

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.862 of 2017</p> <p>S. N. MouleApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is seeking refund of Rs.3,02,644/- recovered from retiral benefits, in pursuance of decision the Hon'ble Supreme Court in <i>Civil Appeal No.11527/2014 (State of Punjab and others Vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer), decided on 18th December, 2014.</i></p> <p>3. Today, during the course of hearing, it was transpired that Applicant has already made representation to Respondent No.1 on 09.03.2017 claiming refund of Rs.3,02,644/- on the basis of judicial decision.</p> <p>4. Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant has pointed out that the Respondent No.1 needs to take decision on the representation dated 09.03.2017 and also placed reliance on the decision of Hon'ble High Court Bench Aurangabad in <i>W.P.No.5367/2016 Ravindra R. Patil V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors</i>, decided on 18.07.2017 and <i>W.P.No.695/2016 Prabhakar Mare & Ors. V/s State of Maharashtra & Ors.</i> decided on 12.02.2018 wherein directions for refund of the amount were issued. The Applicant seems to be similarly situated person.</p> <p>5. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that Original Application be disposed of with directions to Respondent No.1 to decide the representation made by the Applicant dated 09.03.2017 in view of the decision referred to above.</p> <p>6. Learned P.O. also fairly concedes that the decision will be taken by Respondent No.1 within a reasonable time.</p> <p>7. In view of above, Original Application is disposed with direction to Respondent No.1 to decide the representation of the Applicant made on 09.03.2017 for refund of amount of Rs.3,02,644/- recovered from his retiral benefits and shall pass appropriate order within two months from today.</p> <p>8. The order, as the case may be, shall be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.</p> <p>9. If the Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, he may avail further recourse of law as permissible.</p> <p>10. No order as to cost.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">A.P. Kurhekar Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A.599/2019 in O.A.862/2017</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.2. In view of order passed in O.A.862/2017 on 13.02.2020, this M.A. is disposed of. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member-J 13.02.2020</p> <p>(skw)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.608 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. M. V. PandeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R. Jagdale, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This O.A. is filed along with M.A. No.458/2019 for condonation of delay.</p> <p>3. M.A.No.458/2019 is allowed as per order passed therein.</p> <p>4. Hence, present O.A. needs to be decided on merit.</p> <p>5. On the request of learned P.O., two weeks time is granted for filing reply.</p> <p>6. Matter is adjourned to 27.02.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1198 of 2019</p> <p>N. S. LoteApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 11.03.2020.</p> <p align="right">  Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) </p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1176 of 2019</p> <p>K. V. GalkeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record. 3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission. 4. S.O. to 28.02.2020. <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p>O. A. No. 134 of 2020</p> <p>R. V. BhadaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 12.03.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 12.03.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1118 of 2019</p> <p>D. T. KadamApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Enough time is granted for filing reply, and therefore, request of learned P.O. for grant of time is not accepted.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 12.03.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- v (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.1026 of 2019</p> <p>S. K. ChandaneApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. P. G. Kayande, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Ms N. G. Gohad , learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.03.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1028 of 2019</p> <p>R. S. Deshmukh (Shewale)Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned P.O. has filed reply on behalf of the Respondent No.4 and submits that separate reply on behalf of Respondent No.3 is not required.</p> <p>3. On request of learned Counsel, three weeks time is granted for filing Rejoinder.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 05.03.2020.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1117 of 2019</p> <p>Vidya Bhalerao & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos.2 and 3 has already filed.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. submits that no separate reply is necessary on behalf of Respondent No.1.</p> <p>4. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>5. S.O. to. 06.03.2020</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2020</p> <p>O.A.No.4 of 2020</p> <p>U. K. ShindeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has filed Rejoinder. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 16.03.2020</p> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____



I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"> <u>MA.31/2020 in OA.1020/2019 with</u> <u>MA.32/2020 in OA.1022/2019 with</u> <u>MA.43/2020 in OA.994/2019 with</u> <u>OA.995/2019</u> </p> <p> N.M. Gavali S.K. Lolage S.A. Narawade S.TY. Raypure ..Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents </p> <p> Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. </p> <p> 2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants undertakes to remove office objections immediately. </p> <p> 3. Ld. PO tenders a communication mentioning that Applicant in MA No.32/2020 in OA No.1022/2019 Shri S.K. Lolage has been reinstated. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. MA No.32 of 2020 is therefore disposed off. </p> <p> 4. In respect of MAs. No.31 and 43 of 2020, Ld. PO seeks time to file reply. </p> <p> 5. In respect of OA No.994 of 2019 as per amendment, Settlement Commissioner has been added as party respondent. </p> <p> 6. Issue notice to Settlement Commissioner in OA No.994 of 2019 returnable on 27.2.2020. Ld. PO undertakes to file reply on behalf of Settlement Commissioner in OA No.994/2019. </p>

gnd

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>7. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>8. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>9. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>10. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>11. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>12. S.O. to 27.2.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020</p> </div> <div> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1038/2019</u></p> <p>A.N Bhalchandra ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K. R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O adjourned to 20.2.2020 for filing sur-rejoinder.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

13.02.2020

O.A 955/2019 with M.A 92/2020 in O.A 955/2019

Dr S.S Rajderkar ... Applicant


Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri G. Sharma, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.


2. Learned advocate for the applicant undertakes to remove office objection.

3. At his request adjourned to 20.2.2020.

Sd/- 

(A.P. Kurhakar)
Member (J)

Akn

Sd/- 

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

R.A. No.6 of 2019 in O.A. No.822 of 2016

V.S. Chaudhari ..Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Applicant as well as Ld. Advocate for the Applicant are absent. Heard Ms. N.G. Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
13.2.2020

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
13.2.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.63 of 2020 in O.A. No.829 of 2019

A.P. Ghadge & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri M.M. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. I.d. Advocate for the applicant undertakes to pay cost for not complying the orders issued on 5.11.2019. He is directed to deposit cost of Rs.2000/- in the office of the Tribunal and produce receipt of the same.

3. At his request adjourned to 20.2.2020.

Sd/- ✓

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
13.2.2020

(sgj)

Sd/- ✓
11

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
13.2.2020


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>13.02.2020</p> <p><u>O.A 1170/2019</u></p> <p>R.N Yamgar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks one weeks' time for filing repl</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.2.2020</p> <p>Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>13.02.2020</p> <p>O.A 1134/2019</p> <p>V.S Dalve ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1 Applicant as well as Learned advocate for applicant are absent. Heard Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents</p> <p>2 Learned P.O seeks adjournment for filing reply.</p> <p>3. At his request adjourned to 20.2.2020</p> <p>Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 1115/2019</u></p> <p>S.B More _____ Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors _____ Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant, Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 to 3 and Ms Minal Pawar i/b Shri V B Joshi, learned advocate for Respondent no 4</p> <p>2. Learned P.O mentions that no instructions have been received for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for Respondent no 4 seeks two weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>4. S.O 19.3.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1056/2019</u></p> <p>G.A Agale. ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1 Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Shri A.J Chougule, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2 Learned P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1. Same is taken on record</p> <p>3 Admitted with liberty to file rejoinder</p> <p>4. To be heard in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- ✓</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>13.02.2020</p> <p>O.A 1050/2019</p> <p>G.M Teke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Admit with liberty to file his rejoinder</p> <p>3. To be heard in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

13.02.2020

O.A 1047/2019

S.R Kale ... Applicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri Arvind V. Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Mancheakr, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.

2. Learned advocate for the applicant files rejoinder. Same is taken on record.

3. Admitted for final hearing with liberty to file sur-rejoinder.

4. To be heard in due course.

Sd/- ✓

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)

Mkn

Sd/- ✓

(P.N Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>C.A.59 of 2019 in O.As.1041, 1042 & 1046 of 2017</p> <p>L.B. Kadam & Ors. .Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Applicants as well as Ld. Advocate for the Applicants are absent. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO tenders order dated 5.2.2020 passed by the Hon'ble High Court in W.P. No.1064 of 2020 (State of Maharashtra & Anr. Vs. Vitthal T. Tarhawade) staying operation of the earlier order. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification. Ld. PO on instructions further clarifies that orders in respect of other ^{other} applicants would be obtained from the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>3. At her request S.O. to 5.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>M.A. No.67 of 2020 in O.A. No.232 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Dange Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Ms. Ankita Nishad, learned Advocate holding for Ms. V.L. Maindad, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant undertakes to deposit of cost of Rs.2000/- in the office of the Tribunal and produce the receipt.</p> <p>3. S O. to 20.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ✓</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J) 13.2.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>
	<p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- 7</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.1 of 2020 in O.A. No.16 of 2015</u></p> <p>A.W. KulkarniApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. Advocate for the applicant tenders application dated 10.2.2020 of the applicant for withdrawal of CA and seeks leave to withdraw the above CA as there is compliance of the order. The same is taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.</p> <p>3. Leave granted. CA is allowed to be withdrawn and disposed off as such.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhakar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020 13.2.2020</p> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

M.A. No.41 of 2020 in O.A. No.546 of 2018

S.B. KhemnarApplicant

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents

Heard Shri Sandeep Thorat, learned Advocate holding for Shri Rajeev Matkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. By the present MA, J.d. Advocate for the applicant seeks leave to amend the OA as per schedule attached to the MA. J.d. CPO has no objection to the proposed amendment.

3. Leave granted. MA is allowed. Amendment be carried out.

4. OA is adjourned to 12.3.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member (J)
13.2.2020

(sgl)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
13.2.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20__

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20__

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>C.A. No.56 of 2019 in O.A. No.1265 of 2013</u></p> <p>R.M. Kashelkar Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO undertakes to file short affidavit regarding the proposal which has been submitted to the competent authority in the Government.</p> <p>3. At her request S.O. to 20.2.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020</p> <p>(sgf)</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</p> </div> </div>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A.129/2015 in OAs.142, 143 & 144/2015</u></p> <p>S.S. Deshpande Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>Heard Applicant in person and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>3. At the request of Applicant, S.O. to 5.3.2020.</p> <p align="center">  Sd/- </p> <p align="center">  Sd/- </p> <p align="center"> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020 </p> <p align="center"> (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020 </p> <p>(sgj)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>13.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 911/2019</u></p> <p>Gadade S.T & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Shri C.V Dharurkar, learned advocate for the applicant has filed his leave note. Heard Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O files affidavit in reply on behalf of Respondent no. 2. Same it taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 20.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 40px;">Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>13.02.2020</p> <p>O.A 456/2020</p> <p>D.S Gnmladu ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri D.B Khaire, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant seeks two weeks for filing rejninder</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 27.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>13.02.2020</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 597/2018</u></p> <p>V.B Panari & Others ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere holding with Ms P.J Gavhane, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents 1 to 3 and Shri Jogdeo, learned advocate for Respondents no 5 to 25. Shri R.L Chavan, learned advocate for Respondents no 4 to 19 and 21 & 24 absent.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for applicant undertakes to serve copy of judgment of Hon. Supreme Court to the learned C.P.O as well as learned advocate for Respondents no 5 to 25 on which he is relying</p> <p>3. Learned advocate for the applicant further request that he may allowed to file MA to amend his pleadings.</p> <p>4. At his request adjourned to 12.3.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: left; margin-left: 10px;">Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Caram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 34/2020</u></p> <p>S.B Salunke ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant, Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents no 1 to 4 and Shri Arvind V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 5.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O mentions that progress in the matter along with affidavit filed by the Secretary MSPC. Respondent no. 1 would be communicated to this Tribunal on the next date.</p> <p>3. Shri Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for Respondent no. 5, seeks one weeks' time for filing reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 20.2.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- </p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>M.A. No.660 of 2019 in O.A. No.1064 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.S. Panajkar .Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. .Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Amol Jagtap, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 20.2.2020.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"><div style="text-align: center;"> (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020</div><div style="text-align: center;"> (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</div></div> <p>(sgi)</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>M.A. No.27 of 2020 in O.A. No.13 of 2020</u></p> <p>R.S. PawarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Shri Amol Jagtap, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.A. Desai, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1- MPSC and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents No.2 & 3.</p> <p>2. At the request of Ld. CPO adjourned to 4.3.2020 for filing reply in MA and OA.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020 (sg)</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**



M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.56 of 2019 in O.A. No.1265 of 2013</u></p> <p>R.M. KashelkarApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri V.P. Potbhare, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. I.d. PO undertakes to file short affidavit regarding the proposal which has been submitted to the competent authority in the Government.</p> <p>3. At her request S.O. to 20.2.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ~~~~ (A.P. Kurbekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020</p> <p align="center">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A. No.90 of 2020</u></p> <p>Vilas Kulkarni ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri U.D. Chemburkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Issue notice returnable on 5.3.2020.</p> <p>3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.</p> <p>4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988. and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>7. In case notice is not collected within three days and if service report on affidavit is not filed three days before returnable date, OA shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; margin-top: 20px;"> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;"> <p>Sd/- </p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</p> </div> </div> <p>(sgl)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

O.A. No.73 of 2020

N.R. Manaware & Ors. ..Applicants

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents

Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Ld. Advocate for the applicants seeks leave to enclose appointment order of applicant no.1 in the OA by way of amendment.

3. Leave granted.

4. S.O. to 27.2.2020.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhakar)
Member (J)
13.2.2020

(sgj)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman (A)
13.2.2020

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders		
	<p><u>M.A. No.57 of 2020 in O.A. No.73 of 2020</u></p> <p>N.R. Manaware & Ors. ..Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. By this MA, the Applicants are seeking leave to sue jointly. The Applicants are similarly situated and for the reasons stated in the MA, leave to sue jointly as prayed for is granted, subject to the Applicants paying requisite court-fees, if not already paid. MA disposed off accordingly.</p> <table><tr><td>Sd/- (A.P. Kurthekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020</td><td>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020</td></tr></table> <p>(sgl)</p>	Sd/- (A.P. Kurthekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020
Sd/- (A.P. Kurthekar) Member (J) 13.2.2020	Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020		

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 10/2020</u></p> <p>S.S Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V Bhosale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate for the applicant is directed to file M.A along with proposed draft amendments.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 12.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- ✓ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p align="center"><u>O.A 95/2020</u></p> <p>P.D Sakunde ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Arvind V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O produces communication from Respondent no. 3, as per directions issued by the Tribunal on 6.2.2020. Same is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O mentions that she needs to file reply on behalf of Respondent no. 1, MPSC.</p> <p>4. Learned advocate for the applicant mentions that reply for the rest of para is not required.</p> <p>5. Learned P.O seeks short time for filing reply.</p> <p>6. S.O to 27.2.2020. The issue of I.R will be considered at that time.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ✓</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="center">Sd/- ✓</p> <p align="center">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 764/2019 with O.A 97 /2020</u></p> <p>A.S Nayakwadi & Others P.K Pande' ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S Dere, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 764/2019, Shri C.T Chandratre, learned advocate for the applicant in O.A 97/2020 and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O mentions that she will be filing additional affidavit in reply during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. So far O.A 97/2020, learned C.P.O states that she has no instructions in the matter.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 27.2.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 88/2020</u></p> <p>Dr V.R Bhailume ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O seeks three weeks for filing reply. She further mentions that provisional pension has been given up to July 2019.</p> <p>3. On instructions from Shri Rajan V Nikar, Superintendent, Director of Health Services, Mumbai, she states that progress of payment of provisional pension will be informed to this Tribunal by next date.</p> <p>3. S.O to 19.3.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- ✓ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- ✓ (P. N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>



**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>13.02.2020</u></p> <p><u>O.A 55, 56 & 57/2020</u></p> <p>G.B Udeg [O.A 55/2020] J.M Khanzode [O.A 56/2020] V.B Waghmare [O.A 57/2020] ... Applicants</p> <p>Vs.</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicants and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 20.2.2020. Learned P.O is directed to provide readable copies of the reply.</p> <p>Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>O.A. No.1181 of 2019</u></p> <p>S.K. Narale ..Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri Sandeep Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Ld. PO seeks adjournment till 18.2.2020 for reporting progress.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.2.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- Sd/- </p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020 13.2.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p align="center"><u>C.A. No.47 of 2019 in O.A. No.30 of 2019</u></p> <p>A.R. NangreApplicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents</p> <p>Heard Shri S.D. Patil, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. On instructions from Shri Anup B. Puranik, Assistant Commissioner of State Excise. Id. PO mentions that the matter has been put up before appointing authority i.e. Commissioner of State Excise. As per his submissions he would report progress on 18.2.2020.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 18.2.2020.</p> <p align="center">Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A) 13.2.2020 13.2.2020</p> <p>(sgj)</p>