

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.03.2020

O.A.No.834 of 2019

1. The Applicant and his Counsel are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Perusal of record reveals that the Applicant and his Counsel were absent on last date also but matter was adjourned to give one opportunity of hearing.
3. Today also they are absent. They seem not interested in the matter.
4. In view of above, Original Application is dismissed in default.

Sd/-

W
(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.03,2020</p> <p align="center">M.A. No.145 of 2020 in O.A.No.918 of 2018</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. R. Sadasivan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. S. P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. M.A. No.145/2010 is filed for amendment in O.A. whereby the Applicant is challenging the condition Nos.5 and 7 of G.R. dated 22.10.2016. 3. The proposed amendment will not change the nature of O.A. 4. Hence, amendment is allowed. It be incorporated within a week. 5. S.O. to 09.04.2020 for filing reply to amended O.A. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.03.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.06 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.3. Reply on behalf of the Respondent No.4 is already filed. 3. On request of learned P.O. one week's time is granted for filing reply on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2. 4. If no reply is filed on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2 on next date, the matter will be taken up for hearing at the stage of admission. 5. S.O. to 27.03.2020. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.03.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.14 of 2020</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri C. T. Chandratre, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply on behalf of the Respondent No.2 will be filed during the course of the day. Statement is accepted. It be taken on record. 3. On request of learned Counsel for the Applicant, two weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder. 4. S.O. to 27.03.2020. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.200 of 2020</u></p> <p>M.P. Jamadar ..Applicant Vs The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri J.N. Kamble, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant seeks adjournment for enclosing relevant GRs on which he is relying. He also proposes to file MA for condonation of delay, if any.</p> <p>3. As per his request adjourned to 30.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.1184 of 2019</u></p> <p>D.J. Sonawane ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Applicant as well as Advocate for the applicant are absent. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today Applicant as well as Ld. Advocate for the Applicant are absent even though the Ld. Advocate has taken circulation for today.</p> <p>3. Place the above matter for dismissal on 16.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.3.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.03.2020

O.A.No.880 of 2019

1. Heard Shri R. M. Kolge, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Present O.A. is filed challenging the recovery of excess payment made to the Applicant.
3. Applicant No.1 stands retired on 30.04.2019 and his retiral benefits are withheld due to recovery of excess payment made to him during service. Whereas, the Applicant No.2 is in service and due to retire in 2025.
4. As such, the grounds cannot be said common to both the Original Applications. Therefore, the Applicant No.2 needs to file separate O.A. as the facts and causes will be totally different.
6. At this juncture, learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to delete the name of Applicant No.2 from O.A. with liberty to file separate O.A. for Applicant No.2.
7. Liberty is granted to delete the name of Applicant No.2 as prayed for.
8. Insofar as Applicant No.1 is concerned, the matter is heard on merit.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

[P.T.O.]