

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.162 of 2021</u></p> <p>P.V. Parkhe ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant has been already promoted by order dated 9.7.2021. The same is taken on record and marked Exhibit 'A' for identification.</p> <p>3. In view of the above, OA is disposed off with liberty to make a fresh representation for deemed date.</p> <p align="center"> <table border="0"> <tr> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> <td align="center">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">(P.N. Dixit)</td> <td align="center">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Vice-Chairman</td> <td align="center">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">13.7.2021</td> <td align="center">13.7.2021</td> </tr> </table> </p> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(P.N. Dixit)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)	Vice-Chairman	Chairperson	13.7.2021	13.7.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(P.N. Dixit)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)								
Vice-Chairman	Chairperson								
13.7.2021	13.7.2021								

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.550 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.D. Vadaje ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant files rejoinder and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. As the pleadings are complete the OA is admitted.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.10.2021 for final hearing.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.191 of 2020</u></p> <p>Sujata A. Warang ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO submits that applicant has been promoted in the month of February 2021 and joined. However, instructions regarding deemed date are still awaited.</p> <p>3. The respondents are directed to file their reply within two weeks positively.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 29.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.338 of 2020</p> <p>K.B. Kakade. ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On instructions from Mr. Nitin Raghunath Phirange, Assistant Desk Officer, learned P.O. submits that the matter regarding applicant is with the General Administration Department for verifying whether there is any Departmental Enquiry against the applicant and the progress regarding the same, if any.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 30.07.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p align="center">(Mridula Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson</p> <p>prk</p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.605 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.B. Gunjal ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the pleadings as well as prayers in the OA, as the applicant has been superseded by his juniors by order dated 26.3.2021.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. Amendment be carried out and served on all concerned.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.8.2021.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: flex-end;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- ¹²</p>  <p>(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.7.2021</p> </div> </div>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.559 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.T. Buye ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 22.3.2021 of Dr. Abhinav Deshmukh (IPS), Superintendent of Police, Pune Rural on behalf of respondent no.1 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 10.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.257 of 2020</u></p> <p>U.D. Thorat & Anr. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 9.7.2021 of Shri Sachin N. Dombale, Assistant Conservator of Forest (Afforestation), Satara on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 13.7.2021 13.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.340 of 2020</p> <p>S.P. ThombareApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. Avantika Inamdar, learned Advocate holding for Shri R.N. Gite, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Matter is already proceeded without Reply and it is for hearing at the stage of admission. 3. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned P.O. however requested for two days time to file Reply. Indeed matter is already proceeded without Reply in March 2021 and Respondents if serious, ought to have filed Reply in mean time. Thus Respondents appears not interested in filing Reply. 4. Shri A.J. Chougule undertake to file Reply on Friday i.e. 16.07.2021 and requested for adjournment. 5. S.O. to 16.07.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- ~ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.244 of 2021 in O.A.No.331 of 2021</p> <p>The Director General of Of Police & Ors.Applicants (Ori. Respondents)</p> <p>Versus Shri Nitin Vasant Thakre ...Respondent (Ori. Applicant)</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Applicants (Ori. Respondents) and Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Respondent (Ori. Applicant).</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Ori. Respondents sought two weeks time to bring necessary orders from the Hon'ble High Court since the Respondents intend to file W.P. against the decision rendered by this Tribunal.</p> <p>3. O.A. No.331/2021 was allowed by order dated 24.06.2021. The impugned transfer order dated 04.05.2021 was quashed and directions were given to repost the Applicant within two weeks from the date of order.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that file is in process with Law & Judiciary Department and W.P. will be filed soon. She has further pointed out that the order dated 24.06.2021 was uploaded late.</p> <p>5. In view of above, one week time from today is granted to reinstate the Applicant in terms of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A.No.331/2021 or to avail necessary orders from the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>6. M.A. is accordingly disposed of with no order as to costs.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p> <p align="right">[PTO.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.426 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.A. Bhowar & Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri G.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. Advocate for the applicant adjourned to 29.7.2021. Interim relief to continue.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (Medha Gadgil) Member (A) 13.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.607 of 2020</p> <p>A. V. Mule ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U. V. Bhosale holding for Shri D. B. Khaire , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but it is not filed.</p> <p>3. Since pleadings are complete, the matter is admitted for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="right">O.A.No. 142 of 2021</p> <p>S. K. Davar ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has ^{filed} reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.3 to 5. It is taken on record. No separate reply is filed on behalf of the Respondent Nos.1 and 2.</p> <p>3. Since pleading is complete, the matter is admitted and adjourned for final hearing.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 17.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.47 of 2020</p> <p>S.S. PanindreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 27.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.46 of 2020</p> <p>P.J. JadhavApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. 2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 26.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.215 of 2020</p> <p>V.N. DixitApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Applicant and her Advocate both are absent. 2. Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. 3. Adjourned for Final Hearing on 26.08.2021. <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.31 of 2020 with O.A. No.22 of 2021</p> <p>D.K. Zote D.M. BhondeApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that one more O.A. filed by the Applicant Shri T.P. Rathod vide O.A. No. 649/2020 is also subjudice before this Tribunal and all these three O.As. being arising from common point of enquiry needs to be heard together. That O.A. is on 27.07.2021.</p> <p>3. In view of above, all these O.As. are adjourned to 27.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.851 of 2019</p> <p>R.D. HirveApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present. On 09.07.2021 Tribunal has passed order directing the Applicant and his Advocate to appear^{Solicit} the queries raised by the Tribunal about the maintainability of the O.A. without filing appeal against impugned order. However, today the Applicant and his Advocate both are absent. In the interest of justice adjourned to 16.07.2021 to satisfy the Tribunal how O.A. is maintainable. S.O. to 16.07.2021. <p align="right">^ Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date: 13.07.2021</p> <p>O.A. No.518 of 2020</p> <p>A.V. BhiseApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Applicant and his Advocate both are absent.2. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.3. Adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.4. S.O. to 13.08.2021. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center">Date: 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A. No.510 of 2020</p> <p>G.R. MoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Applicant and his Advocate both are continuously absent.</p> <p>2. Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that as per her instructions the Applicant is already reinstated in service. However, she seeks two days time to confirm the same and place the reinstatement order on record.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">NMN</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date: 13.07.2021
	<p align="center">O.A. No.189 of 2020</p> <p>S.A. WarangApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In present O.A. challenged is to the order dated 28.12.2018 thereby granting 50% pay and allowances for out of duty period.</p> <p>3. The Applicant was initially dismissed from service on 04.11.2015 and it was served on the Applicant on 07.11.2015. However, later in appeal the order of dismissal was modified by imposing punishment of withholding increment for two years without cumulative effect and the Applicant was accordingly reinstated in service.</p> <p>4. The Applicant has already filed review before the Government against the order of withholding of increment and it is subjudice.</p> <p>5. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate submits that in view of that pendency of Review Application, O.A. needs to be adjourned since if Review is allowed in that even there will not be punishment against the Applicant and he will get all the benefits.</p> <p>6. Adjourned to 10.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.745 of 2020</p> <p>L. Z. NewadkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. In present O.A., challenge is to the communication dated 17.08.2020 whereby the Applicant's demand for deemed date of promotion was rejected. 3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that from the reply filed by Respondent No.2 that Respondent No.1 is reconsidering the communication dated 17.08.2020, and therefore, it is necessary to know the decision in this behalf, if any, taken by the Respondent No.1. 4. In reply, in Para No.8, the Respondent No.1 states " that the order dated 17.08.2020 issued by the Respondent No.1 is being reconsidered by this office and the Applicant will be informed the decision." 5. Furthermore, in reply in Para No.17, it is stated as under:- <p align="center"><i>" 17. I say that while scrutinizing the applicability of granting deemed dated to Applicant, it is transpired that there is every possibility which would necessitate granting deemed date to many other several Assistant Accounts Officers apart from the Applicant. At present, there are 1243 officers working in Assistant Accounts Officer cadre which is a very large strength. Further, after scrutinizing the details of joining reports of the officers making relevant changes in the seniority list from 2010 to 2020, it would be necessary to publish provisional seniority list of Assistant Accounts Officer cadre as on 01.01.2021. This whole task of preparing seniority list is complex, lengthy and time consuming which would require minimum three months. The work of scrutiny and revision of seniority list from 2010 to 2020 is in progress. After this Respondent No.1 will take further decision of granting deemed date."</i></p> <p align="right">[P.T.O.]</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
vsm	<p>6. In fact, the period of three months is already over and the decision should have been taken by this time.</p> <p>7. In view of above, learned P.O. is directed to take instructions from the Respondent No.1 and to apprise the Tribunal about the decision of Respondent No.1 in this behalf as referred in Para No.8 and 17 of Affidavit-in-Reply.</p> <p>8. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p>9. Hamdast granted.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

13.07.2021

O.A 236 & 237/2021

Later on:

Shri K. Suryakrishnamurthy
Shri A.N Padwal ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Atul Rajadhyaksha with Shri Akhilesh Dube, learned advocate for the Applicants, Shri R.S Apte, learned Senior Counsel for Respondents No 1, 2 & 3. Shri Prasad Dhakephalkar, learned Senior Counsel with Shri B.S Shinde, learned advocate for Respondents no. 4, 6 & 7 in O.A 236/2021 and Respondents no. 5 to 10 in O.A 237/2021. Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for Respondent no. 11 in O.A 237/2021.

2. Respondent no. 1 is directed to answer on affidavit today itself whether the applicants were reverted or not from the post of Deputy Collector, at any time.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 183 with 184 of 2021</p> <p>B. D. Potdar K. P. RamchandraApplicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the matter is for filing Rejoinder but it is not filed.</p> <p>3. Learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that he does not want to file Rejoinder and the matter be kept for hearing.</p> <p>4. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 05.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.209 of 2021</p> <p>D. K. DokeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In the interest of justice, the matter is adjourned to give one more opportunity to the Applicant and his Counsel for hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.254 of 2021</p> <p>S. B. Gavit ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that the reply is sent for affirmation and requested for two days time.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 15.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">^ Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No. 296 of 2021</p> <p>A. S. AgarkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. B. Kadam holding for Shri A. R. Joshi , learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In request of learned P.O., one week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 23.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- _____</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p>O.A.No.427 of 2021</p> <p>P. B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri N. S. Kamble, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. The Original Application is filed for grant of deemed date of promotion without filing any application for condonation of delay. The office has also raised objection on the point of limitation.3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted to comply the office objection and to file Misc. Application for condonation of delay.4. Original Application be listed after compliance of office objection. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.368 of 2021</p> <p>J. R. ChavanApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Bhushan V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.07.2021

O.A.No.461 of 2021

R. P. JadhavApplicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.08.2021.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O. to 10.08.2021.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.462 of 2021</p> <p>R. M. KashelkarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.08.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 10.08.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.357 of 2021 With O.A. No.358 of 2021</p> <p>J. P. Chavan & Ors. D.R. Nikam & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Ms N. G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 10.08.2021. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 10.08.2021. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.435 of 2020</p> <p>R. K. PawarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.B. Kadam holding for Shri S. S. Dere, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of Shri M. B. Kadam, learned Counsel submits that Shri Dere is unable to remain present due to personal difficulties and requested to keep the matter on 16.07.2021.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.834 of 2019</p> <p>S.B. PatilApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents is present.</p> <p>2. In view of absence of the Applicant and his Counsel, the matter is adjourned for giving one more opportunity of hearing.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 13.08.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 13.07.2021
	<p align="center">O.A.No.172 of 2021</p> <p>T. F. NagvekarApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. submits that instructions are received and one week time be granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. One week time is granted for filing reply by way of last chance.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 22.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.07.2021</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.366 of 2021</p> <p>Y. U. Shaikh ...Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. A. Siddique, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On request of learned P.O. two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>3. In so far as subsistence allowance is concerned, this Tribunal has already issued direction by order dated 17.06.2021 to release the subsistence allowance. However, it is not complied with.</p> <p>4. Learned P.O. submits that the Applicant has submitted required certificate recently and now subsistence allowance will be released.</p> <p>5. The Respondents are directed to release the subsistence allowance and continue to pay regularly in accordance to rules.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 27.07.2021.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.101 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.B. Sabale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO informs that respondents have filed reply on 1.3.2021. Ld. Advocate for the applicant even then seeks adjournment to file rejoinder.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 17.8.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.79 of 2019</u></p> <p>N.S. Kshirsagar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. PO adjourned to 3.8.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 13.7.2021 13.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.471 of 2020</u></p> <p>S.N. Sambargikar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO files reply dated 7.6.2021 of Shri Pravin M. Shikhare, Desk Officer, DGP, MS, Mumbai on behalf of respondent no.2 and the same is taken on record. Ld. PO further states on instructions that reply of respondent no.1 is not required.</p> <p>3. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks time to file rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 30.7.2021.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- (P.N.Dixit) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p align="right">(Miridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.7.2021</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.114 of 2021 in O.A. No.982 of 2019</u></p> <p>Rajeev K. Bhosale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time to take instructions.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.7.2021.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N.' Dixit) Vice-Chairman 13.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.308 of 2020 in O.A. No.698 of 2020</u></p> <p>A.G. Sangar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Miss Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate submits that rejoinder is ready but yet to be affirmed and seeks time.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 23.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(P.N.\Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 13.7.2021 13.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.



of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.26 of 2021 in O.A. No.85 of 2021</u></p> <p>S.R. Lohar ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri R.M. Kolge, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO produces a communication dated 5.7.2021 from Superintendent of Police, Kolhapur to the applicant. The same is taken on record and marked Exhibit 'A' for identification.</p> <p>3. The applicant has filed this CA for implementation of the order dated 1.4.2021 passed in the above OA. The applicant further submits that he has challenged his conviction dated 22.5.2015 before the Hon'ble High Court and the Hon'ble High Court has acquitted the applicant on 7.11.2019.</p> <p>4. Today during hearing the Ld. Advocate submits that applicant has sent contempt notice dated 3.6.2021 to the respondents before filing this CA.</p> <p>5. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time for filing reply as according to her the respondents are proposing to file SLP in the Hon'ble Supreme Court.</p> <p>6. S.O. to 29.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 13.7.2021 13.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders								
	<p><u>CA.19/2020 in OA.1158/16 with OA.352/17 with OA.353/17</u></p> <p>Smt. S.K. Goraksha & 24 Ors. ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks time till 16.7.2021. Ld. PO further informs that order passed in case of the applicant complying with the orders of the Tribunal would be made available to the Ld. Advocate for the applicant today.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 16.7.2021.</p> <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit)</td> <td style="text-align: center;">(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">Vice-Chairman</td> <td style="text-align: center;">Chairperson</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">13.7.2021</td> <td style="text-align: center;">13.7.2021</td> </tr> </table> <p>(sgj)</p>	Sd/-	Sd/-	(P.N. Dixit)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)	Vice-Chairman	Chairperson	13.7.2021	13.7.2021
Sd/-	Sd/-								
(P.N. Dixit)	(Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.)								
Vice-Chairman	Chairperson								
13.7.2021	13.7.2021								

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.412 of 2021</u></p> <p>Sanjay M. Ahivale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Siddharth S. Chapalgaonkar holding for Shri N.P. Deshpande, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant submits that applicant is working as Assistant Police Inspector and he seeks direction to promote him to the rank of Police Sub Inspector. He further submits that difference in his salary during the period between the date of his suspension i.e. 20.4.2017 and when his appeal was allowed i.e. 6.4.2018 should be paid to him. The applicant also seeks relief in the form of directions to allow him to retire voluntarily. The appellate authority in his order dated 6.4.2018 has accepted the say of the applicant and he has been given reprimand for the same.</p> <p>3. The office objections, if any, are to be removed and court-fee to be paid, if not already paid.</p> <p>4. Issue notice before admission returnable on 24.8.2021.</p> <p>5. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Private service is allowed in view of this present COVID-19 Pandemic situation. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>6. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>7. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P)N. Dixit Vice-Chairman 13.7.2021 (sgj)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Chairperson 13.7.2021</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.11 of 2020 in O.A. No.1112 of 2018</u></p> <p>A.M. Mali ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant and his advocate are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks two weeks time to file reply.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 27.7.2021.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- <i>RM H</i></p> <p align="center">(P.N. Dixit) (Mridula R. Bhatkar, J.) Vice-Chairman Chairperson 13.7.2021 13.7.2021</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

13.07.2021

O.A 236 & 237/2021

Shri K. Suryakrishnamurthy
Shri A.N Padwal ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Atul Rajadhyaksha with Shri Akhilesh Dube, learned advocate for the Applicants, Shri R.S Apte, learned Senior Counsel for Respondents No 1, 2 & 3. Shri Prasad Dhakephalkar, learned Senior Counsel with Shri B.S Shinde, learned advocate for Respondents no. 4, 6 & 7 in O.A 236/2021 and Respondents no. 5 to 10 in O.A 237/2021. Mrs Punam Mahajan, learned advocate for Respondent no. 11 in O.A 237/2021.

2. After going through the affidavit in reply of Respondent no. 1, Dr. M.V Veer, Joint Secretary, Revenue and Forest Department, Mantralaya, Mumbai dated 8.7.2021, especially his answer to query No. (I) made by the Tribunal in the order dated 24.6.2021, we have not come across a specific statement answering the query whether the select list of the batch of the applicant in the Original Application when working as Tahsildar was prepared at any time before or after they were given promotion to the post of Deputy Collector.

3. Hence, we call upon Respondent no. 1 to make one sentence affidavit answering point blank to the query and file affidavit in reply till 3.00 pm today and give copy to the other side.

Sd/-

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

Akn

Sd/-

(Mridula Bhatkar, J.)
Chairperson