post.

3. In the circumstances, at the request of both the sides, S.O. to 9.4.2019 for filing documents, if any, as detailed supra.

4. Steno copy allowed for the use of learned P.O.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 32/2018  
(Shahadeo S. Bangar V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

---

**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**

---

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. M.S. Patni, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 23.4.2019 for hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 94/2019 IN O.A. 95/2017  
(Balu J. Gaikwad V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

None appears for the applicant. Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents, is present.

2. In view of absence of applicant and his learned Advocate, S.O. to 3.4.2019 either for hearing or for passing necessary orders.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**M.A. 118/2019 IN O.A. 84/2019  
(Kishor U. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Londhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present misc. application has been filed by the applicant for restoration of O.A. no. 84/2019 to its original position, which was dismissed in default in view of para 7 of the order dated 5.2.2019.

3. For the reasons stated in the misc. application it is allowed and disposed of without any order as to costs and O.A. no. 84/2019 is restored to its original position.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 84/2019  
(Kishor U. Chavan V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.S. Londhe, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Upon restoration of O.A., issue notices to the respondents, returnable on 23.4.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

8. S.O. to 23.4.2019.

9. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**



**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 39/2018  
(Ravi S. Wankhade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri B.P. Thokare, learned Advocate holding for Shri S.R. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. in furtherance of the order dtd. 4.2.2019 submits that the respondents have decided to challenge the decision of the Tribunal dtd. 9.1.2019 passed in O.A. no. 576/2018. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks accommodation for hearing of the present matter. At his request, S.O. to 29.4.2019 for hearing on the line of above submissions.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 954/2019  
(Rajendra D. Shinde V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Ashish Rajkar, learned Advocate holding for Shri V.P. Narwade, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. Learned P.O. files on record copy of communication dtd. 12.3.2019 received to him regarding departmental enquiries, which is taken on record and marked as document 'X' for the purpose of identification. He submits that while in the first departmental enquiry the enquiry report from the Enquiry Officer is received recently and the State has to take decision thereon. As regards second departmental enquiry, he submits that, in fact, the said departmental enquiry was initiated on 22.2.2018 and the applicant has received the charge sheet on 24.4.2018 i.e. well before the superannuation of the present applicant.

3. Learned Advocate for the applicant seeks time to make submissions regarding the above facts. At his request, S.O. to 9.4.2019 for hearing on the above line.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 641/2015  
(Bhagatsingh P. Patil (Pawar) V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Milind Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Shri M.S. Mahajan, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 8.4.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 832/2016  
(Vishal P. Gangawane V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri S.G. Kulkarni, learned Advocate holding for Shri Ajay S. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the applicant, Shri N.U. Yadav, learned Presenting Officer for the respondent authorities and Shri Ashish B. Rajkar, learned Advocate for respondent no. 2.

2. Learned P.O. submits that compliance of the order dtd. 6.3.2019 of giving addresses could not be made within the prescribed period. In the circumstances, learned Advocate for the applicant submits that period of service of notices be extended.

3. In view of above, after amendment is carried out by the learned Advocate for the applicant as directed by the order dtd. 6.3.2019, notices to the respondents be reissued, returnable on 24.4.2019.

4. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at once and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on respondent/s intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of the case. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

7. The service may be done by hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgment be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry before due date. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

8. If notice is not collected within 7 days or proof of service is not produced before 3 days of the next date, case shall automatically stand dismissed without further reference to the Tribunal.

9. S.O. to 24.4.2019.

10. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to both parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 661/2017  
(Shailesh S. Kapse V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri A.G. Ambetkar, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Deepali S. Deshpande, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 29.3.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**T.A. 7/2016 (W.P. 1533/2015)  
(Chandrakala K. Navghire V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Smt. Nassim R. Khaikh, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Sanjivani Deshmukh Ghate, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. With the consent of both the sides, S.O. to 24.4.2019 for final hearing.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO. 642/2018  
(Dr. Pankaj Raman Patil V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : JUSTICE M.T.JOSHI, VICE CHAIRMAN  
AND  
ATUL RAJ CHADHA, MEMBER (A)**

**DATE : 13.3.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri Vinod P. Patil, learned Advocate for the applicant and Smt. Priya R. Bharaswadkar, learned Presenting Officer for the respondents.

2. The present matter is taken on board in view of circulation note filed by the learned Advocate for the applicant for speaking to minutes of the order dtd. 13.2.2019.

3. It appears that by the order dtd. 13.2.2019 inadvertently the O.A. was disposed of in terms of prayer clause (B) only instead of prayer clauses (B) & (C).

4. In the circumstances, in the order dtd. 13.2.2019 in para no. 3 in third line after the word '(B)', word 'and (C)' be added and prayer clause "(C)" below the prayer clause '(B)' be quoted.

“C) This Hon’ble Court be please to direct the respondent no. 1 to decide the pending proposal dated 23.6.2016 regarding condonation of 8 days breaks in service within one month.”



5. Registrar of the Tribunal to take necessary steps on the above line and issue corrected copy of order to the parties.

**MEMBER (A)**

**VICE CHAIRMAN**

ARJ ORAL ORDERS 13-3-2019

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.632/2018**

**(Vishnu E. Ghuge V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In spite of several opportunities given to the Respondent Nos.2 & 3, they have failed to file affidavit-in-reply within prescribed time. Not only this, but they have failed to deposit the cost imposed upon them on previous date i.e. on 08.02.2019. They are avoiding to obey the orders of the Tribunal.

3. It seems that the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 are not prosecuting the matter diligently and they do not want to file affidavit-in-reply. Hence, Application shall proceed without reply of the Respondent Nos.2 & 3. Cost imposed on them will have to be recovered from their personal pay through the concerned Drawing and Disbursing Officer (D.D.O.).

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to furnish the names of the concerned D.D.O.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has furnished the name of the concerned D.D.O. as follows:-

Smt. Pallavi Temkar, D.D.O., Parbhani.

//2//

O.A.No.632/2018

6. Smt. Pallavi Temkar, D.D.O., Parbhani is directed to recover the cash from the pay of the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 and deposit the same in the Registry on or before next date i.e. on 16.04.2019.

7. S.O. to 16.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 13-03-2019 bpp

**FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET  
IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL,  
AURANGABAD BENCH, AURANGABAD**

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.633/2018**

**(Ratan S. Narwade V/s. State of Maharashtra & Ors.)**

-----  
**OFFICE ORDER**

**TRIBUNAL'S ORDERS**  
-----

**CORAM : B. P. PATIL, MEMBER (J)**

**DATE : 13.03.2019**

**ORAL ORDER :**

Heard Shri D.T. Devane, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri M.P. Gude, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. In spite of several opportunities given to the Respondent Nos.2 & 3, they have failed to file affidavit-in-reply within prescribed time. Not only this, but they have failed to deposit the cost imposed upon them on previous date i.e. on 08.02.2019. They are avoiding to obey the orders of the Tribunal.

3. It seems that the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 are not prosecuting the matter diligently and they do not want to file affidavit-in-reply. Hence, Application shall proceed without reply of the Respondent Nos.2 & 3. Cost imposed on them will have to be recovered from their personal pay through the concerned Drawing and Disbursing Officer (D.D.O.).

4. Learned P.O. for the Respondents is directed to furnish the names of the concerned D.D.O.

5. Learned P.O. for the Respondents has furnished the name of the concerned D.D.O. as follows:-

Smt. Pallavi Temkar, D.D.O., Parbhani.

//2//

O.A.No.633/2018

6. Smt. Pallavi Temkar, D.D.O., Parbhani is directed to recover the cash from the pay of the Respondent Nos.2 & 3 and deposit the same in the Registry on or before next date i.e. on 16.04.2019.

7. S.O. to 16.04.2019.

**MEMBER (J)**

SAS ORAL ORDER 13-03-2019 bpp