

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O. A. No.1205 of 2019</p> <p>S. R. ThaleApplicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A. V. Bandiwadekar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 01.01.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued. 4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O. to 01.01.2020. <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>vsm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.Nos.838, 839, 840, 841 & 842 of 2019</p> <p>M. C. Chavan & Ors.Applicants</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Dr. P. G. Kayande, learned Counsel for the Applicants and Smt. Kranti Gaikwad holding for Shri A. J. Chougule, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicants has filed Rejoinder in all these Original Applications except O.A. No.840/2019. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Matters are adjourned for hearing at the stage of admission.</p> <p>4. S.O. to 09.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- w (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p>

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>12.12.2019</u></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><u>O.A 1192/2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.P Gade & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri V.P Deshmukh with Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 5.2.2020. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 7 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 5.2.2020. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N Dixit) Member (J) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><small>Allen</small></p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>M.A 673/2019 in O.A 1192 /2019</u></p> <p>Shri P.P Gade & Others ... Applicants Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri V.P Deshmukh with Shri V.P Potbhare, learned advocate for the applicants and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. M.A to sue jointly allowed as the applicants are similarly situated subject to payment of court fees, if not already paid.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.239 of 2019</p> <p>A. M. ShendkarApplicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. ...Respondents.</p> <p>1. Heard Shri M. D. Lonkar, learned Counsel for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B. K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. In the present O.A. the Applicant is seeking direction for retention in Pune Division in view of exemption contemplated in Revenue Division Allotment for appointment by nomination and promotion to the post of Group-A and Group-B of the Government of Maharashtra Rule, 2017 (shortly stated Cadre Allotment Rules, 2017).</p> <p>3. The Applicant is belonging to Pune Cadre and has given choice for posting at Pune but she was given posting at Nagpur on promotion. The Applicant claims to be abandoned woman and entitled for exemption u/r 7 of the Cadre Allotment Rules, 2015. The Applicant has produced various documents pertaining to matrimonial dispute between spouses under Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 as well as petition for custody of child is subjudice.</p> <p>4. Thus, considering pleadings and submission of the learned Counsel for the Applicant as well as learned P.O. for the Respondents, this Tribunal has granted interim relief by order dated 14.03.2019 whereby, the Applicant was allowed to continue to work in the present post at Pune.</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders ar
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

5. Later, during the pendency of O.A. by letter dated 03.05.2019 one promotional post in Pune Revenue Division was ordered to be kept vacant till the decision of the O.A. and hearing of O.A. was expedited.
6. Today, learned Counsel for the Applicant has tendered a letter dated 31.10.2019 written by the Applicant. It is taken on record and marked by letter 'X' for identification. The Applicant is also present before the Tribunal.
7. Perusal of letter dated 31.10.2019 reveals that it is a representation made to Respondent No.1 to consider the request for posting at Pune where two posts are lying vacant. In representation, the Applicant further reiterated that she falls within the definition of 'abandoned woman' and entitled to exemption.
8. In view of above, learned Counsel for the Applicant seeks permission to withdraw the O.A. with direction to the Respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 31.10.2019 within stipulated period. This submission is quite fair.
9. Learned P.O. on instructions from Smt. B. Jagtap, Asst. Desk Officer of Resp. No.1 also concedes that the representation of the Applicant will be considered within six weeks.
10. In view of above, the Applicant is allowed to withdraw the O.A.
11. Respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation of the Applicant dated 31.10.2019 within six weeks from today in accordance to rule and the decision be communicated to the Applicant within two weeks thereafter.
12. If, Applicant felt aggrieved by the decision, she may avail further legal remedy in accordance to law.
13. Interim relief granted on 03.05.2019 is vacated.
14. Original Application is disposed of as withdrawn.

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

vsm

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders Date : 12.12.2019.
	<p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.911 of 2019</p> <p>Gadade S.T. & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Shri C.V. Dharurkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed a leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that matter may be adjourned to first week of January 2020 for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 10.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.832 of 2019</p> <p>Dr. S.B. Rajure Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents files reply on behalf of Respondent No.1. It is taken on record.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 07.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">O.A.No.578 of 2019</p> <p>S.S. Kamble & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply by Respondent No.4 is filed on 10.12.2019.</p> <p>3. Admitted to be heard in due course.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 06.02.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit) Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.574 of 2019</p> <p>B.P. Nagamwar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks 12 weeks for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant submits that the matter is pending since June, 2019. He therefore prays that it should be heard early.</p> <p>4. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents is directed to inform the Respondents to file reply by 31.01.2020.</p> <p>5. Adjourned to 31.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.440 of 2019</p> <p>R.B. Fulpagare Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents. None for the Applicant.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned P.O. for the Respondents adjourned to 09.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.675 of 2019 in O.A.No.1036 of 2019</p> <p>S.M. Mirkale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Rishabh A. Gugale, learned Advocate holding for Shri A.V. Sakolkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. This M.A.675/2019 is for restoration of O.A.No.1036/2019 as the same was dismissed for non-compliance of Tribunal's order.</p> <p>3. Learned Advocate for the Applicant is directed to pay cost of Rs.2,000/- within two weeks in the office of M.A.T and produce the receipt.</p> <p>4. O.A. will be allowed to restore subject to payment of costs.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

M.A./R.A./C.A. No. of 20

I N

Original Application No. of 20

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET No.

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.119 of 2019</p> <p>A.T. Sakore Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B. Deshmukh, learned Advocate for the Applicant, Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents 1 to 3 & 5 and Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Respondent No.4.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate Shri B. Deshmukh for the Applicant adjourned to 08.01.2020. He submits that he will provide list of copy of documents to learned Advocate Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar and learned C.P.O. Ms. S.P. Manchekar in advance so that learned Advocate Shri B. Deshmukh may come with his say on the next date.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1073 of 2019</p> <p>M.G. Kale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed a leave note.</p> <p>3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. of 20 DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1081 of 2019</p> <p>P.Y. Sathe Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana. B.K. learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J) prk</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1071 of 2019</p> <p>A.B. Suryawanshi Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 08.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1066 of 2019</p> <p>U.S. Bhoite & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Abhijeet Parab, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 16.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1043 of 2019</p> <p>S.V. Lendave Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Smt. K.S. Galkwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed a leave note.3. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks four weeks time for filing reply.4. Adjourned to 16.01.2020. <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1029 of 2019</p> <p>Pillai M.V. Applicant</p> <p>Versus</p> <p>The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri Kranti K.C., learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks THREE weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 08.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- Sd/-</p> <p style="text-align: center;">(A.P. Kurhekar) (P.N. Dixit)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Member(J) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.979 of 2019</p> <p>Y.K. Waghmare Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jadhale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents submits that matter may be adjourned by four weeks.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s



(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.957 of 2019</p> <p>V.D. Saple & Ors. Applicants Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri K.R. Jagdale, learned Advocate for the Applicants and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents submits that reply will be filed during the course of the day.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 15.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/-  (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 38/2019 in O.A 634/2017</u></p> <p>Shri S.D Sutar ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri C.T Chandratre, holding for Shri A.G Ambetkar learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned advocate Shri Chandratre stated that Shri Ambetkar is busy otherwise.</p> <p>3. Matter adjourned to 9.1.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.12.2019</u></p> <p align="center"><u>C.A 63/2019 in O.A 1087/2014</u></p> <p>Shri S.S Nalawade ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Swati Manchekar, learned C.P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 19.12.2019. 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 19.12.2019. <p align="center">Sd/-</p> <p align="center">(A.P. Kurhekar) Member (J)</p> <p align="right">Sd/-</p> <p align="right">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Alm</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>C.A 64/2019 in O.A 333/2018</u></p> <p>Smt B.B Chavan ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Heard Shri A.V Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents. 2. Issue notice returnable on 19.12.2019 3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal need not be issued. 4. Applicants are authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing. 5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open. 6. The service may be done by hand delivery/ speed post/courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicants are directed to file affidavit of compliance and notice. 7. In case notice is not collected within 3 days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference and papers be consigned to record. 8. S.O to 19.12.2019. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- ✓</p> <p style="text-align: center;">V[~]</p> <p>(A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- ✓</p> <p style="text-align: right;">(P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>12.12.2019</p> <p>C.A 47/2019 in O.A 30/2019</p> <p>Shri A.R Nangre Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri S.D Patil, learned advocate for the applicant and Smt K.S Gaikwad, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 19.12.2019. Learned P.O is directed to brief the Tribunal about the action taken by Respondents no 2 & 3.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhakar) Member (J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Aln</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.1085 of 2019</p> <p>S.L. Navkhare Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks two weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>3. Adjourned to 09.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prt</p>

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram,
Appearance, Tribunal's orders or
directions and Registrar's orders

Tribunal's orders

Date : 12.12.2019.

O.A.No.1181 of 2019

S.K. Narale Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents

1. Heard Shri S.S. Dere, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. Archana B.K., learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.

2. Issue notice before admission returnable on 09.01.2020 .

3. Tribunal may take the case for final disposal at this stage and separate notice for final disposal shall not be issued.

4. Applicant is authorized and directed to serve on Respondents intimation/notice of date of hearing duly authenticated by Registry, along with complete paper book of O.A.. Respondents are put to notice that the case would be taken up for final disposal at the stage of admission hearing.

5. This intimation/notice is ordered under Rule 11 of the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1988, and the questions such as limitation and alternate remedy are kept open.

6. The service may be done by Hand delivery, speed post, courier and acknowledgement be obtained and produced along with affidavit of compliance in the Registry within one week. Applicant is directed to file Affidavit of compliance and notice.

7. In case notice is not collected within seven days or service report on affidavit is not filed 3 days before returnable date, Original Application shall stand dismissed without reference to Tribunal and papers be consigned to record.

8. Appointment, if any, will be subject to the outcome of the O.A.

9. Adjourned to 09.01.2020

Sd/-

(A.P. Kurhekar)
Member(J)

Sd/-

(P.N. Dixit)
Vice-Chairman

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.943 of 2016</p> <p>Dr. C.G. Galkwad Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri A.V. Bandiwadekar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant adjourned to 17.12.2019.</p> <p>Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.983 of 2019</p> <p>J.W. Patil Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri U.V. Bhosale, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Smt. K.S. Gaikwad, learned Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O. for the Respondents seeks three weeks time for filing reply.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 09.01.2020.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- _____ (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>O.A.No.982 of 2019</p> <p>R.K. Bhosale Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Heard Ms. S.P. Manchekar learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.2. Smt. Punam Mahajan, learned Advocate for the Applicant has filed a leave note.3. Learned C.P.O. for the Respondents seeks adjournment in second week of January, 2020.4. Adjourned to 16.01.2020. <p style="text-align: center;">Sd/- (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(J)</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p> <p>prk</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No.

of 20

DISTRICT

..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram. Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p>Date : 12.12.2019.</p> <p>M.A.No.386 of 2019 in O.A.No.546 of 2018</p> <p>S.B. Khemnar Applicant Versus The State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri R. Matkar, learned Advocate for the Applicant and Ms. S.P. Manchekar, learned Chief Presenting Officer for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. At the request of learned Advocate for the Applicant matter is adjourned to 08.01.2020.</p> <p>Sd/- WV (A.P. Kurhekar) Member(I)</p> <p>prk</p> <p>Sd/- (P.N. Dixit) Vice-Chairman</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 1184/2019</u></p> <p>Shri D.J Sonawane ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Ms Tanvi Sangle holding for Shri P.S Pathak, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. The applicant has filed this O.A against the order issued by the Appellate Authority (Respondent no. 4) imposing the punishment of stoppage of increments. The applicant has also prayed against his transfer and refund of the recovery.</p> <p>3. The learned P.O submits that the matter needs to be placed before the D.B. She further submits that separate O.A needs to be filed for separate reliefs.</p> <p>4. Registrar is directed to verify the issue and place the matter before appropriate D.B.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- _____ (P.N¹ Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____ Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 925/2018</u></p> <p>Shri V.M Patil ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. None for the applicant and heard Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Learned P.O files sur rejoinder on behalf of Respondents no 1 to 3.</p> <p>3. Applicant as well as learned advocate for the applicant are absent.</p> <p>4. Adjourned to 16.12.2019 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N/Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Akn</p>

**IN THE MAHARASHTRA ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
MUMBAI**

Original Application No. _____ of 20 _____ DISTRICT _____
..... Applicant/s

(Advocate)

versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

..... Respondent/s

(Presenting Officer.....)

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearance, Tribunal's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Tribunal's orders
	<p><u>12.12.2019</u></p> <p><u>O.A 515/2019</u></p> <p>Shri N.T Nehete ... Applicant Vs. The State of Maharashtra & Ors ... Respondents</p> <p>1. Heard Shri B.A Bandiwadekar, learned advocate for the applicant and Ms Archana B.K, learned P.O for the Respondents.</p> <p>2. Adjourned to 16.12.2019 for final hearing.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">Sd/- (P.N Dixit) Vice-Chairman (A)</p> <p>Aka1</p>