

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memorands of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.02.2019.

O.A.No.618 of 2018
(Subject : Selection)

M.S. ShindeApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE : 13/2/19

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)
P.N. Dixit

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. M.S. Shinde ad or.

Advocate for the Applicant

Miss S.P. Manchekar
Sd/Smt. C.P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj./S.O. to Heard

order passed in Tribunal column. Hence O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'B'

1. Heard M/S. Talekar & Ors., the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states that she has received instructions orally from Shri D.S. Urade, Under Secretary of M.P.S.C. that prayer 'B' is to be conceded. Prayer B reads as follows :-

"B. To direct the respondents to include the name of the applicant in the list of candidates eligible for recommendation dated 20.06.2018, published by the MPSC and to appoint him as PSI from Open-Sports category pursuant to the PSI Examination Main Examination-2016;"

(Quoted from page 23 of the paper book of O.A.)

3. Hence, O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'B' quoted in foregoing paragraph irrespective of impugned notification.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Sd/-

(A.H. Joshi, J.)
Chairman

MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL, MUMBAI

ORIGINAL APPLICATION No.322 of 2017

DISTRICT : PALGHAR

Dr. Prakash W. Khandezod,)
R/at. Indraprasth Building, Buoisar, Dist. Palghar.)....**Applicant**

Versus

1. State of Maharashtra, through the Secretary,)
Public Health Department, Mantralaya,)
Mumbai 400 032.)
2. Director of Health Service, Arogya Bhavan,)
St. Georges Hospital Campus, Near C.S.T. Mumbai.)
3. Deputy Director of Health Services, Mumbai Circle,)
Thane.)
4. The District Health Officer, Zilla Parishad, Palghar.)...**Respondents**

Shri J. S. Deshmukh, Advocate for Applicant.
Smt. Archana B. K., Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

CORAM : SHRI A. P. KURHEKAR , MEMBER (J)

DATE : 13.02.2019

JUDGMENT

1. Heard Shri J. S. Deshmukh , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondent Nos.1 to 3 and Ms G. Gavali, learned Advocate for the Respondent Nos.4 and 5.
2. In the present O.A., the Applicant is seeking regularisation of suspension period from 31.12.2012 to 26.08.2013 as per prayer clause 'c' of the application. In so far as the prayer 'b' about benefit of Assured Carrier Progressive Scheme is concerned, admittedly, that has been complied with. As such, the question remains about the regularization of suspension period only.

Handwritten signature

3. It is significant to note that the Applicant stands retired on 31.05.2015. Though the period of more than three years till date is over. The retiral benefits are not released because of non-regularization of suspension period. In 2012, the Applicant was suspended in contemplation of D.E. which has not seen the day of light. Thereafter, the Applicant was in service for three years but no D.E. was initiated and he stands retired on attaining the age of superannuation. He had filed representation dated 17.08.2015 to regularize the suspension period and to release the retiral benefits, but the same was not responded. Ultimately, he approached this Tribunal after waiting three years for retiral benefits.

4. Here, it is pertinent to note that in the reply filed by Respondent Nos.1 and 2 which is at page No.89, the specific averment is made that the Government is of the view that now the D.E. cannot be initiated against the Applicant, as he stands retired on 31.05.2015. Thus, the D.E. which was contemplated in 2012 has not at all initiated and now there is no question of initiating the D.E. As such, there is no hurdle to regularize the suspension period and to release the retiral benefits.

5. The laxity and inaction on the part of the Respondents is manifest from the record. Needless to mention that the pension is not bounty but the right of the Government servant which cannot be withheld unless Rules provides so.

6. In the present case, no fault can be attributed to the Applicant. It is due to sheer negligence and callousness on the part of the Respondents, the Applicant is required to wait for the retiral benefits for four years.

7. At this juncture, learned Advocate for the Applicant stated that G.P.F. and G.I.S. is received. However, gratuity and regular pension is not yet sanctioned.

8. In view of above, this O.A. can be disposed of with suitable directions. Hence the following order.

ORDER

- (i) The Original Application is disposed of.
- (ii) The Respondent No.4 is directed to decide the representation of the Applicant dated 17.08.2015 which is pending for four years with him and release retiral benefits after necessary compliance within two months from today.
- (iii) As the Applicant stands retired from the establishment of Respondent No.4, therefore, it is for Respondent No.4 to make all the compliances as required about the regularization of the suspension period among the other thing and to ensure that the retiral benefits are released within two months from today.
- (iv) If monetary benefits are not paid within two months, the amount shall carry interest as admissible in rules.
- (v) No order as to costs.

Sd/-

W
(A.P. KURHEKAR)
MEMBER (J)

Place : Mumbai
Date : 13.02.2019
Dictation taken by : V.S. Mane
E:\vsm\2019\O.A.322 of 17 - suspensio period & benefit of ACP Scheme (MI).doc

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.132 of 2019</p> <p>S. S. ZoreApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Smt A. A. Borhade , learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S. D. Dole, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The Applicant is serving as Police Constable, R/at Government Quarter No. A -11, Bandra Police Colony, Bandra, Mumbai. The said quarter was allotted to the Applicant by allotment order dated 01.01.2019. Accordingly, the Applicant took the possession of the said quarter on 30.01.2019. Thereafter, the Respondent No.2 attempted to dispossess her forcibly. Therefore, she made a representations dated 10.02.2019 and 11.02.2019. However, the Respondent No.2 by letter dated 11.02.2019 informed her that though the quarter was allotted to her, she was required to take possession on or before 20.01.2019 and having failed to do so, the said quarter shown as vacant in E-Awas Yojana. By the said letter, she further directed to vacant the quarter and hand over the possession.</p> <p>3. The Applicant contends that the Respondent No.2 high handedly dispossessed her on 12th February, 2019 by removing the lock of the house and thrown away her valuables and other household articles from the quarter.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>4. On this pleading, she has approached this Tribunal for the declaration that the letter dated 11.02.2019 be declared as illegal and also sought interim relief. She has also produced photo of quarter in support of contention to show that the household articles and valuable were thrown out of the quarter. The only reason for alleged dispossession seems to be not taking the possession of the quarter on or before 20.01.2019. It is not made clear what are the terms and conditions of the allotment letter and whether there was any such stipulation to take the possession of the quarter on or before 20.01.2019. Apart from that, any action on part of the Respondents to dispossess the Applicant from the quarter without taking proper course of law is highly objectionable. The Applicant was on maternity leave in the said period.</p> <p>5. In view of above, I am satisfied that interim relief in terms of prayer clause (a) deserves to be granted. The Respondents are directed not to allot the said quarter to anybody else and the same be kept vacant till further orders.</p> <p>6. Issue of grant of interim relief as prayed in clause (b) will be considered on next date.</p> <p>7. Issue notice before admission returnable on 27.02.2019.</p> <p>8. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>9. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>10. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>11. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>12. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>13. S.O. to 27.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>


IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : _____</p> <p>CORAM : P.N. Dixit (M.B.) Hon'ble Justice Shri A.H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>By Consent of</u> both parties matters may be placed before Division Bench as mentioned above.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.924 of 2018</p> <p>K.C. ChaudhariApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents this matter may be tagged with O.A.No.585/2018 and connected matters. 3. By consent of both parties matters may be placed before Division Bench as mentioned above. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N/ Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. - of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>P.N. Dixit (M.A.)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri/Smt. M/S. Talekar & Ors.</u> Advocate to the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u></p> <p><i>order passed in tribunal column. Hence O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'C'</i></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>e</i></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.585 of 2018 (Subject : Appointment)</p> <p>A.N. SawaleApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M/S. Talekar & Ors., the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states that she has received instructions orally from Shri D.S. Urade, Under Secretary of M.P.S.C. that prayer 'C' is to be conceded. Prayer C reads as follows :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;"><i>"C. To direct the respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the applicant from Open-Sports category and include his name in the list of candidates eligible for recommendation in 2016;"</i> (Quoted from page 13 of the paper book of O.A.)</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'C' quoted in foregoing paragraph irrespective of impugned notification.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N. Dixit) (A.H. Joshi, J) Member(A) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : <u>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> <u>P.N. Dixit (M.B.)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE : <u>Shri/Smt. M/S. Talekar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p><u>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Hcead</u></p> <p><i>order passed in tribunal column. Hence O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer (B)</i></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.600 of 2018 (Subject : Appointment)</p> <p>J.B. SalunkheApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M/S. Talekar & Ors., the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states that she has received instructions orally from Shri D.S. Urade, Under Secretary of M.P.S.C. that prayer 'B' is to be conceded. Prayer B reads as follows :-</p> <p><i>"B. To direct the respondents to include the name of the applicant in the list of candidates eligible for recommendation doted 20.06.2018, published by the MPSC and to appoint her as PSI from Open-Female category pursuant to PSI Examination Main Examination-2016;"</i> (Quoted from page 20 of the paper book of O.A.)</p> <p>3. Hence, D.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'B' quoted in foregoing paragraph irrespective of impugned notification.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 13/2/19</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) P. at Dixit (M.B.)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. M/S Talekar and Ors. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Column. Hence O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'B'</p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.593 of 2018 (Subject : Appointment)</p> <p>C.S. NikamApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M/S. Talekar & Ors., the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states that she has received instructions orally from Shri D.S. Urade, Under Secretary of M.P.S.C. that prayer 'B' is to be conceded. Prayer B reads as follows :-</p> <p>"B. To direct the respondents to include the name of the applicant in the list of candidates eligible for recommendation dated 20.06.2018, published by the MPSC and to appoint her as PSI from Open-Female category pursuant to PSI Examination Main Examination-2016;" (Quoted from page 18 of the paper book of O.A.)</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'B' quoted in foregoing paragraph irrespective of impugned notification.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi (J.)) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi, (Chairman) <u>P.N. Dixit (M.A.)</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri M/S. Talekar & Ors. Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.C. :- <u>Record</u></p> <p><i>order passed in Tribunal Column. Hence O.A. is allowed in terms of Prayer B</i></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.592 of 2018 (Subject : Appointment)</p> <p>A.S. BhagatApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M/S. Talekar & Ors., the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states that she has received instructions orally from Shri D.S. Urade, Under Secretary of M.P.S.C. that prayer 'B' is to be conceded. Prayer B reads as follows :-</p> <p>"B. To direct the respondents to include the name of the applicant in the list of candidates eligible for recommendation dated 20.06.2018, published by the MPSC and to appoint her as PSI from Open-Female category pursuant to PSI Examination Main Examination-2016;" (Quoted from page 18 of the paper book of O.A.)</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'B' quoted in foregoing paragraph irrespective of impugned notification.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.H. Joshi, J) Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) — P.N. Dixit (M.A.)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M/S. Talekar and Ors.</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj./S.O. to <u>Head</u></p> <p><i>order passed in Tribunal Column. OB is allowed in terms of prayer 'B'</i></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.601 of 2018 (Subject : Appointment)</p> <p>M. PujariApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard M/S. Talekar & Ors., the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states that she has received instructions orally from Shri D.S. Urade, Under Secretary of M.P.S.C. that prayer 'B' is to be conceded. Prayer B reads as follows :-</p> <p><i>"B. To direct the respondents to include the name of the applicant in the list of candidates eligible for recommendation dated 20.06.2018, published by the MPSC and to appoint her as PSI from Open-Female category pursuant to PSI Examination Main Examination-2016;"</i> (Quoted from page 20 of the paper book of O.A.)</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer 'B' quoted in foregoing paragraph irrespective of impugned notification.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ Sd/- _____</p> <p>(P.N. Dixit) Member(A) (A.H. Joshi, Chairman)</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) (1 - P.N. Desai C.M.A.)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./R.O. for the Respondent/s Adj./S.O. to <u>Heard</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column. Hence O.A. allowed in terms of prayer (a)</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.924 of 2018 (Subject : Non-Selection)</p> <p>K.C. ChaudhariApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states that she has received instructions orally from Shri D.S. Urade, Under Secretary of M.P.S.C. that prayer 'a' is to be conceded. Prayer (a) reads as follows :-</p> <p style="padding-left: 40px;">“(a) This Hon'ble Tribunal be pleased to hold and declare that the impugned order dated 4.7.2018 issued by the Respondent as legal and bad in law and the same be quashed and set aside with further directions directing the Respondent to consider the case of the present Petitioner from open category with consequential service benefits.” (Quoted from page 8 of the paper book of O.A.)</p> <p>3. Hence, O.A. is allowed in terms of prayer (a) quoted in foregoing paragraph irrespective of impugned notification.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2019.

O.A.No.368 of 2018 to O.A.No.373 of 2018 with
O.A.No.377 of 2018
(Subject : Departmental Examination)

K.M. Bhosale (O.A.368/2018)
V.G. Shelar (O.A.369/2018)
A.G. Vichare (O.A.370/2018)
V.A. Lokhande (O.A.371/2018)
A.V. Upadhye (O.A.372/2018)
D.S. Patil (O.A.373/2018)
M.M. Malvankar (O.A.377/2018)Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent.

3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents points out that in similar matter in O.A.No.274/2018, This Tribunal has obverted as under :-

"11. The position that the Recruitment Rules are changed and the Maharashtra Finance and Accounts Services, Class-III Rules, 1965 have now become obsolete, is incapable of being disputed.

12. In view of the foregoing factual and legal position and observations of this Tribunal, based on the statement of learned C.P.O. about position of law, it is not disputed and is not disputable, the very purpose of present Original Applications is outlived.

13. Hence present Original Application has become infructuous and is disposed of as infructuous."

(Quoted paragraph 11 to 13 from O.A.No.274/18 dated 15.01.19.)

4. In view of the order passed in O.A.No.274/2018, all these Original Applications are also disposed of as infructuous.

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

DATE: 13/2/19

COBAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. M. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A
P.N. DIXIT

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: none for the applicant

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: Head

order passed in
tribunal column.
OA are also
disposed of as
infructuous.

2

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.19 of 2018</p> <p>P. M. BhosleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed reply Affidavit in Sur-Rejoinder on behalf of Respondent Nos.1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. The matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 21.02.2019.</p> <p>Sd/-</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

*versus***(A.P. Kurhekar)**The State of Maharashtra and others
vs**Member(J)**

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O. A. No. 850 of 2017</p> <p>Dr. L. Y. LanjeApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1.Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms S. Suryawanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2.Today, the learned P.O. for the Respondents has filed Affidavit-in-Reply on behalf of the Respondent Nos. 1 and 2. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3.On the request of the learned Advocate of the Applicant, the matter is adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4.S.O. to 28.02.2019.</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1145 of 2018</p> <p>S. P. NaikApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In terms of the order passed by this Tribunal on 06.02.2019, the matter was adjourned today to know the steps taken by the Respondents to comply to the order passed in earlier O.A. No.256/2013 decided on 13.11.2011. As per the said judgment, the directions were given to the Respondents to pay all service benefits till the Applicant actually superannuated in view of section 47 of " The Persons with the Disabilities (equal 'Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O. for the Respondents submitted that the decision about the compliance of order passed by this Tribunal in O.A. No.256/2013 will be taken within two weeks and necessary orders will be issued.</p> <p>4. In view of above, two weeks time is granted.</p> <p>5. S.O. to 27.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2019.

M.A. No.67 of 2019 in O. A. No.890 of 2017

U. P. Ugale

....Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.

1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.03.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 07.03.2019.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.130 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. Thakur & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ..Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 07.03.2019.3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.8. S.O. to 07.03.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>M. A. No. 71 of 2019 in O.A.No.130 of 2019</p> <p>D. J. Thakur & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. This is an application for leave to sue jointly.3. Considering the cause of action pursued by the Applicants is common, concurrent and usual, the cases are not required to be decided separately.4. In this view of the matter, the present Misc. Application is allowed subject to Applicants paying requisite court fees, if not already paid.5. M.A. is allowed. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

IN

Original Application No. _____

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>M.D. Lonkar</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. Suryawanshi</u> C.P.O./D.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adt/S.O. to <u>22/2/19</u> order passed in Tribunal column.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>Tate</u></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>C.A.No.37 of 2018 in O.A.No.907 of 2017</p> <p>U.A. Sonawale & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S. Suryawanshi, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. Ms. S. Suryawanshi for the Respondents states that the affidavit received from the Principal Secretary Shri Ashish Kumar Singh, IAS, is not satisfactory and she would choose to advise him to have it redrafted, and prays for a week's time. 3. Time as prayed is granted. 4. S.O. to 22.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) <u>49/2/19</u> Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 12/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE</u> Shri/Smt. M. D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. heard M.A. is disposed of</p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.68 of 2019 in O.A.No.136 of 2012</p> <p>S.A. Shaikh & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Applicants shall be free to file separate petition. 3. M.A. is disposed of. <p>Sd/- (P.N) Dixit Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Date: 13.02.2019. Tribunal No. 398 of 2014
<p>DATE: 13/2/19</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Ramosh Kumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Secy. <u>M.G. Sawardekar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Secy. <u>A.J. Chougule</u></p> <p>C.F.O./E.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Head</u></p> <p>order passed in Tribunal Column 7 5010 26/3/19.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>el</u></p>	<p>N.K. Sale & Ors.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri M.G. Sawardekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate Shri M.G. Sawardekar for the Applicant has tendered draft amendment and prays for leave to amend. 3. Leave to amend as prayed is granted. 4. Amendment be carried out within three days. 5. Issue fresh notice returnable on 26.03.2019. 6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 10. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 11. S.O. to 26.03.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- <u>el</u> (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>

<p>Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders</p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019 Tribunal's orders O.A.No.100 of 2019</p>
<p>DATE: 13/2/19 CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A P.N. DIXIT APPEARANCE Shri. A.V. Bandiwadkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.F.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To: Heard Order passed in Tribunal column 5020 314/19</p>	<p>Dr. K.L. PargaonkarApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. This Tribunal wanted to find out whether the Government would issue suitable orders by obeying the judgment rendered at Nagpur Bench of this Tribunal which governs the issue involved in present O.A..</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents states on instructions that while the judgment of this Tribunal rendered at Nagpur Bench is confirmed before Hon'ble High Court. Government has decided to apply for Review before the Hon'ble High Court.</p> <p>4. In view of this statement it may not be possible to dispose of the O.A. at the present stage.</p> <p>5. However issue order of notice returnable on 03.04.2019.</p> <p>6. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.</p> <p>7. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.</p> <p>8. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.</p> <p>9. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.</p> <p>10. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.</p> <p>11. S.O. to 03.04.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N) Dixit Member(A)</p> <p>Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date: 13.02.2019.

O.A.No.368 of 2018 to O.A.No.373 of 2018 with
O.A.No.377 of 2018
(Subject : Departmental Examination)

K.M. Bhosale (O.A.368/2018)
V.G. Shelar (O.A.369/2018)
A.G. Vichare (O.A.370/2018)
V.A. Lokhande (O.A.371/2018)
A.V. Upadhye (O.A.372/2018)
D.S. Patil (O.A.373/2018)
M.M. Malvankar (O.A.377/2018)Applicants.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief
Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are
absent.

3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the
Respondents points out that in similar matter in
O.A.No.274/2018, This Tribunal has obverted as under :-

"11. The position that the Recruitment Rules are
changed and the Maharashtra Finance and Accounts
Services, Class-III Rules, 1965 have now become
obsolete, is incapable of being disputed.

12. In view of the foregoing factual and legal
position and observations of this Tribunal, based on
the statement of learned C.P.O. about position of law,
it is not disputed and is not disputable, the very
purpose of present Original Applications is outlived.

13. Hence present Original Application has
become infructuous and is disposed of as
infructuous."

(Quoted paragraph 11 to 13 from O.A.No.274/18
dated 15.01.19.)

4. In view of the order passed in O.A.No.274/2018, all
these Original Applications are also disposed of as
infructuous.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

DATE: 13/2/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt: none for the applicant

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar
C.P.O. / P.O. for the Respondents

Adj. To

Head
order passed in
Tribunal column.
O.A. are also
disposed of as
infructuous.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 13/2/19.</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. S. S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S. D. Dole C.P.G./P.D. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Heard</u> order passed in Tribunal column. O.A. is accordingly allowed with the directions.</p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.6 of 2019 (Subject : Selection)</p> <p>S.B. SalunkeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents on instructions from Shri D.S. Urale, Under Secretary states that M.P.S.C. does not want to file affidavit-in-reply. 3. In the background that M.P.S.C. has decided to allow the applicant to participate in the selection process unconditionally, except with conditions as to eligibility. 4. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicant states that he is satisfied with the steps taken by M.P.S.C. and O.A. can be disposed of in view of the concession of the M.P.S.C. 5. O.A. is accordingly allowed with following directions :- Respondent No.1 is directed to allow the applicant to participate in the selection process by ignoring the proclamation (copy whereof is at Exhibit-D, page 27 of the paper book of O.A.) subject to applicant following all other conditions as to eligibility. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt: <u>K.R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: <u>A.J. Chougule</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Ad. To <u>Head</u> order passed in Tribunal column O.A. is disposed with liberty as prayed.</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.751 of 2015 (Subject : Pay scale)</p> <p>K.A. AwateApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri K.R. Jagdale for the Applicant states that Applicant desires to withdraw the O.A. with liberty to agitate the cause after collecting more information and documents. Hence, O.A. is disposed with liberty as prayed.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.N.) Sd/-</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 13/2/19.</p> <p>CORAM: Hon. Jt. Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon. Jt. Justice Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri M. D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Smt. Archana B.K. C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. 5/3/19.</p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.365 of 2018</p> <p>B.R. ShindeApplicant. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate M.D. Lonkar for the Applicant mentions that the matter pertains to Single Judge Bench.</p> <p>3. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents requests for three week's time for filing reply.</p> <p>4. Matter should be accordingly placed before Single Judge.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 05.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 13.02.2019.
<p>DATE: <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramesh Kumar (Member) A <u>P.N. Dixit</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. : <u>None for the Applicant</u> Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : <u>S.P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s Adj. To : <u>Dismissed for want of prosecution.</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>l</u></p>	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.625 of 2018</p> <p>R.R. PatilApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Smt. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Applicant as well as Advocate for the Applicant are absent. 3. Dismissed for want of prosecution. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders
Date : 13.02.2019.

O.A.No.1017 of 2018

V.P. KapadeApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents seeks two week's time to file reply. Respondents should particularly give reply to paragraph 7.3 to 7.35 of the pleadings.

3. Request for consideration for giving directions to the Respondents would be taken up during the hearing of the O.A.

4. Adjourned to 28.02.2019.

DATE: 13/2/19

COE:
Hon'ble J. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Smt. K.S. Gaikwad (Member) A

APPEARANCE:
Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for Applicant:
Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s


Adj. To: Heard

order passed by
Tribunal Column
Adj to 28/2/19

2

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt.: S.S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt.: S.D. Dole C.P.O./B.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To: Heard order passed in Tribunal column OA is accordingly allowed with directions</p> <p style="text-align: right;">&</p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.20 of 2019 (Subject : Selection)</p> <p>R.R. BagulApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri S.D. Dole, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned P.O. Shri S.D. Dole for the Respondents on instructions from Shri D.S. Urale, Under Secretary states that M.P.S.C. does not want to file affidavit-in-reply. 3. In the background that M.P.S.C. has decided to allow the applicant to participate in the selection process unconditionally, except with conditions as to eligibility. 4. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicant states that he is satisfied with the steps taken by M.P.S.C. and O.A. can be disposed of in view of the concession of the M.P.S.C. 5. D.A. is accordingly allowed with following directions :- Respondent No.1 is directed to allow the applicant to participate in the selection process by ignoring the proclamation (copy whereof is at Exhibit-D, page 27 of the paper book of O.A.) subject to applicant following all other conditions as to eligibility. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.) Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt: S. S. Dere Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt: S. P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p><u>Adj. To:</u> Heard</p> <p>order passed in Tribunal column O.A. is accordingly allowed with directions</p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.933 of 2018 (Subject : Selection)</p> <p>H.L. Bhalerao & Anr.Applicants. Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Applicant No.2, Shri Lingappa N. Sargar, prays for liberty to withdraw his name from the array of Applicants. Hence, Shri Lingappa N. Sargar, Applicant No.2's name be deleted from the array of applicants. 3. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents on instructions from Shri D.S. Urale, Under Secretary states that M.P.S.C. does not want to file affidavit-in-reply. 4. In the background that M.P.S.C. has decided to allow the applicant to participate in the selection process unconditionally, except with conditions as to eligibility. 5. Learned Advocate Shri S.S. Dere for the Applicant states that he is satisfied with the steps taken by M.P.S.C. and D.A. can be disposed of in view of the concession of the M.P.S.C. 6. O.A. is accordingly allowed with following directions :- Respondent No.1 is directed to allow the applicant to participate in the selection process by ignoring the proclamation (copy whereof is at Exhibit-D, page 27 of the paper book of O.A.) subject to applicant following all other conditions as to eligibility. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (A.H. Joshi, J.J.) Chairman</p> <p style="text-align: right;">M</p> <p>prk</p>

13.02.2019

M.A 62 to 66/2019 in O.A No 317, 318, 319, 320 & 321/2017

Shri V.P Rajput & Others ... Applicants
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicants and Shri N.K Rajpurohit, learned Special Counsel with Ms Savita Suryavanshi, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has filed leave note.

2. Issue notice returnable on 12.4.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O to 12.4.2019.

DATE : 13-2-19

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri B.A. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicants

Shri N.K. Rajpurohit Spl. counsel

C.P.O. for the Respondents S. Suryawanshi

orders passed by the tribunal along

Adj. S.O. to

S.O. to 12-4-19

S.B.K.

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J) *hm*
Chairman

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.


of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : <u>13-2-19</u></p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. <u>K. R. Jagdale</u> Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>M. G. Gohad (leave not)</u> C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s order passd in tribunal colium Adj./S.O. to <u>20-02-19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>Sorash</u></p>	<p>13.02.2019</p> <p><u>O.A No 969/2018</u></p> <p>Shri T.A Diwan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant. Ms Neelima Gohad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents has filed leave note.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O, S.O to 20.2.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-  (A.H Joshi, J) Chairman</p> <p>Akn</p>

MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No.

of 20

IN

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------


13.02.2019

C.A 40/2018 in O.A No 498/2018

Shri P.G Sananse ... Applicant
 Vs.
 The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents

1. Heard Shri M.B Kadam, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. At the request of learned P.O, S.O to 22.4.2019.


 Sd/-
 (A.H Joshi, J)
 Chairman

Akn

DATE : 13.2.19

CORAM :

Honble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri M. B. Kadam


Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. K.S. Gaikwad

P.O./S.O. for the Respondents

order passed in tribunal column

Adj./S.O. to 22.4.19



I N

Original Application No.

of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET NO.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

13.02.2019**O.A No 124/2019**

Shri Sanjay S. Solanki ... Applicant
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra & Ors... Respondents

1. Heard Shri K.R Jagdale, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice returnable on 25.3.2019.

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued.

4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by hand delivery/speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record.

8. S.O to 25.3.2019.

DATE : 13-2-19

CORAM :

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. K.R. Jagdale

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O./O. for the Respondent/s

order passed in tribunal column

Adj./S.O. to 25-3-19.Sonal

Sd/-

(A.H Joshi, J.)
Chairman

Akn

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.02.2019.

O.A.No.857 of 2017

B.R. ShindeApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE : 13/2/19
COXAM :
~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~
Hon'ble Shri M. P. Patil (Member) A

PN DIXIT
APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. : M.D. Lonkar
Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. : P.N. Dixit
C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To... Admit for final
hearing in due course

1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.
2. Learned P.O. Smt. Archana B.K. for the Respondents have filed their say as well as reply.
3. Learned Advocate Shri M.D. Lonkar for the Applicant mentions that the matter may be admitted. Accordingly, the matter is admitted for final hearing in due course.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE : 13/2/19</p> <p>CORAM : Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Ramakrishna (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE : Shri/Smt. : M.D. Lonkar Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. : S. Gaikwad C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To... Admit for final hearing due course</p> <p align="right"><i>P</i></p>	<p align="center">Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p align="center">O.A.No.1049 of 2018</p> <p>M.B. PanditApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.D. Lonkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. Smt. K.S. Gaikwad for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondent No.2.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted for final hearing in due course.</p> <p align="right">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 13/2/19</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P. N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. None for the Applicant Advocate for the Applicant S.P. Manelekar Shri/Smt. S.P. Manelekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s O.P. Adsule for the Nos. 6 & 8. Adj. To 5/3/19</p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">D.A.No.995 of 2018</p> <p>J.N. Gosavi & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents and Shri D.P. Adsule, the learned Advocate for the Respondents No.6 and 8. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. Learned Advocate Shri D.P. Adsule for the Respondents No.6 and 8 seeks adjournment for three week's.</p> <p>3. S.O. to 05.03.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p><u>DATE:</u> 13/2/19</p> <p><u>CORAM:</u> Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A</p> <p><u>APPEARANCE:</u> Shri/Smt. N.Y. Chavan For L.S. Deshmukh, Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To 21/2/19 as the request of ld C.P.O.</p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.669 of 2018</p> <p>S.R. VarkhandeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri N.Y. Chavan, the learned Advocate holding for Shri L.S. Deshmukh, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to 21.02.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.02.2019.

M.A.No.58 of 2019 in O.A.No.116 of 2019

Dr. D.N. PatilApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. None for the Applicant.

2. This M.A. is for amendment and for adding Respondent No.3.

3. M.A. is permitted and disposed of accordingly.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

DATE: 13/2/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri P.N. Dixit (Member) A

APPEARANCE:

Strickent: done for the applicant

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. Archana B.K.

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: on it disposed of

accordingly.

2

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 13.02.2019.

O.A.No.116 of 2019

Dr. D.N. PatilApplicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. None for the Applicant.
2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 13.03.2019.
3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.
4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.
5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.
6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.
7. In case notice is not collected within three days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.
8. S.O. to 13.03.2019. Respondents should particularly mention if there is any legal impediment in considering Applicant's request.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

DATE: 13/2/19
 CORAM:
 Member (Chairman)
 Member (A)
 APPLICANT
 Smt. Archana B.K. (Applicant)
 Smt. Archana B.K. (Respondent/s)
 Adj. To: Heard
 order passed by
 Tribunal Column
 S.O to 13/3/19.

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice <u>Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</u> Hon'ble Shri <u>M. N. Dixit (Member) A</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE: <u>Shri/Smt. : M. V. Thorat</u> Advocate for the Applicant <u>Shri/Smt. : S. P. Manchekar</u> C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>14/2/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><u>2</u></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.1062 of 2017</p> <p>G.A. Palve & Ors.Applicants.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M.V. Thorat, the learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents adjourned to tomorrow i.e. 14.02.2019 to produce decision taken by Respondent No.2.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.02.2019.

O.A.No.315 of 2018

M.R. MithapalliApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

1. Heard Shri Jaiwar, the learned Advocate holding for Shri R.G. Panchal, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. At the request of learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents Adjourned to 27.02.2019.

DATE: 13/2/19

CORAM:

Hon'ble Justice ~~Dr. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

Hon'ble Shri ~~..... Kumar (Member) A~~

~~P.N. Dixit~~

APPEARANCE

Shri/Smt. Jaiwar for R.G. Panchal

Advocate for the Applicant

S.P. Manchekar

C.P.O. / P.O. For the Respondents

Adj. To..... 27/2/19.

2

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. N. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri A. N. Joshi (Member) A</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>none for the applicant</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. <u>S. P. Manchekar</u></p> <p>C.P.O./P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To <u>5/3/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"><i>l</i></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.626 of 2018 in O.A.No.989 of 2018</p> <p>R.Y. KadamApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents. None for the Applicant. 2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents requests for time to enable her to file reply. 3. Time granted. 4. S.O. to 05.03.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.02.2019.

M.A.No.627 of 2018 in O.A.No.990 of 2018

V.S. KadamApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE: 13/2/19

BEAM:

Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)

Hon'ble Shri M. P. Manjekar (Member) A

P.N. Dixit

APPEARANCE:

Shri/Smt. V.S. Kadam:

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. S.P. Manjekar

C.P.O / P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To: 13/2/19

1. Heard Shri V.S. Kadam, Applicant in person and Ms. S.P. Manjekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manjekar for the Respondents requests for time to enable her to file reply.

3. Time granted.

4. S.O. to 05.03.2019.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20 _____

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
---	-------------------

Date : 13.02.2019.

O.A.No.69 of 2018

R.N. PawarApplicant.

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.

DATE: 13/2/19

CORAM:

~~Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)~~

~~Hon'ble Shri M. N. Patil (Member) A~~

~~P.N. Dixit~~

Shri/Smt. A.V. Bandiwadekar

Advocate for the Applicant

Shri/Smt. A.J. Chougule

C.P.O./ P.O. for the Respondent/s

Adj. To Admit
with liberty to the applicant
to file rejoinder if any.

2

1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Shri A.J. Chougule, the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents.

2. Learned P.O. Shri A.J. Chougule for the Respondents states that no reply is necessary on behalf of Respondent No.2.

3. Matter is admitted and kept for final hearing in due course with liberty to the Applicant to file rejoinder, if any.

Sd/-
(P.N. Dixit)
Member(A)

prk

IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL MUMBAI

M.A./R.A./C.A No. _____ of 20 _____

I N

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 13/2/19</p> <p>CORAM: Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman) Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A P.N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE: Shri/Smt. A. Jadhav Advocate for the Applicant Shri/Smt. S.P. Manchekar C.P.O / P.G. for the Respondent's</p> <p>Adj. To: <u>Adj. for final hearing in due course.</u></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1072 of 2018</p> <p>P.N. BandeApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. Jadhav, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents has filed reply on behalf of Respondents No.1 to</p> <p>3.</p> <p>3. Matter is admitted for final hearing in due course.</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

IN

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: 13/2/19</p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice Shri A. H. Joshi (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. Rameshkumar (Member) A</p> <p>P. N. Dixit</p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. A. Y. Bandiwadekar</p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. S. P. Manchekar</p> <p>C.P.O./P. for the Respondents</p> <p>Adj. To..... 21/2/19</p> <p>Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O.</p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1111 of 2018</p> <p>A.G. KambleApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. Ms. S.P. Manchekar, the learned Chief Presenting Officer for Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar for the Respondents mentions that she would report the progress regarding paragraphs No.8 and 9 of the order issued on 18.12.2018.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 21.02.2019.</p> <p>4. Steno copy and Hamdast is allowed to learned C.P.O..</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p>prk</p>


**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. _____ of 20

IN

Original Application No. _____ of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
<p>DATE: <u>13/2/19</u></p> <p>CORAM:</p> <p>Hon'ble Justice P. N. Dixit (Chairman)</p> <p>Hon'ble Shri M. R. ... (Member) A</p> <p><u>P. N. Dixit</u></p> <p>APPEARANCE:</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>A. V. Bandiwadkar</u></p> <p>Advocate for the Applicant</p> <p>Shri/Smt. : <u>Archana B. K.</u></p> <p>C.P.O. for the Respondent/s</p> <p>Adj. To. <u>14/2/19</u></p> <p style="text-align: right;"></p>	<p>Date : 13.02.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.278 of 2018</p> <p>R.B. JaidApplicant.</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors.Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar, the learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., the learned Presenting Officer for Respondents. 2. Learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadkar for the Applicant requests for time for seeking instructions from his client. 3. Adjourned to 14.02.2019. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Member(A)</p> <p style="text-align: left;">prk</p>